Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park Ave., Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005

Phone: (406) 841-2976

Email: srdapplication@mt.gov

FILED
11/20/2025
SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION
OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,)	Cause No. DC-7-2024-0000220
	Plaintiff,)	Cascade County District Court
-VS-)	
)	Montana Eighth Judicial District
RYAN MCMULLEN,)	_
)	DECISION
)	
	Defendant.	_)	

On December 18, 2024, the Defendant was sentenced in <u>Count I</u>: Twenty (20) years to the Montana State Prison, with ten (10) years suspended, for the offense of <u>Count I</u>: Sexual Intercourse Without Consent, a Felony, in violation of §45-5-503(1), MCA. The Court ordered the Defendant to complete all recommended Sexual Offender Treatment prior to release. The Defendant was given credit for 192 days for time already served. The Court further ordered the Defendant designated a Tier II Sexual Offender. The Court also waived Pre-Sentence Investigation condition 48. The Defendant shall follow all recommendations of the psychosexual evaluation.

On November 6, 2025, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Crossroads Correctional Center and was represented by Tyler Dugger, Defense Counsel. The State was represented by Amanda Lofink. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

DATED this 19 day of November, 2025.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon. Jessica Fehr, Chair

Hon. Matthew Cuffe, Member

Hon. Jeffrey Dahood, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this 20th day of November, 2025, to:

Clerk of District Court – via email Ryan McMullen #3037928, Defendant Hon. David J. Grubich – via email Tyler Dugger, Defense Counsel – via email Stephanie Fuller, Deputy County Attorney – via email Board of Pardons and Parole – via email

MSP - Records Dept. - via email

Dia C. Lang, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division

Dia C. Lang