

Sentence Review Division
301 S. Park Ave., Suite 328
P.O. Box 203005
Helena, MT 59620-3005
Phone: (406) 841-2976
Email: srdapplication@mt.gov

FILED
11/20/2025
SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION
OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,) Cause No. DC-21-2024-0000023
)
Plaintiff,) Hill County District Court
-vs-)
) Montana Twelfth Judicial District
ANGEL CASTILLO-HAFFLEY,)
) **DECISION**
)
Defendant.)

On May 19, 2025, the Defendant was sentenced as follows: Count I: One hundred (100) years to the Montana State Prison for the offense of Deliberate Homicide, a Felony, in violation of §45-5-102(1)(a), MCA. Count II: One hundred (100) years to the Montana State Prison for the offense of Deliberate Homicide, a Felony, in violation of §45-5-102(1)(a), MCA. Counts I and II were ordered to run consecutively to each other. The Defendant was given credit for time served in jail from March 29, 2024, until May 19, 2025, a total of 416 days. The Court ordered the Defendant to pay restitution to D.H. in the amount of \$11,609.00. The amount owed jointly and several to co-defendants Angel Castillo and Miguel Angel Ibanez, Jr.

On November 6, 2025, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Montana State Prison and was represented by Tyler Dugger, Defense Counsel. The State was represented by Larissa Malloy. The Defendant did not give a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall

not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

DATED this 19 day of November, 2025.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION



Hon. Jessica Fehr, Chair




Hon. Matthew Cuffe, Member



Hon. Jeffrey Dahood, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this 20th day of November, 2025, to:

Clerk of District Court – *via email*
Angel Castillo-Haffley #3038867, Defendant
Hon. Kaydee Snipes-Ruiz – *via email*
Tyler Dugger, Defense Counsel – *via email*
Larissa Malloy, Deputy Co. Attorney – *via email*
Board of Pardons and Parole – *via email*
MSP - Records Dept. – *via email*



Dia C. Lang, Office Administrator
Sentence Review Division