Pretrial Diversion Performance Measurement Overview Lake County

January 1, 2019, to December 31, 2024

- Maximize public safety by reserving detention for those offenders who pose the greatest risk to reoffend or fail to appear in court.
- Maximize court appearance.
- Maximize the appropriate use of release and detention by use of services designed to fulfill the two goals stated above.

Data is collected using the AutoMon case management system. The data still contains "noise" and will continue to need refinement. (<i>Please note that percentages were rounded and may not add to 100%</i>)
The attached report captured all cases closed <u>Lake County</u> between January 1, 2019, and December 31, 2024. During that time-period, 872 or 99% of the Public Safety Assessment-related cases were closed. (PMR report – 2 as a percentage of 1)
About 48% or 419 defendants were released pre-trial from detention. (3 as a percentage of 2) Of those, about 74% were released on their own recognizance. (6 as a percentage of 3) The other 26% had some form of cash bail required for release.
Of the defendants not released pre-trial, 23% were a level 1 on the PSA, 50% were a level 2, and 27% were a level 3. (15, 16, 17 as a percentage of 11)
For defendants released pre-trial, 94% reported to their court appearances. (**Please note this reflects cases in which a warrant was issued for the failure to appear. If a defendant did not appear but a warrant was not issued, it is not counted as it doesn't exist in the database.) (18 as a percentage of 3)
In the closed cases, 91% of the defendants remained law-abiding. (19 as a percentage of 3) 19 people were arrested for new misdemeanors and 20 for new felonies. 14 of these were violent offenses.
The OCA does not maintain or collect county jail data. Thus, it is difficult to assess the impact on overall jail populations, which include not only pre-trial defendants, but people convicted who are awaiting a Department of Corrections placement, serving a misdemeanor sentencing, or awaiting the outcome of a probation violation. Several detention facilities also house federal inmates

MONTANA JUDICIAL BRANCH PRETRIAL PROGRAM

Performance Measures Report

County Lake	Start Date 1/1/2019	End Date 12/31/2024	
Assessment Volume		· 	
1) Number of Public Safe	ty Assessments (PSAs) completed	882	
Criteria: # of PSAs con	npleted-Open or closed cases		
Defendants' Case Closures			
2) Number of court cases closed	for which a PSA was done	872	
Criteria: Counting cases that ha	ve a disposition date within reporting per	iod AND had a PSA completed.	
Case driven, not person driven			
Jail Release Measures			
3) Number of these closed cases	for which the defendant was released pre	trial from jail 419	
Criteria: Closed cases within rep	porting period that had a PSA completed w	vith a release date	
Number of closed cases for	or which released defendants were:		
4. Charged with a viole	ent offense	238	
Criteria: Closed cases (AND a charge with the	A completed with a release date		
5. Assessed as having t	the violence flag	120	
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release data AND PSA scored the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.		
6. Released on recogni	izance	310	
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date, with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Personal Recognizance		
7. Released after payir	ng money bail	109	
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash and/or Surety		
8. Recommendation fr	om the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 1	125	
	within the reporting period that had a PSA n of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)	A completed with a release date,	

Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	230
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release decision of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	d with a release date,
10. Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	60
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release decision of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	d with a release date,
Jail Detention Measures	
11) Number of these closed cases for which the defendant was detained (not released pretrial from jail	d) 455
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without	a release date entered
Number of closed cases for which detained defendants:	
12. Were charged with a violent offense	224
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed date AND a charge with the violent flag.	d without a release
13. Were assessed as having the violence flag	144
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a re date AND PSA scored the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.	
14. Were ordered to pay money bail	271
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash	
15. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 1	106
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)	
16. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 2	228
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a ladge, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	
17. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 3	123

Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)

Pretrial F	Release Outcome Measures				
Number	of closed cases for which released defendants:				
18.	Made all of their court appearances	393			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a reAND does not have any violations filed for any associated FTA's that resulted in a violation of warrant. The client can have an FTA and a violation filed for the FTA. If the violation has a disposition of Warrant, the client is excluded from this list.	olation			
19.	Remained law-abiding (were not arrested for any new offense)	381			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release AND does not have any new arrests entered in the infraction screen within the violations n				
20.	Had a new misdemeanor offense	19			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a re AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module class of misdemeanor.				
21.	Had a new felony offense	20			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations model class of felony.				
22.	Had a new violent (misdemeanor or felony) offense	14			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a re AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module category of violent.				

Generated By: lisa.irvin@mt.gov on 01/13/2025

Report Prepared by:

Last Updated: 11-12-2018

Date: