Pretrial Diversion Performance Measurement Overview Lake County

January 1, 2019, to December 31, 2023

- Maximize public safety by reserving detention for those offenders who pose the greatest risk to reoffend or fail to appear in court.
- Maximize court appearance.
- Maximize the appropriate use of release and detention by use of services designed to fulfill the two goals stated above.

Data is collected using the AutoMon case management system. The data still contains "noise" and will continue to need refinement. (<i>Please note that percentages were rounded and may not add to 100%</i>)
The attached report captured all cases closed <u>Lake County</u> between January 1, 2019, and December 31, 2023. During that time-period, 763 or 100% of the Public Safety Assessment-related cases were closed. (PMR report – 2 as a percentage of 1)
About 49% or 375 defendants were released pre-trial from detention. (3 as a percentage of 2) Of those, about 76% were released on their own recognizance. (6 as a percentage of 3) The other 24% had some form of cash bail required for release.
Of the defendants not released pre-trial, 22% were a level 1 on the PSA, 49% were a level 2, and 29% were a level 3. (15, 16, 17 as a percentage of 11)
For defendants released pre-trial, 93% reported to their court appearances. (**Please note this reflects cases in which a warrant was issued for the failure to appear. If a defendant did not appear but a warrant was not issued, it is not counted as it doesn't exist in the database.) (18 as a percentage of 3)
In the closed cases, 91% of the defendants remained law-abiding. (19 as a percentage of 3) 17 people were arrested for new misdemeanors and 18 for new felonies. 12 of these were violent offenses.
The OCA does not maintain or collect county jail data. Thus, it is difficult to assess the impact on overall jail populations, which include not only pre-trial defendants, but people convicted who are awaiting a Department of Corrections placement, serving a misdemeanor sentencing, or awaiting the outcome of a probation violation. Several detention facilities also house federal inmates

MONTANA JUDICIAL BRANCH PRETRIAL PROGRAM

Performance Measures Report

County Lake	Start Date 1/1/2019	End Date 12/31/2023				
Assessment Volume						
1) Numbe	of Public Safety Assessments (PSAs) completed	740				
Criteria	: # of PSAs completed-Open or closed cases					
Defendants' Cas	se <u>Closures</u>					
2) Number of cou	rt cases closed for which a PSA was done	763				
Criteria: Counting	cases that have a disposition date within reporting period Al	ND had a PSA completed.				
Case driven, not p	person driven					
Jail Release Mea	<u>asures</u>					
3) Number of the	se closed cases for which the defendant was released pretrial f	rom jail 375				
Criteria: Closed co	release date					
Number of	closed cases for which released defendants were:					
4. Charg	ged with a violent offense	212				
Criteria AND a d	pleted with a release date					
5. Asses	sed as having the violence flag	105				
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date AND PSA scored the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.					
6. Relea	sed on recognizance	286				
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date, with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Personal Recognizance					
7. Relea	sed after paying money bail	89				
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash and/or Surety						
8. Reco	mmendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 1	115				
	: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA com elease decision of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)	pleted with a release date,				

Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	198	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed wit with a release decision of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	h a release date,	
10. Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	58	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed wit with a release decision of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	h a release date,	
Jail Detention Measures		
11) Number of these closed cases for which the defendant was detained (not released) pretrial from jail	390	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a rel	lease date entered	
Number of closed cases for which detained defendants:		
12. Were charged with a violent offense	202	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed wit date AND a charge with the violent flag.	hout a release	
13. Were assessed as having the violence flag	132	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date AND PSA scored the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.		
14. Were ordered to pay money bail	238	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash		
15. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 1	84	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)		
16. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 2	193	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed wit date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	hout a release	
17. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 3	115	

Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)

Pretrial F	Release Outcome Measures			
Number	of closed cases for which released defendants:			
18.	Made all of their court appearances	349		
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a AND does not have any violations filed for any associated FTA's that resulted in a violation of warrant. The client can have an FTA and a violation filed for the FTA. the violation has a disposition of Warrant, the client is excluded from this list.	violation		
19.	Remained law-abiding (were not arrested for any new offense)	341		
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a AND does not have any new arrests entered in the infraction screen within the vio			
20.	Had a new misdemeanor offense	17		
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module class of misdemeanor.			
21.	Had a new felony offense	18		
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module class of felony.			
22.	Had a new violent (misdemeanor or felony) offense	12		
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module category of violent.			

Generated By: lisa.irvin@mt.gov on 01/25/2024

Report Prepared by:

Last Updated: 11-12-2018

Date: