1	BILL NO. 361
2	INTRODUCED BY HARP Jain Toslin
3 .	Walesmoff Myan Jorgeson Thomas Sweeper La Carlo
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITYED: "AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE REASONABLE SPENDING FOR RESPONSIVE
5	GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1997; SETTING MANDATORY CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR
6	STATEWIDE, DISTRICT COURT, AND LEGISLATIVE OFFICES; PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTYS
7	FOR ENFORCEMENT; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 13-35-107, 13-37-126, AND 13-37-127, MCA."
8	
9	STATEMENT OF INTENT
10	A statement of intent is required for this bill because it directs the commissioner of political
11	practices to adopt a rule adjusting campaign expenditure limits for inflation. The commissioner shall conform
12	the rule to the process provided in 13-37-218.
13	
14	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
15	
16	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Short title. [Sections 1 through 6] may be cited as the "Reasonable
17	Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1977".
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Purpose and findings. (1) In enacting [sections 1 through 6], the
20	legislature finds and declares the following:
21	(a) Montana citizens traditionally participate in their government at a remarkably high level.
22	However, spending levels prevalent in today's political campaigns alienate citizens from their government,
23	tarnish the integrity of election processes, and erode the people's willingness to continue their high level
24	of civic participation.
25	(b) (i) Campaign spending for statewide and legislative races in Montana has increased
26	dramatically.
27	(ii) Accepting the premise that campaign contributions are equivalent to spending, total
28	contributions to both general election candidates for governor skyrocketed from \$437,794 in 1976 to
29	\$2,159,444 in 1992, almost a 500% increase or a 220% increase after adjusting for inflation.

(iii) In contested state legislative races, the average cost to win has increased at an alarming rate:

1		<u>1980</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1994</u>
2	Senate	\$5,300.48	\$8,327.93	\$13,250.25
3	House	2,610.11	4,496.88	6,066.89

- (c) (i) Unchecked increases in campaign spending undermine public confidence and trust in the electoral processes and the republican form of government. For Montanans in particular, these increases cast a dark shadow of doubt on the integrity of a citizen legislature.
- (ii) In 1994, almost 25% of the state senate candidates who won contested races spent over \$20,000, approximately four times the amount that a state senator would receive in salary for an entire legislative session.
- (iii) Campaign spending to win a state senate seat has gone as high as \$36,000, over seven times the salary of this office for a regular legislative session.
- (d) (i) Campaign spending establishes a minimum price tag for elected office and profoundly influences the outcome of elections. In Montana, as elsewhere, the candidate who raises and spends the most money is overwhelmingly favored to win.
- (ii) Between 1980 and 1996, in over 90% of Montana's contested statewide partisan races, the candidate who spent the most money won the office.
- (iii) Potential candidates, intimidated by the level of fundraising required to compete at the level of campaign spending typical in today's politics, choose not to run. Consequently, Montana voters are deprived of the diversity among candidates that is both fundamental and essential to a healthy democracy. Reasonable campaign spending limits enable more candidates to seek office, increasing the quantity, depth, and diversity of ideas presented to the public.
- (e) (i) Voters lose confidence in their elected officials when they believe that money has more influence on election outcomes than their votes do.
- (ii) A majority of voters in the Rocky Mountain region believe that people contribute to political campaigns "because they hope to have more access and influence over . . . candidates".
- (iii) Over 80% of the voters surveyed in the Rocky Mountain region agree with the statement, "Most elected officials are not really in touch with the problems of average people."
- (f) (i) Montana voters, for the most part, do not use campaign contributions as a vehicle for their participation in our democracy.
 - (ii) Less than 1/3 of 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$500 or more to any candidate



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	in 1992.	However,	those	contributions	composed	almost	30%	of the	total	amount	contributed	to	all
2	candidates	s in 1992.											

- (iii) Less than 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$200 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed 43% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
- (iv) Less than 3% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$35 or more to any candidate for office in 1992. However, those contributions composed more than 58% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
- (v) Clearly, over 97% of Montana's voters did not participate in the 1992 electoral process by contributing as much as \$35 or more to any candidate for statewide or legislative office.
 - (g) At a time when policymakers are striving to limit government spending, the unrestrained growth in campaign spending for elected government office creates suspicions about and gives rise to the appearance of corruption in our democratic processes. All attempts to root out that appearance by limiting campaign contributions have failed because of the upward spiral of increased campaign spending.
 - (h) The least distorted and most instructive channels of campaign communication are inexpensive and often free. Debates, call-in programs, local interviews, door-to-door visits in legislative districts, and other forms of direct voter contact that are not dependent on money offer information in greater depth and detail. Expanded use of these low-cost communication methods, stimulated by the adoption of reasonable spending limits, benefits political discourse by increasing candidates' direct engagement with and accountability to the voters. Reasonable limits on campaign spending would assist, rather than impede, voters' access to candidates and ability to make informed choices among candidates.
 - (2) The purposes of [sections 1 through 6] are:
 - (a) to prevent corruption and the appearance of corruption in the electoral process by:
- 24 (i) restoring public confidence and trust in the electoral process and in the ability of voters to affect 25 this process;
- (ii) increasing the likelihood that the outcome of an election is determined by a candidate's merit,
 not by a candidate's fundraising ability;
- 28 (iii) reducing the ability of a handful of voters to skew election results through the contribution of 29 money;
- 30 (iv) decreasing the likelihood that candidates will be outspent by substantial amounts, thereby



1	encouraging qualified candidates to run for office; and
2	(v) limiting the ability of wealthy candidates to use a personal fortune or a family fortune to
3	outspend opponents disproportionately;
4	(b) to protect the integrity and appearance of integrity of statewide, judicial, and legislative elected
5	officials by:
6	(i) ensuring that officeholders solicit and act upon the opinions and interests of constituents,
7	regardless of the ability of constituents to make campaign contributions;
8	(ii) protecting officeholders from campaign fundraising pressures that could influence or appear to
9	influence their official behavior; and
10	(iii) reducing the pressures on officeholders to respond to the interests of substantial campaign
11	contributors, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens who do not or cannot contribute to campaigns;
12	(c) to further the equal protection rights of all Montana citizens, as candidates and as voters, to
13	participate in the electoral process by:
14	(i) encouraging candidates to limit the skyrocketing costs of campaigns, thereby opening the
15	electoral process to candidates less able to meet those costs; and
16	(ii) promoting spending limits that, rather than limiting the dissemination of new ideas, encourage
17	a greater variety of and depth to issues presented, thereby providing all voters with a meaningful
18	opportunity to support and vote for the candidate of their choice;
19	(d) to protect the first amendment rights of all Montana citizens to be heard in the electoral process
20	and to hear information from all candidates, regardless of their access to wealth; and
21	(e) to preserve the republican form of government guaranteed in Article I of the United States
22	constitution and Article V of the Montana constitution by replacing the time and energy that Montana's
23	legislative candidates and officeholders must devote to fundraising with time and energy devoted to direct
24	voter contact and constituent service.
25	
26	NEW SECTION Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts, (1) Expent as provided in

28

29

- NEW SECTION. Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts. (1) Except as provided in subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in subsection (2).
 - (2) Aggregate expenditure limits are as follows:
 - (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor combined:



1	(i) \$250,000 in a primary election;
2	(ii) \$750,000 in a general election;
3	(b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, and chief justice:
4	(i) \$50,000 in a primary election;
5	(ii) \$115,000 in a general election;
6	(c) for the offices of superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk
7	of the supreme court:
8	(i) \$35,000 in a primary election;
9	(iii) \$65,000 in a general election;
10	(d) for public service commissioner:
11	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
12	(ii) \$25,000 in a general election;
13	(e) for district court judge:
14	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
15	(ii) \$20,000 in a general election;
16	(f) for state senator, \$20,000 total for the primary and general election; and
17	(g) for state representative, \$10,000 total for the primary and general election.
18	(3) For the purposes of this section and the enforcement provisions of [sections 1 through 6]:
19	(a) "aggregate expenditures" means the sum of all the expenditures made to influence either a state
20	primary or a state general election by a candidate and those expenditures made on the candidate's behalf
21	by the candidate's committee or committees, the candidate's national, state, and local parties, and the
22	candidate's immediate family; and
23	(b) "immediate family" means family members of the candidate or the candidate's spouse who are
24	directly related within two generations of the candidate or the candidate's spouse.
25	(4) Each campaign expenditure limitation amount applies solely and independently to either the
26	state primary or the state general election, except for a state legislative candidate who is limited under
27	subsection (2)(f) or $(2)(g)$.

29

30

defined in 15-30-101, for the year in which general elections are held. The resulting figure must be rounded

off to the nearest \$50 increment. The commissioner shall publish the revised limits as a rule.

(5) The commissioner shall multiply the limits contained in this section by the inflation factor, as

1	NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation
2	notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter
3	to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3].
4	(2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate
5	expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
6	penalty applicable under (section 5).
7	
8	NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits
9	provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the
10	following fines and penalties:
11	(a) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by less than
12	the applicable percentage set forth in subsection (2), the commissioner shall impose a civil penalty of three
13	times the amount of the excess expenditure.
14	(b) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by an amount
15	that is equal to or greater than the percentage set forth in subsection (2):
16	(i) 75 days or more before the primary election or 85 days or more before the general election,
17	pursuant to 13-37-126, the candidate's name may not be printed on the ballot;
18	(ii) less than 85 days before the general election, pursuant to 13-37-127, the certificate of election
19	provided in 13-15-504 may not be issued and the governor shall immediately order an election to be held
20	no less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the time of the commissioner's directive, unless the
21	offending candidate is a candidate for judicial office, in which case the lack of certification must be treated
22	as a vacancy pursuant to Article VII, section 8, of the Montana constitution and Title 3, chapter 1, part 10.
23	The offending candidate may stand for neither election nor appointment.
24	(2) Percentage limitations applicable to subsection (1) are as follows:
25	(a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor, 2%;
26	(b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, chief justice, superintendent of public
27	instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk of the supreme court, 3%;
28	(c) for the offices of public service commissioner, district court judge, state senator, and state



representative, 5%.

29

1	NEW SECTION. Section 6. Aggregate expenditure limits included in declaration of nomination.
2	The secretary of state shall include the aggregate expenditure limits set forth in [section 3] in the
3	declaration of nomination forms provided pursuant to 13-10-201.
4	
5	Section 7. Section 13-35-107, MCA, is amended to read:
6	"13-35-107. Voiding election. (1) If a court finds that the violation of any provision of this title
7	by any person probably affected the outcome of any an election, the result of that election may be held
8	void and a special election held within in not less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the date of that
9	finding. If the violation occurred during a primary election, the court may direct the selection of a new
10	candidate according to the provisions of state law relating to the filling of vacancies on the general election
11	ballot. Except as provided in subsection (2), an action to void an election shall must be commenced within
12	1 year of the date of the election in question.
13	(2) An action to void a bond election shall must be commenced within 60 days of the date of the
14	election in question."
15	
16	Section 8. Section 13-37-126, MCA, is amended to read:
17	"13-37-126. Names not to be printed on ballot. (1) The name of a candidate may not be printed
18	on the official ballot for an election if the candidate or a political treasurer for a candidate fails to file any
19	statement or report as required by this chapter or if the candidate is subject to the penalty pursuant to
20	[section 5(1)(b)(i)].
21	(2) A vacancy on an official ballot under this section may be filled in the manner provided by law,
22	but not by the name of the same candidate.
23	(3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must, by a written statement,
24	notify the secretary of state or the election administrator that a candidate or a candidate's treasurer has
25	not complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a candidate's
26	name should not be printed on the official ballot."
27	
28	Section 9. Section 13-37-127, MCA, is amended to read:



30

may not be granted to any candidate until he or his the candidate or the candidate's political treasurer has

"13-37-127. Withholding of certificates of nomination or election. (1) A certificate of election shall

filed the reports and statements that must be filed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter. No \underline{A}
candidate for an elective office may <u>not</u> assume the powers and duties of that office until he <u>the candidate</u>
has received a certificate of election as provided by law. A certificate of election shall may only be issued
by the public official responsible for issuing a certificate or commission of election.
(2) A certificate of election may not be granted to a candidate who is subject to the penalty
pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(ii)].
(3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must shall, by written statement,
notify the public official responsible for issuing a certificate of nomination or election that a candidate or
his the candidate's treasurer has complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection
$(1)_{\perp}$ and that a certificate of nomination or election may be issued."
NEW SECTION. Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are
severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its

applications.

NEW SECTION. Section 11. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 through 6] are intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 13, chapter 37, and the provisions of Title 13, chapter 37, apply to [sections 1 through 6].

applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid

-END-

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE

Fiscal Note for SB0367, as introduced

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

An act establishing the Reasonable Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1997; setting mandatory campaign expenditure limits for statewide, district court, and legislative offices and providing procedures and penalties for enforcement.

ASSUMPTIONS:

 Additional administrative and operating costs for the office of the Commissioner of Political Practices would be contingent on the legal interpretation of the term "on the candidate's behalf" (page 5, line 20).

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

DAVE LEWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DATE
Office of Budget and Program Planning

SUE BARTLETT, PRIMARY SPONSOR DATE

Fiscal Note for SB0367, as introduced

SB 367

1	SENATE BILL NO. 367
2	INTRODUCED BY BARTLETT, HARP, BAER, FOSTER, GRINDE, WATERMAN, HALLIGAN, JERGESON,
3	THOMAS, SWYSGOOD, JENKINS, DOHERTY, CRIPPEN, MILLER, SIMON, HIBBARD, EWER,
4	COCCHIARELLA, HURDLE
5	
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE REASONABLE SPENDING FOR RESPONSIVE
7	GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1997; SETTING MANDATORY CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR
8	STATEWIDE, DISTRICT COURT, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DISTRICT, AND LEGISLATIVE OFFICES;
9	PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES FOR ENFORCEMENT; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 13-35-107,
10	13-37-126, AND 13-37-127, MCA."
11	
12	STATEMENT OF INTENT
13	A statement of intent is required for this bill because it directs the commissioner of political
14	practices to adopt a rule adjusting campaign expenditure limits for inflation. The commissioner shall conform
15	the rule to the process provided in 13-37-218.
16	
17	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Short title. [Sections 1 through 6] may be cited as the "Reasonable
20	Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1977 1997".
21	
22	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Purpose and findings. (1) In enacting [sections 1 through 6], the
23	legislature finds and declares the following:
24	(a) Montana citizens traditionally participate in their government at a remarkably high level.
25	However, spending levels prevalent in today's political campaigns alienate citizens from their government,
26	tarnish the integrity of election processes, and erode the people's willingness to continue their high level
27	of civic participation.
28	(b) (i) Campaign spending for statewide and legislative races in Montana has increased
29	dramatically.
30	(ii) Accepting the premise that campaign contributions are equivalent to spending, total

- contributions to both general election candidates for governor skyrocketed from \$437,794 in 1976 to \$2,159,444 in 1992, almost a 500% increase or a 220% increase after adjusting for inflation.
 - (iii) In contested state legislative races, the average cost to win has increased at an alarming rate:

4		<u>1980</u>	1992	1994
5	Senate	\$5,300.48	\$8,327.93	\$13,250.25
6	House	2,610.11	4,496.88	6,066.89

- (c) (i) Unchecked increases in campaign spending undermine public confidence and trust in the electoral processes and the republican form of government. For Montanans in particular, these increases cast a dark shadow of doubt on the integrity of a citizen legislature.
- (ii) In 1994, almost 25% of the state senate candidates who won contested races spent over \$20,000, approximately four times the amount that a state senator would receive in salary for an entire legislative session.
- (iii) Campaign spending to win a state senate seat has gone as high as \$36,000, over seven times the salary of this office for a regular legislative session.
- (d) (i) Campaign spending establishes a minimum price tag for elected office and profoundly influences the outcome of elections. In Montana, as elsewhere, the candidate who raises and spends the most money is overwhelmingly favored to win.
- (ii) Between 1980 and 1996, in over 90% of Montana's contested statewide partisan races, the candidate who spent the most money won the office.
- (iii) Potential candidates, intimidated by the level of fundraising required to compete at the level of campaign spending typical in today's politics, choose not to run. Consequently, Montana voters are deprived of the diversity among candidates that is both fundamental and essential to a healthy democracy. Reasonable campaign spending limits enable more candidates to seek office, increasing the quantity, depth, and diversity of ideas presented to the public.
- (e) (i) Voters lose confidence in their elected officials when they believe that money has more influence on election outcomes than their votes do.
- (ii) A majority of voters in the Rocky Mountain region believe that people contribute to political campaigns "because they hope to have more access and influence over . . . candidates".
- (iii) Over 80% of the voters surveyed in the Rocky Mountain region agree with the statement, "Most elected officials are not really in touch with the problems of average people."



- (f) (i) Montana voters, for the most part, do not use campaign contributions as a vehicle for their participation in our democracy.
 - (ii) Less than 1/3 of 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$500 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed almost 30% of the total amount contributed to all candidates in 1992.
 - (iii) Less than 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$200 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed 43% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (iv) Less than 3% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$35 or more to any candidate for office in 1992. However, those contributions composed more than 58% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (v) Clearly, over 97% of Montana's voters did not participate in the 1992 electoral process by contributing as much as \$35 or more to any candidate for statewide or legislative office.
 - (g) At a time when policymakers are striving to limit government spending, the unrestrained growth in campaign spending for elected government office creates suspicions about and gives rise to the appearance of corruption in our democratic processes. All attempts to root out that appearance by limiting campaign contributions have failed because of the upward spiral of increased campaign spending.
 - (h) The least distorted and most instructive channels of campaign communication are inexpensive and often free. Debates, call-in programs, local interviews, door-to-door visits in legislative districts, and other forms of direct voter contact that are not dependent on money offer information in greater depth and detail. Expanded use of these low-cost communication methods, stimulated by the adoption of reasonable spending limits, benefits political discourse by increasing candidates' direct engagement with and accountability to the voters. Reasonable limits on campaign spending would assist, rather than impede, voters' access to candidates and ability to make informed choices among candidates.
 - (2) The purposes of [sections 1 through 6] are:
 - (a) to prevent corruption and the appearance of corruption in the electoral process by:
- (i) restoring public confidence and trust in the electoral process and in the ability of voters to affect this process;
- (ii) increasing the likelihood that the outcome of an election is determined by a candidate's merit, not by a candidate's fundraising ability;



Ť	(iii) reducing the ability of a handful of voters to skew election results through the contribution of
2	money;
3	(iv) decreasing the likelihood that candidates will be outspent by substantial amounts, thereby
4	encouraging qualified candidates to run for office; and
5	(v) limiting the ability of wealthy candidates to use a personal fortune or a family fortune to
6	outspend opponents disproportionately;
7	(b) to protect the integrity and appearance of integrity of statewide, judicial, and legislative elected
8	officials by:
9	(i) ensuring that officeholders solicit and act upon the opinions and interests of constituents,
10	regardless of the ability of constituents to make campaign contributions;
11	(ii) protecting officeholders from campaign fundraising pressures that could influence or appear to
12	influence their official behavior; and
13	(iii) reducing the pressures on officeholders to respond to the interests of substantial campaign
14	contributors, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens who do not or cannot contribute to campaigns;
15	(c) to further the equal protection rights of all Montana citizens, as candidates and as voters, to
16	participate in the electoral process by:
17	(i) encouraging candidates to limit the skyrocketing costs of campaigns, thereby opening the
18	electoral process to candidates less able to meet those costs; and
19	(ii) promoting spending limits that, rather than limiting the dissemination of new ideas, encourage
20	a greater variety of and depth to issues presented, thereby providing all voters with a meaningful
21	opportunity to support and vote for the candidate of their choice;
22	(d) to protect the first amendment rights of all Montana citizens to be heard in the electoral process
23	and to hear information from all candidates, regardless of their access to wealth; and
24	(e) to preserve the republican form of government guaranteed in Article I of the United States
25	constitution and Article V of the Montana constitution by replacing the time and energy that Montana's
26	legislative candidates and officeholders must devote to fundraising with time and energy devoted to direct
27	voter contact and constituent service.
28	
29	NEW SECTION. Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts. (1) Except as provided in
30	subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in



subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in

1	subsection (2).
2	(2) Aggregate expenditure limits are as follows:
3	(a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor combined:
4	(i) \$250,000 in a primary election;
5	(ii) \$750,000 in a general election;
6	(b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, and chief justice:
7	(i) \$50,000 <u>\$40,000</u> in a primary election;
8	(ii) \$115,000 \$120,000 in a general election;
9	(c) for the offices of superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk
10	of the supreme court:
11	(i) \$35,000 \$25,000 in a primary election;
12	(ii) \$65,000 <u>\$75,000</u> in a general election;
13	(d) for public service commissioner:
14	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
15	(ii) \$25,000 <u>\$45,000</u> in a general election;
16	(e) for district court judge:
17	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
18	(ii) \$20,000 <u>\$45,000</u> in a general election;
19	(f) for state senator, \$20,000 total for the primary and general election; and
20	(g) for state representative, \$10,000 total for the primary and general election.
21	(3) For the purposes of this section and the enforcement provisions of [sections 1 through 6]:
22	(a) "aggregate expenditures" means the sum of all the expenditures made to influence either a state
23	primary or a state general election by a candidate and those expenditures made on the candidate's behalf
24	by the candidate's committee or committees, the candidate's national, state, and local parties, and the
25	candidate's immediate family; and
26	(b) "immediate family" means family members of the candidate or the candidate's spouse who are
27	directly related within two generations of the candidate or the candidate's spouse.
28	(4) Each campaign expenditure limitation amount applies solely and independently to either the
29	state primary or the state general election, except:



(A) for a state legislative candidate who is limited under subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g); AND

1	(B) THAT IF AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CANDIDATE FOR ONE OF THE OFFICES SPECIFIED IN
2	SUBSECTIONS (2)(B) THROUGH (2)(E) FACES AN OPPONENT IN A PRIMARY ELECTION, THE CAMPAIGN
3	EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS PROVIDED IN THOSE SUBSECTIONS ARE COMBINED INTO A TOTAL
4	CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION FOR BOTH PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.
5	(5) The commissioner shall multiply the limits contained in this section by the inflation factor, as
6	defined in 15-30-101, for the year in which general elections are held. The resulting figure must be rounded
7	off to the nearest \$50 increment. The commissioner shall publish the revised limits as a rule.
8	
9	NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation -
10	notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapte
11	to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3].
12	(2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate
13	expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
14	penalty applicable under [section 5].
15	
16	NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits
17	provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the
18	following fines and penalties:
19	(a) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by less than
20	the applicable percentage set forth in subsection (2), the commissioner shall impose a civil penalty of three
21	times the amount of the excess expenditure.
22	(b) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by an amount
23	that is equal to or greater than the percentage set forth in subsection (2):
24	(i) 75 days or more before the primary election or 85 days or more before the general election
25	pursuant to 13-37-126, the candidate's name may not be printed on the ballot;
26	(ii) less than 85 days before the general election, pursuant to 13-37-127, the certificate of election
27	provided in 13-15-504 may not be issued and the governor shall immediately order an election to be held
28	no less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the time of the commissioner's directive, unless the
29	offending candidate is a candidate for judicial office, in which case the lack of certification must be treated
30	as a vacancy pursuant to Article VII, section 8, of the Montana constitution and Title 3, chapter 1, part 10



- 1 The offending candidate may stand for neither election nor appointment.
- 2 (2) Percentage limitations applicable to subsection (1) are as follows:
 - (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor, 2%;
- 4 (b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, chief justice, superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk of the supreme court, 3%;
 - (c) for the offices of public service commissioner, district court judge, state senator, and state representative, 5%.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 6. Aggregate expenditure limits included in declaration of nomination. The secretary of state shall include the aggregate expenditure limits set forth in [section 3] in the declaration of nomination forms provided pursuant to 13-10-201.

- Section 7. Section 13-35-107, MCA, is amended to read:
- "13-35-107. Voiding election. (1) If a court finds that the violation of any provision of this title by any person probably affected the outcome of any an election, the result of that election may be held void and a special election held within in not less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the date of that finding. If the violation occurred during a primary election, the court may direct the selection of a new candidate according to the provisions of state law relating to the filling of vacancies on the general election ballot. Except as provided in subsection (2), an action to void an election shall must be commenced within 1 year of the date of the election in question.

(2) An action to void a bond election shall <u>must</u> be commenced within 60 days of the date of the election in question."

- Section 8. Section 13-37-126, MCA, is amended to read:
- "13-37-126. Names not to be printed on ballot. (1) The name of a candidate may not be printed on the official ballot for an election if the candidate or a political treasurer for a candidate fails to file any statement or report as required by this chapter or if the candidate is subject to the penalty pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(i)].
- (2) A vacancy on an official ballot under this section may be filled in the manner provided by law, but not by the name of the same candidate.



1	(3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must, by a written statement,
2	notify the secretary of state or the election administrator that a candidate or a candidate's treasurer has
3	not complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a candidate's
4	name should not be printed on the official ballot."
5	
6	Section 9. Section 13-37-127, MCA, is amended to read:
7	"13-37-127. Withholding of certificates of nomination or election. (1) A certificate of election shall
8	may not be granted to any candidate until he or his the candidate or the candidate's political treasurer has
9	filed the reports and statements that must be filed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter. No \underline{A}
10	candidate for an elective office may not assume the powers and duties of that office until he the candidate
11	has received a certificate of election as provided by law. A certificate of election shall may only be issued
12	by the public official responsible for issuing a certificate or commission of election.
13	(2) A certificate of election may not be granted to a candidate who is subject to the penalty
14	pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(ii)].
15	(3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must shall, by written statement,
16	notify the public official responsible for issuing a certificate of nomination or election that a candidate or
17	his the candidate's treasurer has complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection
18	$(1)_z$ and that a certificate of nomination or election may be issued."
19	
20	NEW SECTION. Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are
21	severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its
22	applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid
23	applications.
24	
25	NEW SECTION. Section 11. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 AND 3 through 6] are intended
26	to be codified as an integral part of Title 13, chapter 37, and the provisions of Title 13, chapter 37, apply

Legislative Services Division

to [sections 1 AND 3 through 6].

27

28

-END-

1	SENATE BILL NO. 367
2	INTRODUCED BY BARTLETT, HARP, BAER, FOSTER, GRINDE, WATERMAN, HALLIGAN, JERGESON,
3	THOMAS, SWYSGOOD, JENKINS, DOHERTY, CRIPPEN, MILLER, SIMON, HIBBARD, EWER,
4	COCCHIARELLA, HURDLE
5	
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE REASONABLE SPENDING FOR RESPONSIVE
7	GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1997; SETTING MANDATORY CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR
8	STATEWIDE, DISTRICT COURT, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DISTRICT, AND LEGISLATIVE OFFICES;
9	PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES FOR ENFORCEMENT; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 13-35-107,
10	13-37-126, AND 13-37-127, MCA."
11	
12	STATEMENT OF INTENT
13	A statement of intent is required for this bill because it directs the commissioner of political
14	practices to adopt a rule adjusting campaign expenditure limits for inflation. The commissioner shall conform
15	the rule to the process provided in 13-37-218.
16	
17	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Short title. [Sections 1 through 6] may be cited as the "Reasonable
20	Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1977 1997".
21	
22	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Purpose and findings. (1) In enacting [sections 1 through 6], the
23	legislature finds and declares the following:
24	(a) Montana citizens traditionally participate in their government at a remarkably high level.
25	However, spending levels prevalent in today's political campaigns alienate citizens from their government,
26	tarnish the integrity of election processes, and erode the people's willingness to continue their high level
27	of civic participation.
28	(b) (i) Campaign spending for statewide and legislative races in Montana has increased
29	dramatically.
30	(ii) Accepting the premise that campaign contributions are equivalent to spending, total

- 1 contributions to both general election candidates for governor skyrocketed from \$437,794 in 1976 to \$2,159,444 in 1992, almost a 500% increase or a 220% increase after adjusting for inflation.
 - (iii) In contested state legislative races, the average cost to win has increased at an alarming rate:

4		<u>1980</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1994</u>
5	Senate	\$5,300.48	\$8,327.93	\$13,250.25
6	House	2,610.11	4,496.88	6,066.89

- (c) (i) Unchecked increases in campaign spending undermine public confidence and trust in the electoral processes and the republican form of government. For Montanans in particular, these increases cast a dark shadow of doubt on the integrity of a citizen legislature.
- (ii) In 1994, almost 25% of the state senate candidates who won contested races spent over \$20,000, approximately four times the amount that a state senator would receive in salary for an entire legislative session.
- (iii) Campaign spending to win a state senate seat has gone as high as \$36,000, over seven times the salary of this office for a regular legislative session.
- (d) (i) Campaign spending establishes a minimum price tag for elected office and profoundly influences the outcome of elections. In Montana, as elsewhere, the candidate who raises and spends the most money is overwhelmingly favored to win.
- (ii) Between 1980 and 1996, in over 90% of Montana's contested statewide partisan races, the candidate who spent the most money won the office.
- (iii) Potential candidates, intimidated by the level of fundraising required to compete at the level of campaign spending typical in today's politics, choose not to run. Consequently, Montana voters are deprived of the diversity among candidates that is both fundamental and essential to a healthy democracy. Reasonable campaign spending limits enable more candidates to seek office, increasing the quantity, depth, and diversity of ideas presented to the public.
- (e) (i) Voters lose confidence in their elected officials when they believe that money has more influence on election outcomes than their votes do.
- 27 (ii) A majority of voters in the Rocky Mountain region believe that people contribute to political campaigns "because they hope to have more access and influence over . . . candidates".
 - (iii) Over 80% of the voters surveyed in the Rocky Mountain region agree with the statement, "Most elected officials are not really in touch with the problems of average people."



(f) (i) Montana voters, for the most part, do not use campaign contributions as a vehicle for the
participation in our democracy.

- (ii) Less than 1/3 of 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$500 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed almost 30% of the total amount contributed to all candidates in 1992.
- (iii) Less than 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$200 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed 43% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
- (iv) Less than 3% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$35 or more to any candidate for office in 1992. However, those contributions composed more than 58% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
- (v) Clearly, over 97% of Montana's voters did not participate in the 1992 electoral process by contributing as much as \$35 or more to any candidate for statewide or legislative office.
- (g) At a time when policymakers are striving to limit government spending, the unrestrained growth in campaign spending for elected government office creates suspicions about and gives rise to the appearance of corruption in our democratic processes. All attempts to root out that appearance by limiting campaign contributions have failed because of the upward spiral of increased campaign spending.
- (h) The least distorted and most instructive channels of campaign communication are inexpensive and often free. Debates, call-in programs, local interviews, door-to-door visits in legislative districts, and other forms of direct voter contact that are not dependent on money offer information in greater depth and detail. Expanded use of these low-cost communication methods, stimulated by the adoption of reasonable spending limits, benefits political discourse by increasing candidates' direct engagement with and accountability to the voters. Reasonable limits on campaign spending would assist, rather than impede, voters' access to candidates and ability to make informed choices among candidates.
 - (2) The purposes of [sections 1 through 6] are:
 - (a) to prevent corruption and the appearance of corruption in the electoral process by:
- (i) restoring public confidence and trust in the electoral process and in the ability of voters to affect this process;
- (ii) increasing the likelihood that the outcome of an election is determined by a candidate's merit, not by a candidate's fundraising ability;



2	money;
3	(iv) decreasing the likelihood that candidates will be outspent by substantial amounts, thereby
4	encouraging qualified candidates to run for office; and
5	(v) limiting the ability of wealthy candidates to use a personal fortune or a family fortune to
6	outspend opponents disproportionately;
7	(b) to protect the integrity and appearance of integrity of statewide, judicial, and legislative elected
8	officials by:
9	(i) ensuring that officeholders solicit and act upon the opinions and interests of constituents,
10	regardless of the ability of constituents to make campaign contributions;
11	(ii) protecting officeholders from campaign fundraising pressures that could influence or appear to
12	influence their official behavior; and
13	(iii) reducing the pressures on officeholders to respond to the interests of substantial campaign
14	contributors, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens who do not or cannot contribute to campaigns;
15	(c) to further the equal protection rights of all Montana citizens, as candidates and as voters, to
16	participate in the electoral process by:
17	(i) encouraging candidates to limit the skyrocketing costs of campaigns, thereby opening the
18	electoral process to candidates less able to meet those costs; and
19	(ii) promoting spending limits that, rather than limiting the dissemination of new ideas, encourage
20	a greater variety of and depth to issues presented, thereby providing all voters with a meaningful
21	opportunity to support and vote for the candidate of their choice;
22	(d) to protect the first amendment rights of all Montana citizens to be heard in the electoral process
23	and to hear information from all candidates, regardless of their access to wealth; and
24	(e) to preserve the republican form of government guaranteed in Article I of the United States
25	constitution and Article V of the Montana constitution by replacing the time and energy that Montana's
26	legislative candidates and officeholders must devote to fundraising with time and energy devoted to direct
27	voter contact and constituent service.
28	
29	NEW SECTION. Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts. (1) Except as provided in
30	subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in

(iii) reducing the ability of a handful of voters to skew election results through the contribution of

1	subsection (2).
2	(2) Aggregate expenditure limits are as follows:
3	(a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor combined:
4	(i) \$250,000 in a primary election;
5	(ii) \$750,000 in a general election;
6	(b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, and chief justice:
7	(i) \$50,000 \$40,000 in a primary election;
8	(ii) \$115,000 \$120,000 in a general election;
9	(c) for the offices of superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk
10	of the supreme court:
11	(i) \$35,000 <u>\$25,000</u> in a primary election;
12	(ii) \$65,000 \$75,000 in a general election;
13	(d) for public service commissioner:
14	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
15	(ii) \$25,000 \$45,000 \$30,000 in a general election;
16	(e) for district court judge:
17	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;
18	(ii) \$20,000 <u>\$45,000</u> \$30,000 in a general election;
19	(f) for state senator, \$20,000 total for the primary and general election; and
20	(g) for state representative, \$10,000 total for the primary and general election.
21	(3) For the purposes of this section and the enforcement provisions of [sections 1 through 6]:
22	(a) "aggregate expenditures" means the sum of all the expenditures, INCLUDING USE OF IN-KIND
23	CONTRIBUTIONS, made to influence either a state primary or a state general election by a candidate and
24	those expenditures made on the candidate's behalf by:
25	(I) the candidate's committee or committees,
26	(II) the candidate's national, state, and local parties, and;
27	(III) the candidate's immediate family; AND
28	(IV) AN INDEPENDENT COMMITTEE REFERRED TO IN 13-37-226; and
29	(b) "immediate family" means family members of the candidate or the candidate's spouse who are
30	directly related within two generations of the candidate or the candidate's spouse.



1	(4) Each campaign expenditure limitation amount applies solely and independently to either the
2	state primary or the state general election, except:
3	(A) for a state legislative candidate who is limited under subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g); AND
4	(B) THAT IF AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CANDIDATE FOR ONE OF THE OFFICES SPECIFIED IN
5	SUBSECTIONS (2)(B) THROUGH (2)(E) FACES AN OPPONENT IN A PRIMARY ELECTION, THE CAMPAIGN
6	EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS PROVIDED IN THOSE SUBSECTIONS ARE COMBINED INTO A TOTAL
7	CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION FOR BOTH PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.
8	(5) The commissioner shall multiply the limits contained in this section by the inflation factor, as
9	defined in 15-30-101, for the year in which general elections are held. The resulting figure must be rounded
10	off to the nearest \$50 increment. The commissioner shall publish the revised limits as a rule.
11	
12	NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation
13	notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter
14	to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3].
15	(2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate
16	expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
17	penalty applicable under [section 5].
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits
20	provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the
21	following fines and penalties:
22	(a) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by less than
23	the applicable percentage set forth in subsection (2), the commissioner shall impose a civil penalty of three
24	times the amount of the excess expenditure.
25	(b) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by an amount
26	that is equal to or greater than the percentage set forth in subsection (2):
27	(i) 75 days or more before the primary election or 85 days or more before the general election,
28	pursuant to 13-37-126, the candidate's name may not be printed on the ballot;
29	(ii) less than 85 days before the general election, pursuant to 13-37-127, the certificate of election



provided in 13-15-504 may not be issued and the governor shall immediately order an election to be held

no less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the time of the commissioner's directive, unless the
offending candidate is a candidate for judicial office, in which case the lack of certification must be treated
as a vacancy pursuant to Article VII, section 8, of the Montana constitution and Title 3, chapter 1, part 10.
The offending candidate may stand for neither election nor appointment.

- (2) Percentage limitations applicable to subsection (1) are as follows:
- (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor, 2%;
- (b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, chief justice, superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk of the supreme court, 3%;
- (c) for the offices of public service commissioner, district court judge, state senator, and state representative, 5%.

NEW SECTION. Section 6. Aggregate expenditure limits included in declaration of nomination. The secretary of state shall include the aggregate expenditure limits set forth in [section 3] in the declaration of nomination forms provided pursuant to 13-10-201.

Section 7. Section 13-35-107, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-35-107. Voiding election. (1) If a court finds that the violation of any provision of this title by any person probably affected the outcome of any an election, the result of that election may be held void and a special election held within in not less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the date of that finding. If the violation occurred during a primary election, the court may direct the selection of a new candidate according to the provisions of state law relating to the filling of vacancies on the general election ballot. Except as provided in subsection (2), an action to void an election shall must be commenced within 1 year of the date of the election in question.

(2) An action to void a bond election shall <u>must</u> be commenced within 60 days of the date of the election in question."

Section 8. Section 13-37-126, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-37-126. Names not to be printed on ballot. (1) The name of a candidate may not be printed on the official ballot for an election if the candidate or a political treasurer for a candidate fails to file any statement or report as required by this chapter or if the candidate is subject to the penalty pursuant to



[section	5(1) ((b)	1	[i)]	ŀ

- (2) A vacancy on an official ballot under this section may be filled in the manner provided by law, but not by the name of the same candidate.
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must, by a written statement, notify the secretary of state or the election administrator that a candidate or a candidate's treasurer has not complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a candidate's name should not be printed on the official ballot."

Section 9. Section 13-37-127, MCA, is amended to read:

- "13-37-127. Withholding of certificates of nomination or election. (1) A certificate of election shall may not be granted to any candidate until he or his the candidate or the candidate's political treasurer has filed the reports and statements that must be filed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter. No A candidate for an elective office may not assume the powers and duties of that office until he the candidate has received a certificate of election as provided by law. A certificate of election shall may only be issued by the public official responsible for issuing a certificate or commission of election.
- (2) A certificate of election may not be granted to a candidate who is subject to the penalty pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(ii)].
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must shall, by written statement, notify the public official responsible for issuing a certificate of nomination or election that a candidate or his the candidate's treasurer has complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a certificate of nomination or election may be issued."

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.

NEW SECTION. Section 11. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 AND 3 through 6] are intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 13, chapter 37, and the provisions of Title 13, chapter 37, apply to [sections 1 AND 3 through 6].



MOTION TO TAKE FROM TABLE, PRINT & PLACE ON 2ND READING

COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

1	SENATE BILL NO. 367
2	INTRODUCED BY BARTLETT, HARP, BAER, FOSTER, GRINDE, WATERMAN, HALLIGAN, JERGESON,
3	THOMAS, SWYSGOOD, JENKINS, DOHERTY, CRIPPEN, MILLER, SIMON, HIBBARD, EWER,
4	COCCHIARELLA, HURDLE
5	
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE REASONABLE SPENDING FOR RESPONSIVE
7	GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1997; SETTING MANDATORY CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR
8	STATEWIDE, DISTRICT COURT, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DISTRICT, AND LEGISLATIVE OFFICES;
9	PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES FOR ENFORCEMENT; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 13-35-107,
10	13-37-126, AND 13-37-127, MCA."
11	
12	STATEMENT OF INTENT
13	A statement of intent is required for this bill because it directs the commissioner of political
14	practices to adopt a rule adjusting campaign expenditure limits for inflation. The commissioner shall conform
15	the rule to the process provided in 13-37-218.
16	
17	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Short title. [Sections 1 through 6] may be cited as the "Reasonable
20	Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1977 1997".
21	
22	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Purpose and findings. (1) In enacting [sections 1 through 6], the
23	legislature finds and declares the following:
24	(a) Montana citizens traditionally participate in their government at a remarkably high level.
25	However, spending levels prevalent in today's political campaigns alienate citizens from their government,
26	tarnish the integrity of election processes, and erode the people's willingness to continue their high level
27	of civic participation.
28	(b) (i) Campaign spending for statewide and legislative races in Montana has increased
29	dramatically.
30	(ii) Accepting the premise that campaign contributions are equivalent to spending, total

- contributions to both general election candidates for governor skyrocketed from \$437,794 in 1976 to \$2,159,444 in 1992, almost a 500% increase or a 220% increase after adjusting for inflation.
 - (iii) In contested state legislative races, the average cost to win has increased at an alarming rate:

4		1980	<u>1992</u>	<u>1994</u>
5	Senate	\$5,300.48	\$8,327.93	\$13,250.25
6	House	2,610.11	4,496.88	6,066.89

- (c) (i) Unchecked increases in campaign spending undermine public confidence and trust in the electoral processes and the republican form of government. For Montanans in particular, these increases cast a dark shadow of doubt on the integrity of a citizen legislature.
- (ii) In 1994, almost 25% of the state senate candidates who won contested races spent over \$20,000, approximately four times the amount that a state senator would receive in salary for an entire legislative session.
- (iii) Campaign spending to win a state senate seat has gone as high as \$36,000, over seven times the salary of this office for a regular legislative session.
- (d) (i) Campaign spending establishes a minimum price tag for elected office and profoundly influences the outcome of elections. In Montana, as elsewhere, the candidate who raises and spends the most money is overwhelmingly favored to win.
- (ii) Between 1980 and 1996, in over 90% of Montana's contested statewide partisan races, the candidate who spent the most money won the office.
- (iii) Potential candidates, intimidated by the level of fundraising required to compete at the level of campaign spending typical in today's politics, choose not to run. Consequently, Montana voters are deprived of the diversity among candidates that is both fundamental and essential to a healthy democracy. Reasonable campaign spending limits enable more candidates to seek office, increasing the quantity, depth, and diversity of ideas presented to the public.
- (e) (i) Voters lose confidence in their elected officials when they believe that money has more influence on election outcomes than their votes do.
- (ii) A majority of voters in the Rocky Mountain region believe that people contribute to political campaigns "because they hope to have more access and influence over . . . candidates".
- (iii) Over 80% of the voters surveyed in the Rocky Mountain region agree with the statement, "Most elected officials are not really in touch with the problems of average people."



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1 (f) (i) Montana voters, for the most part, do not use campaign contributions as a vehicle for their participation in our democracy.
- 3 (ii) Less than 1/3 of 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$500 or more to any candidate 4 in 1992. However, those contributions composed almost 30% of the total amount contributed to all 5 candidates in 1992.
 - (iii) Less than 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$200 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed 43% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (iv) Less than 3% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$35 or more to any candidate for office in 1992. However, those contributions composed more than 58% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (v) Clearly, over 97% of Montana's voters did not participate in the 1992 electoral process by contributing as much as \$35 or more to any candidate for statewide or legislative office.
 - (g) At a time when policymakers are striving to limit government spending, the unrestrained growth in campaign spending for elected government office creates suspicions about and gives rise to the appearance of corruption in our democratic processes. All attempts to root out that appearance by limiting campaign contributions have failed because of the upward spiral of increased campaign spending.
 - (h) The least distorted and most instructive channels of campaign communication are inexpensive and often free. Debates, call-in programs, local interviews, door-to-door visits in legislative districts, and other forms of direct voter contact that are not dependent on money offer information in greater depth and detail. Expanded use of these low-cost communication methods, stimulated by the adoption of reasonable spending limits, benefits political discourse by increasing candidates' direct engagement with and accountability to the voters. Reasonable limits on campaign spending would assist, rather than impede, voters' access to candidates and ability to make informed choices among candidates.
 - (2) The purposes of [sections 1 through 6] are:
 - (a) to prevent corruption and the appearance of corruption in the electoral process by:
 - (i) restoring public confidence and trust in the electoral process and in the ability of voters to affect this process;
 - (ii) increasing the likelihood that the outcome of an election is determined by a candidate's merit, not by a candidate's fundraising ability;



ı	(iii) Leducing the ability of a handral of voters to skew election results through the contribution of
2	money;
3	(iv) decreasing the likelihood that candidates will be outspent by substantial amounts, thereby
4	encouraging qualified candidates to run for office; and
5	(v) limiting the ability of wealthy candidates to use a personal fortune or a family fortune to
6	outspend opponents disproportionately;
7	(b) to protect the integrity and appearance of integrity of statewide, judicial, and legislative elected
8	officials by:
9	(i) ensuring that officeholders solicit and act upon the opinions and interests of constituents,
10	regardless of the ability of constituents to make campaign contributions;
11	(ii) protecting officeholders from campaign fundraising pressures that could influence or appear to
12	influence their official behavior; and
13	(iii) reducing the pressures on officeholders to respond to the interests of substantial campaign
14	contributors, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens who do not or cannot contribute to campaigns;
15	(c) to further the equal protection rights of all Montana citizens, as candidates and as voters, to
16	participate in the electoral process by:
17	(i) encouraging candidates to limit the skyrocketing costs of campaigns, thereby opening the
18	electoral process to candidates less able to meet those costs; and
19	(ii) promoting spending limits that, rather than limiting the dissemination of new ideas, encourage
20	a greater variety of and depth to issues presented, thereby providing all voters with a meaningful
21	opportunity to support and vote for the candidate of their choice;
22	(d) to protect the first amendment rights of all Montana citizens to be heard in the electoral process
23	and to hear information from all candidates, regardless of their access to wealth; and
24	(e) to preserve the republican form of government guaranteed in Article I of the United States
25	constitution and Article V of the Montana constitution by replacing the time and energy that Montana's
26	legislative candidates and officeholders must devote to fundraising with time and energy devoted to direct
27	voter contact and constituent service.
28	
29	NEW SECTION. Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts. (1) Except as provided in

subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in

1 subsection (2). (2) Aggregate expenditure limits are as follows: 2 (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor combined: 3 (i) \$250,000 in a primary election; 4 (ii) \$750,000 in a general election; 5 6 (b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, and chief justice: 7 (i) \$50,000 \$40,000 in a primary election; (ii) \$115,000 \$120,000 in a general election; 8 9 (c) for the offices of superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk 10 of the supreme court: 11 (i) \$35,000 \$25,000 in a primary election; (ii) \$65,000 \$75,000 in a general election; 12 (d) for public service commissioner: 13 (i) \$15,000 in a primary election; 14 (ii) \$25,000 \$45,000 \$30,000 in a general election; 15 (e) for district court judge: 16 (i) \$15,000 in a primary election; 17 18 (ii) \$20,000 \$45,000 \$30,000 in a general election; (f) for state senator, \$20,000 total for the primary and general election; and 19 (g) for state representative, \$10,000 total for the primary and general election. 20 21 (3) For the purposes of this section and the enforcement provisions of [sections 1 through 6]: 22 (a) "aggregate expenditures" means the sum of all the expenditures, INCLUDING USE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS, made to influence either a state primary or a state general election by a candidate and 23 24 those expenditures made on the candidate's behalf by: 25 (I) the candidate's committee or committees, 26 (II) the candidate's national, state, and local parties, and; 27 (III) the candidate's immediate family; AND (IV) AN INDEPENDENT COMMITTEE REFERRED TO IN 13-37-226; and 28 (b) "immediate family" means family members of the candidate or the candidate's spouse who are 29 directly related within two generations of the candidate or the candidate's spouse. 30



1	(4) Each campaigh expenditure infiltation amount applies solely and independently to either the
2	state primary or the state general election, except:
3	(A) for a state legislative candidate who is limited under subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g); AND
4	(B) THAT IF AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CANDIDATE FOR ONE OF THE OFFICES SPECIFIED IN
5	SUBSECTIONS (2)(B) THROUGH (2)(E) FACES AN OPPONENT IN A PRIMARY ELECTION, THE CAMPAIGN
6	EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS PROVIDED IN THOSE SUBSECTIONS ARE COMBINED INTO A TOTAL
7	CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION FOR BOTH PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.
8	(5) The commissioner shall multiply the limits contained in this section by the inflation factor, as
9	defined in 15-30-101, for the year in which general elections are held. The resulting figure must be rounded
10	off to the nearest \$50 increment. The commissioner shall publish the revised limits as a rule.
11	
12	NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation
13	notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter
14	to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3].
15	(2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate
16	expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
17	penalty applicable under (section 5).
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits
20	provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the
21	following fines and penalties:
22	(a) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by less than
23	the applicable percentage set forth in subsection (2), the commissioner shall impose a civil penalty of three
24	times the amount of the excess expenditure.
25	(b) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by an amount
26	that is equal to or greater than the percentage set forth in subsection (2):
27	(i) 75 days or more before the primary election or 85 days or more before the general election,
28	pursuant to 13-37-126, the candidate's name may not be printed on the ballot;

30

provided in 13-15-504 may not be issued and the governor shall immediately order an election to be held

(ii) less than 85 days before the general election, pursuant to 13-37-127, the certificate of election

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- no less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the time of the commissioner's directive, unless the 2 offending candidate is a candidate for judicial office, in which case the lack of certification must be treated 3 as a vacancy pursuant to Article VII, section 8, of the Montana constitution and Title 3, chapter 1, part 10. 4
 - (2) Percentage limitations applicable to subsection (1) are as follows:
 - (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor, 2%;

The offending candidate may stand for neither election nor appointment.

- (b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, chief justice, superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk of the supreme court, 3%;
- (c) for the offices of public service commissioner, district court judge, state senator, and state representative, 5%.

NEW SECTION. Section 6. Aggregate expenditure limits included in declaration of nomination. The secretary of state shall include the aggregate expenditure limits set forth in [section 3] in the declaration of nomination forms provided pursuant to 13-10-201.

Section 7. Section 13-35-107, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-35-107. Voiding election. (1) If a court finds that the violation of any provision of this title by any person probably affected the outcome of any an election, the result of that election may be held void and a special election held within in not less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the date of that finding. If the violation occurred during a primary election, the court may direct the selection of a new candidate according to the provisions of state law relating to the filling of vacancies on the general election ballot. Except as provided in subsection (2), an action to void an election shall must be commenced within 1 year of the date of the election in question.

(2) An action to void a bond election shall must be commenced within 60 days of the date of the election in question."

Section 8. Section 13-37-126, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-37-126. Names not to be printed on ballot. (1) The name of a candidate may not be printed on the official ballot for an election if the candidate or a political treasurer for a candidate fails to file any statement or report as required by this chapter or if the candidate is subject to the penalty pursuant to



[section 5(1)(b)(i)].

- (2) A vacancy on an official ballot under this section may be filled in the manner provided by law, but not by the name of the same candidate.
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must, by a written statement, notify the secretary of state or the election administrator that a candidate or a candidate's treasurer has not complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a candidate's name should not be printed on the official ballot."

Section 9. Section 13-37-127, MCA, is amended to read:

- may not be granted to any candidate until he or his the candidate or the candidate's political treasurer has filed the reports and statements that must be filed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter. No A candidate for an elective office may not assume the powers and duties of that office until he the candidate has received a certificate of election as provided by law. A certificate of election shall may only be issued by the public official responsible for issuing a certificate or commission of election.
- (2) A certificate of election may not be granted to a candidate who is subject to the penalty pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(ii)].
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must shall, by written statement, notify the public official responsible for issuing a certificate of nomination or election that a candidate or his the candidate's treasurer has complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a certificate of nomination or election may be issued."

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.

NEW SECTION. Section 11. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 AND 3 through 6] are intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 13, chapter 37, and the provisions of Title 13, chapter 37, apply to [sections 1 AND 3 through 6].



1	SENATE BILL NO. 367
2	INTRODUCED BY BARTLETT, HARP, BAER, FOSTER, GRINDE, WATERMAN, HALLIGAN, JERGESON,
3	THOMAS, SWYSGOOD, JENKINS, DOHERTY, CRIPPEN, MILLER, SIMON, HIBBARD, EWER,
4	COCCHIARELLA, HURDLE
5	
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE REASONABLE SPENDING FOR RESPONSIVE
7	GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1997; SETTING MANDATORY CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITS FOR
8	STATEWIDE, DISTRICT COURT, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DISTRICT, AND LEGISLATIVE OFFICES;
9	PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES FOR ENFORCEMENT; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 13-35-107,
10	13-37-126, AND 13-37-127, MCA."
11	
12	STATEMENT OF INTENT
13	A statement of intent is required for this bill because it directs the commissioner of political
14	practices to adopt a rule adjusting campaign expenditure limits for inflation. The commissioner shall conform
15	the rule to the process provided in 13-37-218.
16	
17	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
18	
19	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Short title. [Sections 1 through 6] may be cited as the "Reasonable
20	Spending for Responsive Government Act of 1977 1997".
21	
22	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Purpose and findings. (1) In enacting [sections 1 through 6], the
23	legislature finds and declares the following:
24	(a) Montana citizens traditionally participate in their government at a remarkably high level.
25	However, spending levels prevalent in today's political campaigns MAY alienate citizens from their
26	government, MAY tarnish the integrity of election processes, and MAY EVENTUALLY erode the people's
27	willingness to continue their high level of civic participation.
28	(b) (i) Campaign spending for statewide and legislative races in Montana has increased
29	dramatically.
30	(ii) Accepting the premise that campaign contributions are equivalent to spending, total

- contributions to both general election candidates for governor skyrocketed from \$437,794 in 1976 to \$2,159,444 in 1992, almost a 500% increase or a 220% increase after adjusting for inflation.
 - (iii) In contested state legislative races, the average cost to win has increased at an alarming rate:

4		<u>1980</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1994</u>
5	Senate	\$5,300.48	\$8,327.93	\$13,250.25
6	House	2,610.11	4,496.88	6,066.89

- (c) (i) Unchecked increases in campaign spending undermine public confidence and trust in the electoral processes and the republican form of government. For Montanans in particular, these increases cast a dark shadow of doubt on the integrity of a citizen legislature.
- (ii) In 1994, almost 25% of the state senate candidates who won contested races spent over \$20,000, approximately four times the amount that a state senator would receive in salary for an entire legislative session.
- (iii) Campaign spending to win a state senate seat has gone as high as \$36,000, over seven times the salary of this office for a regular legislative session.
- (d) (i) Campaign spending establishes a minimum price tag for elected office and profoundly influences the outcome of elections. In Montana, as elsewhere, the candidate who raises and spends the most money is overwhelmingly favored to win.
- (ii) Between 1980 and 1996, in over 90% of Montana's contested statewide partisan races, the candidate who spent the most money won the office.
- (iii) Potential candidates, intimidated by the level of fundraising required to compete at the level of campaign spending typical in today's politics, choose not to run. Consequently, Montana voters are deprived of the diversity among candidates that is both fundamental and essential to a healthy democracy. Reasonable campaign spending limits enable more candidates to seek office, increasing the quantity, depth, and diversity of ideas presented to the public.
- (e) (i) Voters lose confidence in their elected officials when they believe that money has more influence on election outcomes than their votes do.
- (ii) A majority of voters in the Rocky Mountain region believe that people contribute to political campaigns "because they hope to have more access and influence over . . . candidates".
- (iii) Over 80% of the voters surveyed in the Rocky Mountain region agree with the statement, "Most elected officials are not really in touch with the problems of average people."



- (f) (i) Montana voters, for the most part, do not use campaign contributions as a vehicle for their participation in our democracy.
 - (ii) Less than 1/3 of 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$500 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed almost 30% of the total amount contributed to all candidates in 1992.
 - (iii) Less than 1% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$200 or more to any candidate in 1992. However, those contributions composed 43% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (iv) Less than 3% of Montana's registered voters contributed \$35 or more to any candidate for office in 1992. However, those contributions composed more than 58% of the total amount contributed to candidates in 1992.
 - (v) Clearly, over 97% of Montana's voters did not participate in the 1992 electoral process by contributing as much as \$35 or more to any candidate for statewide or legislative office.
 - (g) At a time when policymakers are striving to limit government spending, the unrestrained growth in campaign spending for elected government office creates suspicions about and gives rise to the appearance of corruption in our democratic processes. All attempts to root out that appearance by limiting campaign contributions have failed because of the upward spiral of increased campaign spending.
 - (h) The least distorted and most instructive channels of campaign communication are inexpensive and often free. Debates, call-in programs, local interviews, door-to-door visits in legislative districts, and other forms of direct voter contact that are not dependent on money offer information in greater depth and detail. Expanded use of these low-cost communication methods, stimulated by the adoption of reasonable spending limits, benefits political discourse by increasing candidates' direct engagement with and accountability to the voters. Reasonable limits on campaign spending would assist, rather than impede, voters' access to candidates and ability to make informed choices among candidates.
 - (2) The purposes of [sections 1 through 6] are:
 - (a) to prevent corruption and the appearance of corruption in the electoral process by:
- (i) restoring public confidence and trust in the electoral process and in the ability of voters to affect this process;
 - (ii) increasing the likelihood that the outcome of an election is determined by a candidate's merit, not by a candidate's fundraising ability;



1	(iii) reducing the ability of a handful of voters to skew election results through the contribution of
2	money;
3	(iv) decreasing the likelihood that candidates will be outspent by substantial amounts, thereby
4	encouraging qualified candidates to run for office; and
5	(v) limiting the ability of wealthy candidates to use a personal fortune or a family fortune to
6	outspend opponents disproportionately;
7	(b) to protect the integrity and appearance of integrity of statewide, judicial, and legislative elected
8	officials by:
9	(i) ensuring that officeholders solicit and act upon the opinions and interests of constituents,
10	regardless of the ability of constituents to make campaign contributions;
11	(ii) protecting officeholders from campaign fundraising pressures that could influence or appear to
12	influence their official behavior; and
13	(iii) reducing the pressures on officeholders to respond to the interests of substantial campaign
14	contributors, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens who do not or cannot contribute to campaigns;
15	(c) to further the equal protection rights of all Montana citizens, as candidates and as voters, to
16	participate in the electoral process by:
17	(i) encouraging candidates to limit the skyrocketing costs of campaigns, thereby opening the
18	electoral process to candidates less able to meet those costs; and
19	(ii) promoting spending limits that, rather than limiting the dissemination of new ideas, encourage
20	a greater variety of and depth to issues presented, thereby providing all voters with a meaningful
21	opportunity to support and vote for the candidate of their choice;
22	(d) to protect the first amendment rights of all Montana citizens to be heard in the electoral process
23	and to hear information from all candidates, regardless of their access to wealth; and
24	(e) to preserve the republican form of government guaranteed in Article I ARTICLE IV, SECTION
25	4, of the United States constitution and Article V of the Montana constitution by replacing the time and
26	energy that Montana's legislative candidates and officeholders must devote to fundraising with time and
27	energy devoted to direct voter contact and constituent service.
28	

Legislative Services Division

29

30

in subsection (5), a candidate may not make aggregate expenditures exceeding the limits prescribed in

NEW SECTION. Section 3. Aggregate expenditure limitation amounts. (1) (A) Except as provided

1	subsection (2).	
2	(B) INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES MAY NOT EXCEED \$500 BY A POLITICAL COMMITTEE O	N
3	A PRIMARY OR GENERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN OR \$250 BY AN INDIVIDUAL PERSON ON A PRIMAR	<u>Y</u>
4	OR GENERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, INCLUDING THE VALUE OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS USED.	
5	(2) Aggregate expenditure limits are as follows:	
6	(a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor combined:	
7	(i) \$250,000 in a primary election;	
8	(ii) \$750,000 in a general election;	
9	(b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, and chief justice:	
10	(i) \$50,000 \$40,000 in a primary election;	
11	(ii) \$115,000 \$120,000 in a general election;	ı
12	(c) for the offices of superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and cle	rk
13	of the supreme court:	
14	(i) \$35,000 \$25,000 in a primary election;)
15	(ii) \$65,000 \$75,000 in a general election;	
16	(d) for public service commissioner:	
17	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;	
18	(ii) \$25,000 <u>\$45,000</u> <u>\$30,000</u> in a general election;	
19	(e) for district court judge:	
20	(i) \$15,000 in a primary election;	
21	(ii) \$20,000 <u>\$45,000</u> \$30,000 in a general election;	
22	(f) for state senator, \$20,000 total for the primary and general election; and	
23	(g) for state representative, \$10,000 total for the primary and general election.	
24	(3) For the purposes of this section and the enforcement provisions of [sections 1 through 6]:	
25	(a) "aggregate expenditures" means the sum of all the expenditures, INCLUDING USE OF IN-KIN	<u>ID</u>
26	CONTRIBUTIONS, made to influence either a state primary or a state general election by a candidate ar	١d
27	those expenditures made on the candidate's behalf by:	
28	(I) the candidate's committee or committees,:	
29	(II) the candidate's national, state, and local parties, and; AND	
30	(III) the candidate's immediate family; AND	

(IV) AN INDEPENDENT COMMITTEE REFERRED TO IN 13-37-226; and
(b) "immediate family" means family members of the candidate or the candidate's spouse who are
directly related within two generations of the candidate or the candidate's spouse.
(4) Each campaign expenditure limitation amount applies solely and independently to either the
state primary or the state general election, except±
(A) for a state legislative candidate who is limited under subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g): AND
(B) THAT IF AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A CANDIDATE FOR ONE OF THE OFFICES SPECIFIED IN
SUBSECTIONS (2)(B) THROUGH (2)(E) FACES AN OPPONENT IN A PRIMARY ELECTION, THE CAMPAIGN
EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS PROVIDED IN THOSE SUBSECTIONS ARE COMBINED INTO A TOTAL
CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION FOR BOTH PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.
(5) (A) The commissioner shall multiply the limits contained in this section by the inflation factor,
as defined in 15-30-101, for the year in which general elections are held. The resulting figure must be
rounded off to the nearest \$50 increment. The commissioner shall publish the revised limits as a rule.
(B) AN AMOUNT PRESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (2) THAT IS NOT SPENT IN A PRIMARY ELECTION
MAY BE SPENT IN THE GENERAL ELECTION IN ADDITION TO THE AMOUNT PRESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION
(2) FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION.
(2) FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION.
(2) FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION. NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation
(2) FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION. NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter
(2) FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION. NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3].
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the penalty applicable under [section 5].
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the penalty applicable under [section 5]. NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the penalty applicable under [section 5]. NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the
NEW SECTION. Section 4. Examination of expenditure reports determination of violation notice. (1) The commissioner shall examine expenditure reports required pursuant to part 2 of this chapter to determine compliance with the aggregate expenditure limits prescribed in [section 3]. (2) If the commissioner determines that a candidate has exceeded the applicable aggregate expenditure limits, the commissioner shall send to the candidate a notice of the determination and of the penalty applicable under [section 5]. NEW SECTION. Section 5. Penalty. (1) A candidate who exceeds the aggregate expenditure limits provided in [section 3] in running for office in either a primary or general election, or both, is subject to the following fines and penalties:

) If a candidate's aggregate expenditures exceed the limits provided in [section 3] by an amou	nt
that is	ual to or greater than the percentage set forth in subsection (2):	

- (i) 75 days or more before the primary election or 85 days or more before the general election, pursuant to 13-37-126, the candidate's name may not be printed on the ballot;
- (ii) less than 85 days before the géneral election, pursuant to 13-37-127, the certificate of election provided in 13-15-504 may not be issued and the governor shall immediately order an election to be held no less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the time of the commissioner's directive, unless the offending candidate is a candidate for judicial office, in which case the lack of certification must be treated as a vacancy pursuant to Article VII, section 8, of the Montana constitution and Title 3, chapter 1, part 10. The offending candidate may stand for neither election nor appointment.
 - (2) Percentage limitations applicable to subsection (1) are as follows:
 - (a) for the offices of governor and lieutenant governor, 2%;
- (b) for the offices of attorney general, supreme court justice, chief justice, superintendent of public instruction, state auditor, secretary of state, and clerk of the supreme court, 3%;
- (c) for the offices of public service commissioner, district court judge, state senator, and state representative, 5%.

NEW SECTION. Section 6. Aggregate expenditure limits included in declaration of nomination. The secretary of state shall include the aggregate expenditure limits set forth in [section 3] in the declaration of nomination forms provided pursuant to 13-10-201.

Section 7. Section 13-35-107, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-35-107. Voiding election. (1) If a court finds that the violation of any provision of this title by any person probably affected the outcome of any an election, the result of that election may be held void and a special election held within in not less than 75 days or more than 90 days from the date of that finding. If the violation occurred during a primary election, the court may direct the selection of a new candidate according to the provisions of state law relating to the filling of vacancies on the general election ballot. Except as provided in subsection (2), an action to void an election shall must be commenced within 1 year of the date of the election in question.

- 7 -

(2) An action to void a bond election shall must be commenced within 60 days of the date of the



election in question."

Section 8. Section 13-37-126, MCA, is amended to read:

"13-37-126. Names not to be printed on ballot. (1) The name of a candidate may not be printed on the official ballot for an election if the candidate or a political treasurer for a candidate fails to file any statement or report as required by this chapter or if the candidate is subject to the penalty pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(i)].

- (2) A vacancy on an official ballot under this section may be filled in the manner provided by law, but not by the name of the same candidate.
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must, by a written statement, notify the secretary of state or the election administrator that a candidate or a candidate's treasurer has not complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a candidate's name should not be printed on the official ballot."

Section 9. Section 13-37-127, MCA, is amended to read:

may not be granted to any candidate until he or his the candidate or the candidate's political treasurer has filed the reports and statements that must be filed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter. No A candidate for an elective office may not assume the powers and duties of that office until he the candidate has received a certificate of election as provided by law. A certificate of election shall may only be issued by the public official responsible for issuing a certificate or commission of election.

- (2) A certificate of election may not be granted to a candidate who is subject to the penalty pursuant to [section 5(1)(b)(ii)].
- (3) In carrying out the mandate of this section, the commissioner must shall, by written statement, notify the public official responsible for issuing a certificate of nomination or election that a candidate or his the candidate's treasurer has complied with the provisions of this chapter, as described in subsection (1), and that a certificate of nomination or election may be issued."

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its



applications,	the	part	remains	in	effect	in	all	valid	applications	that	are	severable	from	the	invalid
applications.															

4

5

6

1

2

NEW SECTION. Section 11. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 AND 3 through 6] are intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 13, chapter 37, and the provisions of Title 13, chapter 37, apply to [sections 1 AND 3 through 6].

7 -END-

