

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

SB BILL NO. 212

INTRODUCED BY Scott Matheson

Madame Clerk of the Court Holden

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING A JURY VERDICT FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO BE UNANIMOUS AS TO LIABILITY AND AMOUNT; AND AMENDING SECTION 27-1-221, MCA."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:

"27-1-221. Punitive damages -- liability -- proof -- award. (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220 and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty of actual fraud or actual malice.

(2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if ~~he~~ the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:

(a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury to the plaintiff; or

(b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.

(3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if ~~he~~ the defendant:

(a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or

(b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury.

(4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely upon the representation of the defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.

(5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.

(6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.

A jury verdict determining that a defendant is liable for punitive damages must be unanimous as to liability

1 and amount.

2 (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not
3 admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns
4 a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be
5 determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as
6 provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to
7 be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.

8 (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, ~~he~~ the judge shall clearly state ~~his~~
9 the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration
10 of each of the following matters:

11 (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;

12 (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;

13 (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;

14 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;

15 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;

16 (vi) the defendant's net worth;

17 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon the same
18 wrongful act;

19 (viii) potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon the same wrongful act;
20 and

21 (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating,
22 punitive damages.

23 (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the
24 matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive
25 damages should be increased or decreased, ~~he~~ the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state ~~his~~ the
26 reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury
27 in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in
28 subsection (7)(b).

29 (8) ~~Nothing in this~~ This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure
30 governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."

-END-

1 SENATE BILL NO. 212

2 INTRODUCED BY MCNUTT, MAHLUM, DEPRATU, HOLDEN

3
4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING A JURY VERDICT DETERMINING A CLAIM FOR
5 PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO BE UNANIMOUS AS TO LIABILITY AND AMOUNT; AND AMENDING SECTION
6 27-1-221, MCA."

7
8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

9
10 **Section 1.** Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:

11 **"27-1-221. Punitive damages -- liability -- proof -- award.** (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220
12 and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty
13 of actual fraud or actual malice.

14 (2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if ~~he~~ the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally
15 disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:

16 (a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury
17 to the plaintiff; or

18 (b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.

19 (3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if ~~he~~ the defendant:

20 (a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or

21 (b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights
22 or otherwise causing injury.

23 (4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely upon the representation of the
24 defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title
25 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.

26 (5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing
27 evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt
28 about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of
29 evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.

30 (6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.

1 ~~A jury verdict determining that a defendant is liable~~ A CLAIM for punitive damages must be unanimous as
 2 to liability and amount.

3 (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not
 4 admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns
 5 a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be
 6 determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as
 7 provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to
 8 be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.

9 (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, ~~he~~ the judge shall clearly state ~~his~~
 10 the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration
 11 of each of the following matters:

- 12 (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 13 (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 14 (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;
 15 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;
 16 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;
 17 (vi) the defendant's net worth;
 18 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon the same
 19 wrongful act;
 20 (viii) potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon the same wrongful act;
 21 and
 22 (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating,
 23 punitive damages.

24 (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the
 25 matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive
 26 damages should be increased or decreased, ~~he~~ the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state ~~his~~ the
 27 reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury
 28 in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in
 29 subsection (7)(b).

30 (8) ~~Nothing in this~~ This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure

1 governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."

2 -END-

SENATE BILL NO. 212

INTRODUCED BY MCNUTT, MAHLUM, DEPRATU, HOLDEN

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING A JURY VERDICT DETERMINING A CLAIM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO BE UNANIMOUS AS TO LIABILITY AND AMOUNT; AND AMENDING SECTION 27-1-221, MCA."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:

"27-1-221. Punitive damages -- liability -- proof -- award. (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220 and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty of actual fraud or actual malice.

(2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if ~~he~~ the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:

(a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury to the plaintiff; or

(b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.

(3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if ~~he~~ the defendant:

(a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or

(b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury.

(4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely upon the representation of the defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.

(5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.

(6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.

1 ~~A jury verdict determining that a defendant is liable~~ A CLAIM for punitive damages must be unanimous as
2 to liability and amount.

3 (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not
4 admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns
5 a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be
6 determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as
7 provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to
8 be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.

9 (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, ~~he~~ the judge shall clearly state ~~his~~
10 the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration
11 of each of the following matters:

- 12 (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;
13 (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;
14 (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;
15 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;
16 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;
17 (vi) the defendant's net worth;
18 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon the same
19 wrongful act;
20 (viii) potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon the same wrongful act;
21 and
22 (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating,
23 punitive damages.

24 (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the
25 matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive
26 damages should be increased or decreased, ~~he~~ the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state ~~his~~ the
27 reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury
28 in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in
29 subsection (7)(b).

30 (8) ~~Nothing in this~~ This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure

1 governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."

2 -END-

SENATE BILL NO. 212

INTRODUCED BY MCNUTT, MAHLUM, DEPRATU, HOLDEN

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "~~AN ACT REQUIRING A JURY VERDICT DETERMINING A CLAIM FOR~~
AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO BE UNANIMOUS AS TO LIABILITY AND AMOUNT; AND AMENDING
SECTION 27-1-221, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY
DATE."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:

"27-1-221. Punitive damages -- liability -- proof -- award. (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220 and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty of actual fraud or actual malice.

(2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if ~~he~~ the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:

(a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury to the plaintiff; or

(b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.

(3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if ~~he~~ the defendant:

(a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or

(b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury.

(4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely upon the representation of the defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.

(5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.

1 (6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.
 2 ~~A jury verdict determining that a defendant is liable A CLAIM for AN AWARD OF punitive damages must~~
 3 ~~be unanimous as to liability and amount.~~

4 (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not
 5 admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns
 6 a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be
 7 determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as
 8 provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to
 9 be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.

10 (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, ~~he~~ the judge shall clearly state ~~his~~
 11 the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration
 12 of each of the following matters:

- 13 (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 14 (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 15 (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;
 16 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;
 17 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;
 18 (vi) the defendant's net worth;
 19 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon the same
 20 wrongful act;
 21 (viii) potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon the same wrongful act;
 22 and
 23 (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating,
 24 punitive damages.

25 (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the
 26 matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive
 27 damages should be increased or decreased, ~~he~~ the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state ~~his~~ the
 28 reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury
 29 in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in
 30 subsection (7)(b).

1 (8) ~~Nothing in this~~ This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure
2 governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."
3

4 NEW SECTION. SECTION 2. APPLICABILITY. [THIS ACT] APPLIES TO CAUSES OF ACTION
5 THAT ARISE AFTER [THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ACT].
6

7 NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. EFFECTIVE DATE. [THIS ACT] IS EFFECTIVE ON PASSAGE AND
8 APPROVAL.
9

-END-

1 SENATE BILL NO. 212

2 INTRODUCED BY MCNUTT, MAHLUM, DEPRATU, HOLDEN

3
4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING A JURY ~~VERDICT DETERMINING A CLAIM FOR~~
5 AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO BE UNANIMOUS AS TO LIABILITY AND LIABILITY AND AMOUNT;
6 AND AMENDING SECTION 27-1-221, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN
7 APPLICABILITY DATE."

8
9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

10
11 **Section 1.** Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:

12 **"27-1-221. Punitive damages -- liability -- proof -- award.** (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220
13 and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty
14 of actual fraud or actual malice.

15 (2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if ~~he~~ the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally
16 disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:

17 (a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury
18 to the plaintiff; or

19 (b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.

20 (3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if ~~he~~ the defendant:

21 (a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or

22 (b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights
23 or otherwise causing injury.

24 (4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely upon the representation of the
25 defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title
26 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.

27 (5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing
28 evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt
29 about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of
30 evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.

1 (6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.
 2 ~~A jury verdict determining that a defendant is liable A CLAIM for AN AWARD OF punitive damages must~~
 3 ~~be unanimous as to liability and LIABILITY AND amount.~~

4 (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not
 5 admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns
 6 a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be
 7 determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as
 8 provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to
 9 be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.

10 (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, ~~he~~ the judge shall clearly state ~~his~~
 11 the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration
 12 of each of the following matters:

- 13 (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;
- 14 (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;
- 15 (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;
- 16 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;
- 17 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;
- 18 (vi) the defendant's net worth;
- 19 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon the same
 20 wrongful act;
- 21 (viii) potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon the same wrongful act;
- 22 and
- 23 (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating,
 24 punitive damages.

25 (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the
 26 matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive
 27 damages should be increased or decreased, ~~he~~ the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state ~~his~~ the
 28 reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury
 29 in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in
 30 subsection (7)(b).

1 (8) ~~Nothing in this~~ This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure
2 governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."
3

4 NEW SECTION. SECTION 2. APPLICABILITY. [THIS ACT] APPLIES TO CAUSES OF ACTION
5 THAT ARISE AFTER [THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ACT].
6

7 NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. EFFECTIVE DATE. [THIS ACT] IS EFFECTIVE ON PASSAGE AND
8 APPROVAL.
9

-END-