1	INTRODUCED BY BUSINESS BILL NO. 145
2	INTRODUCED BY BUAL ON
3	NALIMOROGED BY
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CLARIFYING THE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION PROVISION FOR
5	FORMER PROSECUTIONS IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION; AMENDING SECTION 46-11-504, MCA; AND
6	PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE."
7	THOUSING AN INVINEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN AFFEICABLETT DATE.
8	WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has suggested in <u>State v. Pierce</u> , 199 Mont. 57 (1982),
9	State v. Sword, 229 Mont. 370 (1987), and State v. Tadewaldt, 53 St. Rep. 635 (1996), that the
10	concurrent jurisdiction provisions of section 46-11-504, MCA, be clarified.
11	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
12 13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
	Costing 1 Costing 46 11 504 MCA is amounted to read.
14	Section 1. Section 46-11-504, MCA, is amended to read:
15	"46-11-504. Former prosecution in another jurisdiction. When conduct constitutes an offense
16	within the concurrent jurisdiction of this state and of the United States or another state or of two courts
17	of separate, overlapping, or concurrent jurisdiction in this state, a prosecution in any other jurisdiction is
18	a bar to a subsequent prosecution in this state under the same circumstances barring further prosecution
19	in this state if:
20	(1) the first prosecution resulted in an acquittal or in a conviction and the subsequent prosecution
21	is based on an offense arising out of the same transaction; or
22	(2) the former prosecution was terminated, after the charge had been filed, by an acquittal or by
23	a final order or judgment for the defendant that has not been set aside, reversed, or vacated and the
24	acquittal, final order, or judgment necessarily required a determination inconsistent with a fact that must
25	be established for conviction of the offense for which the defendant is subsequently prosecuted."
26	
27	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Applicability. [Section 1] applies to offenses occurring on or after [the
28	effective date of this act].
20	

Legislative Services Division

30

-END-

NEW SECTION. Section 3. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

APPROVED BY COM ON JUDICIARY

1	SENATE BILL NO. 145
2	INTRODUCED BY BISHOP
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CLARIFYING THE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION PROVISION FOR
5	BAR AGAINST A SUBSEQUENT PROSECUTION AFTER A FORMER PROSECUTIONS PROSECUTION IN
6	ANOTHER JURISDICTION; AMENDING SECTION 46-11-504, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE
7	EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE."
8	
9	WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has suggested in State v. Pierce, 199 Mont. 57 (1982),
10	State v. Sword, 229 Mont. 370 (1987), and State v. Tadewaldt, 53 St. Rep. 635 (1996), that the
11	concurrent jurisdiction provisions of section 46-11-504, MCA, be clarified.
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 46-11-504, MCA, is amended to read:
16	"46-11-504. Former prosecution in another jurisdiction. When conduct constitutes an offense
17	within the concurrent jurisdiction of this ANY state and of the United States or another state OR FEDERAL
18	COURT or of two courts of separate, overlapping, or concurrent jurisdiction in this state, a prosecution in
19	any ether jurisdiction is a bar to a subsequent prosecution in this state under the same eireumstances
20	barring further presecution in this state if:
21	(1) the first prosecution resulted in an acquittal or in a conviction and the subsequent prosecution
22	is based on an offense arising out of the same transaction; or
23	(2) the former prosecution was terminated, after the charge had been filed, by an acquittal or by
24	a final order or judgment for the defendant that has not been set aside, reversed, or vacated and the
25	acquittal, final order, or judgment necessarily required a determination inconsistent with a fact that must
26	be established for conviction of the offense for which the defendant is subsequently prosecuted."
27	
28	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Applicability. [Section 1] applies to offenses occurring on or after [the
29	effective date of this act].
30	

1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

2 -END-

1	SENATE BILL NO. 145
2	INTRODUCED BY BISHOP
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CLARIFYING THE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION PROVISION FOR
5	BAR AGAINST A SUBSEQUENT PROSECUTION AFTER A FORMER PROSECUTIONS PROSECUTION IN
6	ANOTHER JURISDICTION; AMENDING SECTION 46-11-504, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE
7	EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE."
8	
9	WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has suggested in State v. Pierce, 199 Mont. 57 (1982),
10	State v. Sword, 229 Mont. 370 (1987), and State v. Tadewaldt, 53 St. Rep. 635 (1996), that the
11	concurrent jurisdiction provisions of section 46-11-504, MCA, be clarified.
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 46-11-504, MCA, is amended to read:
16	"46-11-504. Former prosecution in another jurisdiction. When conduct constitutes an offense
17	within the ennourrent jurisdiction of this ANY state and of the United States or another state OR FEDERAL
18	COURT or of two courts of separate, everlapping, or concurrent jurisdiction in this state, a prosecution in
19	any ether jurisdiction is a bar to a subsequent prosecution in this state under the same circumstances
20	barring further prosecution in this state if:
21	(1) the first prosecution resulted in an acquittal or in a conviction and the subsequent prosecution
22	is based on an offense arising out of the same transaction; or
23	(2) the former prosecution was terminated, after the charge had been filed, by an acquittal or by
24	a final order or judgment for the defendant that has not been set aside, reversed, or vacated and the
25	acquittal, final order, or judgment necessarily required a determination inconsistent with a fact that must
26	be established for conviction of the offense for which the defendant is subsequently prosecuted."
27	
28	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Applicability. [Section 1] applies to offenses occurring on or after [the
29	effective date of this act).



30

1 NEW SECTION. Section 3. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

2 · -END-



1	SENATE BILL NO. 145
2	INTRODUCED BY BISHOP
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CLARIFYING THE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION PROVISION FOR
5	BAR AGAINST A SUBSEQUENT PROSECUTION AFTER A FORMER PROSECUTIONS PROSECUTION IN
6	ANOTHER JURISDICTION; AMENDING SECTION 46-11-504, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE
7	EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE."
8	
9	WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has suggested in State v. Pierce, 199 Mont. 57 (1982),
10	State v. Sword, 229 Mont. 370 (1987), and State v. Tadewaldt, 53 St. Rep. 635 (1996), that the
11	concurrent jurisdiction provisions of section 46-11-504, MCA, be clarified.
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 46-11-504, MCA, is amended to read:
16	"46-11-504. Former prosecution in another jurisdiction. When conduct constitutes an offense
17	within the concurrent jurisdiction of this ANY state and of the United States or another state OR FEDERAL
18	COURT or of two courts of separate, overlapping, or concurrent jurisdiction in this state, a prosecution in
19	any ether jurisdiction is a bar to a subsequent prosecution in this state under the same eircumstances
20	barring further prosecution in this state if:
21	(1) the first prosecution resulted in an acquittal or in a conviction and the subsequent prosecution
22	is based on an offense arising out of the same transaction; or
23	(2) the former prosecution was terminated, after the charge had been filed, by an acquittal or by
24	a final order or judgment for the defendant that has not been set aside, reversed, or vacated and the
25	acquittal, final order, or judgment necessarily required a determination inconsistent with a fact that must
26	be established for conviction of the offense for which the defendant is subsequently prosecuted."
27	
28	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Applicability. [Section 1] applies to offenses occurring on or after [the
29	effective date of this act].
30	

1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

2 -END-



1	SENATE BILL NO. 145
2	INTRODUCED BY BISHOP
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CLARIFYING THE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION PROVISION FOR
5	BAR AGAINST A SUBSEQUENT PROSECUTION AFTER A FORMER PROSECUTIONS PROSECUTION IN
6	ANOTHER JURISDICTION; AMENDING SECTION 46-11-504, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE
7	EFFECTIVE DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE."
8	
9	WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has suggested in State v. Pierce, 199 Mont. 57 (1982),
10	State v. Sword, 229 Mont. 370 (1987), and State v. Tadewaldt, 53 St. Rep. 635 (1996), that the
11	concurrent jurisdiction provisions of section 46-11-504, MCA, be clarified.
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 46-11-504, MCA, is amended to read:
16	"46-11-504. Former prosecution in another jurisdiction. When conduct constitutes an offense
17	within the enneurrent jurisdiction of this ANY state and of the United States or another state OR FEDERAL
18	COURT or of two courts of separate, everlapping, or concurrent jurisdiction in this state, a prosecution in
19	any other jurisdiction is a bar to a subsequent prosecution in this state under the same circumstances
20	barring further procedution in this state if:
21	(1) the first prosecution resulted in an acquittal or in a conviction and the subsequent prosecution
22	is based on an offense arising out of the same transaction; or
23	(2) the former prosecution was terminated, after the charge had been filed, by an acquittal or by
24	a final order or judgment for the defendant that has not been set aside, reversed, or vacated and the
25	acquittal, final order, or judgment necessarily required a determination inconsistent with a fact that must
26	be established for conviction of the offense for which the defendant is subsequently prosecuted."
27	
28	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Applicability. [Section 1] applies to offenses occurring on or after [the
29	effective date of this act).



30

REFERENCE BILL

2

1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

-END-

