1	SENATE BILL NO. 348		
2	INTRODUCED BY GAISTICK SENATE BILL NO. 348 Maralo		
3	Rehbein		
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT CAPPING THE LEVEL OF GROSS PAYROLL UPON WHICH THE		
5	Jonate WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION FUND ASSESSMENT CAN BE MADE FOR PLAN NO. 1		
6	EMPLOYERS; RAISING THE MINIMUM ASSESSMENT TO \$1,500; CAPPING THE ADMINISTRATIVE		
7	ASSESSMENT FOR PLAN NO. 1 EMPLOYERS; LIMITING THE ANNUAL INCREASE IN ASSESSMENTS FOR		
8	PLAN NO. 1 EMPLOYERS AND PLAN NO. 2 INSURERS; PROVIDING THAT ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN		
9	ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS AND ASSESSMENTS BE APPROPRIATED BY THE LEGISLATURE; AND		
10	AMENDING SECTION 39-71-201, MCA."		
11			
12	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:		
13			
14	Section 1. Section 39-71-201, MCA, is amended to read:		
15	"39-71-201. Administration fund. (1) A workers' compensation administration fund is established		
16	out of which all the costs of administering the Workers' Compensation and Occupational Disease Acts and		
17	the various occupational safety acts that the department must administer administers, with the exception		
18	of the subsequent injury fund, as provided for in 39-71-907, and the uninsured employers' fund, are to be		
19	paid upon lawful appropriation. The following money collected by the department must be deposited in the		
20	state treasury to the credit of the workers' compensation administrative fund and must be used for the		
21	administrative expenses of the department:		
22	(a) all fees and penalties provided in 39-71-205 and 39-71-304;		
23	(b) all fees paid for inspection of boilers and issuance of licenses to operating engineers as required		
24	by law;		
25	(c) all fees paid from an assessment on each plan No. 1 employer, <u>on each</u> plan No. 2 insurer, and		
26	on plan No. 3, the state fund. The assessments must be levied against <u>the first \$250 million of</u> the		
27	preceding calendar year's gross annual payroll of <u>each of</u> the plan No. 1 employers and the gross annual		
28	direct premiums collected in Montana on the policies of each of the plan No. 2 insurers, insuring employers		
29	covered under the chapter, during the preceding calendar year. However, an assessment of the <u>a</u> plan No.		
30	1 employer or <u>a</u> plan No. 2 insurer may not be less than \$200 <u>\$1,500</u> . If at any time during the fiscal year		



1 a plan No. 1 employer is granted permission to self-insure or a plan No. 2 insurer is authorized to insure 2 employers under this chapter, that plan No. 1 employer or plan No. 2 insurer is subject to assessment. The 3 assessments must be sufficient to fund based on the direct costs identified to the three plans and an 4 equitable portion of the indirect costs based on the ratio of the preceding fiscal year's indirect costs 5 distributed to the plans, using proper accounting and cost allocation procedures. An assessment against 6 a plan No. 1 employer or a plan No. 2 insurer may not exceed the previous year's assessment against that 7 employer or insurer by more than 2%. However, the assessment levied against a plan No. 1 employer 8 whose gross annual payroll for the preceding calendar year exceeds \$250 million may not exceed the assessment based on a \$250 million gross annual payroll by more than 2%. Plan No. 3 must be assessed 9 10 an amount sufficient to fund the direct costs and an equitable portion of the indirect costs of regulating plan 11 No. 3. Other sources of revenue, including unexpended funds from the preceding fiscal year, must be used 12 to reduce the costs before levying the assessments. The difference, if any, between the administrative 13 costs of the department and the money collected by the assessments must be appropriated by the 14 legislature to the workers' compensation administration fund. 15 (2) The administration fund must be debited with expenses incurred by the department in the 16 general administration of the provisions of this chapter, including the salaries of its members, officers, and 17 employees and the travel expenses of the members, officers, and employees, as provided for in 2-18-501 18 through 2-18-503, as amended, incurred while on the business of the department either within or without

19 <u>outside of</u> the state.

- 20 (3) Disbursements from the administration money must be made after being approved by the
 21 department upon claim therefor for the disbursement."
- 22

-END-



DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

An act capping the level of gross payroll upon which the workers' compensation administration fund assessment can be made for Plan I employers; raising the minimum assessment to \$1,500; capping the administrative assessment for Plan I employers; limiting the annual increase in assessments for Plan I employers and Plan II insurers; providing that any difference between administrative costs and assessments be appropriated by the legislature.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- The proportionate share of workers compensation (WC) assessments between Plans I, II, and III will remain constant from FY95 assessments. The proportionate share of each employer/insurer within each plan will remain constant from FY95 assessments, but for one employer in Plan I affected by the \$250 million cap on payroll.
- 2. There will be no carryforward assessment adjustments from FY95 to FY96 nor from FY96 to FY97 (i.e. assessments will be exactly equal to expenditures). The appropriation from the WC administration account following action by the joint subcommittee on Human Services is \$4,467,088 in FY96 and \$4,319,264 in FY97.
- 3. No subsidies will occur between Plans I, II, and III.
- 4. There is one employer in Plan I which has more than \$250 million in gross annual payroll. The assessment against this employer would be 43% less than would otherwise be assessed due to the payroll cap.
- 5. The shortfall in assessments would be offset by a general fund appropriation.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Expenditures:	FY96	FY97
	<u>Difference</u>	Difference
Plan I (without payroll cap)	235,000	165,000
Plan I (payroll cap)	110,000	125,000
Plan II	0	0
Total	345,000	290,000
Funding:		
General Fund (01)	345,000	290,000

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

School district members of the Montana School Group Insurance Authority would save an estimated \$110,000 in FY96 and \$125,000 in FY97 due to the payroll cap.

LONG-RANGE EFFECTS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

The \$250 million payroll cap would provide an incentive for employers to consolidate. Consolidation could decrease WC assessments, thereby increasing general fund expenditures.

TECHNICAL NOTE:

The fiscal impact of SB348 is subject to a relatively high degree of variability due to changes in market participation of Plans I and II employers/insurers. As a result, an indefinite (statutory) appropriation may be required.

DAVE LEWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DATE Office of Budget and Program Planning

LORENTS GROSFIELD, PRIMARY SPONSOR DATE

Fiscal Note for <u>SB0348</u>, as introduced **SB 348**