## SENATE BILL NO. 291

## INTRODUCED BY DOHERTY, BARNHART

	IN THE SENATE
FEBRUARY 1, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE, & SAFETY.
	FIRST READING.
FEBRUARY 18, 1993	COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL DO PASS AS AMENDED. REPORT ADOPTED.
FEBRUARY 19, 1993	PRINTING REPORT.
	SECOND READING, DO PASS.
FEBRUARY 20, 1993	ENGROSSING REPORT.
	THIRD READING, PASSED. AYES, 50; NOES, 0.
	TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE.
•	
·	IN THE HOUSE
FEBRUARY 23, 1993	IN THE HOUSE  INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.
	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE
	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.
FEBRUARY 23, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.  FIRST READING.  COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE
FEBRUARY 23, 1993 MARCH 13, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.  FIRST READING.  COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN. REPORT ADOPTED.
FEBRUARY 23, 1993  MARCH 13, 1993  MARCH 27, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.  FIRST READING.  COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN. REPORT ADOPTED.  SECOND READING, CONCURRED IN.  THIRD READING, CONCURRED IN.
FEBRUARY 23, 1993  MARCH 13, 1993  MARCH 27, 1993  MARCH 30, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HUMAN SERVICES & AGING.  FIRST READING.  COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN. REPORT ADOPTED.  SECOND READING, CONCURRED IN.  THIRD READING, CONCURRED IN.  AYES, 87; NOES, 11.

SENT TO ENROLLING.

REPORTED CORRECTLY ENROLLED.

25

1	Sente BILL NO. 291
2	INTRODUCED BY Sheety Brunian
3	J P
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING UTILIZATION
5	REVIEW PROVISIONS; AND AMENDING SECTION 33-32-201, MCA."
6	
7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
В	Section 1. Section 33-32-201, MCA, is amended to read:
9	"33-32-201. Conduct of utilization review. A program of
10	utilization review with regard to health care services
11	provided or to be provided in this state must comply with
12	the following:
13	(1) A utilization review of outpatient mental health
14	services that deals with the appropriateness of diagnoses,
15	treatment plans, or length of treatment must be performed by
16	a licensed social worker, licensed professional counselor,
17	licensed psychologist, or licensed psychiatrist, except that
18	a utilization review for denial of benefits for
19	psychological evaluations must be performed by a licensed
20	psychologist.
21	(2) The insurer, health maintenance organization, or
22	their agents conducting the utilization review of outpatient
23	mental health treatment may request only information that is
24	relevant to the payment of the claim.

(3) When a utilization review requires disclosure of

1 personal information regarding the patient or client, including personal and family history or current and past symptoms of a mental disorder, then the identity of that individual must be concealed from anyone having access to that information in order that the patient or client may remain anonymous. (1)(4) A determination that is made on appeal or reconsideration as provided in 33-32-203 and that is adverse to a patient or to an affected health care provider may not 9 10 be made on a question relating to the necessity or 11 appropriateness of a health care service without prior 12 written findings, evaluation, and concurrence in the adverse determination by a health care professional trained in the 13 relevant area of health care. Copies of the written 14 15 findings, evaluation, and concurrence must be provided to 16 the patient on request as provided in Title 33, chapter 19. 17 <del>(2)</del>(5) A determination made on appeal 18 reconsideration, as provided in 33-32-203, that health care 19 services rendered or to be rendered are medically 20 inappropriate may not be made unless the health care 21 professional performing the utilization review has made a reasonable attempt to consult with the patient's attending 22

-End-

appropriateness of the health care service."

health care provider concerning the necessity or

SB 29/ INTRODUCED BILL

23

24

25

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

1	SENATE BILL NO. 291
2	INTRODUCED BY DOHERTY, BARNHART
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING UTILIZATION
5	REVIEW PROVISIONS; AND AMENDING SECTION 33-32-201, MCA."
6	
7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
8	Section 1. Section 33-32-201, MCA, is amended to read:
9	*33-32-201. Conduct of utilization review. A program of
10	utilization review with regard to health care services
11	provided or to be provided in this state must comply with
12	the following:
13	(1)Autilizationreviewof-outpatient-mental-health
14	services-that-deals-with-the-appropriatenessofdiagnoses
15	treatment-plans,-or-length-of-treatment-must-be-performed-by
16	alicensedsocial-worker;-licensed-professional-counselor;
17	licensed-psychologisty-or-licensed-psychiatristy-except-that
18	autilizationreviewfordenialofbenefitsfor
19	psychologicalevaluationsmustbe-performed-by-a-licensed
20	psychologist:
21	(2)(1) The insurer, health maintenance organization, or
22	their agents conducting the utilization review of outpatient
23	mental health treatment may request only information that is
24	relevant to the payment of the claim.

†3†(2) When a utilization review requires disclosure of

_	including personal and lamily history or current and past
3	symptoms of a mental disorder, then the identity of that
4	individual must be concealed from anyone having access to
5	that information in order that the patient or client may
6	remain anonymous.
7	$(\pm)$ $(4)$ $(3)$ A determination that is made on appeal or
8	reconsideration as provided in 33~32-203 and that is adverse
9	to a patient or to an affected health care provider may not
10	be made on a question relating to the necessity or
11	appropriateness of a health care service without prior
12	written findings, evaluation, and concurrence in the adverse
13	determination by a health care professional trained in the
14	relevant area of health care. Copies of the written
15	findings, evaluation, and concurrence must be provided to

personal information regarding the patient or client,

reconsideration, as provided in 33-32-203, that health care services rendered or to be rendered are medically inappropriate may not be made unless the health care professional performing the utilization review has made a reasonable attempt to consult with the patient's attending health care provider concerning the necessity or appropriateness of the health care service.

the patient on request as provided in Title 33, chapter 19.

 $(\frac{12}{12})(\frac{4}{12})$  A determination made on

25 (5) THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS MUST GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF



appeal

1	A UTILIZATION REVIEW OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES RENDERED TO A
2	PATIENT BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO IS A LICENSED SOCIAL
3	WORKER, LICENSED PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR, LICENSED
4	PSYCHIATRIC NURSE, LICENSED PSYCHIATRIST, OR A LICENSED
5	PSYCHOLOGIST:
6	(A) IF A REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE HEALTH CARE
7	PROVIDER'S RECORDS IS REQUIRED BY THE INSURER IN THE COURSE
8	OF AN APPEAL OR A REDETERMINATION OF AN ADVERSE
9	DETERMINATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY OR APPROPRIATENESS MADE
10	PURSUANT TO AN INSURER'S REVIEW, THE REVIEW MUST BE
11	CONDUCTED BY A PERSON TRAINED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER.
11 12	CONDUCTED BY A PERSON TRAINED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER.  (B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT
12	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT
12 13	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW
12 13 14	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE
12 13 14 15	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER LICENSED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER THAT RENDERED
12 13 14 15	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER LICENSED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER THAT RENDERED THE HEALTH CARE SERVICE AND MAY REQUIRE THAT REVIEW TO BE
12 13 14 15 16	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER LICENSED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER THAT RENDERED THE HEALTH CARE SERVICE AND MAY REQUIRE THAT REVIEW TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE INSURER IN REACHING ITS DECISION. IF THE

-End-

Ţ	SENATE BILL NO. 291
2	INTRODUCED BY DOHERTY, BARNHART
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING UTILIZATION
5	REVIEW PROVISIONS; AND AMENDING SECTION 33-32-201, MCA."
6	
7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
8	Section 1. Section 33-32-201, MCA, is amended to read:
9	*33-32-201. Conduct of utilization review. A program of
10	utilization review with regard to health care services
11	provided or to be provided in this state must comply with
12	the following:
13	(1)Autilisationreviewof-outpatient-mental-health
14	services-that-deals-with-the-appropriatenessofdiagnoses7
15	treatment-plansy-or-length-of-treatment-must-be-performed-by
16	alicensedsocial-workery-licensed-professional-counselory
17	licensed-psychologisty-or-licensed-psychiatristy-except-that
18	autilizationreviewfordenialofbenefitsfor
19	psychologicalevaluationsmustbe-performed-by-a-licensed
20	psychologist.
21	(1) The insurer, health maintenance organization, or
22	their agents conducting the utilization review of outpatient
23	mental health treatment may request only information that is
24	relevant to the payment of the claim.
25	(3)(2) When a utilization review requires disclosure of

1	personal information regarding the patient or client,
2	including personal and family history or current and past
3	symptoms of a mental disorder, then the identity of that
4	individual must be concealed from anyone having access to
5	that information in order that the patient or client may
6	remain anonymous.
7	(1) $(4)$ $(3)$ A determination that is made on appeal or
8	reconsideration as provided in 33-32-203 and that is adverse
9	to a patient or to an affected health care provider may not
10	be made on a question relating to the necessity or
11	appropriateness of a health care service without prior
12	written findings, evaluation, and concurrence in the adverse
13	determination by a health care professional trained in the
14	relevant area of health care. Copies of the written
15	findings, evaluation, and concurrence must be provided to
16	the patient on request as provided in Title 33, chapter 19.
17	(2)(5)(4) A determination made on appeal or
18	reconsideration, as provided in 33-32-203, that health care

(5) THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS MUST GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF

appropriateness of the health care service.

19

20 21

22

24

25

services rendered or to be rendered are medically

inappropriate may not be made unless the health care

professional performing the utilization review has made a reasonable attempt to consult with the patient's attending

health care provider concerning the necessity or

- 1 A UTILIZATION REVIEW OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES RENDERED TO A
- 2 PATIENT BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO IS A LICENSED SOCIAL
- 3 WORKER, LICENSED PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR, LICENSED
- 4 PSYCHIATRIC NURSE, LICENSED PSYCHIATRIST, OR A LICENSED
- 5 PSYCHOLOGIST:
- 6 (A) IF A REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE HEALTH CARE
- 7 PROVIDER'S RECORDS IS REQUIRED BY THE INSURER IN THE COURSE
- OF AN APPEAL OR A REDETERMINATION OF AN ADVERSE
- 9 DETERMINATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY OR APPROPRIATENESS MADE
- 10 PURSUANT TO AN INSURER'S REVIEW, THE REVIEW MUST BE
- 11 CONDUCTED BY A PERSON TRAINED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER.
- 12 (B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT
- 13 MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW
- 14 OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE
- 15 PROVIDER LICENSED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER THAT RENDERED
- 16 THE HEALTH CARE SERVICE AND MAY REQUIRE THAT REVIEW TO BE
- 17 CONSIDERED BY THE INSURER IN REACHING ITS DECISION. IF THE
- 18 INITIAL ADVERSE DETERMINATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY OR
- 19 APPROPRIATENESS IS REVERSED, THE INSURER SHALL BEAR THE
- 20 EXPENSE OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW."

-End-

2	INTRODUCED BY DOHERTY, BARNHART
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING UTILIZATION
5	REVIEW PROVISIONS; AND AMENDING SECTION 33-32-201, MCA."
6	
7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTAMA:
8	Section 1. Section 33-32-201, MCA, is amended to read:
9	*33-32-201. Conduct of utilization review. A program of
10	utilization review with regard to health care services
11	provided or to be provided in this state must comply with
12	the following:
13	(1) A utilization review of -outpatient -mental-health
14	services-that-deals-with-the-appropriatenessofdiagnoses,
15	treatment-plansy-or-length-of-treatment-must-be-performed-by
16	alicensedsocial-workery-licensed-professional-counselory
17	licensed-psychologisty-or-licensed-psychiatristy-except-that
18	autilisationreviewfordenialofbenefitsfor
19	psychologicalevaluationsmustbe-performed-by-a-licensed
20	psychologist-
21	(2)(1) The insurer, health maintenance organization, or
22	their agents conducting the utilization review of outpatient
23	mental health treatment may request only information that is
24	relevant to the payment of the claim.
25	+3+(2) When a utilization review requires disclosure of

SENATE BILL NO. 291

1	personal information regarding the patient or client
2	including personal and family history or current and past
3	symptoms of a mental disorder, then the identity of that
4	individual must be concealed from anyone having access to
5	that information in order that the patient or client may
6	remain anonymous.

fliff(3) A determination that is made on appeal or reconsideration as provided in 33-32-203 and that is adverse to a patient or to an affected health care provider may not be made on a question relating to the necessity or appropriateness of a health care service without prior written findings, evaluation, and concurrence in the adverse determination by a health care professional trained in the relevant area of health care. Copies of the written findings, evaluation, and concurrence must be provided to the patient on request as provided in Title 33, chapter 19.

t2)(4) A determination made on appeal or reconsideration, as provided in 33-32-203, that health care services rendered or to be rendered are medically inappropriate may not be made unless the health care professional performing the utilization review has made a reasonable attempt to consult with the patient's attending health care provider concerning the necessity or appropriateness of the health care service.

(5) THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS MUST GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF

1	A UTILIZATION REVIEW OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES RENDERED TO A
2	PATIENT BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO IS A LICENSED SOCIAL
3	MORRER, LICENSED PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR, LICENSED
4	PSYCHIATRIC NURSE, LICENSED PSYCHIATRIST, OR A LICENSED
5	PSYCHOLOGIST:
6	(A) IF A REVIEW OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE HEALTH CARE
7	PROVIDER'S RECORDS IS REQUIRED BY THE INSURER IN THE COURSE
8	OF AN APPEAL OR A REDETERMINATION OF AN ADVERSE
9	DETERMINATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY OR APPROPRIATENESS MADE
10	PURSUANT TO AN INSURER'S REVIEW, THE REVIEW MUST BE
11	CONDUCTED BY A PERSON TRAINED IN THE PIELD OF THE PROVIDER.
12	(B) DURING AN APPEAL OR REDETERMINATION, THE PATIENT
13	MAY, AT THE PATIENT'S EXPENSE, REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW
14	OF THE PATIENT'S OR THE PROVIDER'S RECORDS BY A HEALTH CARE
15	PROVIDER LICENSED IN THE FIELD OF THE PROVIDER THAT RENDERED
16	THE HEALTH CARE SERVICE AND MAY REQUIRE THAT REVIEW TO BE
17	CONSIDERED BY THE INSURER IN REACHING ITS DECISION, IF THE
18	INITIAL ADVERSE DETERMINATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY OF
19	APPROPRIATENESS IS REVERSED, THE INSURER SHALL BEAR THE
20	EXPENSE OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW."

-End-