SENATE BILL NO. 280

INTRODUCED BY GROSFIELD, FAGG, HALLIGAN, HARPER, GILBERT, BROOKE, BECK, YELLOWTAIL BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

IN	THE SENATE
JANUARY 30, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES.
	FIRST READING.
FEBRUARY 19, 1993	COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL DO PASS. REPORT ADOPTED.
	PRINTING REPORT.
FEBRUARY 20, 1993	SECOND READING, DO PASS.
FEBRUARY 22, 1993	ENGROSSING REPORT.
	THIRD READING, PASSED. AYES, 36; NOES, 12.
·	TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE.
IN	THE HOUSE
FEBRUARY 23, 1993	INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES.
	FIRST READING.
MARCH 29, 1993	COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED. REPORT ADOPTED.
MARCH 30, 1993	SECOND READING, CONCURRED IN.
	MUTES BEARING GONGUEDED IN

IN THE SENATE

THIRD READING, CONCURRED IN.

RETURNED TO SENATE WITH AMENDMENTS.

AYES, 78; NOES, 21.

APRIL 5, 1993 SECOND READING, AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN.

APRIL 1, 1993

APRIL 6, 1993

THIRD READING, AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN.

SENT TO ENROLLING.

REPORTED CORRECTLY ENROLLED.

3

5

10

19

20

23

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INTRODUCED BY BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF Branke By NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURE B

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE 1992 STATE WATER PLAN; INCLUDING WATER QUALITY AS A CRITERION FOR WATER PERMIT, CHANGE AUTHORIZATION, CONTROLLED GROUND WATER AREA, AND BASIN CLOSURE DETERMINATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS 85-2-311, 85-2-319, 85-2-402, AND 85-2-506, MCA; AND PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE AND AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."

STATEMENT OF INTENT

A statement of intent is required for this bill because the bill gives the department of natural resources and conservation authority to adopt administrative rules. The bill adds statutory criteria for the department to consider in the processing of an application for a permit, change authorization, controlled ground water area, or basin closure. In adopting rules implementing this bill and in interpreting the new statutory language, it is the intent of the legislature that the department and board of natural resources and conservation should assess the magnitude, character, duration, and geographical extent of the



projected	effects	and	utilize	this	assessment	in	a	practical
manner.								

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 85-2-311, MCA, is amended to read:

6 "85-2-311. Criteria for issuance of permit. (1) Except
7 as provided in subsections (2) (3) and (3) (4), the
8 department shall issue a permit if the applicant proves by
9 substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the

(a) there are unappropriated waters in the source of supply at the proposed point of diversion:

following criteria are met:

- (i) at times when the water can be put to the use proposed by the applicant;
- (ii) in the amount the applicant seeks to appropriate;
 and
- (iii) during the period in which the applicant seeks to appropriate, the amount requested is reasonably available;
 - (b) the water rights of a prior appropriator will not be adversely affected;
- (c) the proposed means of diversion, construction, and operation of the appropriation works are adequate;
 - (d) the proposed use of water is a beneficial use;
- (e) the proposed use will not interfere unreasonablywith other planned uses or developments for which a permit

3

11

1	has been issued or for which water has been reserved; and
2	(f) the applicant has a possessory interest, or the
3	written consent of the person with the possessory interest,
4	in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
5	use <u>;</u>
6	(g) the water quality of an appropriator will not be
7	adversely affected;
8	(h) the proposed use will be substantially in
9	accordance with the classification of water set for the
.0	source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); and
1	(i) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
12	effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
1.3	Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.
4	(2) The applicant is required to prove that the
5	criteria in subsections (1)(g) through (1)(i) have been met
6	only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one
17	supported by substantive evidence establishing to the
1.8	satisfaction of the department that the criteria in
9	subsection (1)(q), (1)(h), or (1)(i), as applicable, may not
20	be met.
21	+2→(3) The department may not issue a permit for an
22	appropriation of 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and
23	5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water unless the
24	applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence that:

- 1 (b) the rights of a prior appropriator will not be 2 adversely affected;
 - (c) the proposed appropriation is a reasonable use. Such-a A finding shall must be based on a consideration of the following:
- (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as 6 well as projected demands such as reservations of water for 7 future beneficial purposes, including municipal water 8 supplies, irrigation systems, and minimum streamflows for 9 the protection of existing water rights and aquatic life; 10
 - (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water 12 for existing beneficial uses in the source of supply; 13
- (iv) the availability and feasibility of using 14 low-quality water for the purpose for which application has 15 been made; 16
- (v) the effects on private property rights by any 17 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and 18
- (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental 19 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the 20 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75, 21 22 chapter 20.
- (3)(4) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the 23 importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity 24 to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water 25

(a) the criteria in subsection (1) are met;

24

9

10

18

19

20

21

- requirements, including requirements for reserved water 1 rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands 2 and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the 3 state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, 5 out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are 6 7 not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or В the conservation of its waters, the criteria in this subsection (3) (4) must be met before out-of-state use may 9 10
- 1) (b) The department may not issue a permit for the
 12 appropriation of water for withdrawal and transportation for
 13 use outside the state unless the applicant proves by clear
 14 and convincing evidence that:
- 15 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 16 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of 17 subsection (1) or (2) (3) are met;
- 18 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not

 19 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 20 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 21 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens 22 of Montana.
- (c) In determining whether the applicant has proved by
 clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 subsections (3)(b)(ii) and (3)(b)(iii)

- 1 (4)(b)(iii) are met, the department shall consider the
 2 following factors:
- 3 (i) whether there are present or projected water 4 shortages within the state of Montana;
- 5 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 6 application could feasibly be transported to alleviate water 7 shortages within the state of Montana;
- (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use the water; and
- 11 (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the 12 state where the applicant intends to use the water.
- 13 (d) When applying for a permit or a lease to withdraw
 14 and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant
 15 shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of
 16 Montana governing the appropriation, lease, and use of
 17 water.
 - of evidence standard in this section, the applicant shall submit independent hydrologic or other evidence, including water supply data, field reports, and other information developed by the department, the U.S. geological survey, or
- 23 the U.S. soil conservation service and other specific field
- 24 studies, demonstrating that the criteria are met.
- 25 †5)(6) An appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use,

LC 0165/01

- 1 restraint. attempted appropriation, diversion, OI impoundment, use, or restraint contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent, agency, or 3 employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized appropriation, 5 6 diversion, impoundment, use, or other restraint. A person or 7 corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or 8 through an agent, officer, or employee, attempt to 9 appropriate, divert, impound, use, or otherwise restrain or 10 control waters within the boundaries of this state except in 11 accordance with this section."
- Section 2. Section 85-2-319, MCA, is amended to read:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- *85-2-319. Permit action in highly appropriated basins or subbasins. (1) The legislature may by law preclude permit applications, or the department may by rule reject permit applications or modify or condition permits issued in a highly appropriated basin or subbasin.
- (2) A rule may be adopted under this section only upon a petition signed by at least 25% or 10, whichever is less, of the users of water in the source of supply within a basin or subbasin or upon petition of the department of health and environmental sciences alleging facts under subsection (2)(d). The petition must be in a form as prescribed by the department and must allege facts showing that throughout or at certain times of the year or for certain beneficial uses:

- 1 (a) there are no unappropriated waters in the source of 2 supply;
- 3 (b) the rights of prior appropriators will be adversely4 affected; or
- 5 (c) further uses will interfere unreasonably with other 6 planned uses or developments for which a permit has been 7 issued or for which water has been reserved; or
- 8 (d) in the case of a petition filed by the department
 9 of health and environmental sciences:
- 10 (i) the water quality of an appropriator will be
 11 adversely affected by the issuance of permits;
- 12 (ii) further use will not be substantially in accordance

 13 with the classification of water set for the source of

 14 supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); or
- 15 (iii) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 16 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
 17 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will be adversely affected by
- 19 (3) Within 60 days after submission of a petition, the 20 department shall:

the issuance of permits.

- 21 (a) deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons 22 for denial;
- 23 (b) inform the petitioners that the department must 24 study the allegations further before denying or proceeding 25 further with the petition; or

LC 0165/01

- 1 (c) initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with 2 2-4-302 through 2-4-305.
- (4) Title 2, chapter 4, parts 1 through 4, govern 3 rulemaking proceedings conducted under this section, except 4 that in addition to the notice requirements of those parts. 5 the department notice of the rulemaking hearing must be published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks. 7 not less than 30 days before the date of the hearing, in a 8 9 newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties 10 in which the source is located. The department shall serve by mail a copy of the notice, not less than 30 days before 11 12 the hearing, upon each person or public agency known from 13 the examination of the records of the department to be a 14 claimant, appropriator, or permitholder of water in the source." 15
- 16 Section 3. Section 85-2-402, MCA, is amended to read:
- 17 "85-2-402. (Temporary) Changes in appropriation rights.

 18 (1) An appropriator may not make a change in an appropriation right except as permitted under this section 20 and with the approval of the department or, if applicable, of the legislature.
- (2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through (5) (6), the department shall approve a change in appropriation right if the appropriator proves by substantial—credible a preponderance of evidence that the

following criteria are met:

14

use.

- 2 (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the 3 water rights of other persons or other planned uses or 4 developments for which a permit has been issued or for which 5 water has been reserved.
- 6 (b) Except for a lease authorization pursuant to
 7 85-2-436 that does not require appropriation works, the
 8 proposed means of diversion, construction, and operation of
 9 the appropriation works are adequate.
- 10 (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
- (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the written consent of the person with the possessory interest, in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
- 15 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves
 16 salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will
 17 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the
 18 applicant.
- 19 <u>(f) The water quality of an appropriator will not be</u>
 20 adversely affected.
- 21 (g) The proposed use will be substantially in 22 accordance with the classification of water set for the 23 source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1).
- 24 (h) The ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 25 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with

- Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.

 (3) The applicant is required to prove that the
- 3 criteria in subsections (2)(f) through (2)(h) have been met
- only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one

supported by substantive evidence establishing to the

- 6 satisfaction of the department that the criteria in
- subsection (2)(f), (2)(g), or (2)(h), as applicable, may not
- 8 be met.

1

2

5

10

11

14

15

- 9 (3)(4) The department may not approve a change in
 - purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000
 - or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet
- 12 per second of water unless the appropriator proves by
- 13 substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:
 - (a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;
 - (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding
- of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:
- 17 (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
- 18 well as projected demands for water for future beneficial
- 19 purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation
- 20 systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of
- 21 existing water rights and aquatic life;
- 22 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 23 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 24 for existing uses in the source of supply;
- 25 (iv) the availability and feasibility of using

- low-quality water for the purpose for which application has
- 2 been made;

5

14

- 3 (v) the effects on private property rights by any
- 4 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
 - (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental
 - impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the
- 7 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75,
- 8 chapter 20.
- 9 (4)(5) The department may not approve a change in
- 10 purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results
- in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more
- 12 cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:
- 13 (a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing
 - evidence and the department finds that the criteria in
- 15 subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and
- 16 (b) the department then petitions the legislature and
- 17 the legislature affirms the decision of the department after
 - one or more public hearings.
- 19 (5)(6) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the
- 20 importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity
- 21 to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water
- 22 requirements, including requirements for reserved water
- 23 rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands
- 24 and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the
- 25 state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also

LC 0165/01

recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, the out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must be met before out-of-state use may occur:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature may not approve a change in appropriation right for the withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature approves after one or more public hearings that:
- (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met;
- 15 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 16 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
 - (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens of Montana.
 - (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of subsections (5)(b)(ii) and (5)(b)(iii) and (5)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable, the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- 25 (i) whether there are present or projected water

shortages within the state of Montana;

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 3 proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be 4 transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of 5 Montana;
- 6 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the
 7 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use
 8 the water; and
- 9 (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the 10 state where the applicant intends to use the water.
 - (d) When applying for a change in appropriation right to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of water.
 - (6)(7) For any application for a change in appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water, the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that a change might adversely affect the rights of other persons.

LC 0165/01

t77(8) The department or the legislature, if applicable, may approve a change subject to terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including limitations on the time for completion of the change. The department may extend time limits specified in the change approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of 85-2-312(3).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed. The notification must contain a certified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the change approval.

(9)(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the department or legislature or if the terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show cause why the change approval should not be modified or revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause, the department may modify or revoke the change approval. 1 (±0)(11) The original of a change approval issued by the
2 department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate
3 must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

4 (11) A person holding an issued permit or change 5 approval that has not been perfected may change the place of 6 diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage 7 by filing an application for change pursuant to this 8 section.

(12)(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent, 10 11 agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized change in 12 13 appropriation right. A person or corporation may not, 14 directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent, 15 officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation right except in accordance with this section. (Terminates 16 17 June 30, 1999--sec. 4, Ch. 740, L. 1991.)

18 85-2-402. (Effective July 1, 1999) Changes in 19 appropriation rights. (1) An appropriator may not make a 20 change in an appropriation right except as permitted under 21 this section and with the approval of the department or, if 22 applicable, of the legislature.

(2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through (5) (6), the department shall approve a change in appropriation right if the appropriator proves by

23

24

substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the following criteria are met:

3

5

9

13

use.

- (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the water rights of other persons or other planned uses or developments for which a permit has been issued or for which water has been reserved.
- 7 (b) The proposed means of diversion, construction, and8 operation of the appropriation works are adequate.
 - (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
- (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the written consent of the person with the possessory interest, in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
- 14 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves
 15 salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will
 16 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the
 17 applicant.
- 18 (f) The water quality of an appropriator will not be
 19 adversely affected.
- 20 (g) The proposed use will be substantially in
 21 accordance with the classification of water set for the
 22 source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1).
- 23 (h) The ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 24 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
 25 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.

- 1 (3) The applicant is required to prove that the
 2 criteria in subsections (2)(f) through (2)(h) have been met
 3 only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one
 4 supported by substantive evidence establishing to the
 5 satisfaction of the department that the criteria in
 6 subsection (2)(f), (2)(g), or (2)(h), as applicable, may not
 7 be met.
- 8 †3†(4) The department may not approve a change in
 9 purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000
 10 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet
 11 per second of water unless the appropriator proves by
 12 substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:
 - (a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;

- (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:
- (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
 well as projected demands for water for future beneficial
 purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation
 systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of
 existing water rights and aguatic life;
- 21 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 22 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 23 for existing uses in the source of supply;
- (iv) the availability and feasibility of using
 low-quality water for the purpose for which application has

LC 0165/01 LC 0165/01

been made:

1

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 (v) the effects on private property rights by any creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
- (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the 6 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75, 7 chapter 20.
- 8 (4)(5) The department may not approve a change in 9 purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results 10 in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more 11 cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:
 - (a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence and the department finds that the criteria in subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and
 - (b) the department then petitions the legislature and the legislature affirms the decision of the department after one or more public hearings.
 - (5)(6) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water requirements, including requirements for reserved water rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions,

- out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are 1 2 not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or 3 the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must
- be met before out-of-state use may occur:
- 5 (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature may not approve a change in appropriation right for the withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature approves after one or more public hearings that: 10
- 11 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 12 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met; 13
- 14 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 15 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 16 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 17 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens 18 of Montana.
- 19 (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of 20 21 subsections t5)tb)t±±) (6)(b)(ii) and t5)tb)tiii) 22 (6)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable, 23 the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- (i) whether there are present or projected water 24 25 shortages within the state of Montana;

1

3

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 2 proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be 3 transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of 4 Montana;
- 5 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the 6 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use 7 the water; and
- 8 (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the
 9 state where the applicant intends to use the water.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (d) When applying for a change in appropriation right to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of water.
 - #6†(7) For any application for a change in appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water, the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that such a change might adversely affect the rights of other persons.
- 25 (7)(8) The department or the legislature, if

- applicable, may approve a change subject to such terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including limitations on the time for completion of the change. The department may extend time limits specified in the change approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of 85-2-312(3).
- t0f(9) Upon actual application of water to the proposed beneficial use within the time allowed, the appropriator shall notify the department that the appropriation has been properly completed. The notification must contain a certified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the change approval.
- department or legislature or if the terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show cause why the change approval should not be modified or revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause,

197(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the

- 24 the department may modify or revoke the change approval.
- 25 (10) The original of a change approval issued by the

department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate
must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

- (12) A person holding an issued permit or change approval that has not been perfected may change the place of diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage by filing an application for change pursuant to this section.
 - ti2)(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. No An officer, agent, agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner such an unauthorized change in appropriation right. No A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent, officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation right except in accordance with this section."
- Section 4. Section 85-2-506, MCA, is amended to read:
- 17 "85-2-506. Controlled ground water areas -- designation
 18 or modification. (1) The board may designate or modify
 19 controlled ground water areas as provided in this part.
 - (2) Designation or modification of an area of controlled ground water use may be proposed to the board by the department on its own motion, by petition of a state or local public health agency for identified public health risks, or by petition signed by at least 20 or one-fourth of the users (whichever is the lesser number) of ground water

- in a ground water area wherein in which there are alleged to be facts showing:
- 3 (a) that ground water withdrawals are in excess of
 4 recharge to the aquifer or aquifers within such <u>the</u> ground
 5 water area;
- 6 (b) that excessive ground water withdrawals are very
 7 likely to occur in the near future because of consistent and
 8 significant increases in withdrawals from within the ground
 9 water area;
- 10 (c) that significant disputes regarding priority of
 11 rights, amounts of ground water in use by appropriators, or
 12 priority of type of use are in progress within the ground
 13 water area;
- 14 (d) that ground water levels or pressures in the area 15 in question are declining or have declined excessively; or
- 16 (e) that excessive ground water withdrawals would cause
 17 contaminant migration and-a-degradation--of-ground-water
 18 quality-within-the-ground-water-area; or
- 19 (f) that ground water withdrawals adversely affecting
 20 ground water quality within the ground water area are
 21 occurring or are likely to occur.
- 22 (3) When such a proposal is thus made, the board shall
 23 fix a time and place for a hearing, which time shell may not
 24 be less than 90 days from the making of the proposal. The
 25 place for the hearing shall must be within or as close as

- 1 practical to the controlled ground water area.
- 2 (4) The department shall publish a notice of the 3 hearing, setting forth therein:
 - (a) the names of the petitioners;

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- 5 (b) the description by legal subdivisions (section, 6 township, range) of all lands included in or proposed to be included in the ground water area or subarea;
- 8 (c) the purpose of the hearing; and
 - (d) the time and place of the hearing where any interested person may appear, either in person or by attorney, file written objections to the granting of the proposal, and be fully heard.
 - (5) Such The notice of hearing shall must be published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks not less than 30 days before the date of the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties in which the ground water area or subarea is located. The department shall also cause a copy of the notice, together with a copy of the petition, to be served by mail, not less than 30 days before the hearing, upon each well driller licensed in Montana whose address is within any county in which any part of the area in question is located; upon each person or public agency known from an examination of the records in the department's office to be a claimant or appropriator of ground water in the area in question (claimant or
- 1 appropriator meaning one who diverts, impounds, or withdraws ground water and not merely one who uses or obtains ground water from another who diverts, impounds, or withdraws ground water); upon the bureau; and upon the mayor or chairman of the governing body of each incorporated municipality located in whole or in part within the proposed 7 ground water area. The department may also serve notice upon any other person or state or federal agency that the 9 department feels may be interested in or affected by the 10 proposed designation or modification of a controlled ground water area. The petition need not be served on any 11 12 petitioner. A copy of the notice, together with a copy of 13 the proposal, shall must be mailed to each person at his the 14 person's last-known address, and such service shall--be is complete upon depositing it in the post office, postage 15 prepaid, addressed to each person on whom it is to be 16 17 served. Publication and mailing of such the notice as 18 prescribed herein in this section, when completed, shall--be 19 deemed is considered to be sufficient notice of such the 20 hearing to all interested persons."
- NEW SECTION. Section 5. Rulemaking authority. The department may adopt rules to implement the provisions of
- 23 85-2-311, 85-2-319, and 85-2-402.
- 24 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 6. Codification instruction.
- 25 [Section 5] is intended to be codified as an integral part

- of Title 85, chapter 2, and the provisions of Title 85,
- 2 chapter 2, apply to [section 5].
- 3 NEW SECTION. Section 7. Severability. If a part of
- 4 (this act) is invalid, all valid parts that are severable
- 5 from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this
- 6 act) is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part
- 7 remains in effect in all valid applications that are
- 8 severable from the invalid applications.
- 9 NEW SECTION. Section 8. Retroactive applicability.
- 10 [Sections 1 and 3] apply retroactively, within the meaning
- of 1-2-109, to all applications for a permit or change in
- 12 appropriation right that the department has not noticed out
- for objection as of [the effective date of this act].
- 14 NEW SECTION. Section 9. Effective date. [This act] is
- 15 effective on passage and approval.

-End-

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

In compliance with a written request, there is hereby submitted a Fiscal Note for SB0280, as introduced.

<u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION</u>: The bill proposes to implement a portion of the 1992 State Water Plan by amending four separate water law sections, 85-2-311, -319, -402, and -506, MCA. Water quality criterion would be added for the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC) issuance of beneficial water use permits and change authorizations, for petitions to the DNRC for basin rule closures, and for petitions to the Board of Natural Resources and Conservation for controlled groundwater areas. It also would provide authority for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences (DHES) to be a petitioner for a basin rule closure and for DHES or a local public health agency to be a petitioner for a controlled groundwater area.

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC):

- 1. For DNRC to implement the requirements of the proposed amendments, 1.00 FTE grade 14 would be required.
- 2. Major responsibilities to process permit and change applications concerning the water quality criteria would include:
 - Providing technical expertise in evaluating objections to approximately <u>70</u> permit applications and <u>45</u> change applications each calendar year to determine their validity.
 - Coordinating correspondence with applicants to inform them of any valid water quality objection and their burden to prove the water quality criteria set forth in Sections 85-2-311 and 85-2-402, MCA.
 - Reviewing any factual water quality evidence submitted by applicants and recommend the conditioning, granting, or denial of the application.
 - Presenting the DNRC decision concerning the conditioning or denial of an application at approximately 8 contestedcase hearings per calendar year.
- 3. Major responsibilities in processing water quality type petitions to the DNRC for basin rule closures:
 - Providing technical review of approximately 2 petitions per calendar year filed by the DHES alleging water quality problems in a specified drainage basin area.
 - Coordinating with DHES any additional factual data necessary to validate their petition.
 - Assisting DNRC in drafting applicable administrative rules for closure of the petitioned basin.
 - Presenting as requested, an explanation of any proposed water quality rules at public hearings.
 - _ Assisting DNRC Water Resources Regional Office staff in administering and enforcing the closure rules at local level.

(continued on next page)

DAVID LEWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DAT

Office of Budget and Program Planning

LORENTS GROSFIELD, PRIMARY SPONSOR

Fiscal Note for SB0280, as introduced

SB 280

Fiscal Note Request, <u>SB0280</u>, as introduced Form BD-15 page 2 (continued)

- 4. Major responsibilities to process water quality type petitions for controlled groundwater areas:
 - Providing technical review of <u>1</u> petition in FY94 and <u>2</u> petitions in FY95 filed by the DHES or any local public health agency alleging that groundwater withdrawals adversely affecting groundwater quality are occurring or are likely to occur.
 - Coordinating with DHBS or local public health agency any additional factual data necessary to validate the petition.
 - Assisting DNRC in presenting the petition to the Board of Natural Resources and Conservation and coordinating the individual and hearing notices.
 - Presenting any explanation or factual data on behalf of DNRC as necessary at the public hearing on the petition and assist the Board and DNRC in drafting and finalizing a final Board order.
 - Assisting Water Resources regional staff in administering and enforcing the Board order at the local level.
- 5. Miscellaneous duties would include:
 - Preparing or obtaining maps containing all classified surface water quality source standards in Montana and the location of all DHES issued discharge permits.
 - Assisting the DNRC in drafting administrative rules to implement the proposed new criteria.
 - Training DNRC Regional Office staff and others as requested concerning water quality standards, discharge permits, and water quality criteria.
 - Preparing water quality standards informational pamphlet for potential applicants, objectors and petitioners.
- 6. Three petitions are anticipated to be received per calendar year from DHES with a \$100 fee each. Also it is expected that DNRC would collect \$400 per petition for notification costs as provided under current law.

Department of Health and Environmental Sciences (DHES):

- 7. The criteria in section 1 subsection (h) of the bill means: the proposed use will not substantially affect the uses designated for the classification of water set forth for the source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1), MCA.
- 8. The number of new applications and applications for a change in rights will continue at the rate for 1992 which was 272 new applications and 176 applications for a change.
- 9. It is necessary for DHES to review each of these applications to determine if an objection should be filed.
- 10. Screening and the filing of the resulting objections takes an average of 0.50 days for each application, for a total of 224 days (448 x .50).
- 11. DHRS files 2 petitions for basin closure each year and that each petition requires 2 months for a total of 4 months.
- 12. Carrying through on each objection would take 112 days or one day each, including hearings. That 10 of the objections will result in contested case hearings each of which will take 3 days or 30 days total.
- 13. DHRS will make 3 applications for formation of a controlled ground water area (1 in FY94 and 2 in FY95) and for each application will: research data on public health threats; prepare a water budget; review groundwater monitoring data; prepare maps; and use computer models to compare groundwater flow under normal conditions with flow alterations due to excessive groundwater withdrawals, to determine the impact on contaminant migration and possible degradation of groundwater quality. Total time per application is estimated at 2 months for a total 6 months or a total of 626 days equaling 2.4 FTE at grade 14 personnel costs.
- 14. DHES pays the objection fee of \$50 for each of the 112 objections (50 x 112 = \$5,600) and the \$100 fee for each of the 5 petitions (5 x 100 = \$500) for a total cost in fees of \$6,100.

(continued on next page)

Fiscal Note Request, <u>SB0280</u>, as introduced Form BD-15 page 3 (continued)

FISCAL IMPACT:

General Fund Costs

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation:

		FY '94		FY '95					
Expenditures:	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference			
FTE	0	1.00	1.00	0	1.00	1.00			
Personal Services	0	30,467	30,467	0	30,467	30,467			
Operating Expenses	<u>0</u>	<u> 5.000</u>	<u>5.000</u>	<u>Q</u>	4.300	4,300			
Total	0	35,467	35,467	0	34,767	34,767			
Funding:									
General Fund	0	35,467	35,467	0	34,767	34,767			
Department of Health and Envir	ommental Sciences	FY '94			FY '95				
Expenditures:	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference			
FTR	0	2.40	2.40	0	2.40	2.40			
Personal Services	0	87,369	87,369	0	87,369	87,369			
Operating Expenses	0	26,100	26,100	0	26,100	26,100			
Equipment	<u>0</u>	5.000	5.000	<u>o</u>	2,000	2,000			
Total	0	118,469	118,469	0	115,469	115,469			
<u>Funding:</u> General Fund	0	118,469	118,469	. 0	115,469	115,469			
Net Impact:									

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES: Local water quality agencies will be required to pay objection and petition fees. It may be necessary to hire consultants to provide factual data for petitions filed.

153,936

150,236

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

In compliance with a written request, there is hereby submitted a Revised Fiscal Note for SB0280, as introduced.

<u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION</u>: The bill proposes to implement a portion of the 1992 State Water Plan by amending four separate water law sections, 85-2-311, -319, -402, and -506, MCA. Water quality criterion would be added for the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC) issuance of beneficial water use permits and change authorizations, for petitions to the DNRC for basin rule closures, and for petitions to the Board of Natural Resources and Conservation for controlled groundwater areas. It also would provide authority for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences (DHES) to be a petitioner for a basin rule closure and for DHES or a local public health agency to be a petitioner for a controlled groundwater area.

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC):

- 1. For DNRC to implement the requirements of the proposed amendments, 1.00 FTE grade 14 would be required.
- 2. Major responsibilities to process permit and change applications concerning the water quality criteria would include:
 - Providing technical expertise in evaluating objections to approximately <u>70</u> permit applications and <u>45</u> change applications each calendar year to determine their validity.
 - Coordinating correspondence with applicants to inform them of any valid water quality objection and their burden to prove the water quality criteria set forth in Sections 85-2-311 and 85-2-402, MCA.
 - Reviewing any factual water quality evidence submitted by applicants and recommend the conditioning, granting, or denial of the application.
 - Presenting the DNRC decision concerning the conditioning or denial of an application at approximately 8 contestedcase hearings per calendar year.
- 3. Major responsibilities in processing water quality type petitions to the DNRC for basin rule closures:
 - Providing technical review of approximately 2 petitions per calendar year filed by the DHES alleging water quality problems in a specified drainage basin area.
 - Coordinating with DHES any additional factual data necessary to validate their petition.
 - Assisting DNRC in drafting applicable administrative rules for closure of the petitioned basin.
 - Presenting as requested, an explanation of any proposed water quality rules at public hearings.
 - Assisting DNRC Water Resources Regional Office staff in administering and enforcing the closure rules at local level.

(continued on next page)

DAVID LEWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DATE

Office of Budget and Program Planning

LORENTS GROSFIELD, PRIMARY SPONSOR

Revised Fiscal Note for SB0280, as introduced

SB 280 - #2

Fiscal Note Request, <u>SB0280, as introduced</u> Form BD-15 page 2

(continued)

- 4. Major responsibilities to process water quality type petitions for controlled groundwater areas:
 - Providing technical review of 1 petition in FY94 and 2 petitions in FY95 filed by the DHES or any local public health agency alleging that groundwater withdrawals adversely affecting groundwater quality are occurring or are likely to occur.
 - Coordinating with DHES or local public health agency any additional factual data necessary to validate the petition.
 - Assisting DNRC in presenting the petition to the Board of Natural Resources and Conservation and coordinating the individual and hearing notices.
 - Presenting any explanation or factual data on behalf of DNRC as necessary at the public hearing on the petition and assist the Board and DNRC in drafting and finalizing a final Board order.
 - Assisting Water Resources regional staff in administering and enforcing the Board order at the local level.
- 5. Miscellaneous duties would include:
 - Preparing or obtaining maps containing all classified surface water quality source standards in Montana and the location of all DHES issued discharge permits.
 - Assisting the DNRC in drafting administrative rules to implement the proposed new criteria.
 - Training DNRC Regional Office staff and others as requested concerning water quality standards, discharge permits, and water quality criteria.
 - Preparing water quality standards informational pamphlet for potential applicants, objectors and petitioners.
- 6. Three petitions are anticipated to be received per calendar year from DHES with a \$100 fee each. Also it is expected that DNRC would collect \$400 per petition for notification costs as provided under current law.

Department of Health and Environmental Sciences (DHES):

- 7. Subsection (h) which says " the proposed use will substantially in accordance with the classification of water set forth for the source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); " means that the proposed use will not substantially affect the uses designated for the classification of water set forth for the source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1), MCA.
- 8. DHES would object only to major or controversial projects.
- 9. The costs of such objections would be covered by the required EIS which would be paid by the applicant.
- 10. DHES files a petition for one basin closure in the biennium and that this cost would be absorbed by current programs.
- 11. DHES makes one application for formation of a controlled ground water area in the biennium and that for each, it researchers data on public health threats, prepares a water budget, reviews groundwater monitoring data, prepares maps, and uses computer models to compare groundwater flow under normal conditions to flow alterations due to excessive groundwater withdrawals to determine the impact on contaminant migration and possible degradation of groundwater quality. The cost of these activities would be covered by current programs.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Department of Health and Environmental Sciences: None.

(continued on next page)

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation:

		FY '94			<u>FY '95</u>	
Expenditures:	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference
FTE	0	1.00	1.00	0	1.00	1.00
Personal Services	0	30,467	30,467	0	30,467	30,467
Operating Expenses	<u>o</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>0</u>	4,300	4,300
Total	0	35,467	35,467	0	34,767	34,767
Funding:						
Water Development Account	0	35,467	35,467	0	34,767	34,767

3

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

APPROVED BY COMM. ON NATURAL RESOURCES

INTRODUCED BY BILL NO. 280

BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY

NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION WILLIAM TO

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE 1992 STATE WATER PLAN; INCLUDING WATER QUALITY AS A CRITERION FOR WATER PERMIT, CHANGE AUTHORIZATION, CONTROLLED GROUND WATER AREA, AND BASIN CLOSURE DETERMINATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS 85-2-311, 85-2-319, 85-2-402, AND 85-2-506, MCA; AND PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE AND AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."

STATEMENT OF INTENT

A statement of intent is required for this bill because the bill gives the department of natural resources and conservation authority to adopt administrative rules. The bill adds statutory criteria for the department to consider in the processing of an application for a permit, change authorization, controlled ground water area, or basin closure. In adopting rules implementing this bill and in interpreting the new statutory language, it is the intent of the legislature that the department and board of natural resources and conservation should assess the magnitude, character, duration, and geographical extent of the

Montana Legislative Council

- projected effects and utilize this assessment in a practical manner.
 - BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
- 5 Section 1. Section 85-2-311, MCA, is amended to read:
- 6 "85-2-311. Criteria for issuance of permit. (1) Except
 7 as provided in subsections (2) (3) and (3) (4), the
 8 department shall issue a permit if the applicant proves by
 9 substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the
 10 following criteria are met:
- 11 (a) there are unappropriated waters in the source of 12 supply at the proposed point of diversion:
- (i) at times when the water can be put to the use proposed by the applicant;
- (ii) in the amount the applicant seeks to appropriate;
 and
- (iii) during the period in which the applicant seeks to appropriate, the amount requested is reasonably available;
- (b) the water rights of a prior appropriator will notbe adversely affected;
- (c) the proposed means of diversion, construction, andoperation of the appropriation works are adequate;
- 23 (d) the proposed use of water is a beneficial use;
- 24 (e) the proposed use will not interfere unreasonably
 25 with other planned uses or developments for which a permit
 - with other planned uses or developments for which a permit

has	been	issued	or	for	whi	ch	water	has	been	reserve	d;	and	
	(f)	the ap	plic	ant	has	a	posse	essor	y i	nterest,	0	r I	t he
writ	tten	consen	t of	E the	pe:	rsc	on with	n the	pos	sessory	int	ere	st,

in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial

5 use<u>;</u>

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(g) the water quality of an appropriator will not be adversely affected;

- (h) the proposed use will be substantially in accordance with the classification of water set for the source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); and
- (i) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.
- (2) The applicant is required to prove that the criteria in subsections (1)(g) through (1)(i) have been met only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one supported by substantive evidence establishing to the satisfaction of the department that the criteria in subsection (1)(g), (1)(h), or (1)(i), as applicable, may not be met.
- (2)(3) The department may not issue a permit for an appropriation of 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water unless the applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence that:
- (a) the criteria in subsection (1) are met;

1 (b) the rights of a prior appropriator will not be
2 adversely affected;

LC 0165/01

- 3 (c) the proposed appropriation is a reasonable use.
 4 Such-a A finding shall must be based on a consideration of
 5 the following:
- 6 (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
 7 well as projected demands such as reservations of water for
 8 future beneficial purposes, including municipal water
 9 supplies, irrigation systems, and minimum streamflows for
 10 the protection of existing water rights and aquatic life;
- (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 12 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water 13 for existing beneficial uses in the source of supply;
- 14 (iv) the availability and feasibility of using 15 low-quality water for the purpose for which application has 16 been made:
- 17 (v) the effects on private property rights by any 18 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
- 19 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental
 20 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the
 21 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75,
 22 chapter 20.
- 23 (3)(4) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the
 24 importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity
 25 to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water

13

14

15

16

- requirements, including requirements for reserved water 1 rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands 2 and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the 3 state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, 5 out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are 6 not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or 7 the conservation of its waters, the criteria in this 8 subsection (3) (4) must be met before out-of-state use may 9 10 occur.
- 11 (b) The department may not issue a permit for the
 12 appropriation of water for withdrawal and transportation for
 13 use outside the state unless the applicant proves by clear
 14 and convincing evidence that:
- 15 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 16 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of 17 subsection (1) or †2† (3) are met;
- 18 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not
 19 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 20 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not
 21 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens
 22 of Montana.
- 23 (c) In determining whether the applicant has proved by
 24 clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 25 subsections (3)(b)(ii) and (3)(b)(iii)

- 1 (4)(b)(iii) are met, the department shall consider the
 2 following factors:
- 3 (i) whether there are present or projected water4 shortages within the state of Montana;
- 5 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 6 application could feasibly be transported to alleviate water 7 shortages within the state of Montana;
- 8 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the 9 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use 10 the water; and
- (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the state where the applicant intends to use the water.
 - (d) When applying for a permit or a lease to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation, lease, and use of water.
- 18 (4)(5) To meet the substantial--credible preponderance
 19 of evidence standard in this section, the applicant shall
 20 submit independent hydrologic or other evidence, including
 21 water supply data, field reports, and other information
 22 developed by the department, the U.S. geological survey, or
 23 the U.S. soil conservation service and other specific field
 24 studies, demonstrating that the criteria are met.
- 25 (5)(6) An appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use,

LC 0165/01

- 1 restraint, attempted appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use, or restraint contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent, agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use, or other restraint. A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or 8 through an agent, officer, or employee, 9 appropriate, divert, impound, use, or otherwise restrain or 10 control waters within the boundaries of this state except in accordance with this section." 11
- 12 Section 2. Section 85-2-319, MCA, is amended to read:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- *85-2-319. Permit action in highly appropriated basins or subbasins. (1) The legislature may by law preclude permit applications, or the department may by rule reject permit applications or modify or condition permits issued in a highly appropriated basin or subbasin.
- (2) A rule may be adopted under this section only upon a petition signed by at least 25% or 10, whichever is less, of the users of water in the source of supply within a basin or subbasin or upon petition of the department of health and environmental sciences alleging facts under subsection (2)(d). The petition must be in a form as prescribed by the department and must allege facts showing that throughout or at certain times of the year or for certain beneficial uses:

- 1 (a) there are no unappropriated waters in the source of
 2 supply:
- 3 (b) the rights of prior appropriators will be adversely4 affected: or
- 5 (c) further uses will interfere unreasonably with other 6 planned uses or developments for which a permit has been 7 issued or for which water has been reserved; or
- 8 (d) in the case of a petition filed by the department
 9 of health and environmental sciences:
- 10 (i) the water quality of an appropriator will be
 11 adversely affected by the issuance of permits;
- 12 <u>(ii) further use will not be substantially in accordance</u>
 13 <u>with the classification of water set for the source of</u>
 14 supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); or
- 15 (iii) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 16 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
 17 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will be adversely affected by
 18 the issuance of permits.
- 19 (3) Within 60 days after submission of a petition, the 20 department shall:
- 21 (a) deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons 22 for denial;
- 23 (b) inform the petitioners that the department must 24 study the allegations further before denying or proceeding 25 further with the petition; or

LC 0165/01 LC 0165/01

1 (c) initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with 2 2-4-302 through 2-4-305.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

21

of the legislature.

- (4) Title 2, chapter 4, parts 1 through 4, govern rulemaking proceedings conducted under this section, except that in addition to the notice requirements of those parts, the department notice of the rulemaking hearing must be published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks, not less than 30 days before the date of the hearing, in a newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties in which the source is located. The department shall serve by mail a copy of the notice, not less than 30 days before the hearing, upon each person or public agency known from the examination of the records of the department to be a claimant, appropriator, or permitholder of water in the source."
- 16 Section 3. Section 85-2-402, MCA, is amended to read:
- 17 "85-2-402. (Temporary) Changes in appropriation rights.
 18 (1) An appropriator may not make a change in an
 19 appropriation right except as permitted under this section
 20 and with the approval of the department or, if applicable,
- (2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through (5) (6), the department shall approve a change in appropriation right if the appropriator proves by substantial—credible a preponderance of evidence that the

following criteria are met:

6

7

9

- 2 (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the 3 water rights of other persons or other planned uses or 4 developments for which a permit has been issued or for which 5 water has been reserved.
 - (b) Except for a lease authorization pursuant to 85-2-436 that does not require appropriation works, the proposed means of diversion, construction, and operation of the appropriation works are adequate.
 - (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
- 11 (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the
 12 written consent of the person with the possessory interest,
 13 in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
 14 use.
- 15 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves 16 salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will 17 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the 18 applicant.
- (f) The water quality of an appropriator will not beadversely affected.
- 21 (g) The proposed use will be substantially in
 22 accordance with the classification of water set for the
 23 source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1).
- (h) The ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfyeffluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with

LC 0165/01

- Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.
- (3) The applicant is required to prove that the
- 3 criteria in subsections (2)(f) through (2)(h) have been met
- 4 only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one
- 5 supported by substantive evidence establishing to the
- 6 satisfaction of the department that the criteria in
- 5 subsection (2)(f), (2)(g), or (2)(h), as applicable, may not
- 8 be met.

1

2

10

11

- 9 $+3\frac{1}{2}$ The department may not approve a change in
 - purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000
 - or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet
- 12 per second of water unless the appropriator proves by
- 13 substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:
 - (a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;
- (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding
- of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:
- 17 (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
- 18 well as projected demands for water for future beneficial
- 19 purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation
- 20 systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of
- 21 existing water rights and aquatic life;
- 22 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 23 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 24 for existing uses in the source of supply;
- 25 (iv) the availability and feasibility of using

- low-quality water for the purpose for which application has
- been made;
- 3 (v) the effects on private property rights by any
- 4 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
- 5 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental
- 6 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the
- 7 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75,
- 8 chapter 20.
- 9 (4)(5) The department may not approve a change in
- 10 purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results
- in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more
- 12 cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:
- 13 (a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing
- 14 evidence and the department finds that the criteria in
- 15 subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and
- 16 (b) the department then petitions the legislature and
- 17 the legislature affirms the decision of the department after
- 18 one or more public hearings.
- 20 importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity
- 21 to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water
- 22 requirements, including requirements for reserved water
- 23 rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands
- 24 and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the
- 25 state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also

LC 0165/01

recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must be met before out-of-state use may occur:

1

2

6 7

В

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature may not approve a change in appropriation right for the withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature approves after one or more public hearings that:
- (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met;
- (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 17 18 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens 19 of Montana.
 - (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of subsections +5>+b>+±±+ (6)(b)(ii) and (5)(b)(iii) (6)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable, the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- (i) whether there are present or projected water 25

1 shortages within the state of Montana;

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 3 proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of Montana:
 - (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use the water; and
- (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the 9 10 state where the applicant intends to use the water.
- (d) When applying for a change in appropriation right 12 to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of water.
 - +6+(7) For any application for a change appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water, the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that a change might adversely affect the rights of other persons.

t7)(8) The department or the legislature, if applicable, may approve a change subject to terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including limitations on the time for completion of the change. The department may extend time limits specified in the change approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of 85-2-312(3).

.6

terified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the change approval.

(9)(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the department or legislature or if the terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show cause why the change approval should not be modified or revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause, the department may modify or revoke the change approval.

(10)(11) The original of a change approval issued by the department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

(12) A person holding an issued permit or change approval that has not been perfected may change the place of diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage by filing an application for change pursuant to this section.

t+2+(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent. agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit. aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized change in appropriation right. A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent, officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation right except in accordance with this section. (Terminates June 30, 1999--sec. 4, Ch. 740, L. 1991.)

85-2-402. (Effective July 1, 1999) Changes in appropriation rights. (1) An appropriator may not make a change in an appropriation right except as permitted under this section and with the approval of the department or, if applicable, of the legislature.

(2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through (5) (6), the department shall approve a change in appropriation right if the appropriator proves by

substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the
following criteria are met:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the water rights of other persons or other planned uses or developments for which a permit has been issued or for which water has been reserved.
- (b) The proposed means of diversion, construction, and operation of the appropriation works are adequate.
 - (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
- (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the written consent of the person with the possessory interest, in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial use.
- 14 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves
 15 salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will
 16 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the
 17 applicant.
- 18 (f) The water quality of an appropriator will not be
 19 adversely affected.
- 20 (g) The proposed use will be substantially in
 21 accordance with the classification of water set for the
 22 source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1).
- 23 (h) The ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 24 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
 25 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.

- 1 (3) The applicant is required to prove that the
 2 criteria in subsections (2)(f) through (2)(h) have been met
 3 only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is one
 4 supported by substantive evidence establishing to the
 5 satisfaction of the department that the criteria in
 6 subsection (2)(f), (2)(g), or (2)(h), as applicable, may not
 7 be met.
- 8 (3)(4) The department may not approve a change in purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water unless the appropriator proves by substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:
 - (a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;

13

14

- (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:
- (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
 well as projected demands for water for future beneficial
 purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation
 systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of
 existing water rights and aguatic life:
- 21 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 22 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 23 for existing uses in the source of supply;
- (iv) the availability and feasibility of usinglow-quality water for the purpose for which application has

been made:

1

2

3

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (v) the effects on private property rights by any creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
- 4 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental 5 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the 6 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75, chapter 20.
 - t47(5) The department may not approve a change in purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:
 - (a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence and the department finds that the criteria in subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and
 - (b) the department then petitions the legislature and the legislature affirms the decision of the department after one or more public hearings.
 - t57(6) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water requirements, including requirements for reserved water rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, the

- out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or
- 3 the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must
- be met before out-of-state use may occur:
- 5 (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature
 6 may not approve a change in appropriation right for the
 7 withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use
 8 outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear
 9 and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature
 10 approves after one or more public hearings that:
- 11 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 12 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of 13 subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met;
- (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 16 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not
 17 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens
 18 of Montana.
- 19 (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved
 20 by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 21 subsections (5)(b)(ii) and (5)(b)(iii)
 22 (6)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable,
 23 the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- 24 (i) whether there are present or projected water
 25 shortages within the state of Montana;

1

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

85-2-312(3).

(ii) whether the water that is the subject of the proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of Montana:

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use the water; and 7
- 8 (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the 9 state where the applicant intends to use the water.
 - (d) When applying for a change in appropriation right to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of water.
 - (6)(7) For any application for a change in appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water, the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that such a change might adversely affect the rights of other persons.
- department or the legislature, 25 (7)(8) The

- applicable, may approve a change subject to such terms. 2 conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including 3 limitations on the time for completion of the change. The 5 department may extend time limits specified in the change 6 approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of
- 8 (9) Upon actual application of water to the proposed. 9 beneficial use within the time allowed, the appropriator shall notify the department that the appropriation has been 10 11 properly completed. The notification must contain a 12 certified statement by a person with experience in the 13 design, construction, or operation of appropriation works 14 that the appropriation has been properly completed in 15 substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the 16 change approval.
 - †9†(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the department or legislature or if the terms, conditions. restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show cause why the change approval should not be modified or revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause, the department may modify or revoke the change approval.
- 25 ti0)(11) The original of a change approval issued by the

10

11

13

14

15

LC 0165/01

department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

t+++(12) A person holding an issued permit or change approval that has not been perfected may change the place of diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage by filing an application for change pursuant to this section.

(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. No An officer, agent, agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner such an unauthorized change in appropriation right. No A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent, officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation right except in accordance with this section."

Section 4. Section 85-2-506, MCA, is amended to read:

*85-2-506. Controlled ground water areas -- designation or modification. (1) The board may designate or modify controlled ground water areas as provided in this part.

(2) Designation or modification of an area controlled ground water use may be proposed to the board by the department on its own motion, by petition of a state or local public health agency for identified public health risks, or by petition signed by at least 20 or one-fourth of the users (whichever is the lesser number) of ground water

in a ground water area wherein in which there are alleged to 1 be facts showing:

- (a) that ground water withdrawals are in excess of 3 recharge to the aguifer or aguifers within such the ground water area;
- (b) that excessive ground water withdrawals are very likely to occur in the near future because of consistent and 7 significant increases in withdrawals from within the ground water area;
- (c) that significant disputes regarding priority of rights, amounts of ground water in use by appropriators, or priority of type of use are in progress within the ground 12 water area;
 - (d) that ground water levels or pressures in the area in question are declining or have declined excessively; or
- (e) that excessive ground water withdrawals would cause 16 contaminant migration and-a--degradation--of-ground-water 17 quality-within-the-ground-water-area; or 18
- (f) that ground water withdrawals adversely affecting 19 ground water quality within the ground water area are 20 occurring or are likely to occur. 21
- (3) When such a proposal is thus made, the board shall 22 fix a time and place for a hearing, which time shall may not 23 be less than 90 days from the making of the proposal. The 24 place for the hearing shall must be within or as close as 25

LC 0165/01 LC 0165/01

practical to the controlled ground water area.

1

3

4

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 (4) The department shall publish a notice of the hearing, setting forth therein:
 - (a) the names of the petitioners:
- (b) the description by legal subdivisions (section, 5 township, range) of all lands included in or proposed to be 7 included in the ground water area or subarea;
 - (c) the purpose of the hearing; and
 - (d) the time and place of the hearing where any interested person may appear, either in person or by attorney, file written objections to the granting of the proposal, and be fully heard.
 - (5) Such The notice of hearing shall must be published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks not less than 30 days before the date of the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties in which the ground water area or subarea is located. The department shall also cause a copy of the notice, together with a copy of the petition, to be served by mail, not less than 30 days before the hearing, upon each well driller licensed in Montana whose address is within any county in which any part of the area in question is located; upon each person or public agency known from an examination of the records in the department's office to be a claimant or appropriator of ground water in the area in question (claimant
- appropriator meaning one who diverts, impounds, or withdraws 2 ground water and not merely one who uses or obtains ground 3 water from another who diverts, impounds, or withdraws ground water); upon the bureau; and upon the mayor or chairman of the governing body of each incorporated municipality located in whole or in part within the proposed 7 ground water area. The department may also serve notice upon any other person or state or federal agency that the department feels may be interested in or affected by the 10 proposed designation or modification of a controlled ground 11 water area. The petition need not be served on any 12 petitioner. A copy of the notice, together with a copy of 13 the proposal, shall must be mailed to each person at his the 14 person's last-known address, and such service shall--be is 15 complete upon depositing it in the post office, postage 16 prepaid, addressed to each person on whom it is to be 17 served. Publication and mailing of such the notice as 18 prescribed herein in this section, when completed, shall--be 19 deemed is considered to be sufficient notice of such the
- 21 NEW SECTION. Section 5. Rulemaking authority. The 22 department may adopt rules to implement the provisions of 23 85-2-311, 85-2-319, and 85-2-402.

hearing to all interested persons."

20

24 NEW SECTION. Section 6. Codification instruction. 25 [Section 5] is intended to be codified as an integral part

- of Title 85, chapter 2, and the provisions of Title 85,
- 2 chapter 2, apply to [section 5].
- 3 NEW SECTION. Section 7. Severability. If a part of
- 4 (this act) is invalid, all valid parts that are severable
- 5 from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this
- 6 act) is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part
- 7 remains in effect in all valid applications that are
- 8 severable from the invalid applications.
- 9 NEW SECTION. Section 8. Retroactive applicability.
- 10 [Sections 1 and 3] apply retroactively, within the meaning
- 11 of 1-2-109, to all applications for a permit or change in
- 12 appropriation right that the department has not noticed out
- 13 for objection as of [the effective date of this act].
- 14 NEW SECTION. Section 9. Effective date. [This act] is
- 15 effective on passage and approval.

-End-

3

11

12

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INTRODUCED BY GOLD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BRANKE BY NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION I PELEVILLAR

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE 1992 STATE WATER PLAN; INCLUDING WATER QUALITY AS A CRITERION FOR WATER PERMIT, CHANGE AUTHORIZATION, CONTROLLED GROUND WATER AREA, AND BASIN CLOSURE DETERMINATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS 85-2-311, 85-2-319, 85-2-402, AND 85-2-506, MCA; AND PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE AND AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."

STATEMENT OF INTENT

A statement of intent is required for this bill because the bill gives the department of natural resources and conservation authority to adopt administrative rules. The bill adds statutory criteria for the department to consider in the processing of an application for a permit, change authorization, controlled ground water area, or basin closure. In adopting rules implementing this bill and in interpreting the new statutory language, it is the intent of the legislature that the department and board of natural resources and conservation should assess the magnitude, character, duration, and geographical extent of the



projected effects and utilize this assessment in a practical
manner.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 85-2-311, MCA, is amended to read:

85-2-311. Criteria for issuance of permit. (1) Except

as provided in subsections (2) (3) and (3) (4), the

department shall issue a permit if the applicant proves by

substantial—credible a preponderance of evidence that the

following criteria are met:

- (a) there are unappropriated waters in the source of supply at the proposed point of diversion;
- (i) at times when the water can be put to the use proposed by the applicant;
- (ii) in the amount the applicant seeks to appropriate;
 and
- 17 (iii) during the period in which the applicant seeks to
 18 appropriate, the amount requested is reasonably available;

THERE ARE NO CHANGES IN THIS BILL AND WILL NOT BE REPRINTED. PLEASE REFER TO YELLOW COPY FOR COMPLETE TEXT.

SB 280

THIRD READING

March 29, 1993 Page 1 of 2

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on <u>Natural Resources</u> report that <u>Senate Bill 280</u> (third reading copy -- blue) <u>be concurred</u> in as amended.

Signed: Pick Knox, Chair

And, that such amendments read:

Carried by: Rep. Gilbert

1. Page 2, line 1.
Following: "effects"
Insert: "on the uses of water as classified"

2. Page 3, line 6. Following: "of"
Strike: "an"
Insert: "a prior"

3. Page 3, lines 16 through 20.
Strike: the second "is" on line 16 through "met" on line 20
Insert: "must contain substantial credible information
 establishing to the satisfaction of the department that the
 criteria in subsection (1)(g), (1)(h), or (1)(i), as
 applicable, may not be met. For the criteria set forth in
 subsection (1)(h), only the department of health and
 environmental sciences or a local water quality district
 established under Title 7, chapter 13, part 45, may file a
 valid objection"

4. Page 10, lines 21 through 23. Strike: subsection (g) in its entirety Renumber: subsequent subsection

5. Page 11, line 3. Strike: "through (2)(h)" Insert: "and (2)(g)"

6. Page 11, lines 4 through 8.
Strike: the second "is" on line 4 through "(2)(h)" on line 8
Insert: "must contain substantial credible information establishing to the satisfaction of the department that the criteria in subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g)"

Committee Vote:

7. Page 17, lines 20 through 22. Strike: subsection (g) in its entirety Renumber: subsequent subsection

8. Page 18, line 2.
Strike: "through (2)(h)"
Insert: "and (2)(g)"

9. Page 18, lines 3 through 6.
Strike: the second "is" on line 3 through "(2)(h)" on line 6
Insert: "must contain substantial credible information establishing to the satisfaction of the department that the criteria in subsection (2)(f) or (2)(g)"

10. Page 24, line 18. Strike: "or"

11. Page 24, line 21.

Strike: "."

Insert: "; or (g) that water quality within the ground water area is not suited for a specific beneficial use defined by 85-2-102(2)(a)."

53rd Legislature

21

22

23

24

25

1	
2	INTRODUCED BY GROSFIELD, FAGG, HALLIGAN, HARPER, GILBERT,
3	BROOKE, BECK, YELLOWTAIL
4	BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
5	NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION
6	
7	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT IMPLEMENTING THE 1992
8	STATE WATER PLAN; INCLUDING WATER QUALITY AS A CRITERION FOR
9	WATER PERMIT, CHANGE AUTHORIZATION, CONTROLLED GROUND WATER
10	AREA, AND BASIN CLOSURE DETERMINATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS
11	85-2-311, 85-2-319, 85-2-402, AND 85-2-506, MCA; AND
12	PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE AND AN IMMEDIATE
13	EFFECTIVE DATE."
14	
15	STATEMENT OF INTENT
16	A statement of intent is required for this bill because
17	the bill gives the department of natural resources and
18	conservation authority to adopt administrative rules. The
19	bill adds statutory criteria for the department to consider
20	in the processing of an application for a permit, change

authorization, controlled ground water area, or basin

closure. In adopting rules implementing this bill and in

interpreting the new statutory language, it is the intent of

the legislature that the department and board of natural

resources and conservation should assess the magnitude,

SENATE RILL NO. 280

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

1 character, duration, and geographical extent of projected effects ON THE USES OF WATER AS CLASSIFIED and utilize this assessment in a practical manner. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: Section 1. Section 85-2-311, MCA, is amended to read:

*85-2-311. Criteria for issuance of permit. (1) Except as provided in subsections (2) (3) and (3) (4), the department shall issue a permit if the applicant proves by substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that the following criteria are met:

- (a) there are unappropriated waters in the source of supply at the proposed point of diversion:
- (i) at times when the water can be put to the use proposed by the applicant;
- (ii) in the amount the applicant seeks to appropriate; and
- (iii) during the period in which the applicant seeks to appropriate, the amount requested is reasonably available;
- (b) the water rights of a prior appropriator will not be adversely affected:
- (c) the proposed means of diversion, construction, and 23 operation of the appropriation works are adequate;
 - (d) the proposed use of water is a beneficial use;
- 25 (e) the proposed use will not interfere unreasonably

- with other planned uses or developments for which a permit

 has been issued or for which water has been reserved; and
- 3 (f) the applicant has a possessory interest, or the 4 written consent of the person with the possessory interest, 5 in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
- 7 (g) the water quality of an A PRIOR appropriator will 8 not be adversely affected;

6

17

19

use;

- 9 (h) the proposed use will be substantially in
 10 accordance with the classification of water set for the
 11 source of supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); and
- 12 <u>(i) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy</u>
 13 <u>effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with</u>
 14 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.
- 15 (2) The applicant is required to prove that the

 16 criteria in subsections (1)(g) through (1)(i) have been met
 - only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is-one
- 18 supported---by--substantive--evidence--establishing--to--the
 - satisfaction--of--the--department--that--the---criteria---in
- 20 <u>subsection-{i}+q}-{i}+(h)-or-{i}+(i)-as-applicable-may-not</u>
- 21 be---met MUST CONTAIN SUBSTANTIAL CREDIBLE INFORMATION
- 22 ESTABLISHING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT THE
- 23 CRITERIA IN SUBSECTION (1)(G), (1)(H), OR (1)(I), AS
- 24 APPLICABLE, MAY NOT BE MET. FOR THE CRITERIA SET FORTH IN
- 25 SUBSECTION (1)(H), ONLY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND

-3-

- 1 ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES OR A LOCAL WATER QUALITY DISTRICT
 - ESTABLISHED UNDER TITLE 7, CHAPTER 13, PART 45, MAY FILE A
- 3 VALID OBJECTION.

- 4 (2)(3) The department may not issue a permit for an
- 5 appropriation of 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and
- 6 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water unless the
- 7 applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence that:
- 6 (a) the criteria in subsection (1) are met;
- 9 (b) the rights of a prior appropriator will not be 10 adversely affected;
- 11 (c) the proposed appropriation is a reasonable use.
- 12 Such-a A finding shall $\underline{\text{must}}$ be based on a consideration of
- 13 the following:
- 14 (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as
- 15 well as projected demands such as reservations of water for
- 16 future beneficial purposes, including municipal water
- 17 supplies, irrigation systems, and minimum streamflows for
- 18 the protection of existing water rights and aquatic life;
- 19 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 20 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 21 for existing beneficial uses in the source of supply;
- 22 (iv) the availability and feasibility of using
- 23 low-quality water for the purpose for which application has
- 24 been made;
- 25 (v) the effects on private property rights by any

1 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental
3 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the
4 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75,
5 chapter 20.

- (3)(4) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water requirements, including requirements for reserved water rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, the out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or the conservation of its waters, the criteria in this subsection (3) (4) must be met before out-of-state use may occur.
- 19 (b) The department may not issue a permit for the 20 appropriation of water for withdrawal and transportation for 21 use outside the state unless the applicant proves by clear 22 and convincing evidence that:
- 23 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 24 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of 25 subsection (1) or (2) (3) are met;

- 1 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 2 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 3 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 4 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens 5 of Montana.
- 6 (c) In determining whether the applicant has proved by
 7 clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 8 subsections (3)(b)(ii) and (3)(b)(iii)
 9 (4)(b)(iii) are met, the department shall consider the
- following factors:

 (i) whether there are present or projected water

shortages within the state of Montana;

- (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the application could feasibly be transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of Montana:
- 16 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the 17 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use 18 the water; and
- (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the state where the applicant intends to use the water.
- 21 (d) When applying for a permit or a lease to withdraw
 22 and transport water for use outside the state, the applicant
 23 shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of
 24 Montana governing the appropriation, lease, and use of
 25 water.

SB 280

SB 0280/02 SB 0280/02

9

10

supply:

cf evidence standard in this section, the applicant shall submit independent hydrologic or other evidence, including water supply data, field reports, and other information developed by the department, the U.S. geological survey, or the U.S. soil conservation service and other specific field studies, demonstrating that the criteria are met.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

restraint, or attempted appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use, restraint, or attempted appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use, or restraint contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent, agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized appropriation, diversion, impoundment, use, or other restraint. A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent, officer, or employee, attempt to appropriate, divert, impound, use, or otherwise restrain or control waters within the boundaries of this state except in accordance with this section."

Section 2. Section 85-2-319, MCA, is amended to read:

*85-2-319. Permit action in highly appropriated basins or subbasins. (1) The legislature may by law preclude permit applications, or the department may by rule reject permit applications or modify or condition permits issued in a highly appropriated basin or subbasin.

1	(2) A rule may be adopted under this section only upo
2	a petition signed by at least 25% or 10, whichever is less
3	of the users of water in the source of supply within a basi
4	or subbasin or upon petition of the department of health and
5	environmental sciences alleging facts under subsectio
6	(2)(d). The petition must be in a form as prescribed by th
7	department and must allege facts showing that throughout o
8	at certain times of the year or for certain beneficial uses

(b) the rights of prior appropriators will be adversely affected: or

(a) there are no unappropriated waters in the source of

- 13 (c) further uses will interfere unreasonably with other
 14 planned uses or developments for which a permit has been
 15 issued or for which water has been reserved; or
- 16 (d) in the case of a petition filed by the department
 17 of health and environmental sciences:
- (i) the water quality of an appropriator will be adversely affected by the issuance of permits;
- 20 (ii) further use will not be substantially in accordance
 21 with the classification of water set for the source of
 22 supply pursuant to 75-5-301(1); or
- 23 (iii) the ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy
 24 effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with
 25 Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will be adversely affected by

-8- SB 280

SB 280

the issuance of permits.

1

- 2 (3) Within 60 days after submission of a petition, the department shall:
- 4 (a) deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons
 5 for denial;
- 6 (b) inform the petitioners that the department must
 7 study the allegations further before denying or proceeding
 8 further with the petition; or
- 9 (c) initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with 10 2-4-302 through 2-4-305.
- 11 (4) Title 2, chapter 4, parts 1 through 4, govern 12 rulemaking proceedings conducted under this section, except 13 that in addition to the notice requirements of those parts, 14 the department notice of the rulemaking hearing must be 15 published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks, 16 not less than 30 days before the date of the hearing, in a 17 newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties
- in which the source is located. The department shall serve
- by mail a copy of the notice, not less than 30 days before
 the hearing, upon each person or public agency known from
- 21 the examination of the records of the department to be a
- 22 claimant, appropriator, or permitholder of water in the
- 23 source."
- 24 Section 3. Section 85-2-402, MCA, is amended to read:
- 25 "85-2-402. (Temporary) Changes in appropriation rights.

- 1 (1) An appropriator may not make a change in an 2 appropriation right except as permitted under this section
- 3 and with the approval of the department or, if applicable,
- 4 of the legislature.
- 5 (2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through
- 6 (6), the department shall approve a change in
- 7 appropriation right if the appropriator proves by
- 8 substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the
- 9 following criteria are met:
- 10 (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the
- ll water rights of other persons or other planned uses or
- 12 developments for which a permit has been issued or for which
- 13 water has been reserved.
- 14 (b) Except for a lease authorization pursuant to
- 15 85-2-436 that does not require appropriation works, the
 - proposed means of diversion, construction, and operation of
- 17 the appropriation works are adequate.
- 18 (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
- 19 (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the
 - written consent of the person with the possessory interest,
- 21 in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
- 22 use.

16

- 23 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves
- 24 salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will
- 25 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the

SB 0280/02 SB 0280/02

l applicant.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 (f) The water quality of an appropriator will not be
3 adversely affected.

thy(G) The ability of a discharge permitholder to satisfy effluent limitations of a permit issued in accordance with Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be adversely affected.

(3) The applicant is required to prove that the criteria in subsections (2)(f) through-(2)(h) AND (2)(G) have been met only if a valid objection is filed. A valid objection is—-one—-supported—-by—substantive—evidence establishing-to-the-satisfaction-of-the-department-that—the criteria—in—subsection—(2)(f),—(2)(g),—or—(2)(h) MUST CONTAIN SUBSTANTIAL CREDIBLE INFORMATION ESTABLISHING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT THE CRITERIA IN SUBSECTION (2)(F) OR (2)(G), as applicable, may not be met.

t3)(4) The department may not approve a change in purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water unless the appropriator proves by substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:

(a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;

1 (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding 2 of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:

(i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as well as projected demands for water for future beneficial purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of existing water rights and aquatic life:

8 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;

9 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water 10 for existing uses in the source of supply;

11 (iv) the availability and feasibility of using 12 low-quality water for the purpose for which application has 13 been made:

14 (v) the effects on private property rights by any 15 creation of or contribution to saline seep; and

16 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental 17 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the 18 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75, 19 chapter 20.

20 (47)(5) The department may not approve a change in 21 purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results 22 in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more 23 cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:

(a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing evidence and the department finds that the criteria in

24

1 subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- (b) the department then petitions the legislature and the legislature affirms the decision of the department after one or more public hearings.
- t57(6) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water requirements, including requirements for reserved water rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also recognizes that, under appropriate conditions, the out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must be met before out-of-state use may occur:
- (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature may not approve a change in appropriation right for the withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature approves after one or more public hearings that:
- 23 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or 24 consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of 25 subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met;

- 1 (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 2 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens of Montana.
- 6 (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved
 7 by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 8 subsections (5)(b)(ii) and (5)(b)(iii)
 9 (6)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable,
- 10 the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- (i) whether there are present or projected water shortages within the state of Montana;
- 13 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 14 proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be 15 transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of 16 Montana:
- 17 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the 18 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use 19 the water; and
- 20 (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the 21 state where the applicant intends to use the water.

(d) When applying for a change in appropriation right

23 to withdraw and transport water for use outside the state, 24 the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of 25 the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of

-13- SB 280

22

-14-

SB 280

SB 0280/02 SB 0280/02

12

13 14

20

21

25

water.

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(6)(7) For any application for a change appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water. the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that a change might adversely affect the rights of other persons.

(7)(8) The department or the legislature, i f applicable, may approve a change subject to terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including limitations on the time for completion of the change. The department may extend time limits specified in the change approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of 85-2-312(3).

(8)(9) Upon actual application of water to the proposed beneficial use within the time allowed, the appropriator shall notify the department that the appropriation has been properly completed. The notification must contain a certified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the change approval.

(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the department or legislature or if the terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show 9 cause why the change approval should not be modified or 10 revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause. 11 the department may modify or revoke the change approval.

 $\dagger \pm \theta \uparrow (11)$ The original of a change approval issued by the department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

15 titi(12) A person holding an issued permit or change 16 approval that has not been perfected may change the place of diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage 17 18 by filing an application for change pursuant to this 19 section.

t127(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. An officer, agent, 22 agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner an unauthorized change in 23 24 appropriation right. A person or corporation may not, directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent,

- officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation right except in accordance with this section. (Terminates
 - June 30, 1999--sec. 4, Ch. 740, L. 1991.)
- 85-2-402. (Effective July 1, 1999) Changes in
- 5 appropriation rights. (1) An appropriator may not make a
- change in an appropriation right except as permitted under
 - this section and with the approval of the department or, if
 - applicable, of the legislature.
- g (2) Except as provided in subsections (3) (4) through
- 10 +5+ (6), the department shall approve a change in
- 11 appropriation right if the appropriator proves by
- 12 substantial--credible a preponderance of evidence that the
- 13 following criteria are met:
- 14 (a) The proposed use will not adversely affect the
- 15 water rights of other persons or other planned uses or
- developments for which a permit has been issued or for which
- 17 water has been reserved.
- 18 (b) The proposed means of diversion, construction, and
- 19 operation of the appropriation works are adequate.
- 20 (c) The proposed use of water is a beneficial use.
 - (d) The applicant has a possessory interest, or the
- 22 written consent of the person with the possessory interest,
- 23 in the property where the water is to be put to beneficial
- 24 use.

21

3

7

R

25 (e) If the change in appropriation right involves

- salvaged water, the proposed water-saving methods will
- 2 salvage at least the amount of water asserted by the
- 3 applicant.
- 4 (f) The water quality of an appropriator will not be
- 5 adversely affected.
- 6 tg)--The--proposed--use---will---be---substantially---in
- 7 accordance--with--the--classification--of--water-set-for-the
- 8 source-of-supply-pursuant-to-75-5-301(1):
- 9 tht(G) The ability of a discharge permitholder to
- 10 satisfy effluent limitations of a permit issued :
- ll accordance with Title 75, chapter 5, part 4, will not be
- 12 adversely affected.
- 13 (3) The applicant is required to prove that th
- 14 <u>criteria in subsections (2)(f)</u> through-(2)(h) AND (2)(G)
- 15 have been met only if a valid objection is filed. A valid
- 16 objection is---one---supported---by--substantive--evidence
- 17 establishing-to-the-satisfaction-of-the-department-that--the
- 18 <u>criteria-in-subsection-(2)(f)7--(2)(g)7--or--(2)(h)</u> MUST
- 19 CONTAIN SUBSTANTIAL CREDIBLE INFORMATION ESTABLISHING TO THE
- 20 SATISFACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT THE CRITERIA IN
- 21 SUBSECTION (2)(F) OR (2)(G), as applicable, may not be met.
- 22 +3+(4) The department may not approve a change in
- 23 purpose of use or place of use of an appropriation of 4,000
- or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet
- 25 per second of water unless the appropriator proves by

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 substantial-credible a preponderance of evidence that:

2

16

17

- (a) the criteria in subsection (2) are met;
- 3 (b) the proposed change is a reasonable use. A finding 4 of reasonable use must be based on a consideration of:
- 5 (i) the existing demands on the state water supply, as 6 well as projected demands for water for future beneficial 7 purposes, including municipal water supplies, irrigation 8 systems, and minimum streamflows for the protection of 9 existing water rights and aquatic life;
- 10 (ii) the benefits to the applicant and the state;
- 11 (iii) the effects on the quantity and quality of water
- 12 for existing uses in the source of supply;
- (iv) the availability and feasibility of using low-quality water for the purpose for which application has been made:
 - (v) the effects on private property rights by any creation of or contribution to saline seep; and
- 18 (vi) the probable significant adverse environmental
 19 impacts of the proposed use of water as determined by the
 20 department pursuant to Title 75, chapter 1, or Title 75,
 21 chapter 20.
- 22 (4)(5) The department may not approve a change in 23 purpose of use or place of use for a diversion that results 24 in 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more 25 cubic feet per second of water being consumed unless:

- 1 (a) the applicant proves by clear and convincing 2 evidence and the department finds that the criteria in 3 subsections (2) and (3) (4) are met; and
- (b) the department then petitions the legislature and the legislature affirms the decision of the department after one or more public hearings.
- 7 t5†(6) (a) The state of Montana has long recognized the importance of conserving its public waters and the necessity to maintain adequate water supplies for the state's water requirements, including requirements for reserved water 10 rights held by the United States for federal reserved lands 11 12 and in trust for the various Indian tribes within the state's boundaries. Although the state of Montana also 13 recognizes that, under 14 appropriate conditions, 15 out-of-state transportation and use of its public waters are 16 not in conflict with the public welfare of its citizens or the conservation of its waters, the following criteria must 17 18 be met before out-of-state use may occur:
 - (b) The department and, if applicable, the legislature may not approve a change in appropriation right for the withdrawal and transportation of appropriated water for use outside the state unless the appropriator proves by clear and convincing evidence and, if applicable, the legislature approves after one or more public hearings that:
- 25 (i) depending on the volume of water diverted or

SB 280

SB 0280/02

- consumed, the applicable criteria and procedures of subsection (2) or (3) (4) are met;
- (ii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not
 contrary to water conservation in Montana; and
- 5 (iii) the proposed out-of-state use of water is not 6 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare of the citizens 7 of Montana.
- 8 (c) In determining whether the appropriator has proved
 9 by clear and convincing evidence that the requirements of
 10 subsections (5)(b)(ii) and (5)(b)(iii)
 11 (6)(b)(iii) will be met, the department and, if applicable,
 12 the legislature shall consider the following factors:
- (i) whether there are present or projected water
 shortages within the state of Montana;
- 15 (ii) whether the water that is the subject of the 16 proposed change in appropriation might feasibly be 17 transported to alleviate water shortages within the state of 18 Montana;
- 19 (iii) the supply and sources of water available to the 20 applicant in the state where the applicant intends to use 21 the water: and
- (iv) the demands placed on the applicant's supply in the state where the applicant intends to use the water.
- (d) When applying for a change in appropriation rightto withdraw and transport water for use outside the state,

- the applicant shall submit to and comply with the laws of the state of Montana governing the appropriation and use of water.
- t6)(7) For any application for a change appropriation right involving 4,000 or more acre-feet of water a year and 5.5 or more cubic feet per second of water, 7 the department shall give notice of the proposed change in accordance with 85-2-307 and shall hold one or more hearings R in accordance with 85-2-309 prior to its approval or denial of the proposed change. The department shall provide notice 10 11 and may hold one or more hearings upon any other proposed change if it determines that such a change might adversely 12 13 affect the rights of other persons.
- 14 (7)(8) The department or the legislature, applicable, may approve a change subject to such terms, 15 16 conditions, restrictions, and limitations as it considers necessary to satisfy the criteria of this section, including 17 limitations on the time for completion of the change. The 18 19 department may extend time limits specified in the change approval under the applicable criteria and procedures of 20 21 85-2-312(3).
- 22 t0)(9) Upon actual application of water to the proposed 23 beneficial use within the time allowed, the appropriator 24 shall notify the department that the appropriation has been 25 properly completed. The notification must contain a

SB 0280/02

certified statement by a person with experience in the design, construction, or operation of appropriation works that the appropriation has been properly completed in substantial accordance with the terms and conditions of the change approval.

t9;(10) If a change is not completed as approved by the department or legislature or if the terms, conditions, restrictions, and limitations of the change approval are not complied with, the department may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, require the appropriator to show cause why the change approval should not be modified or revoked. If the appropriator fails to show sufficient cause, the department may modify or revoke the change approval.

ti0)(11) The original of a change approval issued by the department must be sent to the applicant, and a duplicate must be kept in the office of the department in Helena.

(11) A person holding an issued permit or change approval that has not been perfected may change the place of diversion, place of use, purpose of use, or place of storage by filing an application for change pursuant to this section.

(12)(13) A change in appropriation right contrary to the provisions of this section is invalid. No An officer, agent, agency, or employee of the state may not knowingly permit, aid, or assist in any manner such an unauthorized change in

-23-

- l appropriation right. No A person or corporation may not,
- 2 directly or indirectly, personally or through an agent,
- 3 officer, or employee, attempt to change an appropriation
- 4 right except in accordance with this section."
- 5 Section 4. Section 85-2-506, MCA, is amended to read:
- 6 *85-2-506. Controlled ground water areas -- designation
 7 or modification. (1) The board may designate or modify
 8 controlled ground water areas as provided in this part.
- 9 (2) Designation or modification of an area of
 10 controlled ground water use may be proposed to the board by
 11 the department on its own motion, by petition of a state or
 12 local public health agency for identified public health
- 13 <u>risks</u>, or by petition signed by at least 20 or one-fourth of
- 14 the users (whichever is the lesser number) of ground water
- in a ground water area wherein in which there are alleged to
- 16 be facts showing:
- 17 (a) that ground water withdrawals are in excess of
- 18 recharge to the aquifer or aquifers within such $\underline{\text{the}}$ ground
- 19 water area;
- 20 (b) that excessive ground water withdrawals are very
- 21 likely to occur in the near future because of consistent and
- $\,$ 22 $\,$ significant increases in withdrawals from within the $\,$ ground
- 23 water area;
- 24 (c) that significant disputes regarding priority of
- 25 rights, amounts of ground water in use by appropriators, or

SB 280

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- priority of type of use are in progress within the ground
 water area;
- (d) that ground water levels or pressures in the area
 in question are declining or have declined excessively; or

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

- (e) that excessive ground water withdrawals would cause contaminant migration and-a-degradation-of-ground-water quality-within-the-ground-water-area; or
- 8 (f) that ground water withdrawals adversely affecting
 9 ground water quality within the ground water area are
 10 occurring or are likely to occur; OR
- 11 (G) THAT WATER QUALITY WITHIN THE GROUND WATER AREA IS

 12 NOT SUITED FOR A SPECIFIC BENEFICIAL USE DEFINED BY

 13 85-2-102(2)(A).
 - (3) When such a proposal is thus made, the board shall fix a time and place for a hearing, which time shall may not be less than 90 days from the making of the proposal. The place for the hearing shall must be within or as close as practical to the controlled ground water area.
- 19 (4) The department shall publish a notice of the *20 hearing, setting forth therein:
 - (a) the names of the petitioners;
- 22 (b) the description by legal subdivisions (section, 23 township, range) of all lands included in or proposed to be 24 included in the ground water area or subarea;
- 25 (c) the purpose of the hearing; and

- 1 (d) the time and place of the hearing where any 2 interested person may appear, either in person or by 3 attorney, file written objections to the granting of the 4 proposal, and be fully heard.
- 5 (5) Such The notice of hearing shall must be published at least once in each week for 3 successive weeks not less 7 than 30 days before the date of the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties in which 8 the ground water area or subarea is located. The department 9 shall also cause a copy of the notice, together with a copy 10 of the petition, to be served by mail, not less than 30 days 11 12 before the hearing, upon each well driller licensed in 13 Montana whose address is within any county in which any part of the area in question is located; upon each person or 14 public agency known from an examination of the records in 15 the department's office to be a claimant or appropriator of 16 ground water in the area in question (claimant or 17 appropriator meaning one who diverts, impounds, or withdraws 18 ground water and not merely one who uses or obtains ground 19 20 water from another who diverts, impounds, or withdraws ground water); upon the bureau; and upon the mayor or 21 22 chairman of the governing body of each incorporated 23 municipality located in whole or in part within the proposed ground water area. The department may also serve notice upon 24 any other person or state or federal agency that the 25

SB 0280/02

- department feels may be interested in or affected by the 1 proposed designation or modification of a controlled ground 2 water area. The petition need not be served on any 3 petitioner. A copy of the notice, together with a copy of the proposal, shall must be mailed to each person at his the person's last-known address, and such service shall--be is complete upon depositing it in the post office, postage 7 prepaid, addressed to each person on whom it is to be 9 served. Publication and mailing of such the notice as 10 prescribed herein in this section, when completed, shall--be 11 deemed is considered to be sufficient notice of such the 12 hearing to all interested persons."
 - NEW SECTION. Section 6. Codification instruction.

 [Section 5] is intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 85, chapter 2, and the provisions of Title 85, chapter 2, apply to [section 5].

NEW SECTION. Section 5. Rulemaking authority.

85-2-311, 85-2-319, and 85-2-402.

department may adopt rules to implement the provisions of

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

NEW SECTION. Section 7. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.

- NEW SECTION. Section 8. Retroactive applicability.

 [Sections 1 and 3] apply retroactively, within the meaning of 1-2-109, to all applications for a permit or change in appropriation right that the department has not noticed out for objection as of [the effective date of this act].
- 6 NEW SECTION. Section 9. Effective date. [This act] is 7 effective on passage and approval.

-End-