HOUSE BILL NO. 634

INTRODUCED BY ELLIOTT

IN THE HOUSE

FEBRUARY 16, 1993

16, 1993 INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION.

FIRST READING.

- FEBRUARY 19, 1993 COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL DO PASS AS AMENDED. REPORT ADOPTED.
- FEBRUARY 20, 1993 PRINTING REPORT.

SECOND READING, DO PASS.

FEBRUARY 22, 1993 ENGROSSING REPORT.

- FEBRUARY 23, 1993 THIRD READING, PASSED. AYES, 96; NOES, 3.
- FEBRUARY 24, 1993 TRANSMITTED TO SENATE.
 - IN THE SENATE
- MARCH 1, 1993 INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON FINANCE & CLAIMS.

FIRST READING.

- MARCH 12, 1993 COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN. REPORT ADOPTED.
- MARCH 13, 1993 SECOND READING, CONCURRED IN.
- MARCH 15, 1993 THIRD READING, CONCURRED IN. AYES, 44; NOES, 5.

RETURNED TO HOUSE.

IN THE HOUSE

MARCH 16, 1993	RECEIVED	FROM	SENATE.
----------------	----------	------	---------

SENT TO ENROLLING.

REPORTED CORRECTLY ENROLLED.

LC 1405/01

Howe BILL NO. 634 1 2 INTRODUCED BY 3

4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE 5 GOVERNOR TO CHOOSE AGENCIES FOR A PILOT PROJECT TO EXPLORE 6 AND IMPLEMENT NEW MANAGEMENT STYLES AND ORGANIZATIONAL 7 STRUCTURES; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A 8 TERMINATION DATE."

9

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. Purpose. (1) The purpose of 11 the pilot projects outlined in [sections 1 through 3] is to 12 13 encourage agencies to explore new management styles to 14 determine the most effective and efficient method of 15 management. The success of a particular management style may 16 be measured in quantitative terms, such as money saved and units of work accomplished, or in qualitative terms, such as 17 18 ease of administration and the morale and overall 19 productivity of employees.

(2) The pilot projects must give the agency the
opportunity to explore a different organizational structure
below the division level in order to determine the most
efficient and effective model by evaluating the size and
structure of the work unit and the span of control.

25 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 2. Management evaluation pilot

Bontana Iserstative Counce

project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) The governor shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts of two agencies for a pilot project to examine the efficacy of using different management methods.

5 (2) The agencies shall review the current management 6 methods and, in collaboration with the governor, implement 7 new methods for trial purposes. Ideally, the agencies would 8 presently be using different management methods.

9 (3) The following information must be documented by the10 agency during the course of the pilot project:

11 (a) the change to be implemented;

12 (b) the reasons for the change;

14

13 (c) the goal established at the outset of the project;

(d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;

15 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of 16 the project; and

17 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and 18 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated 19 goals.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Organizational structure 21 evaluation pilot project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) 22 The governor shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts 23 of two agencies, which may be the same agencies as selected 24 under [section 2], for a pilot project to examine the 25 efficacy of using a different organizational structure.

> -2- HB 634 INTRODUCED BILL

LC 1405/01

1

(2) The 1 agencies shall review the current 2 organizational structure that consists of divisions, 3 bureaus, and sections and evaluate the size and structure of 4 the work units and the span of control. The ideal span of 5 control is five to eight persons, depending on the 6 complexity level of the supervised tasks.

7 (3) The following information must be collected and8 evaluated by the agency:

9 (a) the reason for existence of the part of the
10 organizational structure, such as a division, bureau, or
11 section, and the possibility of restructuring;

12 (b) the size of the current staffing level of the work13 unit and any need for change;

(c) the need for the traditional hierarchy or the
possibility of a different structuring of the work unit; and
(d) the span of control of the work unit and any
changes necessary for a more efficient and effective use of
resources.

(4) The agency shall, in collaboration with the
governor, implement changes to the organizational structure
for trial purposes. The following information must be
documented by the agency during the course of the pilot
project:

24 (a) the change to be implemented;

25 (b) the reasons for the change;

-3-

(c) the goal established at the outset of the project;

2 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;

3 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of
4 the project; and

5 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and
6 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated
7 goals.

8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 4. Codification instruction. 9 [Sections 1 through 3] are intended to be codified as an 10 integral part of Title 2, chapter 15, part 2, and the 11 provisions of Title 2, chapter 15, part 2, apply to 12 [sections 1 through 3].

13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 5. Effective date. [This act] is
14 effective on passage and approval.

15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 6. Termination. [This act]

16 terminates June 30, 1995.

-End-

-4-

LC 1405/01

53rd Legislature

HB 0634/02

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

HOUSE BILL NO. 634 1 INTRODUCED BY ELLIOTT 2 3 "AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: 4 GOVERNOR TO CHOOSE AGENCIES FOR A PILOT PROJECT TO EXPLORE 5 AND IMPLEMENT NEW MANAGEMENT STYLES AND ORGANIZATIONAL 6 STRUCTURES: AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A 7 TERMINATION DATE." 8 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 10 NEW SECTION. Section 1. Purpose. (1) The purpose of 11 the pilot projects outlined in [sections 1 through 3] is to 12 encourage agencies to explore new management styles to 13 determine the most effective and efficient method of 14 management. The success of a particular management style may 15 be measured in quantitative terms, such as money saved and 16 units of work accomplished, or in qualitative terms, such as 17 ease of administration and the morale and overall 18 productivity of employees. 19

20 (2) The pilot projects must give the agency the 21 opportunity to explore a different organizational structure 22 below the division level in order to determine the most 23 efficient and effective model by evaluating the size and 24 structure of the work unit and the span of control.

25 NEW SECTION. Section 2. Management evaluation pilot



project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) The governor
 shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts of two
 agencies for a pilot project to examine the efficacy of
 using different management methods.

5 (2) The agencies shall review the current management 6 methods and, in collaboration with the governor, implement 7 new methods for trial purposes. Ideally, the agencies would 8 presently be using different management methods.

9 (3) The following information must be documented by the 10 agency during the course of the pilot project:

11 (a) the change to be implemented;

12 (b) the reasons for the change;

14

13 (c) the goal established at the outset of the project;

(d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;

15 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of 16 the project; and

17 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and
18 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated
19 goals.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Organizational structure 21 evaluation pilot project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) 22 The governor shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts 23 of two agencies, which may be the same agencies as selected 24 under [section 2], for a pilot project to examine the 25 efficacy of using a different organizational structure.

HB 0634/02

-2- HB 634 SECOND READING

1

1 (2) The agencies shall review the current organizational structure that consists of divisions, 2 bureaus, and sections and evaluate the size and structure of 3 the work units and the span of control. The ideal span of 4 5 control is five to eight persons, depending on the 6 complexity level of the supervised tasks.

7 (3) The following information must be collected and8 evaluated by the agency:

9 (a) the reason for existence of the part of the
10 organizational structure, such as a division, bureau, or
11 section, and the possibility of restructuring;

12 (b) the size of the current staffing level of the work13 unit and any need for change;

14 (c) the need for the traditional hierarchy or the
15 possibility of a different structuring of the work unit; and
16 (d) the span of control of the work unit and any
17 changes necessary for a more efficient and effective use of
18 resources.

(4) The agency shall, in collaboration with the
governor, implement changes to the organizational structure
for trial purposes. The following information must be
documented by the agency during the course of the pilot
project:

24 (a) the change to be implemented;

25 (b) the reasons for the change;

-3-

HB 634

2 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation; 3 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of 4 the project; and 5 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and 6 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated 7 goals. NEW-SECTION---Section-4--Codification----instruction---8 9 +Sections-1-through-3+-are-intended-to--be--codified--as--an 10 integral--part--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part-27-and-the

(c) the goal established at the outset of the project:

11 provisions--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part--27--apply--to

12 {sections-1-through-3}7

13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 4. Effective date. [This act] is
14 effective on passage and approval.

15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 5. Termination. [This act]

16 terminates June 30, 1995.

-End-

-4-

HOUSE BILL NO. 634 1 INTRODUCED BY ELLIOTT 2 З A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE 4 GOVERNOR TO CHOOSE AGENCIES FOR A PILOT PROJECT TO EXPLORE 5 AND IMPLEMENT NEW MANAGEMENT STYLES AND ORGANIZATIONAL 6 STRUCTURES: AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A 7 TERMINATION DATE." 8 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 10 NEW SECTION. Section 1. Purpose. (1) The purpose of 11 the pilot projects outlined in [sections 1 through 3] is to 12 encourage agencies to explore new management styles to 13 determine the most effective and efficient method of 14 management. The success of a particular management style may 15 be measured in quantitative terms, such as money saved and 16 units of work accomplished, or in qualitative terms, such as 17 ease of administration and the morale and overall 18 productivity of employees. 19 (2) The pilot projects must give the agency the 20 opportunity to explore a different organizational structure 21 below the division level in order to determine the most 22 efficient and effective model by evaluating the size and 23 structure of the work unit and the span of control. 24

25 NEW SECTION. Section 2. Management evaluation pilot

Hontana Leoislative Council

project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) The governor
 shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts of two
 agencies for a pilot project to examine the efficacy of
 using different management methods.

5 (2) The agencies shall review the current management 6 methods and, in collaboration with the governor, implement 7 new methods for trial purposes. Ideally, the agencies would 8 presently be using different management methods.

9 (3) The following information must be documented by the
agency during the course of the pilot project:

11 (a) the change to be implemented;

12 (b) the reasons for the change;

13 (c) the goal established at the outset of the project;

14 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;

15 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of.
16 the project; and

17 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and
18 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated
19 goals.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Organizational structure 21 evaluation pilot project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) 22 The governor shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts 23 of two agencies, which may be the same agencies as selected 24 under (section 2), for a pilot project to examine the 25 efficacy of using a different organizational structure.

-2-

HB 634

THIRD READING

.

4

the project; and

1 (2) The agencies shall review the current organizational structure that consists 2 of divisions. bureaus, and sections and evaluate the size and structure of 3 the work units and the span of control. The ideal span of ۵ 5 control is five to eight persons, depending on the complexity level of the supervised tasks. 6

7 (3) The following information must be collected and8 evaluated by the agency:

9 (a) the reason for existence of the part of the
 10 organizational structure, such as a division, bureau, or
 11 section, and the possibility of restructuring;

12 (b) the size of the current staffing level of the work 13 unit and any need for change;

14 (c) the need for the traditional hierarchy or the
15 possibility of a different structuring of the work unit; and
16 (d) the span of control of the work unit and any
17 changes necessary for a more efficient and effective use of
18 resources.

19 (4) The agency shall, in collaboration with the
20 governor, implement changes to the organizational structure
21 for trial purposes. The following information must be
22 documented by the agency during the course of the pilot
23 project:

24 (a) the change to be implemented;

25 (b) the reasons for the change;

(c) the goal established at the outset of the project;
 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;
 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of

5 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and 6 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated 7 goals.

 B
 NBW-BBCTION---Section-4.--Codification-----instruction--

 9
 {Sections-1-through-3}-are-intended-to--be--codified--as--an

 10
 integral--part--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part-27-and-the

 11
 provisions--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part-27--apply--to

 12
 {sections-1-through-3}

13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 4. Effective date. [This act] is
14 effective on passage and approval.

15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 5. Termination. [This act] 16 terminates June 30, 1995.

-End-

-3-

HB 634

-4-

HB 0634/02

1 HOUSE BILL NO. 634 1 project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) The governor INTRODUCED BY ELLIOTT 2 shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts of two 2 з. agencies for a pilot project to examine the efficacy of 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE 4 using different management methods. 4 GOVERNOR TO CHOOSE AGENCIES FOR A PILOT PROJECT TO EXPLORE 5 (2) The agencies shall review the current management 5 AND IMPLEMENT NEW MANAGEMENT STYLES AND ORGANIZATIONAL б 6 methods and, in collaboration with the governor, implement STRUCTURES; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A 7 new methods for trial purposes. Ideally, the agencies would 7 TERMINATION DATE." presently be using different management methods. 8 8 9 (3) The following information must be documented by the 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 10 10 agency during the course of the pilot project: 11 (a) the change to be implemented; NEW SECTION. Section 1. Purpose. (1) The purpose of 11 12 (b) the reasons for the change: the pilot projects outlined in [sections 1 through 3] is to 12 13 (c) the goal established at the outset of the project: 13 encourage agencies to explore new management styles to 14 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation: 14 determine the most effective and efficient method of 15 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of management. The success of a particular management style may 15 16 the project; and be measured in quantitative terms, such as money saved and 16 17 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and units of work accomplished, or in gualitative terms, such as 17 18 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated ease of administration and the morale and overall 18 19 goals. productivity of employees. 19 (2) The pilot projects must give the agency the 20 NEW SECTION. Section 3. Organizational 20 structure 21 opportunity to explore a different organizational structure 21 evaluation pilot project -- implementation -- tracking. (1) below the division level in order to determine the most 22 22 The governor shall choose a minimum of two agencies or parts efficient and effective model by evaluating the size and 23 23 of two agencies, which may be the same agencies as selected structure of the work unit and the span of control. 24 under (section 2), for a pilot project to examine the 24 25 efficacy of using a different organizational structure. NEW SECTION. Section 2. Management evaluation pilot 25 - 2 -HB 634

REFERENCE BILL

1 (2) The agencies shall review the current 2 organizational structure that consists of divisions, bureaus, and sections and evaluate the size and structure of 3 the work units and the span of control. The ideal span of 4 control is five to eight persons, depending on the 5 complexity level of the supervised tasks. 6

7 (3) The following information must be collected and8 evaluated by the agency:

9 (a) the reason for existence of the part of the
10 organizational structure, such as a division, bureau, or
11 section, and the possibility of restructuring;

12 (b) the size of the current staffing level of the work13 unit and any need for change;

14 (c) the need for the traditional hierarchy or the
15 possibility of a different structuring of the work unit; and
16 (d) the span of control of the work unit and any
17 changes necessary for a more efficient and effective use of
18 resources.

19 (4) The agency shall, in collaboration with the 20 governor, implement changes to the organizational structure 21 for trial purposes. The following information must be 22 documented by the agency during the course of the pilot 23 project:

24 (a) the change to be implemented;

25 (b) the reasons for the change;

-3-

HB 634

(c) the goal established at the outset of the project;
 (d) the measures of success to be used in evaluation;
 (e) the periodic evaluation of success or failure of
 the project; and

5 (f) the adjustments made to the project, the goals, and 6 the measures of success in order to better meet the stated 7 goals.

8 <u>NEW-SECTION-</u>-Section 4.-Codification----instruction--9 (Sections-1-through-3]-are-intended-to--be--codified--as--an
10 integral--part--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part-27-and-the
11 provisions--of--Title--27--chapter--157--part-27--apply--to
12 fsections-1-through-3].

13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 4. Effective date. [This act] is
14 effective on passage and approval.

15 NEW SECTION. Section 5. Termination. [This act]

16 terminates June 30, 1995.

-End-

HB 0634/02

-4-