HOUSE BILL 347

Introduced by Hansen

- 1/25 Introduced
- Fiscal Note Requested 1/25
- Referred to House Select Committee 1/25 on Workers' Compensation
- First Reading 1/25
- Fiscal Note Received 2/01
- 2/01 Fiscal Note Printed
- Hearing
- 2/03 2/08
- 2/08 Hearing 2/15 Tabled in Committee

LC 1116/01

1

2

HOUSE BILL NO. 347 1 2 3 4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT ELIMINATING LUMP-SUM 5 PAYMENTS BY WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURERS TO CLAIMANTS: AMENDING SECTIONS 39-71-519 AND 39-71-721. 6 MCA: AND REPEALING SECTION 39-71-741, MCA." 7 8 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: Section 1. Section 39-71-519, MCA, is amended to read: 10 "39-71-519. Settlement. The department, the uninsured 11 12 employer, the injured employee or his the employee's 13 beneficiaries, a third party who shares liability as defined 14 in 39-71-412, or a fellow employee who shares liability as 15 defined in 39-71-413 may enter into a settlement agreement 16 to finally settle the rights and liabilities under this part 17 of any or all of the parties. Such-a-settlement-is-subject 18 to-department-approval-in-accordance-with-39-71-741;" Section 2. Section 39-71-721, MCA, is amended to read: 19 "39-71-721. Compensation for injury causing death --20

20 "39-71-721. Compensation for injury causing death --21 limitation. (1) (a) If an injured employee dies and the
22 injury was the proximate cause of such death, then the
23 beneficiary of the deceased is entitled to the same
24 compensation as though the death occurred immediately
25 following the injury. A beneficiary's eligibility for



benefits commences after the date of death, and the benefit level is established as set forth in subsection (2).

. 3 (b) The insurer is entitled to recover any overpayments
4 or compensation paid in a lump sum to a worker prior to
5 death but not yet recouped. The insurer shall recover such
6 <u>the</u> payments from the beneficiary's biweekly payments as
7 provided-in-39-71-741(5).

(2) To beneficiaries as defined in 39-71-116(3)(a) 8 9 through (3)(d), weekly compensation benefits for an injury 10 causing death are 66 2/3% of the decedent's wages. The maximum weekly compensation benefit may not exceed the 11 state's average weekly wage at the time of injury. The 12 minimum weekly compensation benefit is 50% of the state's 13 14 average weekly wage, but in-no-event it may it not exceed the decedent's actual wages at the time of his death. 15

16 (3) To beneficiaries as defined in 39-71-116(3)(e) and 17 (3)(f), weekly benefits must be paid to the extent of the 18 dependency at the time of the injury, subject to a maximum 19 of 66 2/3% of the decedent's wages. The maximum weekly 20 compensation may not exceed the state's average weekly wage 21 at the time of injury.

(4) If the decedent ieaves--no does not leave a
beneficiary as defined in 39-71-116, a lump-sum payment of
\$3,000 must be paid to the decedent's surviving parent or
parents.

-2- HB 347 INTRODUCED BILL

1 (5) If any beneficiary of a deceased employee dies, the 2 right of such the beneficiary to compensation under this chapter ceases. Death benefits must be paid to a surviving 3 4 spouse for 500 weeks subsequent to the date of the deceased 5 employee's death or until the spouse's remarriage, whichever occurs first. After benefit payments cease to a surviving 6 7 spouse, death benefits must be paid to beneficiaries, if 8 any, as defined in 39-71-116(3)(b) through (3)(d).

9 (6) In all cases, benefits must be paid to 10 beneficiaries, as defined in 39-71-116.

11 (7) Benefits paid under this section may not be 12 adjusted for cost of living as provided in 39-71-702.

13 +8+--Notwithstanding--subsections-+2+-and-+3+-beginning 14 July-17-19877-through-June--307--19917--the--maximum--weekly 15 compensation--benefits--for--injury--causing--death--may-not exceed-the-state's-average-weekly-wage-of--\$299--established 16 17 July-17-1986--Beginning-July-17-19877-through-June-307-19917 the--minimum--weekly--compensation--for-injury-causing-death 18 shall-be-Sl49-507-which-is-50%-of-the-state-s-average-weekly 19 20 wage-established-July-17-19867-but-in-no-event-may-it-exceed 21 the-decedent's-actual-wages-at-the-time-of-death;"

22 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Section 3. Repealer. Section 39-71-741,
23 MCA, is repealed.

-End-

-3-

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

In compliance with a written request, there is hereby submitted a Fiscal Note for HB0347, as introduced.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION: An act eliminating lump-sum payments by workers' compensation insurers to claimants.

ASSUMPTIONS:

State Fund:

- 1. The bill is not retroactive and would affect only claims for injuries arising as of the effective date.
- 2. According to the State Fund, lump-sum settlements, under current law restricting the use of a discount, are not highly advantageous in controlling claims expenditures. Under current law, the fiscal advantage to the State Fund of lump sum settlements is the avoidance of loss adjustment expenses and reducing the risk of adverse reserve development. However, because lump-sum settlements may not be discounted, the State Fund loses investment return that would otherwise be earned.
- 3. Funds which would have been paid out in lump-sum settlements would be invested to generate income.
- 4. A longer pay-out period on settlements would tend to increase administrative cost.

Department of Labor:

- 1. The Department of Labor would no longer be responsible for reviewing lump-sum settlements under 39-71-741, MCA, although the department would continue to be responsible for reviewing lump-sum settlements for claims arising from injuries occurring prior to the effective date of this bill.
- 2. Claims not disposed by lump-sum settlements may create other workload for the department, such as mediation or other responsibilities the department may have for active workers compensation claims.

FISCAL IMPACT:

An insufficient amount of information is available within the time frame allowed for this fiscal note to calculate the impact on the State Fund. Insofar as the State Fund would agree to a lump-sum settlement only when fiscally advantageous, the inability to exercise that option is likely to have an adverse impact on the State Fund. Since lump-sum settlements may not be discounted, the adverse impact is probably minor.

The Department of Labor may experience a reduction in workload at some future date. Insufficient information exists to determine whether continuation of the active status of claims otherwise settled would create an equal amount of workload.

DAVID LEWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DATE Office of Budget and Program Planning

STELLA JEAN HANSEN, PRIMARY SPONSOR (/

Fiscal Note for HB0347, as introduced