HOUSE BILL NO. 566

INTRODUCED BY KEENAN, MILES, MENAHAN, BULGER, DARKO, RANEY, NISBET, CODY, SCHYE, LORY, REGAN, ECK, JACOBSON, VAN VALKENBURG, B. BROWN

IN THE HOUSE

- FEBRUARY 2, 1987 INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY.
- FEBRUARY 21, 1987 COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL DO PASS AS AMENDED. REPORT ADOPTED.
- FEBRUARY 23, 1987 PRINTING REPORT.

1.4

FEBRUARY 24, 1987 SECOND READING, DO PASS.

ON MOTION, RULES SUSPENDED AND BILL PLACED ON THIRD READING THIS DAY.

THIRD READING, PASSED. AYES, 99; NOES, 0.

TRANSMITTED TO SENATE.

IN THE SENATE

- MARCH 2, 1987 INTRODUCED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY.
- MARCH 18, 1987 COMMITTEE RECOMMEND BILL BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED. REPORT ADOPTED.

MARCH 21, 1987 SECOND READING, CONCURRED IN.

MARCH 24, 1987 THIRD READING, CONCURRED IN. AYES, 49; NOES, 0.

RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS.

IN THE HOUSE

MARCH 26, 1987

RECEIVED FROM SENATE.

SECOND READING, AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN.

MARCH 27, 1987

-

THIRD READING, AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN.

SENT TO ENROLLING.

Oth Legislature

LC 1068/01

1

INTRODUCED BY Keenan Miles Manufan Bulan 1 2 Darko Kany nisbet Cory Sehre Logo Re 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO PROVIDE THAT AN ACT OF JOINT CUSTORY IN A SEPARATION OR DISSOLUTION OF 5 MARRIAGE PROCEEDING IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD 6 IF THERE IS A FINDING BY THE COURT OF SPOUSE ABUSE OR CHILD 7 ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS: AND AMENDING SECTIONS 40-4-212 8 9 AND 40-4-224, MCA."

1

ι0

٤9

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
Section 1. Section 40-4-212, MCA, is amended to read:
"40-4-212. Best interest of child. The court shall
determine custody in accordance with the best interest of
the child. The court shall consider all relevant factors
including:

.7 (1) the wishes of the child's parent or parents as to18 his custody;

the wishes of the child as to his custodian;

(3) the interaction and interrelationship of the child
with his parent or parents, his siblings, and any other
person who may significantly affect the child's best
interest;

24 (4) the child's adjustment to his home, school, and25 community; and

tana Lenislative Counci

(5) the mental and physical health of all individuals involved; and

3 (6) physical abuse or threat of physical abuse by one
4 parent against the other parent or the child."

Section 2. Section 40-4-224, MCA, is amended to read: 5 "40-4-224. Joint --6 custody modification --7 consultation with professionals. (1) Upon application of R either parent or both parents for joint custody, the court 9 shall presume joint custody is in the best interests interest of a minor child unless the court finds, under the 10 factors set forth in 40-4-212, that joint custody is not in 11 12 the best interests interest of the minor child. If the court declines to enter an order awarding joint custody, the court 13 14 shall state in its decision the reasons for denial of an award of joint custody. Objection to joint custody by a 15 parent seeking sole custody is not a sufficient basis for a 16 finding that joint custody is not in the best interests 17 interest of a child, nor is a finding that the parents are 18 19 hostile to each other. A finding that one parent physically 20 abused or threatened to physically abuse the other parent or 21 the child is a sufficient basis for finding that joint custody is not in the best interest of the child. 22

(2) For the purposes of this section, "joint custody"
means an order awarding custody of the minor child to both
parents and providing that the physical custody and

-2-

INTRODUCED BILL

HB 566



LC 1068/01

residency of the child shall be allotted between the parents nuclear states and continuing contact with both parents. The allotment of time between parties shall be as equal as possible; however, each case shall be determined according to its own practicalities with the best interests interest of the child as the primary consideration.

8 (3) Any order for joint custody may be modified
9 pursuant to 40-4-219 to terminate the joint custody.

10 (4) The court may, at any time, direct the parties to 11 consult with appropriate professionals for the purpose of 12 assisting the parties to formulate a plan for implementation 13 of the custody order or to resolve any controversy that has 14 arisen in the implementation of a plan for custody."

-End-

-3+

50th Legislature

HB 0566/02

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE On Judiciary

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 566	1	person who may
2	INTRODUCED BY KEENAN, MILES, MENAHAN, BULGER,	2	interest;
3	DARKO, RANEY, NISBET, CODY, SCHYE, LORY,	3	(4) the chi
4	REGAN, ECK, JACOBSON, VAN VALKENBURG, B. EROWN	4	community; and
5		5	(5) the ment
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO PROVIDE THAT AN	6	involved; and
7	AWARD OF JOINT CUSTODY IN A SEPARATION OR DISSOLUTION OF	7	(6) physical
8	MARRIAGE PROCEEDING IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD	8	parent against the
9	IF THERE IS A FINDING BY THE COURT OF SPOUSE ABUSE OR CHILD	9	(7) CHEMICAL
10	ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS; TO ADD CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY OR	10	CHEMICAL ABUSE ON
11	ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS TO THE RELEVANT FACTORS THE	11	Section 2.
12	COURT MUST CONSIDER; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 40-4-212 AND	12	"40-4-224.
13	40-4-224, MCA."	13	consultation with
14		14	either parent or h
15	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:	15	shall presume jo
16	Section 1. Section 40-4-212, MCA, is amended to read:	16	interest of a mind
17	"40-4-212. Best interest of child. The court shall	17	factors set forth
18	determine custody in accordance with the best interest of	18	the best interests
19	the child. The court shall consider all relevant factors	19	declines to enter
20	including:	20	shall state in its
21	(1) the wishes of the child's parent or parents as to	21	award of joint
22	his custody;	22	parent seeking sol
23	(2) the wishes of the child as to his custodian;	23	finding that joi
24	(3) the interaction and interrelationship of the child	24	interest of a chil
25	with his parent or parents, his siblings, and any other	25	hostile to each o

1	person who may significantly affect the child's best
2	interest;
3	(4) the child's adjustment to his home, school, and
4	community; and
5	(5) the mental and physical health of all individuals
6	involved; and
7	(6) physical abuse or threat of physical abuse by one
8	parent against the other parent or the child; AND
9	(7) CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY, AS DEFINED IN 53-24-103, OR
10	CHEMICAL ABUSE ON THE PART OF EITHER PARENT."
11	Section 2. Section 40-4-224, MCA, is amended to read:
12	"40-4-224. Joint custody modification
13	consultation with professionals. (1) Upon application of
14	either parent or both parents for joint custody, the court
15	shall presume joint custody is in the best interests
16	interest of a minor child unless the court finds, under the
17	factors set forth in 40-4-212, that joint custody is not in
18	the best interests interest of the minor child. If the court
19	declines to enter an order awarding joint custody, the court
20	shall state in its decision the reasons for denial of an
21	award of joint custody. Objection to joint custody by a
22	parent seeking sole custody is not a sufficient basis for a
23	finding that joint custody is not in the best interests
24	interest of a child, nor is a finding that the parents are
25	hostile to each other. A HOWEVER, A finding that one parent

~2-

HB 566 SECOND READING

1 physically abused or--threatened--to--physically-abuse the 2 other parent or the child is a sufficient basis for finding 3 that joint custody is not in the best interest of the child. (2) For the purposes of this section, "joint custody" 4 means an order awarding custody of the minor child to both 5 6 parents and providing that the physical custody and 7 residency of the child shall be allotted between the parents 8 in such a way as to assure the child frequent and continuing 9 contact with both parents. The allotment of time between 10 parties shall be as equal as possible; however, each case 11 shall be determined according to its own practicalities with 12 the best interests interest of the child as the primary 13 consideration.

14 (3) Any order for joint custody may be modified
15 pursuant to 40-4-219 to terminate the joint custody.

16 (4) The court may, at any time, direct the parties to 17 consult with appropriate professionals for the purpose of 18 assisting the parties to formulate a plan for implementation 19 of the custody order or to resolve any controversy that has 20 arisen in the implementation of a plan for custody."

-End-

HOUSE BILL NO. 566 1 2 INTRODUCED BY KEENAN, MILES, MENAHAN, BULGER, DARKO, RANEY, NISBET, CODY, SCHYE, LORY, 3 4 REGAN, ECK, JACOBSON, VAN VALKENBURG, B. BROWN 5 6 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO PROVIDE THAT AN 7 AWARD OF JOINT CUSTODY IN A SEPARATION OR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE PROCEEDING IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD 8 IF THERE IS A FINDING BY THE COURT OF SPOUSE ABUSE OR CHILD 9 ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS; TO ADD CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY OR 10 ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS TO THE RELEVANT FACTORS THE 11 COURT MUST CONSIDER; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 40-4-212 AND 12 13 40-4-224, MCA." 14 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 15 Section 1. Section 40-4-212, MCA, is amended to read: 16 "40-4-212. Best interest of child. The court shall 17 determine custody in accordance with the best interest of 18 the child. The court shall consider all relevant factors 19 20 including: (1) the wishes of the child's parent or parents as to 21

22 his custody;

23 (2) the wishes of the child as to his custodian;

24 (3) the interaction and interrelationship of the child25 with his parent or parents, his siblings, and any other

Montana Legislative Councu

1	person who may significantly affect the child's best
2	interest;
3	(4) the child's adjustment to his home, school, and
4	community; and
5	(5) the mental and physical health of all individuals
6	involved:: and
7	(6) physical abuse or threat of physical abuse by one
8	parent against the other parent or the child; AND
9	(7) CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY, AS DEFINED IN 53-24-103, OR
10	CHEMICAL ABUSE ON THE PART OF EITHER PARENT."
11	Section 2. Section 40-4-224, MCA, is amended to read:
12	"40-4-224. Joint custody modification
13	consultation with professionals. (1) Upon application of
14	either parent or both parents for joint custody, the court
15	shall presume joint custody is in the best interests
16	interest of a minor child unless the court finds, under the
17	factors set forth in 40-4-212, that joint custody is not in
18	the best interests interest of the minor child. If the court
19	declines to enter an order awarding joint custody, the court
20	shall state in its decision the reasons for denial of an
21	award of joint custody. Objection to joint custody by a
22	parent seeking sole custody is not a sufficient basis for a
23	finding that joint custody is not in the best interests
24	interest of a child, nor is a finding that the parents are
25	hostile to each other. A HOWEVER, A finding that one parent

~ 2 ~

HB 566

THIRD READING

1 physically abused or -- threatened -- to -- physically -- abuse the 2 other parent or the child is a sufficient basis for finding 3 that joint custody is not in the best interest of the child. 4 (2) For the purposes of this section, "joint custody" 5 means an order awarding custody of the minor child to both parents and providing that the physical custody and 6 7 residency of the child shall be allotted between the parents 8 in such a way as to assure the child frequent and continuing 9 contact with both parents. The allotment of time between 10 parties shall be as equal as possible; however, each case 11 shall be determined according to its own practicalities with 12 the best interests interest of the child as the primary 13 consideration.

14 (3) Any order for joint custody may be modified
15 pursuant to 40-4-219 to terminate the joint custody.

(4) The court may, at any time, direct the parties to
consult with appropriate professionals for the purpose of
assisting the parties to formulate a plan for implementation
of the custody order or to resolve any controversy that has
arisen in the implementation of a plan for custody."

-End-

-3-

HB 566

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 566	1	person who may significantly affect the child's best
2	INTRODUCED BY KEENAN, MILES, MENAHAN, BULGER,	2	interest;
3	DARKO, RANEY, NISBET, CODY, SCHYE, LORY,	3	(4) the child's adjustment to his home, school, and
4	REGAN, ECK, JACOBSON, VAN VALKENBURG, B. BROWN	4	community; and
5		5	(5) the mental and physical health of all individuals
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO PROVIDE THAT AN	6	involved; and
7	AWARD OF JOINT CUSTODY IN A SEPARATION OR DISSOLUTION OF	7	(6) physical abuse or threat of physical abuse by one
8	MARRIAGE PROCEEDING IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD	8	parent against the other parent or the child; AND
9	IF THERE IS A FINDING BY THE COURT OF SPOUSE ABUSE OR CHILD	9	(7) CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY, AS DEFINED IN 53-24-103, OR
10	ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS; TO ADD CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY OR	10	CHEMICAL ABUSE ON THE PART OF EITHER PARENT."
11	ABUSE BY ONE OF THE PARENTS TO THE RELEVANT FACTORS THE	11	Section 2. Section 40-4-224, MCA, is amended to read:
12	COURT MUST CONSIDER; AND AMENDING SECTIONS 40-4-212 AND	12	"40-4-224. Joint custody modification
13	40-4-224, MCA."	13	consultation with professionals. (1) Upon application of
14		14	either parent or both parents for joint custody, the court
15	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:	15	shall presume joint custody is in the best interests
16	Section 1. Section 40-4-212, MCA, is amended to read:	16	interest of a minor child unless the court finds, under the
17	"40-4-212. Best interest of child. The court shall	17	factors set forth in 40-4-212, that joint custody is not in
18	determine custody in accordance with the best interest of	18	the best interests interest of the minor child. If the court
19	the child. The court shall consider all relevant factors	19	declines to enter an order awarding joint custody, the court
20	including, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:	20	shall state in its decision the reasons for denial of an
21	(1) the wishes of the child's parent or parents as to	21	award of joint custody. Objection to joint custody by a
22	his custody;	22	parent seeking sole custody is not a sufficient basis for a
23	(2) the wishes of the child as to his custodian;	23	finding that joint custody is not in the best interests
24	(3) the interaction and interrelationship of the child	24	interest of a child, nor is a finding that the parents are
25	with his parent or parents, his siblings, and any other	25	hostile to each other. A HOWEVER, A finding that one parent

Montana Legislative council

-2-

REFERENCE BILL

HB 566

1 physically abused or -- threatened -- to -- physically -- abuse the 2 other parent or the child is a sufficient basis for finding 3 that joint custody is not in the best interest of the child. (2) For the purposes of this section, "joint custody" 4 5 means an order awarding custody of the minor child to both parents and providing that the physical custody and 6 7 residency of the child shall be allotted between the parents in such a way as to assure the child frequent and continuing 8 9 contact with both parents. The allotment of time between 10 parties shall be as equal as possible; however, each case 11 shall be determined according to its own practicalities with the best interests interest of the child as the primary 12 13 consideration.

14 (3) Any order for joint custody may be modified
15 pursuant to 40-4-219 to terminate the joint custody.

16 (4) The court may, at any time, direct the parties to
17 consult with appropriate professionals for the purpose of
18 assisting the parties to formulate a plan for implementation
19 of the custody order or to resolve any controversy that has
20 arisen in the implementation of a plan for custody."

-End-

-3-

SENATE

March 17	10 87
***************************************	1 9

MR. PRESIDENT

We, your committee on _____ SENATE_JUDICIARY

______Third_ reading copy (<u>blue</u>) color

> Child or spouse abuse to preclude joint custody. Keenan (Brown)

be amended as follows:

	Page					
Fol	lowing	;:	"ind	ludi	ing"	
Ins	ert:	ч,	but	not	limited	to"

XXXXXXX

1

AND AS AMENDED BE CONCURRED IN

peque Chairman. Senator Mazurek