HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 61

- 4/17 Introduced
 4/17 Referred to Judiciary
- 4/18 Hearing
- 4/18 Committee Report-Bill Pass As Amended
 4/19 2nd Reading Pass
 4/22 3rd Reading Pass

Transmitted to Senate

Died in Process

LC 1938/01

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 61 INTRODUCED BY A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING AN INTERIM STUDY TO EXAMINE AND PROPOSE A SET OF JUDICIAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE 50TH

9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

в

LEGISLATURE.

11 WHEREAS, it is the proper responsibility of the 12 Legislature to statutorily define the range of sentences 13 that may be imposed upon conviction of a statutory offense; 14 and

15 WHEREAS, the current system of criminal sentencing
16 lacks specific standards to guide the discretion of judges
17 in sentencing offenders; and

18 WHEREAS, discretion in sentencing offenders extends to 19 parole boards that are empowered to set the release date of 20 an offender based upon a subjective evaluation of an 21 offender's progress toward rehabilitation; and

22 WHEREAS, the discretion exercised by judges and parole 23 boards has resulted in widespread disparity in sentencing 24 and in the time actually served by convicted felons for 25 similar offenses; and WHEREAS, public faith in the administration of criminal
 justice has been seriously weakened due to the public's
 perception that injustice exists because some offenders
 have received sentences that seemed inordinately long, while
 other offenders have served sentences that seemed too short;
 and
 WHEREAS, the Legislature can help restore the public

8 faith in our system of criminal justice by providing for
9 consistent and rational sentences.

10

11 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE

12 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

13 That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 14 study and recommend a set of judicial sentencing guidelines 15 for the district courts.

16 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee include in 17 its recommendations:

18 (1) a series of recommended standard sentence ranges
19 for all felony offenses and a system for determining
20 punishment based on reasonable offense and offender
21 characteristics;

(2) a recommended sentencing standard establishing thecircumstances in which imprisonment is proper; and

24 (3) a recommended standard to govern whether prison
25 sentences are to be served consecutively or concurrently.

-2- INTRODUCED BILL HJR 61



LC 1938/01

LC 1938/01

1 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee develop standards for determining appropriate sanctions for 2 offenders for whom imprisonment is not proper. Such 3 standards should make specific reference to noninstitutional 4 sanctions, including but not limited to the following: 5 6 (1) payment of fines; 7 (2) restitution; 8 (3) community work orders; 9 (4) work-release programs; 10 (5) community-based residential and nonresidential 11 programs; (6) incarceration in local correctional facilities; 12 13 and 14 (7) probation. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its 15 findings and recommendations to the 50th Legislature and, if 16 appropriate, draft legislation to implement 17 its 18 recommendations.

-End-

-3-

49th Legislature

HJR 0061/02

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

1	HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 61	1	WHEREAS, pu
2	INTRODUCED BY HANNAH, ADDY, SPAETH, TOWE, GOULD	2	justice has been
3		3	perception that
4	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF	4	have received se
5	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING AN	5	other offenders
6	INTERIM STUDY TO EXAMINE AND PROPOSE A SET OF JUDICIAL	6	and
7	SENTENCING GUIDELINES AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS	7	WHEREAS, th
8	AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE 50TH	8	faith in our
9	LEGISLATURE.	9	consistent and r
10		10	WHEREAS, TH
11	WHEREAS, it is the proper responsibility of the	11	COURT OF MONTA
12	Legislature to statutorily define the range of sentences	12	LEGISLATURE; AND
13	that may be imposed upon conviction of a statutory offense;	13	WHEREAS, HO
14	and	14	PAROLE PROVISIO
15	WHEREAS, the current system of criminal sentencing	15	PURPOSE OF RELI
16	lacks specific standards to guide the discretion of judges	16	PRISON, HAS BEEN
17	in sentencing offenders; and	17	BY THE GOVERNOR.
18	WHEREAS, discretion in sentencing offenders extends to	18	
19	parole boards that are empowered to set the release date of	19	NOW, THEREFORE,
20	an offender based upon a subjective evaluation of an	20	OF REPRESENTATIV
21	offender's progress toward rehabilitation; and	21	That an app
22	WHEREAS, the discretion exercised by judges and parole	22	study and recom
23	boards has resulted in widespread disparity in sentencing	23	for the district
24	and in the time actually served by convicted felons for	24	SENTENCE REVIEW
25	similar offenses; and	25	THE OVERALL SENT

iontana Legislative Council

WHEREAS, public faith in the administration of criminal justice has been seriously weakened due to the public's perception that injustice exists because some offenders have received sentences that seemed inordinately long, while other offenders have served sentences that seemed too short; and WHEREAS, the Legislature can help restore the public faith in our system of criminal justice by providing for consistent and rational sentences; AND WHEREAS, THE SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA HAS BEEN CLOSELY SCRUTINIZED BY THE 49TH

 WHEREAS, HOUSE BILL 200, MAKING PERMANENT THE EARLY

 PAROLE PROVISION ENACTED BY THE 48TH LEGISLATURE FOR THE

 PURPOSE OF RELIEVING OVERCROWDING AT THE MONTANA STATE

 PRISON, HAS BEEN PASSED BY THE 49TH LEGISLATURE AND APPROVED

 BY THE GOVERNOR.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE
 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

21 That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 22 study and recommend a set of judicial sentencing guidelines 23 for the district courts <u>AND TO STUDY THE ROLE OF THE</u> 24 <u>SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA IN</u> 25 <u>THE OVERALL SENTENCING PROCESS</u>.

-2-

HJR 61

HJR 0061/02

SECOND READING

HJR 61

1BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee include in2its recommendations:

3 (1) a series of recommended standard sentence ranges
4 for all felony offenses and a system for determining
5 punishment based on reasonable offense and offender
6 characteristics;

7 (2) a recommended sentencing standard establishing the
8 circumstances in which imprisonment is proper; and

9 (3) a recommended standard to govern whether prison10 sentences are to be served consecutively or concurrently;

 11
 (4) AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE AND SCOPE OF REVIEW OF THE

 12
 SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA AND

13 OF WHETHER ITS ROLE AND SCOPE OF REVIEW SHOULD BE MODIFIED

14 <u>TO REFLECT POSSIBLE CHANGES IN THE OVERALL SENTENCING</u> 15 PROCESS; AND

 16
 (5) ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS TO PRISON OVERCROWDING OTHER

 17
 THAN EARLY PAROLE.

18 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee develop 19 standards for determining appropriate sanctions for 20 offenders for whom imprisonment is not proper. Such 21 standards should make specific reference to noninstitutional 22 sanctions, including but not limited to the following:

23 (1) payment of fines;

24 (2) restitution;

25 (3) community work orders;

-3-

1 (4) work-release programs;

2 (5) community-based residential and nonresidential

3 programs;

4 (6) incarceration in local correctional facilities;5 and

6 (7) probation.

7 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its 8 findings and recommendations to the 50th Legislature and, if 9 appropriate, draft legislation to implement its 10 recommendations.

-End-

HJR 0061/02

		·		
1	HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 61		1	WHEREAS, public faith in the administration of criminal
2	INTRODUCED BY HANNAH, ADDY, SPAETH, TOWE, GOULD		· 2	justice has been seriously weakened due to the public's
3			3	perception that injustice exists because some offenders
4	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF		4	have received sentences that seemed inordinately long, while
5	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING AN	÷	5	other offenders have served sentences that seemed too short;
6	INTERIM STUDY TO EXAMINE AND PROPOSE A SET OF JUDICIAL		6	and
7	SENTENCING GUIDELINES AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS	•	7	WHEREAS, the Legislature can help restore the public
8	AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE 50TH		8	faith in our system of criminal justice by providing for
9	LEGISLATURE.		9	consistent and rational sentences; AND
10			10	WHEREAS, THE SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME
11	WHEREAS, it is the proper responsibility of the		11	COURT OF MONTANA HAS BEEN CLOSELY SCRUTINIZED BY THE 49TH
12	Legislature to statutorily define the range of sentences		12	LEGISLATURE; AND
13	that may be imposed upon conviction of a statutory offense;		13	WHEREAS, HOUSE BILL 200, MAKING PERMANENT THE EARLY
14	and		14	PAROLE PROVISION ENACTED BY THE 48TH LEGISLATURE FOR THE
15	WHEREAS, the current system of criminal sentencing		15	PURPOSE OF RELIEVING OVERCROWDING AT THE MONTANA STATE
16	lacks specific standards to guide the discretion of judges		16	PRISON, HAS BEEN PASSED BY THE 49TH LEGISLATURE AND APPROVED
17	in sentencing offenders; and		17	BY THE GOVERNOR.
18	WHEREAS, discretion in sentencing offenders extends to		18	
19	parole boards that are empowered to set the release date of		19	NOW, THEREPORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE
20	an offender based upon a subjective evaluation of an		20	OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
21	offender's progress toward rehabilitation; and		21	That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to
22	WHEREAS, the discretion exercised by judges and parole		22	study and recommend a set of judicial sentencing guidelines
23	boards has resulted in widespread disparity in sentencing	·	23	for the district courts AND TO STUDY THE ROLE OF THE
24	and in the time actually served by convicted felons for		24	SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA IN
25	similar offenses; and		25	THE OVERALL SENTENCING PROCESS.
	A	•		-2- HJR 61
				THIPD PRADING

THIRD READING

HJR 0061/02

HJR 61

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee include in
 its recommendations:

3 (1) a series of recommended standard sentence ranges
4 for all felony offenses and a system for determining
5 punishment based on reasonable offense and offender
6 characteristics;

7 (2) a recommended sentencing standard establishing the
8 circumstances in which imprisonment is proper; and

9 (3) a recommended standard to govern whether prison
10 sentences are to be served consecutively or concurrently;

 11
 (4) AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE AND SCOPE OF REVIEW OF THE

 12
 SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA AND

 13
 OF WHETHER ITS ROLE AND SCOPE OF REVIEW SHOULD BE MODIFIED

 14
 TO REFLECT POSSIBLE CHANGES IN THE OVERALL SENTENCING

 15
 PROCESS; AND

16 (5) ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS TO PRISON OVERCROWDING OTHER 17 THAN EARLY PAROLE.

18 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee develop 19 standards for determining appropriate sanctions for 20 offenders for whom imprisonment is not proper. Such 21 standards should make specific reference to noninstitutional 22 sanctions, including but not limited to the following:

23 (1) payment of fines;

24 (2) restitution;

25 (3) community work orders;

-3-

(4) work-release programs;

2 (5) community-based residential and nonresidential
 3 programs;

(6) incarceration in local correctional facilities; and

(7) probation.

1

4

5

6

7 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its 8 findings and recommendations to the 50th Legislature and, if 9 appropriate, draft legislation to implement its 10 recommendations.

-End-

-4-

HJR 61