HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 24

2/11 Introduced
2/11 Referred to Judiciary
2/22 Hearing
2/22 Committee Report-Bill Do Pass
2/25 2nd Reading Pass
2/27 3rd Reading Pass

Transmitted to Senate

- 3/05 Referred to Judiciary
- 3/26 Hearing
- 3/28 Tabled in Committee

JOINT RESOLUTION NO. HOUSE INTRODUCED BY OF SENATE HOUSE RESOLITION THE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA EXPRESSING SUPPORT DAKOTA'S CHALLENGE TO CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE FOR SOUTH THE FEDERALLY MANDATED LEGAL DRINKING AGE AND URGING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA TO RENDER ASSISTANCE TO SOUTH Concerts 8 Keller Wind --DAKOTA IN PURSUING ITS LITIGATION ON THE ISSUE. 9 IE Vellowta 10 11 WHEREAS, in June 1984, the Congress passed and the President signed a bill amending the Surface Transportation 12 Assistance Act of 1982 (P.L. 98-363); and 13 WHEREAS. requires the Secretary 14 this law of 15 Transportation to withhold highway funds from states allowing the purchase or public possession of an alcoholic 16 beverage by a person who is less than 21 years of age; and 17 18 WHEREAS, the State of Montana may lose more than \$5.5 million in fiscal year 1987 and more than \$11.1 million in 19 20 fiscal year 1988 in federal highway funds if the state does not comply with the federally mandated legal drinking age; 21 22 and

23 WHEREAS, the 21st amendment of the United States
24 Constitution appears to give the states exclusive authority
25 over the delivery and use of intoxicating liquors; and

na Legislative Council

1 WHEREAS, the State of South Dakota has filed suit 2 challenging the federally mandated legal drinking age as a 3 violation of states' rights guaranteed by the United States 4 Constitution (State of South Dakota v. Elizabeth H. Dole, 5 Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation, civil action 6 No. 84-5137, U.S. District Court, District of South Dakota, 7 Western Division); and

8 WHEREAS, the State of Montana has the same interest as
9 the State of South Dakota in preserving states' rights.

10

11 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 12 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

(1) That the State of Montana supports the State of
South Dakota's challenge to the constitutionality of the
federally mandated legal drinking age.

16 (2) That the Attorney General of the State of Montana
17 is urged to render such assistance as requested by the State
18 of South Dakota in pursuing its litigation on the issue.

(3) That the Secretary of State send a copy of this
resolution to the Attorneys General of the States of Montana
and South Dakota and to the Montana Congressional
Delegation.

-End-

-2- INTRODUCED BILL HJR 24

LC 1334/01

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE On Judiciary

JOINT RESOLUTION NO. INTRODUCED BY ESOLUTION OF THE SENATE HOUSE THE OF doto in moi THE STATE OF MONTANA EXPRESSING SUPPORT CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE OR SOUTH DAROTA'S CHALLENGE THE FEDERALLY MANDATED LEGAL DRINKING AGE AND URGING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA TO RENDER ASSISTANCE TO SOUTH Comment 8 Keller Wind-DAKOTA IN PURSUING ITS LITIGATION ON THE ISSUE. 9 NE Wellowta 10 WHEREAS, in June 1984, the Congress passed and the 11 President signed a bill amending the Surface Transportation 12 13 Assistance Act of 1982 (P.L. 98-363); and 14 WHEREAS, this law requires the Secretary of Transportation to withhold highway funds from states 15 allowing the purchase or public possession of an alcoholic 16 beverage by a person who is less than 21 years of age; and 17 WHEREAS, the State of Montana may lose more than \$5.5 18 19 million in fiscal year 1987 and more than \$11.1 million in fiscal year 1988 in federal highway funds if the state does 20 not comply with the federally mandated legal drinking age; 21 22 and WHEREAS, the 21st amendment of the United States

23 WHEREAS, the 21st amendment of the United States
24 Constitution appears to give the states exclusive authority
25 over the delivery and use of intoxicating liquors; and

na Legislative Counci

WHEREAS, the State of South Dakota has filed suit
 challenging the federally mandated legal drinking age as a
 violation of states' rights guaranteed by the United States
 Constitution (State of South Dakota v. Elizabeth H. Dole,
 Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation, civil action
 No. 84-5137, U.S. District Court, District of South Dakota,
 Western Division); and

8 WHEREAS, the State of Montana has the same interest as
9 the State of South Dakota in preserving states' rights.

10

11 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE12 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

(1) That the State of Montana supports the State of
South Dakota's challenge to the constitutionality of the
federally mandated legal drinking age.

16 (2) That the Attorney General of the State of Montana
17 is urged to render such assistance as requested by the State
18 of South Dakota in pursuing its litigation on the issue.

(3) That the Secretary of State send a copy of this
resolution to the Attorneys General of the States of Montana
and South Dakota and to the Montana Congressional
Delegation.

-End-

-2- SECOND READING HJR 24

3

4

10

JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 24 HOUSE INTRODUCED BY OF\ THE SENATE THE HOUSE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA EXPRESSING SUPPORT CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE ARK FOR SOUTH DAROTA'S CHALLENGE TO THE P. Clai FEDERALLY MANDATED LEGAL DRINKING AGE AND URGING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA TO RENDER ASSISTANCE TO SOUTH Concerca 8 Wind-DAKOTA IN PURSUING ITS LITIGATION ON THE ISSUE. 9 NE Villouta 10 WHEREAS, in June 1984, the Congress passed and the 11 12 President signed a bill amending the Surface Transportation 13 Assistance Act of 1982 (P.L. 98-363); and Secretary 14 WHEREAS, this 1.24 requires the of withhold highway funds from states Transportation to 15 allowing the purchase or public possession of an alcoholic 16 beverage by a person who is less than 21 years of age; and 17 WHEREAS, the State of Montana may lose more than \$5.5 18 19 million in fiscal year 1987 and more than \$11.1 million in fiscal year 1988 in federal highway funds if the state does 20 not comply with the federally mandated legal drinking age; 21 22 and

23 WHEREAS, the 21st amendment of the United States Constitution appears to give the states exclusive authority 24 25 over the delivery and use of intoxicating liquors; and

ntaria Legislatuve Counci

1 WHEREAS, the State of South Dakota has filed suit 2 challenging the federally mandated legal drinking age as a violation of states' rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution (State of South Dakota v. Elizabeth H. Dole. Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation, civil action 5 No. 84-5137, U.S. District Court, District of South Dakota, б 7 Western Division); and

8 WHEREAS, the State of Montana has the same interest as 9 the State of South Dakota in preserving states' rights.

11 NOW. THEREFORE. BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 12 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

(1) That the State of Montana supports the State of 13 14 South Dakota's challenge to the constitutionality of the 15 federally mandated legal drinking age.

16 (2) That the Attorney General of the State of Montana 17 is urged to render such assistance as requested by the State 18 of South Dakota in pursuing its litigation on the issue.

19 (3) That the Secretary of State send a copy of this 20 resolution to the Attorneys General of the States of Montana 21 and South Dakota and to the Montana Congressional 22 Delegation.

-End-

-2-

THIRD READING