HOUSE BILL NO. 585

. · ·

INTRODUCED BY GOULD, CAMPBELL

IN THE HOUSE

January 31, 1985	Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
February 16, 1985	Committee recommend bill do pass. Report adopted.
	Bill printed and placed on members' desks.
February 18, 1985	Second reading, pass consideration until 39th Legislative Day.
February 20, 1985	Second reading, do pass as amended.
	Correctly engrossed.
February 21, 1985	Third reading, passed.
	Transmitted to Senate.
IN THE S	ENATE
February 22, 1985	Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
March 25, 1985	Committee recommend bill be concurred in as amended. Report adopted.
March 28, 1985	Second reading, concurred in.
March 30, 1985	Third reading, concurred in. Ayes, 49; Noes, 0.
	Returned to House with amendments.

IN THE HOUSE

3

March 30, 1985	Received from Senate.
April 8, 1985	Second reading, amendments not concurred in.
	On motion, Conference Committee requested.
April 9, 1985	Conference Committee appointed.
April 15, 1985	Conference Committee dissolved.
	On motion, Free Conference Committee requested and appointed.
April 17, 1985	Free Conference Committee reported.
April 18, 1985	Second reading, Free Conference Committee report adopted.
	Third reading, Free Conference Committee report adopted.
	Free Conference Committee report adopted by Senate.
April 19, 1985	Sent to enrolling.
	Reported correctly enrolled.

LC 1455/01

HOUSE BILL NO. 585 1 INTRODUCED BY 2 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE SENTENCE 4 5 REVIEW PROCEDURE: PROVIDING THAT ANY INTERESTED PERSON MAY 6 PARTICIPATE IN REVIEW PROCEEDINGS; CREATING A PRESUMPTION IN 7 FAVOR OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSED; AMENDING SECTION 46-18-904, MCA." 8

9

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

11 Section 1. Section 46-18-904, MCA, is amended to read: 12 "46-18-904. Procedure upon review. (1) In each case in which an application for review is filed in accordance with 13 14 46-18-903, the review division shall review the judgment so 15 far as it relates to the sentence imposed, either increasing or decreasing the penalty, and any other sentence imposed on 16 the person at the same time and may order such different 17 sentence or sentences to be imposed as could have been 18 19 imposed at the time of the imposition of the sentence under review or may decide that the sentence under review should 20 21 stand.

(2) In reviewing any judgment, said division may
require the production of presentence reports and any other
records, documents, or exhibits relevant to such review
proceedings. The appellant may appear and be represented by

Intana Legislative Council

counsel, and the state may be represented by the county 1 2 attorney of the county in which the sentence was imposed. 3 Any other interested person, including the sentencing judge, 4 may attend and participate in the review proceedings. 5 (3) The sentence imposed by the district court is 6 presumed correct. The review division may order a new 7 sentence only upon a showing by clear and convincing 8 evidence of the impropriety of the sentence imposed. If the review division orders a different sentence, the court 9 sitting in any convenient county shall resentence the 10 defendant as ordered by the review division. Time served on 11 12 the sentence reviewed shall be deemed to have been served on 13 the sentence substituted."

-End-

-2-

INTRODUCED BILL H8585 LC 1455/01 .

49th Legislature

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

HOUSE BILL NO. 585 1 INTRODUCED BY 2 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE SENTENCE 4 5 REVIEW PROCEDURE: PROVIDING THAT ANY INTERESTED PERSON MAY 6 PARTICIPATE IN REVIEW PROCEEDINGS; CREATING A PRESUMPTION IN 7 FAVOR OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSED; AMENDING SECTION 46-18-904, 8 MCA." 9 10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 11 Section 1. Section 46-18-904, MCA, is amended to read: "46-18-904. Procedure upon review. (1) In each case in 12 13 which an application for review is filed in accordance with 46-18-903, the review division shall review the judgment so 14 far as it relates to the sentence imposed, either increasing 15 or decreasing the penalty, and any other sentence imposed on 16 the person at the same time and may order such different 17 sentence or sentences to be imposed as could have been 18 19 imposed at the time of the imposition of the sentence under 20 review or may decide that the sentence under review should 21 stand.

(2) In reviewing any judgment, said division may
require the production of presentence reports and any other
records, documents, or exhibits relevant to such review
proceedings. The appellant may appear and be represented by

Montana Legislative Council

1 counsel, and the state may be represented by the county 2 attorney of the county in which the sentence was imposed. 3 Any other interested person, including the sentencing judge, Δ may attend and participate in the review proceedings. 5 (3) The sentence imposed by the district court is presumed correct. The review division may order a new 6 7 sentence only upon a showing by clear and convincing 8 evidence of the impropriety of the sentence imposed. If the 9 review division orders a different sentence, the court 10 sitting in any convenient county shall resentence the 11 defendant as ordered by the review division. Time served on the sentence reviewed shall be deemed to have been served on 12 13 the sentence substituted."

-End-

LC 1455,01

SECOND READING

HB 0585/02

HB 0585/02

HOUSE BILL NO. 585

4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE SENTENCE 5 REVIEW PROCEDURE; PROVIDING THAT ANY INTERESTED PERSON MAY 6 PARTICIPATE IN REVIEW PROCEEDINGS; CREATING A PRESUMPTION IN 7 FAVOR OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSED; AMENDING SECTION 46-18-904, 8 MCA."

9

1

2

3

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 46-18-904, MCA, is amended to read: 11 *46-18-904. Procedure upon review. (1) In each case in 12 which an application for review is filed in accordance with 13 46-18-903, the review division shall review the judgment so 14 far as it relates to the sentence imposed, either increasing 15 or decreasing the penalty, and any other sentence imposed on 16 the person at the same time and may order such different 17 sentence or sentences to be imposed as could have been 18 imposed at the time of the imposition of the sentence under 19 review or may decide that the sentence under review should 20 stand. 21

(2) In reviewing any judgment, said division may
require the production of presentence reports and any other
records, documents, or exhibits relevant to such review
proceedings. The appellant may appear and be represented by

Montana Legislative Council

counsel, and the state may be represented by the county
 attorney of the county in which the sentence was imposed.
 Any other interested person, including the sentencing judge,
 may attend and participate in the review proceedings.

5 (3) The sentence imposed by the district court is presumed-correct ENTITLED TO DEFERENCE. The review division 6 may order a new sentence only upon a showing by clear and 7 convincing evidence of the impropriety of the sentence R 9 imposed. If the review division orders a different sentence, 10 the court sitting in any convenient county shall resentence the defendant as ordered by the review division. Time served 11 12 on the sentence reviewed shall be deemed to have been served on the sentence substituted." 13

-End-

-2-

BB 585

SENATE

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

		March 23	
MR. PRESIDENT			
We, your committee on	JUDICIARY	·	
having had under consideration	HOUSE BILL		
third reading cop (Senator Crippen)	y(<u>blue</u>) color		
REVISE SENTENCE R	EVIEW PROCEDURE		
Respectfully report as follows: That	HOUSE BILL		
be amended as fol	lows:		•
1. Title, line Following: "PROC Strike: remainde	EEDINGS;"	"IMPOSED;" on line	7
Following: "(3)'		"imposed." on line	9

AND AS AMENDED LB

BE CONCURRED IN

XXXXXXXXX

Senator Joe Mazurek

Chairman.

HB 0585/03

HOUSE BILL NO. 585 1 2 INTRODUCED BY GOULD, CAMPBELL 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE SENTENCE 4 REVIEW PROCEDURE; PROVIDING THAT ANY INTERESTED PERSON MAY 5 6 PARTICIPATE IN REVIEW PROCEEDINGS; CREATING-A-PRESUMPTION-IN FAVOR-OF-THE-SENTENCE-IMPOSED; AMENDING SECTION 46-18-904, 7 8 MCA." 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 10 Section 1. Section 46-18-904, MCA, is amended to read: 11 12 "46-18-904. Procedure upon review. (1) In each case in which an application for review is filed in accordance with 13 46-18-903, the review division shall review the judgment so 14 far as it relates to the sentence imposed, either increasing 15 or decreasing the penalty, and any other sentence imposed on 16 the person at the same time and may order such different 17 18 sentence or sentences to be imposed as could have been imposed at the time of the imposition of the sentence under 19 review or may decide that the sentence under review should 20 21 stand.

(2) In reviewing any judgment, said division may
 require the production of presentence reports and any other
 records, documents, or exhibits relevant to such review
 proceedings. The appellant may appear and be represented by

Montana Legislative Council

1	counsel, and the state may be represented by the county
2	attorney of the county in which the sentence was imposed.
3	Any other interested person, including the sentencing judge,
4	may attend and participate in the review proceedings.
5	(3) Thesentenceimposedbythedistrict-court-is
6	presumed-correct ENTITLED-TO-DEFERENCEThe-review-division
7	may-order-a-new-sentence-only-upon-a-showingbyclearand
8	convincingevidenceoftheimproprietyofthe-sentence
9	imposed: If the review division orders a different sentence,
10	the court sitting in any convenient county shall resentence
11	the defendant as ordered by the review division. Time served
12	on the sentence reviewed shall be deemed to have been served
13	on the sentence substituted."
	-End-

-2-

HB 0585/03

HB 585 REFERENCE BILL

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT Report No....1

.....April..1.7. 19..85...

..... Conference Committee on

MR. SPEAKER

Free We, your _

House Bill 585, REFERENCE COPY, salmon

met and considered Senate Judiciary Report of March 23, 1985

We recommend as follows:

1. Title, line 7. Following: "IMPOSED;" Insert: "CREATING A PRESUMPTION IN FAVOR OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSED;"

2. Page 2, line 9. Following: "imposed." "The sentence imposed by the district court is Insert: presumed correct."

And that this Conference Committee report be adopted.

FOR THE SENATE Danie. Mazúrek Galt ADOPT

REJECT

FOR THE HOUSE

Gould

Rapp-Svrcek

HOUSE BILL NO. 585 1 INTRODUCED BY GOULD, CAMPBELL 2 3 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE SENTENCE 4 REVIEW PROCEDURE; PROVIDING THAT ANY INTERESTED PERSON MAY 5 PARTICIPATE IN REVIEW PROCEEDINGS; CREATING-A-PRESUMPTION-IN 6 PAVOR-OP-THE-SENTENCE-IMPOSED; CREATING A PRESUMPTION IN 7 FAVOR OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSED; AMENDING SECTION 46-18-904, 8 MCA." 9 10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 11 Section 1. Section 46-18-904, MCA, is amended to read: 12 *46-18-904. Procedure upon review. (1) In each case in 13 which an application for review is filed in accordance with 14 46-18-903, the review division shall review the judgment so 15 far as it relates to the sentence imposed, either increasing 16 or decreasing the penalty, and any other sentence imposed on 17 the person at the same time and may order such different 18 sentence or sentences to be imposed as could have been 19 imposed at the time of the imposition of the sentence under 20 review or may decide that the sentence under review should 21 22 stand.

(2) In reviewing any judgment, said division may
require the production of presentence reports and any other
records, documents, or exhibits relevant to such review

Montana Legislative Council

1	proceedings. The appellant may appear and be represented by
2	counsel, and the state may be represented by the county
3	attorney of the county in which the sentence was imposed.
4	Any other interested person, including the sentencing judge,
5	may attend and participate in the review proceedings.
6	(3) The-sentence-imposedbythedistrictcourtis
7	presumed-correct BNTITLBB-TO-DBPERBN087The-review-division
8	mayorderanew-sentence-only-upon-a-showing-by-clear-and
9	convincing-evidenceoftheimproprietyofthesentence
10	imposed. THE SENTENCE IMPOSED BY THE DISTRICT COURT IS
11	PRESUMED CORRECT. If the review division orders a different
12	sentence, the court sitting in any convenient county shall
13	resentence the defendant as ordered by the review division.
14	Time served on the sentence reviewed shall be deemed to have
15	been served on the sentence substituted."
	- Fod-

-End-

-2-

HB 565 REFERENCE BILL: Includes Free Conference Committee Report Dated <u>9-17-85</u>