SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 24

INTRODUCED BY MAZUREK, TURNAGE

IN THE SENATE

March	21, 1983		Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
March	24, 1983		Committee recommend bill do pass. Report adopted.
March	25, 1983		Bill printed and placed on members' desks.
			Second reading, do pass.
March	26, 1983		Correctly engrossed.
March	28, 1983		Third reading, passed. Ayes, 46; Noes, 0. Transmitted to House.
IN THE HOUSE			
March	29, 1983		Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
April	5, 1983		Committee recommend bill be concurred in. Report adopted.
April	6, 1983		Second reading, concurred in.
April	7, 1983		Third reading, concurred in.
		IN THE SE	NATE
April	8, 1983		Returned to Senate. Sent to enrolling.
			Reported correctly enrolled.

Heat JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 24 1 Maguel Turuage 2 INTRODUCED BY 3 A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF 4 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING THE 5 MONTANA SUPREME COURT, IN COOPERATION WITH THE STATE BAR OF 6 7 MONTANA AND WITH STAFF ASSISTANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, TO STUDY AND PREPARE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 49TH 8 9 LEGISLATURE ON UPDATING AND CLARIFYING THE LAWS ON VENUE AND 10 STATUTES OF LIMITATION.

11

12 WHEREAS, the statutory provisions on venue and statutes 13 of limitation were enacted long ago and through the process 14 of court interpretation and changing methods of practice no 15 longer reflect on their face the present state of the law; 16 and

17 WHEREAS, the present application of law relating to 18 venue and statutes of limitation have evolved to the point 19 that their present use is logical, useful, and consistent, 20 but their statutory basis does not accurately reflect such 21 usage; and

22 WHEREAS, because rules of venue and statutes of 23 limitation are not clearly reflected in the statutes, they 24 have been the cause of needless litigation requiring the 25 Nontana Supreme Court to constantly reiterate their present 1 usage; and

2 WHEREAS, provisions relating to statutes of limitation 3 have proven to be particularly archaic because different 4 legal courses of action available today were not 5 contemplated when the statutes were written, thus leading to 6 interpretations that, while consistent over the years, are 7 not apparent from a reading of the applicable statute;

8 MHEREAS, the Supreme Court Commission on the Rules of Evidence is a body having in its membership many persons 9 10 with expertise in trial practice who are informed, both 11 academically and practically, with respect to statutory 12 provisions and court decisions on venue and statutes of 13 limitation, and the Commission would be of invaluable service in studying statutory provisions and preparing a 14 15 recommendation to conform them to present practice and 16 interpretation; and

17 WHEREAS, the State Bar of Montana has a committee to 18 study the law on statutes of limitation and the expertise of 19 the committee could be of service to the Supreme Court in 20 studying and formulating recommendations on statutes of 21 limitation.

22

23 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 24 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

25 That in order to have the statutory provisions on venue

-2- INTRODUCED BILL SJR-24 1 and statutes of limitation in the Montana Code Annotated accurately reflect the current usage and interpretations of 2 3 those laws, it is requested that the Montana Supreme Court: 4 (1) supervise a study of the provisions in the Montana 5 Code Annotated on venue and statutes of limitation to be conducted by the Montana Supreme Court Commission on the 6 Rules of Evidence, in cooperation with the appropriate 7 committee of the State Bar of Montana, and staffed by staff 8 9 attorneys of the Legislative Council; and

(2) cause draft legislation to be prepared for
 consideration by the 49th Legislature based upon such study.
 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Secretary of the
 Senate send a copy of this resolution to the Chief Justice
 of the Montana Supreme Court.

-End-

LC 0598/01

LC 0598/01

Approved by Committee on Judiciary

JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 24 1 Turnes 2 INTRODUCED BY 3 A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE 4 HOUSE OF 5 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING THE MONTANA SUPREME COURT. IN COOPERATION WITH THE STATE BAR OF 6 7 HONTANA AND WITH STAFF ASSISTANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL+ TO STUDY AND PREPARE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 49TH R 9 LEGISLATURE ON UPDATING AND CLARIFYING THE LAWS ON VENUE AND STATUTES OF LIMITATION. 10

11

HHEREAS, the statutory provisions on venue and statutes of limitation were enacted long ago and through the process of court interpretation and changing methods of practice no longer reflect on their face the present state of the law; and

HHEREAS, the present application of law relating to venue and statutes of limitation have evolved to the point that their present use is logical, useful, and consistent, but their statutory basis does not accurately reflect such usage; and

22 WHEREAS, because rules of venue and statutes of 23 limitation are not clearly reflected in the statutes, they 24 have been the cause of needless litigation requiring the 25 Kontana Supreme Court to constantly reiterate their present

1 usage; and

2 WHEREAS, provisions relating to statutes of limitation 3 have proven to be particularly archaic because different 4 legal courses of action available today were not 5 contemplated when the statutes were written, thus leading to 6 interpretations that, while consistent over the years, are 7 not apparent from a reading of the applicable statute;

.,

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court Commission on the Rules of R э Evidence is a body having in its membership many persons 10 with expertise in trial practice who are informed, both academically and practically, with respect to statutory 11 provisions and court decisions on venue and statutes of 12 13 limitation, and the Commission would be of invaluable 14 service in studying statutory provisions and preparing a recommendation to conform them to present practice and 15 16 interpretation; and

17 WHEREAS, the State Bar of Montana has a committee to 18 study the law on statutes of limitation and the expertise of 19 the committee could be of service to the Supreme Court in 20 studying and formulating recommendations on statutes of 21 limitation.

22

23 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 24 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

25 That in order to have the statutory provisions on venue

SECOND READING -2-51R24

1 and statutes of limitation in the Montana Code Annotated 2 accurately reflect the current usage and interpretations of 3 those laws, it is requested that the Montana Supreme Court: 4 (1) supervise a study of the provisions in the Montana 5 Code Annotated on venue and statutes of limitation to be conducted by the Montana Supreme Court Commission on the 6 7 Rules of Evidence, in cooperation with the appropriate 8 committee of the State Bar of Montana, and staffed by staff 9 attorneys of the Legislative Council; and

10 (2) cause draft legislation to be prepared for
12 consideration by the 49th Legislature based upon such study.
12 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Secretary of the
13 Senate send a copy of this resolution to the Chief Justice
14 of the Montana Supreme Court.

-End-

-3--

1 JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF

5 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING THE 6 MONTANA SUPREME COURT, IN COOPERATION WITH THE STATE BAR OF 7 MONTANA AND WITH STAFF ASSISTANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE 8 COUNCIL, TO STUDY AND PREPARE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 49TH 9 LEGISLATURE ON UPDATING AND CLARIFYING THE LAWS ON VENUE AND 10 STATUTES OF LIMITATION.

11

12 WHEREAS+ the statutory provisions on venue and statutes 13 of limitation were enacted long ago and through the process 14 of court interpretation and changing methods of practice no 15 longer reflect on their face the present state of the laws 16 and

17 WHEREAS, the present application of law relating to 18 venue and statutes of limitation have evolved to the point 19 that their present use is logical, useful, and consistent, 20 but their statutory basis does not accurately reflect such 21 usage; and

WHEREAS, because rules of venue and statutes of
limitation are not clearly reflected in the statutes, they
have been the cause of needless litigation requiring the
Nontana Supreme Court to constantly reiterate their present

1 usage; and

2 WHEREAS, provisions relating to statutes of limitation 3 have proven to be particularly archaic because different 4 legal courses of action available today were not 5 contemplated when the statutes were written, thus leading to 6 interpretations that, while consistent over the years, are 7 not apparent from a reading of the applicable statute;

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court Commission on the Rules of 8 9 Evidence is a body having in its membership many persons 10 with expertise instrial spractice who are informed, both 11 academically and practically, with respect to statutory 12 provisions and court decisions on venue and statutes of 13 limitation. and the Commission would be of invaluable 14 service in studying statutory provisions and preparing a 15 recommendation to conform them to present practice and 16 interpretation; and

17 WHEREAS: the State Bar of Montana has a committee to 18 study the law on statutes of limitation and the expertise of 19 the committee could be of service to the Supreme Court in 20 studying and formulating recommendations on statutes of 21 limitation.

22

23 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE

24 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

25 The lander to have the statutory provisions on venue

-2- THIRD READING SJR24 1 and statutes of limitation in the Montana Code Annotated 2 accurately reflect the current usage and interpretations of 3 those laws, it is requested that the Montana Supreme Court: (1) supervise a study of the provisions in the Hontana 4 5 Code Annotated on venue and statutes of limitation to be conducted by the Montana Supreme: Court Commission on the 6 7 Rules of Evidence, in cooperation with the appropriate committee of the State Bar of Montana, and staffed by staff 8 9 attorneys of the Legislative Council; and

(2) cause draft legislation to be prepared for
 consideration by the 49th Legislature based upon such study.
 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Secretary of the
 Senate send a copy of this resolution to the Chief Justice
 of the Hontana Supreme Court.

-End-

-3-

REFERENCE BILL

MISSING