HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 41

INTRODUCED BY WILLIAMS, HARPER, PAVLOVICH, MASUREK, ECK, FARRIS, J. BROWN

IN THE HOUSE

April 9, 1983	Introduced and referred to Committee on Labor and Employment Relations.	
April 12, 1983	Committee recommend bill do pass. Report adopted.	
	Bill printed and placed on members' desks.	
April 14, 1983	Second reading, do pass.	
	Considered correctly engrossed.	
April 15, 1983	Third reading, passed. Transmitted to Senate.	
IN THE SENATE		
April 16, 1983	Introduced and referred to Committee on Labor and Employment Relations.	
April 20, 1983	On motion taken from Committee on Labor and Employment Relations. Rereferred to Committee on Legislative Administration.	
	Committee recommend bill be concurred in. Report adopted.	
	Second reading, concurred in.	
April 21, 1983	Third reading, concurred in. Ayes, 43; Noes, 7.	

IN THE HOUSE

April 21, 1983

Returned to House. Sent to enrolling.

Reported correctly enrolled.

2 INTRODUCED BY Williams From Lathers & Brown
3

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF HONTANA REQUESTING AN INTERIM STUDY OF MONTANA'S EMPLOYMENT PREFERENCE LAWS CONCERNING VETERANS, VETERANS, SPOUSES AND DEPENDENTS, AND DISABLED CIVILIANS; AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO THE 49TH LEGISLATURE.

WHEREAS: Montana's employment preference laws for veterans, veterans' spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians, contained in Title 10, chapter 2, part 2, originally were enacted for veterans in 1921 and were amended to include disabled civilians in 1927; and

HEREAS, the preference laws have historically been interpreted to entitle tle-breaking preference in initial employment to veterans, veterans' spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians, but in the recent case of <u>Crabtree</u> <u>Va. Montana State Library</u>, a state District Court Interpreted the laws as entitling minimally qualified veterans, veterans' spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians to employment preference over all others; and

AHEREAS, the preference laws are extremely ambiguous, lacking adequate definitions with regard both to the

individuals covered and to procedures for implementing the preference; and

WHEREAS, the preference granted under these laws has historically been administered inconsistently; and

WHEREAS, Montana's public policy with regard to veterans, veterans' spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians is of great significance to all Montanans; and

WHEREAS, the 48th Legislature considered House Bill No. 378, Senate Bill No. 197, and Senate Bill No. 377, all of which pertain to this subject, and was unable to reach a consensus regarding the policy direction that must be taken, largely because the subject is highly emotional and controversial and requires decisions to be made involving the competing interests of many groups, all of which are vital to Montana's well-being; and

whereas, although the various interest groups also were unable to reach a consensus regarding the policy direction that must be taken, they did agree prior to the 48th Legislative Session on the need for clarification of the preference and procedures for administering it.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE

OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

24 That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 25 study Montana's employment preference laws for veterans, veterans* spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians and
to address the following issues:

1

2

3

5

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

- (1) what ambiguities or omissions exist in the current statutory language;
- (2) whether the preference should be used as a tie breaker between equally qualified applicants or as an entitlement for minimally qualified veterans, veterans* spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- (3) whether the preference conflicts with the constitutional and statutory rights of other groups of citizens or conflicts with the obligations of employment relations contained in collective bargaining agreements;
- (4) the purpose the preference laws are intended to serve and other possible means for serving similar purposes, including providing positive recognition to veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- including whether application of the preference should be expanded or narrowed with regard to the individuals or employers covered or in duration of eligibility; whether preference should be applied equally or prioritized among the individuals covered; and whether the individuals covered should have obligations to make their preference known and determine their eligibility for preference;
 - (b) the status of similar preference laws in other

- 1 states;
- 2 (7) the history of the implementation of Montana's
 3 laws in state, county, city, university, and other
 4 applicable government agencies; and
- 5 (3) any other issues the committee considers to be 6 relevant.
- 7 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in its study the committee R work with and seek input from representatives of all levels of government, veterans organizations, organizations 10 protecting the rights of the handicapped, women's 11 organizations, and any other interested persons or groups. SE IT FURTHER RESOLVED. that the committee make 12 13 recommendations for improving the existing preference laws 14 and report these and any other findings to the 49th 15 Legislature.

-End-

. 1

23

24

25

25

	,
1	Horese JOINT RESOLUTION NO.
2	INTRODUCED BY Williams tapes talund
3	Meguel Jarris D. Brown
4	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF
5	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF HONTANA REQUESTING AN
6	INTERIM STUDY OF MONTANA'S EMPLOYMENT PREFERENCE LAWS
7	CONCERNING VETERANS. VETERANS. SPOUSES AND DEPENDENTS. AND
8	DISABLED CIVILIANS; AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS
9	AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO THE 49TH LEGISLATURE.
10	
11	WHEREAS: Montana's employment preference laws for
12	veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled
13	civilians, contained in Title 10, chapter 2, part 2,
14	originally were enacted for veterans in 1921 and were
15	amended to include disabled civilians in 1927; and
16	WHEREAS, the preference laws have historically been
17	interpreted to entitle tie-breaking preference in initial
18	employment to veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents,
19	and disabled civilians, but in the recent case of <u>Crabtree</u>
20	www.Montana State Library, a state District Court interpreted
21	the laws as entitling minimally qualified veterans,
22	veterans' spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians to
23	employment preference over all others; and
24	#HEREAS, the preference laws are extremely ambiguous.

lacking adequate definitions with regard both to the

2 preference: and 3 WHEREAS, the preference granted under these laws has historically been administered inconsistently; and 5 WHEREAS, Montana's public policy with regard to veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled 6 7 civilians is of great significance to all Montanans; and в WHEREAS, the 48th Legislature considered House Bill No. 9 378. Senate Bill No. 197, and Senate Bill No. 377, all of 10 which pertain to this subject, and was unable to reach a 11 consensus regarding the policy direction that must be taken, 12 largely because the subject is highly emotional and 13 controversial and requires decisions to be made involving 14 the competing interests of many groups, all of which are 15 vital to Montana's well-being; and 16 WHEREAS, although the various interest groups also were 17 unable to reach a consensus regarding the policy direction 18 that must be taken, they did agree prior to the 48th 19 Legislative Session on the need for clarification of the 20 preference and procedures for administering it. 21 22 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE

OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

individuals covered and to procedures for implementing the

study Montana's employment preference laws for veterans,

That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to

1

15

Legislature.

states:

veterans* spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians and to address the following issues:

. 1

2

:3

4

5

6

7

B

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (1) what ambiguitles or omissions exist in the current statutory language;
- (2) whether the preference should be used as a tie breaker between equally qualified applicants or as an entitlement for minimally qualified veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- (3) whether the preference conflicts with the constitutional and statutory rights of other groups of citizens or conflicts with the obligations of employment relations contained in collective bargaining agreements:
- (4) the purpose the preference laws are intended to serve and other possible means for serving similar purposes, including providing positive recognition to veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- (5) the extent to which preference should be applied, including whether application of the preference should be expanded or narrowed with regard to the individuals or employers covered or in duration of eligibility; whether preference should be applied equally or prioritized among the individuals covered; and whether the individuals covered should have obligations to make their preference known and determine their eligibility for preference;
 - (6) the status of similar preference laws in other

2 (7) the history of the implementation of Montana's 3 laws in state, county, city, university, and other applicable government agencies; and (8) any other issues the committee considers to be relevant. 7. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in its study the committee work with and seek input from representatives of all levels 9 of government, veterans organizations, organizations protecting the 10 rights of the handicapped. organizations, and any other interested persons or groups. 11 12 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee make 13 recommendations for improving the existing preference laws 14 and report these and any other findings to the 49th

-End-

i	HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 41
2	INTRODUCED BY WILLIAMS, HARPER, PAVLOVICH,
3	MAZUREK, ECK, FARRIS, J. BROWN
4	
5	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF
6	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA REQUESTING AN
7	INTERIM STUDY OF MONTANA'S EMPLOYMENT PREFERENCE LAWS
8	CONCERNING VETERANS, VETERANS, SPOUSES AND DEPENDENTS, AND
9	DISABLED CIVILIANS; AND REQUIRING A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS
10	AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY TO THE 49TH LEGISLATURE.
11	
12	WHEREAS, Montana's employment preference laws for
13	veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled
14	civilians, contained in Title 10, chapter 2, part 2,
15	originally were enacted for veterans in 1921 and were
16	amended to include disabled civilians in 1927; and
17	WHEREAS, the preference laws have historically been
18	interpreted to entitle tie-breaking preference in initial
19	employment to veterans, veterans spouses and dependents,
20	and disabled civilians, but in the recent case of <u>Crabtree</u>
21	ve_Sontana_State_Library, a state District Court interpreted
22	the laws as entitling minimally qualified veterans,
23	veterans* spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians to
24	employment preference over all others; and
25	WHEREAS, the preference laws are extremely ambiguous.

WHEREAS, the preference laws are extremely ambiguous.

1	lacking adequate definitions with regard both to th
2	individuals covered and to procedures for implementing the
3	preference; and
4	WHEREAS, the preference granted under these laws has
5	historically been administered inconsistently; and
6	WHEREAS, Montana's public policy with regard to
7	veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled
8	civilians is of great significance to all Montanans; and
9	WHEREAS, the 48th Legislature considered House Bill No.
10	378, Senate Bill No. 197, and Senate Bill No. 377, all of
11	which pertain to this subject, and was unable to reach a
12	consensus regarding the policy direction that must be taken
13	largely because the subject is highly emotional and
14	controversial and requires decisions to be made involving
15	the competing interests of many groups, all of which are
16	vital to Montana's well-being; and
17	WHEREAS, although the various interest groups also were
18	unable to reach a consensus regarding the policy direction
19	that must be taken, they did agree prior to the 48th
20	Legislative Session on the need for clarification of the
21	preference and procedures for administering it.
22	
23	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE

That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to

OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

24

25

study Montana's employment preference laws for veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians and to address the following issues:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (1) what ambiguities or omissions exist in the current statutory language;
- (2) whether the preference should be used as a tie breaker between equally qualified applicants or as an entitlement for minimally qualified veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- (3) whether the preference conflicts with the constitutional and statutory rights of other groups of citizens or conflicts with the obligations of employment relations contained in collective bargaining agreements;
- (4) the purpose the preference laws are intended to serve and other possible means for serving similar purposes, including providing positive recognition to veterans, veterans, spouses and dependents, and disabled civilians;
- (5) the extent to which preference should be applied, including whether application of the preference should be expanded or narrowed with regard to the individuals or employers covered or in duration of eligibility; whether preference should be applied equally or prioritized among the individuals covered; and whether the individuals covered should have obligations to make their preference known and determine their eligibility for preference;

1 (6) the status of similar preference laws in other 2 states:

(7) the history of the implementation of Montana's
 laws in state, county, city, university, and other
 applicable government agencies; and

6 (8) any other issues the committee considers to be 7 relevant.

8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in its study the committee
9 work with and seek input from representatives of all levels
10 of government, veterans organizations, organizations
11 protecting the rights of the handicapped, women's
12 organizations, and any other interested persons or groups.
13 SE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee make

13 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee make recommendations for improving the existing preference laws and report these and any other findings to the 49th Legislature.

-End-

HJR 41

REFERENCE BILL MISSING