HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 64

INTRODUCED BY JACOBSEN, LUND, SHONTZ, HOLLIDAY, MCBRIDE, OBERG, ELLISON, BENGTSON, ABRAMS, MARKS, KEMMIS, QUILICI, D. BROWN, SCHULTZ, ROTH, THOFT, CONROY, R. MANNING, NEUMAN, MENAHAN, HUENNEKENS, ZABROCKI, VINCENT, O'CONNELL, VINGER, DEVLIN, BENNETT, YARDLEY, KENNERLY, IVERSON, HARRINGTON NILSON, HARPER, C. SMITH, SHELDEN, TEAGUE, FAGG, UNDERDAL, ANDERSON, BERGENE, ERNST, SWITZER, SALES, MANUEL, J. RYAN, FEDA, MCLANE, WALLIN, METCALF, PAVLOVICH, DUSSAULT, BERTELSEN, FABREGA, ASAY

IN THE HOUSE

March 30, 1981

April 2, 1981

April 3, 1981

April 7, 1981

April 9, 1981

Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.

Committee recommend bill do pass as amended. Report adopted.

Bill printed and placed on members' desks.

Motion pass consideration.

Second reading, do pass.

On motion rules suspended and bill placed on third reading this day.

Third reading, passed. Ayes, 91; Noes, 2. Transmitted to Senate.

IN THE SENATE

April	10,	1981	Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
April	16,	1981	Committee recommend bill be concurred in. Report adopted.
April	17,	1981	Second reading, concurred in.

April 17, 1981

On motion rules suspended. Bill placed on calendar for third reading this day.

Third reading, concurred in. Ayes, 48; Noes, 0.

IN THE HOUSE

April 20, 1981

Returned from Senate. Concurred in. Sent to enrolling.

Reported correctly enrolled.

LC 1527/01

1 own profit; and

9

2 WHEREAS, private landowners are concerned about the 3 continued viability of their agricultural operations as 4 sections of their land increasingly are taken through 5 eminent domain proceedings; and

6 WHEREAS, private landowners generally are concerned
7 about the overall process used in the taking of their land
8 by means of eminent domain.

10 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 11 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

12 That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 13 study all aspects of Montana's laws regarding eminent 14 domain. Such a study shall include but not be limited to an 15 analysis of:

(1) what constitutes an appropriate public use, with
particular consideration given to the granting of the power
of eminent domain to a private interest for private profit;
(2) the criteria for assessing compensation to
landowners;

21 (3) the method of payment to landowners;

22 (4) what constitutes an appropriate compensation for

23 the conduct of surveys on private land;

24 (5) the overall procedure used for exercising eminent25 domain;

INTRODUCED BILL HJR64

Kemmer XI THOFT mcfore Conro Koth SENATE AND RESOLUTION OF THE HOUSE ZABROCKI ÛF VINCENT REQUESTIAN MONTANA TO OF ALL ASPECTS OF HONTANA'S. STUDY 1 4 4 ENINENT DOMAIN. Harris 7 .9 \cap WHEREAS, "eminent domain" is defined in 70-30-101. MrA a 10 as "the right of the state to take private property for 11 public use"; and a Manuel 12 WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is 13 necessary function of the state in order to promote the general welfare and provide for the public good; and 14 15 WHEREAS, the authority of the sovereign state to take 16 private property for public use raises fundamental questions about the rights of private property owners; and 17 13 WHEREAS, Montana statutes grant the power of eminent 19 domain to private interests under certain circumstances; and 20 WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is 21 increasingly jeopardizing the rights of private landowners 22 and the preservation of agricultural land; and 23 WHEREAS, the potential for serious conflict is 24 increasing between those with agricultural interests and 25 those who might use the power of eminent domain for their

JOINT RESOLUTION NO.

LC 1527/01

(6) alternatives for providing a process for notifying landowners of their rights; (7) the factors considered in determining the highest and best use of the land in an eminent domain proceeding; (8) the possibility of limiting the use of eminent domain in certain instances and eliminating its use in others; (9) the desirability of compensating landowners for the increase in operating costs incurred when certain land is removed from their control; (10) generally what is and what should be compensable under the laws of eminent domain; (11) the feasibility of establishing annual payments with an option for renegotiation of the payments as the value of the land changes; (12) the relationship between the laws of eminent domain and the Montana Environmental Policy Act; and (13) the advantages and disadvantages of granting the power of eminent domain for a project before all necessary permits and other legal proceedings have been obtained and completed. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its activities, findings, and recommendations to the 48th Legislature. -End-

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

-3-

25

Approved by Committee on Judiciary

1 HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 64 2 INTRODUCED BY JACOBSEN, LUND, SHONTZ, HOLLIDAY, 3 MCBRIDE, OBERG, ELLISON, BENGTSON, ABRAMS, MARKS, KEMMIS, QUILICI, D. BROWN, SCHULTZ, ROTH, THOFT, 4 5 CONROY, R. MANNING, NEUMAN, MENAHAN, HUENNEKENS, 6 ZABROCKI, VINCENT, O'CONNELL, VINGER, DEVLIN, 7 BENNETT, YARDLEY, KENNERLY, IVERSON, HARRINGTON, 8 NILSON, HARPER, C. SMITH, SHELDEN, TEAGUE, FAGG, UNDERDAL, ANDERSON, BERGENE, ERNST, SWITZER, 9 10 SALES. MANUEL. J. RYAN, FEDA. MCLANE, WALLIN, METCALF PAVLOVICH, DUSSAULT, BERTELSEN, FABREGA, ASAY 11 12 A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF 13 14 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA TO REQUEST AN INTERIM STUDY OF ALL ASPECTS OF MONTANA'S LAWS RELATING TO 15 EMINENT DOMAIN-16 17 WHEREAS, "eminent domain" is defined in 70-30-101, MCA. 18 19 as "the right of the state to take private property for 20 public use"; and WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is a 21 necessary function of the state in order to promote the 22 general welfare and provide for the public good; and 23 WHEREAS, the authority of the sovereign state to take 24

private property for public use raises fundamental questions

1 about THE APPROPRIATE BALANCE BETWEEN NECESSARY PUBLIC USES 2 AND the rights of private property owners; and 3 WHEREAS, Montana statutes grant the power of eminent 4 domain to private interests under certain circumstances; and 5 WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is 6 increasingly jeopardizing the rights of private landowners 7 and the preservation of agricultural land; and 8 WHEREAS, the potential for serious conflict is 9 increasing between those with agricultural PRIVATE PROPERTY 10 interests and those who might use the power of eminent 11 domain for-their-own-profit: and 12 WHEREAS, private landowners are concerned about the 13 continued viability of their agricultural operations as 14 sections of their land increasingly are taken through 15 eminent domain proceedings; and 16 WHEREAS, private landowners AND CONDEMNERS generally 17 are concerned about the overall process used in the taking 18 of their land by means of eminent domain. 19 20 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE 21 OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 22 That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 23 study all aspects of Montana's laws regarding eminent 24 domain. Such a study shall include but not be limited to an 25 analysis of:

-2-

HJR 64

SECOND READING

HJR 0064/02

Ł (1) what constitutes an appropriate public user-with Z particular-consideration-given-to-the-granting-of-the--power з of--eminent-domain-to-a-private-interest-for-private-profit; 4 (2) the criteria for assessing compensation to 5 landowners; 6 (3) the method of payment to landowners; 7 (4) what constitutes an appropriate compensation for 8 the conduct of surveys on private land; 9 (5) the overall procedure used for exercising eminent 10 domain; (6) alternatives for providing a process for notifying 11 12 landowners of their rights; 13 (7) the factors considered in determining the highest and best use of the land in an eminent domain proceeding; 14 15 (8) the possibility of limiting, EXPANDING, OR 16 ELIMINATING the use of eminent domain in certain instances 17 and-eliminating-its-use-in-others; (9) the desirability of compensating landowners for 18 19 the increase in operating costs incurred when certain land 20 is removed from their control; 21 (10) generally what is and what should be compensable 22 under the laws of eminent domain; 23 (11) the feasibility of establishing annual payments with an option for renegotiation of the payments as the 24 25 value of the land changes; -3-HJR 64

1 (12) the relationship between the laws of eminent 2 domain and, the Montana Environmental Policy Act, AND THE MONTANA MAJOR FACILITY SITING ACT; and 3

4 (13) the advantages and disadvantages of granting the 5 power of eminent domain for a project before all necessary permits and other legal proceedings have been obtained and 6 7 completed.

8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its 9 activities, findings, and recommendations to the 48th 10 Legislature.

-End-

-4-

HJR 0064/02

-

l	HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION ND. 64	1
2	INTRODUCED BY JACOBSEN. LUND, SHONTZ, HOLLIDAY,	2
3	NCBRIDE, OBERG, ELLISON, BENGTSON, ABRAMS, MARKS,	3
4	KEMMIS, QUILICI, D. BROWN, SCHULTZ, ROTH, THOFT,	4
5	CONROY, R. MANNING, NEUMAN, MENAHAN, HUENNEKENS,	5
6	ZABROCKI, VINCENT, O'CONNELL, VINGER, DEVLIN,	6
7	8ENNETT, YARDLEY, KENNERLY, IVERSON, HARRINGTON,	7
8	NILSON, HARPER, C. SMITH, SHELDEN, TEAGUE, FAGG,	8
9	UNDERDAL, ANDERSON, BERGENE, ERNST, SWITZER,	9
10	SALES, MANUEL, J. RYAN, FEDA, MCLANE, WALLIN, METCALF	10
11	PAVLOVICH, DUSSAULT, BERTELSEN, FABREGA, ASAY	11
12		12
13	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF	13
14	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA TO REQUEST AN	14
15	INTERIM STUDY OF ALL ASPECTS OF MONTANA'S LAWS RELATING TO	15
16	EMINENT DOMAIN.	16
17		17
18	WHEREAS, "eminent domain" is defined in 70-30-101, MCA,	18
19	as "the right of the state to take private property for	19
20	public use"; and	20
21	WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is a	21
22	necessary function of the state in order to promote the	22
23	general welfare and provide for the public good; and	23
24	WHEREAS, the authority of the sovereign state to take	24
25	private property for public use raises fundamental questions	25

1	about THE APPROPRIATE BALANCE BETWEEN NECESSARY PUBLIC USES
2	AND the rights of private property owners; and
3	WHEREAS, Montana statutes grant the power of eminent
4	domain to private interests under certain circumstances; and
5	WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is
6	increasingly jeopardizing the rights of private landowners
7	and the preservation of agricultural land; and
8	WHEREAS, the potential for serious conflict is
9	
4	increasing between those with ag ricultural <u>PRIVATE PROPERTY</u>
10	interests and those who might use the power of eminent
11	domain for-their-own-profit; and
12	WHEREAS, private landowners are concerned about the
13	continued viability of their agricultural operations as
14	sections of their land increasingly are taken through
15	eminent domain proceedings; and
16	WHEREAS, private landowners <u>AND CONDENNERS</u> generally
17	are concerned about the overall process used in the taking
18	of their land by means of eminent domain.
19	
20	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE
21	OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
22	That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to
23	study all aspects of Montana's laws regarding eminent
24	domain. Such a study shall include but not be limited to an

-2-

analysis of:

HJR 64

THIRD READING

HJR 0064/02

HJR 64

HJR 0064/02

1 (1) what constitutes an appropriate public user-with 2 particular-consideration-given-to-the-granting-of-the--power 3 of--eminent-domain-to-a-private-interest-for-private-profit; (2) the criteria for assessing compensation to 4 5 landowners: (3) the method of payment to landowners; 6 7 (4) what constitutes an appropriate compensation for 8 the conduct of surveys on private land; 9 (5) the overall procedure used for exercising eminent 10 domain; 11 (6) alternatives for providing a process for notifying 12 landowners of their rights; 13 (7) the factors considered in determining the highest 14 and best use of the land in an eminent domain proceeding; (8) the possibility of limiting. EXPANDING, OR 15 ELIMINATING the use of eminent domain in certain instances 16 17 and-eliminating-its-use-in-others; 16 (9) the desirability of compensating landowners for 19 the increase in operating costs incurred when certain land 20 is removed from their control: (10) generally what is and what should be compensable 21 22 under the laws of eminent domain; 23 (11) the feasibility of establishing annual payments 24 with an option for renegotiation of the payments as the 25 value of the land changes;

- 3--

1 (12) the relationship between the laws of eminent 2 domain and, the Montana Environmental Policy Act, AND THE 3 MONTANA MAJOR FACILITY SITING ACT; and

4 (13) the advantages and disadvantages of granting the 5 power of eminent domain for a project before all necessary 6 permits and other legal proceedings have been obtained and 7 completed. 8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its

9 activities, findings, and recommendations to the 48th 10 Legislature.

-End-

HJR 64

47th Legislature

HJR 0064/02

HJR 0064/02

1 about THE APPROPRIATE BALANCE BETWEEN NECESSARY PUBLIC USES 1 HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 64 AND the rights of private property owners; and 2 2 INTRODUCED BY JACOBSEN, LUND, SHONTZ, HOLLIDAY, WHEREAS, Montana statutes grant the power of eminent 3 MCBRIDE, OBERG, ELLISON, BENGTSON, ABRAMS, MARKS, 3 4 KEMMIS, QUILICI, D. BROWN, SCHULTZ, ROTH, THOFT, domain to private interests under certain circumstances; and 4 5 CONROY, R. MANNING, NEUMAN, MENAHAN, HUENNEKENS, 5 WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is ZABROCKI, VINCENT, D'CONNELL, VINGER, DEVLIN, 6 increasingly jeopardizing the rights of private landowners 6 and the preservation of agricultural land; and 7 BENNETT, YARDLEY, KENNERLY, IVERSON, HARRINGTON, 7 NILSON, HARPER, C. SMITH, SHELDEN, TEAGUE, FAGG, 8 WHEREAS, the potential for serious conflict is 8 9 UNDERDAL, ANDERSON, BERGENE, ERNST, SWITZER, 9 increasing between those with agricultural PRIVATE PROPERTY interests and those who might use the power of eminent 10 SALES, MANUEL, J. RYAN, FEDA, MCLANE, WALLIN, METCALF 10 11 PAVLOVICH, DUSSAULT, BERTELSEN, FABREGA, ASAY 11 domain for-their-own-profit; and 12 12 WHEREAS, private landowners are concerned about the continued viability of their agricultural operations as 13 A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF 13 sections of their land increasingly are taken through REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA TO REQUEST AN 14 14 15 INTERIM STUDY OF ALL ASPECTS OF MONTANA'S LAWS RELATING TO 15 eminent domain proceedings; and 16 EMINENT DOMAIN. WHEREAS, private landowners AND CONDEMNERS generally 16 are concerned about the overall process used in the taking 17 17 of their land by means of eminent domain. 18 WHEREAS, "eminent domain" is defined in 70-30-101, MCA, 18 19 as "the right of the state to take private property for 19 20 public use"; and 20 NOW. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: 21 21 WHEREAS, the application of eminent domain is a That an appropriate interim committee be assigned to 22 22 necessary function of the state in order to promote the 23 study all aspects of Montana's laws regarding eminent 23 general welfare and provide for the public good; and 24 24 WHEREAS, the authority of the sovereign state to take domain. Such a study shall include but not be limited to an 25 analysis of: 25 private property for public use raises fundamental questions

-2-

HJR 64 REFERENCE BILL

HJR 0064/02

1 (1) what constitutes an appropriate public usev-with 2 particular-consideration-given-to-the-granting-of-the--power 3 of---eminent-domain-to-o-private-interest-for-private-orofit: (2) the criteria for assessing compensation to 4 5 landowners: 6 (3) the method of payment to landowners; 7 (4) what constitutes an appropriate compensation for 8 the conduct of surveys on private land; 9 (5) the overall procedure used for exercising eminent domain; 10 11 (6) alternatives for providing a process for notifying 12 landowners of their rights; 13 (7) the factors considered in determining the highest 14 and best use of the land in an eminent domain proceeding; 15 (8) the possibility of limiting, EXPANDING, OR 16 ELIMINATING the use of eminent domain in certain instances and-eliminating-its-use-in-others; 17 18 (9) the desirability of compensating landowners for 19 the increase in operating costs incurred when certain land 20 is removed from their control; 21 (10) generally what is and what should be compensable 22 under the laws of eminent domain; 23 (11) the feasibility of establishing annual payments 24 with an option for renegotiation of the payments as the value of the land changes; 25

(12) the relationship between the laws of eminent
 domain and, the Montana Environmental Policy Act, <u>ANO_THE</u>
 <u>MONTANA_MAJOR_FACILITY_SITING_ACT</u>; and
 (13) the advantages and disadvantages of granting the
 power of eminent domain for a project before all necessary
 permits and other legal proceedings have been obtained and
 completed.

B BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the committee report its 9 activities, findings, and recommendations to the 48th 10 Legislature.

-End-

- 3-

HJR 64

-4-

HJR 0064/02

a la completa de la completa de