House Bill 438

In The House

January 23, 1981 Introduced and referred

to Committee on Local

Government.

April 23, 1981 Died in Committee.

23

24

25

conjuction

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 438
2	INTERDUCED BY Caron (). andreason The Sile
3	Tonder Switzer VInger January
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO AMEND LOCAL
5	GOVERNMENT ALTERNATIVE FORMS LAW BY ALLOWING AN ALTERNATIVE
6	FORM OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO BE PROPOSED ONLY BY PETITION AND
7	REQUIRING SEPARATE MAJORITIES OF EACH LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO
8	APPROVE COUNTY-MUNICIPAL CONSOLIDATION; AMENDING SECTIONS
9	7-3-123 AND 7-3-149, MCA."
10	
11	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
12	Section 1. Section 7-3-123, MCA, is amended to read:
13	"7-3-123. Alteration of existing forms of local
14	government. An Except for a decennial review as
15	constitutionally required, an alteration of an existing form
16	of local government may be proposed $\underline{\mathtt{only}}$ by a petition of
17	the electors."
18	Section 2. Section 7-3-149, MCA, is amended to read:
19	47-3-149. Election on alternative form. (1) The
20	governing body shall call a special election on the question
21	of an alternative form of government to be held within 120
22	days of the date of filing with the records administrator

under 7-3-146. The special election may be held in

administrator shall prepare and print notices of the special

with any other election. The records

election.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

- 2 (2) The cost of the election shall be paid for by the local government.
 - (3) (a) The affirmative vote of a simple majority of those voting on the question is required for adoption.
 - (b) In any election involving the question of county-municipal consolidation, each question shall be submitted to the electors in the county and requires an affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast in the-county on the question by those residing within the municipality or municipalities proposed for consolidation and a simple majority of those voting on the question residing in the remainder of the county for adoption. There is--no--requirement--for--separate---majorities---in---local governments-voting-on-consolidation*
 - (c) In any election involving the question of county merger, the questions shall be submitted to the electors in the counties affected and requires a majority of the votes cast on the questions in each affected county for adoption.
 - (d) If the electors disapprove the proposed new form of local government, amendments, or consolidation plan, the local government retains its existing form."

-End-