House Bill 252

In The House

| January 15, 1981 | Introduced and referred to Committee on State Administration. |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| January 20, 1981 | Fiscal note requested. |
| January 21, 1981 | Fiscal note returned. |
| February 6, 1981 | Committee recommend bill do not pass. |

1

LC 1050/01

1 HOUSE BILL NO. 252 2 INTRODUCED BY CURTISS Many Conroy 3 Bol Brown

4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REQUIRE AGENCIES TO
5 GIVE NOTICE BY MAIL TE CERTAIN LEGISLATORS REGARDING CERTAIN.
6 RULEMAKING HEARINGS; AMENDING SECTIOM 2-4-302, MCA."

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: G Section 1. Section 2-4-302. MCA. is amended to read: 10 #2-4-302. Notice, hearing, and submission of views. 11 (1) Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule. 12 the agency shall give written notice of its intended action. 13 The notice shall include a statement of either the terms or 14 substance of the intended action or a description of the 15 subjects and issues involved, the rationale for the intended 16 action, and the time when, place where, and manner in which interested persons may present their views thereon. 17

13 (2) The notice shall be filed with the secretary of
14 state for publication in the register as provided in 2-4-312
20 and mailed to persons who have made timely requests to the
21 agency for advance notice of its rulemaking proceedings. The
22 notice shall be published and mailed at least 30 days in
23 advance of the agency's intended action.

24 (3) If any statute provides for 3 different method of25 publication, the affected agency shall comply with the

statute in addition to the requirements contained herein.
 However, in no case may the notice period be less than 30 days or more than 6 months.

4 (4) Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule, the agency shall afford interested versons at least 20 5 days' notice of a hearing and 28 days from the day of notice 6 to submit data, views, or arguments, orally or in writing. 7 In the case of substantive rules, opportunity for oral 8 hearing shall be granted if requested by either 10% or 25. 9 whichever is less, of the persons who will be directly 10 affected by the proposed rule, by a governmental subdivision 11 12 or agency, or by an association having not less than 25 members who will be directly affected. 13

14 (5) When a hearing is held reparding the adoption. 15 amendments or repeal of a_rule_pursuant_to_authority_cranted 15 during the last preceding legislative session, the agency 17 shall give 20 days' prior notice of the hearing by, wail to 18 each_member_of_each_standing_committee_and_conference 19 committee_that_beard_and_considered_the_bill_enacting__the law_pranting_such_authority. 20 21 (5)(6) An agency may continue a hearing date for 22 cause. Contested case procedures need not be followed in

23 hearings held pursuant to this section. If a hearing is 24 otherwise required by statute, nothing herein alters that 25 requirement."

> -End--2- INTRODUCED BILL 1/19 252

STATE OF MONTANA

REQUEST NO. 137-81

FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

compliance with a written request received <u>January 19</u>, 19 <u>81</u>, there is hereby submitted a Fiscal Note r <u>House Bill 252</u> pursuant to Title 5, Chapter 4, Part 2 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA). ackground information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Program Planning, to members f the Legislature upon request.

escription of Proposed Legislation

An act to require agencies to give notice by mail to certain legislators regarding Pertain rulemaking hearings; amending Section 2-4-302, MCA.

'iscal Impact

It is impossible to calculate the fiscal impact of this legislation for the following ceasons:

- . At this time, there is no way of knowing how much additional rule-making authority will be granted to the various state agencies during the present legislative session.
- 2. Even if we knew the extent of such additional rule making authority, there would be no way of calculating the number of hearings that would be held.

Comment

In any event, the fiscal impact of this measure would not be large. Each notice vould cost about \$.25 to mail and each hearing would involve approximately 25 to 30 Legislative members. This would cost about \$7.50 per hearing held.

BUDGET DIRECTOR Office of Budget and Program Planning Date: ________