House Bill 85

In The House

| January 6, 1981 | Introduced and referred to Committee on Taxation. | |
|------------------|---|--|
| January 7, 1981 | Fiscal note requested. | |
| January 12, 1981 | Fiscal note returned. | |
| April 23, 1981 | Died in Committee. | |

20

21

22

23

24

| 1 | HOUSE BILL NO. 85 |
|----|--|
| 2 | INTRODUCED BY YARDLEY |
| 3 | |
| 4 | A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REPEAL THE |
| 5 | INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX SURTAX; AMENDING SECTIONS 15-30-105 |
| 6 | AND 15-30-162, MCA; AND REPEALING SECTION 15-30-104, MCA." |
| 7 | |
| 8 | 82 IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: |
| 9 | Section 1. Section 15-30-105, MCA, is amended to read: |
| 16 | "15-30-105. Tax on nonresident alternative tax |
| 11 | based on gross sales. (1) A like tax is imposed upon every |
| 12 | person not resident of this state, which tax shall be |
| 13 | levied, collected, and paid annually at the rates—specified |
| 14 | in 15-30-103 with respect to his entire net income as herein |
| 15 | defined from all property owned and from every business, |
| 16 | trade, profession, or occupation carried on in this state. |
| 17 | (2) Pursuant to the provisions of Article III, section |
| 16 | 2, of the Multistate Tax Compact, every nonresident taxpayer |
| 19 | required to file a return and whose only activity in Montana |
| 20 | consists of making sales and who does not own or rent real |
| 21 | estate or tangible personal property within Montana and |
| 22 | whose annual gross volume of sales made in Mortana during |
| 23 | the taxable year does not exceed 5100,000 may elect to pay |
| 24 | an income tax of 1/2 of 1% of the dollar volume of gross |
| 25 | sales made in Montana during the taxable year. Such tax |

| 1 | shall be in lieu of the texes tax imposed under 15-30-103 |
|----|--|
| 2 | end-15-30-104. The gross volume of sales made in Montana |
| 3 | during the taxable year shall be determined according to the |
| 4 | provisions of Article IV, sections 16 and 17, of the |
| 5 | Multistate Tax Compact." |
| 6 | Section 2. Section 15-30-162, MCA, is amended to read: |
| 7 | #15-30-162. Investment credit. (1) There is allowed as |
| 8 | a credit against the taxes tax imposed by 15-30-103 and |
| 9 | 15-30-104 a percentage of the credit allowed with respect to |
| 16 | certain depreciable property under section 38 of the |
| 11 | Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, or as section 38 |
| 12 | may be renumbered or amended. |
| 13 | (2) The amount of the credit allowed for the taxable |
| 14 | year is the sum of: |
| 15 | (a) 20% of the amount of credit determined under |
| 16 | section 46(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as |
| 17 | amended, or as section 46(a)(2) may be renumbered or |
| 18 | amended; |
| 19 | (b) the investment credit carryovers carried to the |

taxable year as provided in subsection (4); and

taxable year as provided for in subsection (4).

(c) the investment credit carrybacks carried to the

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (2), the investment credit allowed for the taxable year may not

exceed the taxpayer's tax liability for the taxable year. In

the event the taxpayer's tax liability for the taxable year exceeds \$5,000, the investment credit may not exceed \$5,000 plus 50% of the tax liability in excess of \$5,000. In the case of a husband and wife who file separate returns, the investment credit may not exceed \$2,500 plus 50% of the tax liability in excess of \$2,500 plus 50% of the tax liability in excess of \$2,500 plus 50% of the taxpayer has no qualified investment for and no unused credit carryback or carryover to the taxable year of the spouse that ends with or within the taxpayer's taxable year.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- applied against the tax liability for the taxable year because of the limitations imposed under subsection (3), the unused portion shall be carried back and carried forward in accordance with the provisions of section 46(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, or as section 46(b) may be renumbered or amended.
- (5) The investment credit allowed by this section is subject to recapture as provided for in section 47 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, or as section 47 may be renumbered or amended.
- 21 Section 3. Repealer. Section 15-30-104, MCA, is 22 repealed.

-End-

STATE OF MONTANA

| | • • • | 55-81 |
|---------|-------|-------|
| REQUEST | NU. | |

FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

| In compliance with a written request received, 19, 19, there is hereby s | submitted a Fiscal Note |
|--|-------------------------|
| for House Bill 85 pursuant to Title 5, Chapter 4, Part 2 of the Montana Code An | notated (MCA). |
| Background information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Progra | am Planning, to members |
| of the Legislature upon request. | |

ESCRIPTION

n act to repeal the individual income tax surtax.

SSUMPTIONS

- The Department of Revenue forecast of individual income tax receipts is the basis for comparison.
- . The base personal exemption allowance is \$800.

ISCAL IMPACT

| n | dividual Income Tax Collections | FY 82 | FY 83 |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| - | Under current law | \$161.556 M | \$167.993 M |
| | Under proposed law | 145.831 M | 152.720 M |
| | Estimated Decrease | (\$ 15.725 M) | (\$ 15.273 M) |
| U | UND INFORMATION | | |
| e | eneral Fund | | |
| د | Under current law | \$103.396 M | \$107.516 M |
| 4. | Under proposed law | 93.332 M | 97.741 M |
| | Estimated Decrease | (\$ 10.064 M) | (\$ 9.775 M) |
| a | rmarked Revenue Funds | | |
| | Under current law | \$ 40.389 M | \$ 41.998 M |
| | Under proposed law | 36.458 M | 38.180 M |
| à. | Estimated Decrease | (\$ 3.931 M) | (\$ 3.818 M) |
| i | nking Fund* | | |
| | Under current law | \$ 1 7.771 M | \$ 18.479 M |
| | Under proposed law | 16.041 M | 16.799 M |
| | Estimated Decrease | (\$ 1.730 M) | (\$ 1.680 M) |
| | | | |

A portion of the account may be transferred to the general fund as long range bond xcess, if debt service requirements are sufficiently low.

FFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

evenues to the indicated Earmarked Revenue Account are used to support the Public chool Foundation Program. Therefore, any decrease in revenues to that program may ecessitate additional support from other sources.

ONG RANGE EFFECTS

ndividual income tax receipts would decrease by about 9.1% from what they would be ithout the proposed change.

BUDGET DIRECTOR

Office of Budget and Program Planning

Date: 1-10-81