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## HOUSE BILL NO. $\quad 31$

INTRODUCEO BY _KITSELMAN

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: AN ACT TO ESTABLISH COORDINATED STATE LICENSING PROCEDURES FDR BUSINESSES: TO PROVIOE FOR THE AOMINISTRATION ANO ADOPTION OF RULES FOR SUCH PROCEDURES: AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER ACTIONS TO IMPROVE STATE LICENSING OPERATIONS.*
be it enacted by the legislature of the state of mantana:
Section le Short title. [This act] may be cited as the "Montana Business Licensing Coordination Acte"

Section 2. Purpose. The purpose of [this act] is to establish coordinated procedures for business licensing that are converient and understandable for persons applying for business licenses, that enable agencies to execute their licensing responsibilities effectively and efficiently, that ninimize the total cost of licensing for businesses and the state, and that fairly distribute the cost of licensing between businesses and other taxpayers.

Section 3- Definitions. As used in [this act]. the following definitions apply:
(1) "Coordinated licensing procedures" means the procedure established by the department for coordinating the application for and issuance and renewal of designated
licenses to be applied for by persons operating designated classes of businesses.
(2) mepartment" means the department of business regulation as provided for in Title 2, chapter 15 , part 18 .
(3) "License" means the whole or any part of an agency permit, license, certificate, approval, registration, charter, or any form or permission required by law or administrative rule to engage in any activitye
(4) Master license" means the document for public display that is issued by the department and that certifies individual state agency approval for licenses that are listed thereon and that a person is required to apply for through the coordinated licensing procedures established by the department.

Section 4. Department duties and adoption of rules. The director of the department shall adopt the rules necessary in his judgment for the execution of the following duties which are assigned to the department:
(1) the operation of a business licensing center that administers the coordinated licensing procedures;
(2) the establishment, before July 1,1982 of methods to inform persons of all state licenses required to engage in business in Montana and the locations for applying for those licenses;
(3) the development. before July 1. 1962, of a common
system of identifying businesses by all state agencies; and
(4) the implementation, before duly 1 , 1982, of the coordinated licensing procedures to issue and fenew master licenses on the basis of a coordinated application form.
section 5. Publication on licensing requirements. Among the methods established by the department to provide information on state 1 icenses required to engage in business shall be a publication, which the office of the governor shall prepare in cooperation with the department. This publication shall detail state license requirements and other information helpful to the establishment and operation of a business in Montana.

Section 6. Coverage of coordinated licensing procedures. The rules adopted by the director of the department shall include a rule designating the licenses that may be issued through a master license and the business classification of the persons who apply for the designated licenses through the coordinated licensing procedures. The director may amend this rule at times he considers advisable to accomplish an orderly and phased expansion of the licenses and businesses cowered by the coordinated licensing procedures.

Section 7. Protection of rights of privacy- In executing the coordinated licensing procedures, the department shall collect. store, retrieve, and exchange
information in a manner that does not violate laws protecting the rights to privacy of any person.

Section 8. Changes in renewal periods and expiration dates and proration of license fees allowed. All renewal periods and expiration dates for licenses specified by law, rule, or agency practice may be changed by rules adopted by the director of the department for the purpose of accomplishing an orderly schedute for license renewals through the coordinated licensing procedures. To allow for a staggered schedule for ticense renewals, the director, in his discretion, may adopt rules that specify different expiration dates at regular intervals for different persons who are required to have the same license. fees for licenses issued for any periods of transition from one license period schedule to another license period schedule shall be prorated by the department according to the time each Jicense is in force.

Section 9. Master licensefee. A person applying for a master license shall pay a fee that is the sum of the fees for the licenses to be included in that person's particular master ficense and a processing charge. There is no processing charge for a master license that includes licenses for which the fees total 550 or less. for a master license that includes licenses for which the fees total $\$ 51$ or more, the processing charge is $\$ 5$ plus $5 \%$ of the total


#### Abstract

amount of license fees in excess of $\$ 50$. Section 10. Deposit of master license fee. The fees collected for master iicenses shall be deposited in the general fund, except for any portion of the master license fee represented by a fee required by law to be deposited in a special fund. Such a portion of the master license fee shali be deposited in accordance with the applicable law.

Section li. Consultation with agencies. The department shall consult on a regular basis with all state agencies that administer licenses included in the coordinated licensing procedures or are under study to be included in the procedures. The department shall also consult on a regular basis with the office of commerce and small business development within the office of the governor on the effectiveness of the coordinated licensing procedures in reducing the administrative expense and inconvenience associated with securing business licenses from the state. section 12. Duties of state agencies and officers resolution of conficts. All state agencies and officers shall execute their duties in a manner consistent with rules adopted by the director of the department for the coordinated licensing procedures, for distributing information concerning state licensing requirements, and for using a common system of identifying businesses. The governor shall resolve any conflicts between the department


and other state agencies of officers concerning these subjects that the department and the agencies or officers cannot resolve by themselves. The decision of the governor concerning such conflicts shall be final.

Section 13. Authority for issuance of licenses. Irrespective of any authority of the department to impleant coordinated licensing procedures. the authority for determining whether any requested license is to be issued remains with the agency otherwise authorized by law to issue the ticense.

Section 14. Severability If a part of this act is invalid, all valid parts that are severable fron the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of this act is invalidin one or more of its applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.
-End-

## STATE OF MONTANA

REQUEST NO $\qquad$
FISCALNOTE

In compliance with a written request received _l_ 19.81 , there is hereby submitted a Fiscal Note for HB 31 pursuant to Title 5, Chapter 4, Part 2 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA).
"Background information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Program Planning, to members sof the Legislature upon request.

- Description of Proposed Legislation

HB 31 would create a coordinated licensing agency within the Department of Business
d. Regulation. The agency would provide information necessary for the creation and licensing of businesses as well as creating a master license which would replace some indtvidual licenses.

## Assumptions

1. Creation of a Bureau within the Department for collection/dispersal of licensing information; creation of master license etc..
2. The Bureau would be staffed by 3 FTE's immediately (7/1/81) to provide for the requirements of HB 31 .
3. Equipment necessary for completion of office Include basic office equipment and a computer terminal as well as initial extensive programing costs. Programming costs are approximately $\$ 50,000$ including program design. Cost of de-bugging in FY $83-$ $\$ 5,000$. Data processing services for approximately 12,0001 censes are estimated at $\$ 5,000$ for FY 82 and $\$ 10,000$ for FY 83. Rental and installation in FY 82 are $\$ 4,800$ and $\$ 2,000$ respectively, w/\$4,800 rental in FY 83.
4. Creation of a catalogue of business types for use by the state agencies/ private industry. 500 copies - cost per copy - app. \$3.50 - total cost - \$1,750.00. Handling and postage - $\$ .75 /$ copy - app. $\$ 375.00$.
5. The booklet provided for in Section 5 of HB 31 should be available on first printing to all businesses and related agencles or groups assuming 12,000 businesses (retail), approximately 15,000 copies should be available for current and prospective businesses. At about $\$ 5.00$ per copy, $\$ 75,000$ printing costs plus $\$ 1.50$ handling costs per copy - $\$ 22,500$. Total $\$ 97,500$ in FY 83.

Costs
$\frac{\text { FY } 1982}{\$ 149,121} \quad \frac{\text { FY } 1983}{\$ 181,338}$

It is difficult to imagine how many people will be necessary to process, register and divide the revenues for the different licensing agencies. We may have to process over 20,000 licenses each year and receive and $\log$ and allocate percentages of that many checks with two people.

## Comment

The measure might reduce costs to those departments issuing the licenses included in the coordinated licensing procedures. It is impossible, however, to calculate the amount of those reduced costs.


BUDGET DIRECTOR
Office of Budget and Program Planning
Date: $1-19-81$

| Expenditure under proposed law | FY 82 | FY 83 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Personal services | $\$ 798,263$ | $\$ 842,155$ |
| Operating expenses | 417,388 | 368,566 |
| Capital outlay | 38,000 | 39,000 |

Total expenditures under proposed law $1,253,651 \quad 1,249,721$
Less: Expenditures under current law

| Personal services | 783,263 | 842,155 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Operating expenses | 407,688 | 368,566 |
| Capital Outlay | 38,000 | 39,000 |
| Total expenditures under current law | $1,228,951$ | $1,249,721$ |
| eased expenditures under proposed law | 24,700 | -1 |

## Local Impacts:

There w111 be a continued impact on county clerks and recorders offlees and clerk of district court offices by clatmants wanting coptes of filed appropriations and court decrees of record in those offices. Impact will vary from county to county and no one estintete of local effect would be valld statewide, All county offices wotid be involved with re-education of their local residents.

## Long-Range Inpacts:

This legislation would reduce the expected total revenue of the program from $\$ 8,400,000$ to $\$ 4,620,000$. Administrative difficulties will arise in the areas of fee refunds, re-education of the public, reprograming of existing date bases, and the reprinting and distributing of claim forms. Earmarked revenues would then only support the program for an estimated four years. General fund monies would be requested at that time to continue the adjudication program. Costs would be approximately $\$ 1.7$ million per year for the duration of the project.

## Technical Notes:

Current interpretation of $85-2-224$ M.C.A. dictates that a single water right may have more than one point of diversion. The proposed legislation would only allow multiple uses from the same source, with the same date of priority and point of diversion to be filed for a single $\$ 40$ fee. Section (2) (b) as proposed could be deleted to allow a right with identical priority date and source but with more than one point of diversion to be filed for a single $\$ 40$ fee.

As proposed, 85-2-224 (1)(e) would necessitate redesigning the current claim form, since these forms are constructed for single uses. Amending 85-2-224 (1) to state:
(1) The statement of claim packet for each right shall include substantially the following:
would allow the department to utilize existing claim forms for the approximately seven months of the filing period remalning after the law becomes effective.

