SENATE BILL 283

IN THE SENATE

January 29, 1979		Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
February 19, 1979		Committee recommend bill, as amended.
Febraury 20, 1979		Printed and placed on members' desks.
February 21, 1979		Second reading, do pass.
February 22, 1979		Considered correctly engrossed.
February 23, 1979		Third reading, passed.
	IN THE	HOUSE
February 27, 1979		Introduced and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
March 19, 1979		Committee recommend bill, as amended, not concurred.
March 20, 1979		Report adopted.
	IN THE	SENATE
March 21, 1979		Returned from House, not concurred, as amended.

10

11

12

25

7

8

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INTRODUCED BY FOUN Nation Hallow Share make Medicine Mafferman Strategy A BILFOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT PELATING TO PRODUCE LIABILITY; PROVIDING A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, AFFIRMATIVE DISCOURS, AND LITIGATION PROCEDURES FOR PRODUCT LIABILITY SUTTS."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Short title. [This act] may be cited as the
"Product Liability Act of 1979".

Section 2. Definitions. In [this act], unless the context requires otherwise, the following definitions apply:

- (1) *Manufacturer* means a person or entity who designs. As embles, fabricates, produces, constructs, or prepares a product or component part of a product prior to the sale to a user or consumer. The term includes a seller owner, in whole or significant part by the manufacturer or a seller owning the manufacturer in whole or significant part.
- (2) "Product" means the individual product or any component part of such product which is the subject of a product liability suit.
- (3) "Product liability suit" is a suit brought against
 a wanufacturer or seller of a product for damages for bodily
 injury, death, or property damage caused by or resulting

from the manufacture, construction, design, formulation,
installation, preparation, assembly, testing, packaging,
labeling, sale, use, or consumption of a product, the
failure to warn or protect against a danger or hazard in the
use or misuse of the product, or the failure to provide
proper instructions for the use or consumption of any
product.

- (4) "Reasonably foreseeable alteration, modification, use, or consumption" means an alteration, modification, use, or consumption of the product which is expected of an ordinary and prudent purchaser, user, or consumer and which should have been anticipated.
- 13 (5) "Seller" means a wholesaler, distributor,
 14 retailer, or lessor engaged in the business of leasing any
 15 product or selling any product for resale, use, or
 16 consumption.
- 17 (6) "State of the art" means the technical.

 18 mechanical, and scientific knowledge of manufacturing.

 19 designing, testing, or labeling the same or similar products

 20 which was in existence and reasonably feasible at the time

 21 of manufacture.
- Section 3. Affirmative defenses. (1) In any product
 liability action, a defendant is not liable if the defendant
 proves any of the following:
 - (a) The defect in the product resulted from inadequate

LC 0833/01

design or fabrication, and the plans or designs for the product or the methods and techniques of manufacturing, inspecting, testing, and labeling the product conformed to the state of the art at the time the product was sold by the defendant.

ı

- (b) The proximate cause of the incident giving rise to the suit was an alteration or modification of the product which was not reasonably foreseeable and was made by a person other than the defendant subsequent to the time the product was sold by the defendant.
- (c) The proximate cause of the incident giving rise to the suit was use or consumption of the product for a purpose, manner, or activity other than that which was reasonably foreseeable or contrary to any express and adequate instructions or warnings appearing on or attached to the product or on its original container or wrapping, if the injured person knew or with the exercise of reasonable and diligent care should have known of such instructions or warnings.
- (2) The defenses enumerated in this section are in addition to and supplement any defenses available under the common law.
- Section 4. Application of comparative negligence lawIn any product liability action, the injured party's
 contributory negligence is a defense to the extent stated in

the comparative negligence statutes of this state contained
in Title 27, chapter 1, part 7.

LC 0833/01

Section 5. Indemnification of seller -- tender of defense. When, in any product liability action, the manufacturer refuses to accept a tender of defense from the seller, the manufacturer shall indemnify the seller for any judgment rendered against the seller and shall also reimburse the seller for reasonable attorneys! fees and costs incurred by the seller in defending such action, unless either of the following apply:

- 11 (1) The seller had knowledge of the defect in the
 12 product.
 - (2) The seller altered, modified, or installed the product, and such alteration, modification, or installation:
- 15 (a) was a substantial cause of the incident giving 16 rise to the suit; and
- 17 (b) was not authorized or requested by the 18 manufacturer or was not performed in compliance with t = 19 directions or specifications of the manufacturer.

Section 6. Duty of prevailing plaintiff when seller granted indemnity. (1) If a judgment is rendered in favor of the plaintiff and a seller is granted indemnity against a manufacturer, the plaintiff shall first attempt to satisfy the judgment by levying execution upon the manufacturer in this state or in the state where the manufacturer's

-3-

-4-

principal place of business is located and by making demand upon any liability insurance carrier of the manufacturer whose identity is known to plaintiff before attempting to collect the judgment from the seller or the seller's liability insurance carrier.

(2) The return of a writ of execution partially or wholly unsatisfied or the failure of the manufacturer's insurance carrier to pay the judgment upon demand constitutes full compliance with the plaintiff's obligation to attempt to collect from the manufacturer.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 7. Indemnification of manufacturer. (1) In any product liability action, the seller of the product shall indemnify the manufacturer for any judgment rendered against the manu octurer and shall also reimburse the manufacturer for riss lable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in defending to in action if:

- (a) the seller provided the plans or specifications for the manufacture or preparation of the product and such plans or specifications were a substantial cause of the product's allege' defect; and
- (b) the product was manufactured in compliance with and according to the plans or specifications of the seller.
- (2) The provisions of subsection (1) do not apply if the manufacturer had knowledge or with the exercise of reasonable and diligent care should have had knowledge of

-5-

the defect in the product.

13

14

15

16

17

2 Section 8. Duty of prevailing plaintiff when manufacturer granted indemnity. (1) When a judgment is rendered in favor of the plaintiff and a manufacturer is granted indemnity against a seller, the plaintiff shall first attempt to satisfy the judgment by levying execution upon the seller in this state or in the state where the seller's principal place of business is located and by making demand upon any liability insurance carrier of the seller whose identity is known to plaintiff before 10 11 attempting to collect the judgment from the manufacturer or manufacturer's liability insurance carrier. 12

- (2) The return of a writ of execution partially or wholly unsatisfied or the failure of the seller's insurance carrier to pay the judgment upon demand constitutes full compliance with the plaintiff's obligation to attempt to collect from the seller.
- 18 Section 9. Contents of complaint -- amount of 19 recovery. In any product liability suit, no dollar amount may be included in the complaint. The complaint shall ask 20 21 for reasonable damages.
- 22 Section 10. Evidence of state of the art -- industry 23 standards or postaccident modification. In any product liability suit, the following are not admissable as direct 24 evidence of a defect:

(1) evidence of advancements or changes in the state of the art subsequent to the time the product was sold by the defendant; or

1

2

3

10

11

13 14

15

(2) evidence of any change made in the design or methods of manufacturing or testing the product or any similar product subsequent to the time the product was sold by the defendant.

Section 1:• Limitation• A product liability suit as defined in subsection (3) of [section 2] must be commenced and prosecuted within 3 years from the time the action accrues• No product liability suit may be commenced and prosecuted more than 8 years after the product was sold for use or consumption• unless the cause of action is based upon the negligence of the manufacturer or seller or a breach of an express warranty provided by the manufacturer or seller•

-End-

	SCHALL OFFE WAS EAS
2	INTRODUCED BY LOWE. KOLSTAD. HAZELBAKER. THIESSEN.
3	MATHERS, UNDERDAL, MCCALLUM, HAFFERMAN, STORY,
4	HIMSL, BOYLAN, ROSKIE, DOVER, STEPHENS
5	
6	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT RELATING TO PRODUCTS
7	LIABILITY: AND PROVIDING A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.
8	AFFIRMATIVE-BEFENSEST-AND-LITTGATION-PROCEDURES-FORPRODUCT
9	tiabitiff-suiff."
0	
1	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
2	Section-luShort-titleu[This-act]-maybecitedas
3	the-=Product-t+ab+1+ty-Act-of-1979==
4	Section-2BefinitionsIn-fthisactjvunless-the
.5	context-requires-otherwisev-the-following-definitions-apply:
6	(1)"Henufacturer"meansopersonorentitywho
7	designsyassemblesyfabricatesyproducesyconstructsy-or
8	prepares-s-product-or-component-part-of-s-productpriorto
9	itssaleto-a-user-or-consumere-The-term-includes-e-seller
0	owned-in-whole-or-significant-part-by-the-monufacturar-ora
1	setter-owning-the-manufacturer-in-whole-or-significant-partv
2	t2}=Product=meanstheindividualproductor-any
:3	component-part-of-such-product-which-isthesubjectofa
4	product-liability-suite
	123 Monadana Siekiliku ayikB isan suitakanakhasasinsh

injurydeathyorpropertydamage-coused-by-or-resulting
from-themanufacturevconstructionvdesignvformulation
installationpreparationesseablytestingpackaging
labelingv-salevusevorconsumptionofaproductvthe
foiture-to-warm-or-protect-against-a-danger-or-hazard-in-the
useormisuseoftheproducty-or-the-failure-to-provide
proper-instructionsfortheuseorconsumptionofon
products
(4)"Reasonablyforeseeable-alterationmodification
wsev-or-consumption=-means-an-alterationv-modificationv-use
or-consumption-oftheproductwhichisexpectedofa
ordinoryand-prudent-purchosery-usery-or-consumer-ond-whic
should-have-been-entic+pated*
ts;#selter#geansgwholeselerdistributor
retaileryorlessor-engaged-in-the-business-of-leasing-on
productorsettinganyproductforresetevuseve
consumptions
tot#Stateoftheart#meansthetechnical-
mechanicalandscientificknowledgeofmanufacturing
designing-testing-or-labeling-the-same-or-similar-product
which-wasin-existence-end-ressonably-feasible-at-the-time
of-manufacturev
Section-3Affirmative-defenses(1)-inonyproduct

tiebitity-action-a-defendant-is-not-liable-if-the-defendant

SB 0263/02 SB 0283/02

PERMAT-201	-af-the-	followings
DIGLOSS OF	- Ot CITS -	- tottominds

{at--The-defect-in-the-product-resulted-from-inadequate
design--or--febricationv--and--the--plans-or-designs-for-the
product-or-the--methods--and--techniques--of--menufacturingv
inspectingv--testingv--and-lebeling-the-product-conformed-to
the-state-of-the-ort-at-the-time-the-product-was-said-by-the
defendants

the-suit-was-on-eiteration-or-modification-of--the--product which--was--not--reasonably--foreseeable--and--was--nade-by--bperson-other-then-the-defendant-subsequent-to-the--time--the product-was-sold-by-the-defendant-

tet--The-proximate-cause-of-the-incident-giving-rise-to
the-suit--was--use--or--consumption--of--the--product-for-a
purposev-mannerv-or--setivity--other--than--that--which--was
reasonably--foreseesble--or--contrary--to--any--express--and
adequate-instructions-or-warnings-appearing-on--or--attached
to--the-product-or-on-its-original-container-or-wroppingv-if
the-injured-person-knew-or-with-the-exercise--of--reesonable
and--diligent-care-should-have-known-of-suck-instructions-or
warningss

t2;--The-defenses-enumerated-in--this--section--are--in
eddition--tc-end-supplement-eny-defenses-eveilable-under-the
common-law

-3-

Section-4---Application-of-comparative-negligence--low-

inanyproductfiebifityactionytheinjuredparty-
contributory-negligence-is-o-defense-to-the-extent-stated-i
the-comparative-negligence-statutes-of-this-statecontained
in-fitie-27v-chapter-iv-part-7v

Section-5--indemnification-of-seller----tender-of defenses---Whenv-in--any--product--liability--actionv---the manufacturer--refuses-to-accept-a-tender-of-defense-from-the sellery-the-manufacturer-shall-indemnify-the-seller-for--any judgment---rendered---against--the--seller--and--shall--also reimburse-the-seller--for--reasonable--attorneys*--fees--and costs--incurred--by--the--seller--in--defending-such-actions unless--ither-of-the-following-applys

(1)--The-seller-had-knowledge--of--the--defect--in--the

tb)--was---not---ewthorized---or--requested---by---the
manufacturer-or-was-not-performed--in--compliance--with--the
directions-or-specifications-of-the-manufacturery

Section-6:--Buty--of--prevailing--plaintiff-when-seller granted-indemntty---(1)-if-a-judgment-is-rendered--in--favor of-the-plaintiff-and-a-seller-is-granted-indemnity-against-a manufacturery--the--plaintiff-shall-first-attempt-to-satisfy

SB 283

\$8 283

SB 0283/02 SB 0283/02

the-judgment-by-tevying-execution-upon-themenuracturer
thisstateorinthestatewherethemonufacturer*s
principal-place-of-business-is-located-and-by-makingdemand
uponanyliabilityinsurancecorrier-of-the-manufacturer
whose-identity-is-known-to-plaintiffbeforeattemptingto
collectthejudgmentfromthesellerortheseller*s
liability-insurance-corrier*
(2)The-return-of-a-writofexacutionpartiallyor
whollyunsatisfiedorthefailureof-the-menufacturer*s
insurance-cerrier-to-paythejudgmentupondemand
constitutesfull-compliance-with-the-plaintiff's-abligation
to-attempt-to-collect-from-the-manufacturer*
Section-TwIndemnification-ofmanufacturerw{t}In
anyproductliabilityactiontheseller-of-the-product
shall-indemnify-the-manufacturer-for-anyjudgmentrendered
againstthemanufacturerandshallalsoreimbursethe
monufacturerforreasonableattorneys*feesandcosts
incurred-in-defending-such-ection-if:
ta)thesellerprovidedthe-plans-or-specifications
for-the-manufacture-or-preparation-of-the-productandsuch
plansorspecificationswereasubstantial-couse-of-the
product*s-elleged-defect;-and
{b}the-product-was-menufacturedincompliancewith

and--according-to-the-plans-or-specifications-of-the-seller=

t2)--The-provisions-of-subsection-t1)-do-not--apply--if

1	cuamaunteccatatuadxuom+eadeotA+fyfye-exetc+30-0t
2	reasonable-and-diffigent-care-should-havehadknowledgeof
3	the-defect-in-the-products
4	Section-BuButyofprevailingplaintiffwhen
5	menufacturer-granted-indomnity(1)Whenajudgmentis
6	renderedinfavorofthe-plaintiff-and-a-manufacturer-is
7	granted-indemnity-againstasallerytheplaintiffshall
8	firstattemptto-satisfy-the-judgment-by-levying-execution
9	upon-the-setter-in-this-state-orin-thestatewherethe
10	setter*sprincipatplaceofbusinessislocated-and-by
11	making-demand-upon-any-liability-insurance-cerrierofthe
12	setterwhoseidentityisknowntoplaintiffbefore
13	attempting-to-collect-the-judgment-from-the-monufactureror
14	manufacturer*s-}fability-fasurance-cerrierv
15	f2)Thereturnofawrit-of-execution-partially-or
16	whotty-unsatisfied-or-the-faiture-of-the-setterisinsurance
17	carriertopaythejudgment-upon-demand-constitutes-full
18	compliance-with-the-plaintiff*sobligationtoattemptto
19	coffect-from-the-sefferw
20	5ection-9Contentsofcomplaintamountof
21	recovery=in-any-product-liability-suity-nodollaramount
55	maybeincludedin-the-complaintv-The-complaint-shall-ask
23	for-ressonable-damages=
24	Section-18Evidence-of-state-of-the-artindustry
25	standardsorp ostaccidentmodificationInany-p roduct

-6-

SB 283

\$8 283

hisbility-suity-the-following-sre-not-admissable--as--direct
evidence-of-a-defect*

(1)--evidence--of--odvancements-or-changes-in-the-state
of-the-ort-subsequent-to-the-time-the-product--was--sold--by
the-defendants-or

3

6

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

†2†--evidence--of--any--change--mode--in--the-design-ormethods-of-manufacturing--or--testing--the--product--or--any
similar--product-subsequent-to-the-time-the-product-was-sold
by-the-defendants

Section 1. Einitetion TORT ACTIONS INVOLVING PRODUCT
LIABILITY. A-product-liability-suit-se-defined-in-subsection
(3)-of-[section-2]-must-be-commenced-end-prosecuted-within-3
years-from-the-time-the-action-secrues. No product liability
suit may be commenced and prosecuted more than 8 years after
the product was sold for use or consumption, unless the
cause of action is based upon the negligence of the
menufacturer or seller or a breach of an express warranty
provided by the manufacturer or seller.

-End-