1

21 22

23

24

25

2	INTRODUCED BY FUENNEKENS South
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION
5	84-437.2 TO CHANGE THE DEFINITION OF LAND DEVOTED TO
6	AGRICULTURAL USE."
7	
8	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
9 .	Section 1. Section 84-437.2, R.C.M. 1947, is amended
10	to read as follows:
11	"84-437.2. Eligibility of land for valuation as
12	agricultural. Land which is actively devoted to agricultural
13	use shall be eligible for valuation, assessment and taxation
14	as herein provided each year it meets any-of the following
15	qualifications:
16	(1) It is being actively devoted to agriculture or it
17	has been historically devoted to agricultural use and it has
13	been valued and assessed as agricultural land for the
19	taxable years 1971, 1972 and 1973 and it continues to be
20	devoted to agricultural use which means;

(a) It is used to produce crops including, but not

(c) It is in a crop-land retirement program; or and

(2) The area of such land is not less than five (5)

limited to, grains, feed crops, fruits, vegetables; or

(b) It is used for grazing; or

Therese BILL NO. 68

contiguous acres when measured in accordance with the provisions of section 67 (84-437.6), when the gross value of grazing or crops produced for sale or home consumption thereon together with any payments received under a crop-land retirement program totals at least one thousand

(\$1,000) per year; or

7 (3) It agriculturally produces for sale or home 8 consumption the equivalent of fifteen percent (15%) or more 9 of the owners' annual gross income."

-End-

STATE OF MONTANA

FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST NO. _112-75_____

	Form BD-15
In compliance with a written request received <u>January 29</u> , 19 75, therefor <u>House Bill 68</u> pursuant to Chapter 53, Laws of Montana, 1965 - The	
Background information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budg	
of the Legislature upon request.	
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION: House Bill 68 changes the definition of land devoted to agricultural use.	
CONCLUSION:	
Enactment of House Bill 68 would have no fiscal impact. The current law is presently being in provided for in this bill.	nterpreted in the same manner as

BUDGET DIRECTOR

Office of Budget and Program Planning

Date: February 3, 1975