MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 54th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

Call to Order: By VICE CHAIRMAN JOHN HERTEL, on March 3, 1995, at 3:28 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Sen. John R. Hertel, Vice Chairman (R)

Sen. C.A. Casey Emerson (R)

Sen. Loren Jenkins (R)

Sen. Kenneth "Ken" Mesaros (R)

Sen. Steve Doherty (D)

Sen. Gary Forrester (D)

Sen. Barry "Spook" Stang (D)

Members Excused: Sen. Daryl Toews, Chairman (R), Sen. Delwyn

Gage (R), Sen. Mignon Waterman (D)

Members Absent: N/A

Staff Present: Eddye McClure, Legislative Council

Janice Soft, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and

discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing: SB 409, HB 352, HB 329

Executive Action: None

VICE CHAIRMAN JOHN HERTEL CHAIRED THE MEETING IN THE ABSENCE OF CHAIRMAN DARYL TOEWS.

HEARING ON SB 409

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

SEN. DON HARGROVE, SD 16, Belgrade, said SB 409 gives a break in diesel fuel purchase to non-public schools which fit certain criteria. These schools must be accredited by OPI and meet all Montana state standards in order to qualify for the diesel fuel tax exemption status. SEN. HARGROVE referred to Amendment SB040901.AEM (EXHIBIT 1) which changes "refund" to "exemption". He said OPI will be neither a proponent nor an opponent, though

OPI said SB 409 could be an incentive for non-public schools to meet accreditation standards. Schools affected by SB 409 are Manhattan Christian, Butte Central, Billings Central, Valley Christian High School and possibly Lustre Christian High School. SEN. HARGROVE said a lot of money was not involved; no individual school would be exempt much more than \$800.

Proponents' Testimony:

Garry DenBesten, Administrator, Manhattan Christian School & President, Association of Non-Public Schools of Montana, said non-public schools are exempt from state gasoline tax and federal taxes but not diesel fuel taxes. He said Manhattan Christian School uses six diesel fueled buses to transport both private and public school students, so it seems especially unfair to not be exempt from diesel fuel taxes. Mr. DenBesten informed the committee Manhattan Christian School's exempt tax was about \$897 for last year. He ended his testimony by challenging the committee to address the inequity of being exempt from gasoline but not diesel fuel taxes.

Sharon Hoff, Montana Catholic Conference & Montana's Catholic Schools, concurred with Mr. DenBesten's testimony and added the Catholic school in the Hayes area also transported both private and public students. Ms. Hoff urged the committee to give SB 409 DO PASS.

Opponents' Testimony: None.

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

SEN. LOREN JENKINS asked how gas tax exemption could be constitutional while diesel fuel tax exemption was not. Bill Salsbury, Administration Division, Department of Transportation, said no one was exempt from state gasoline tax -- the exemption is at the federal level.

SEN. JENKINS asked if there was something constitutionally wrong with being federally exempt for gas tax and not diesel fuel.

SEN. HARGROVE said federal law is less restrictive than state law; in fact, a non-profit organization can make application for refunds, according to federal standards. Mr. Salsbury commented even the Department of Transportation is not exempt from state gasoline taxes, but is from federal taxes.

SEN. CASEY EMERSON asked if there was a federal diesel tax. Mr. Salsbury said there was and public entities were exempt from the federal diesel tax.

SEN. JENKINS asked for affirmation of his understanding of schools being exempt from state diesel fuel but not state gasoline taxes. **Mr. Salsbury** affirmed.

Closing by Sponsor:

SEN. HARGROVE said Eric Feaver, Montana Education Association, called his attention to the fact that some of the wording in SB 409 may be unconstitutional and that was the reason for Amendments SB040901.AEM. He stated he had talked with staff and had the amendments prepared so money would not be refunded in violation of the Constitution. He also reminded the committee the issue of SB 409 is diesel fuel only. SEN. HARGROVE also said schools could use the red fuel which makes for easy access. He thanked the committee for a good hearing and asked for positive action on SB 409.

HEARING ON HB 352

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. RAY PECK, HD 91, Havre, said HB 352 is about the transfer of resources between the university units and their foundations. said he asked the legislative auditor to go back 20 years to research past difficulties regarding transfers. REP. PECK said evidence showed the problems becoming progressively fewer. said past practice was for universities to run federal grants through their foundations; now that custom was restricted. drew the committee's attention to Section 1, Line 12-13, and cited Article VIII, Section 12, which says the legislature shall by law ensure strict accountability of all revenue received and monies spent by the state, counties, cities and towns and all other local governmental entities. He commented HB 352 says ownership may not be transferred to a nonprofit corporation or foundation established for the benefit of a unit of the university system unless full market value is received for the transfer. REP. PECK distributed copies of an amendment (EXHIBIT 2) and explained it further clarified HB 352. He said he and the Commissioner had an understanding regarding HB 352 so he (REP. PECK) wanted all to understand the amendments were not his, but were recommended by the office of the Attorney General. However, REP. PECK and the Commissioner were in agreement regarding the amendments.

REP. PECK cited Section 1, Line 16, and said 17-2-102 is the fund structure in the law. He also referred to Section 1, Line 17, and explained 20-25-402 gives the Board of Regents authority to borrow or bond for their needs. He said Section 1, Subsection 3 further tightens the restrictions on the transfers to the foundation.

REP. PECK reiterated HB 352 created a strict accountability situation by retaining money in the budget accounts. He said when monies were transferred to the foundation (private corporation) legislative auditors had no authority to examine foundation funds. He said HB 352 also will keep units from

overassessing for revenue bonds, because if there are excess revenues, they cannot be used for other purposes.

VICE CHAIRMAN JOHN HERTEL HAD TO LEAVE SO HE RELINQUISHED THE CHAIR TO SEN. GARY FORRESTER.

Proponents' Testimony:

LeRoy Schramm, Legal Counsel for the University System, said the university system had no objection to HB 352 or the proposed A.6 amendment because he was not aware of the university system practicing anything prohibited by the bill; besides, HB 352 and its amendment did not address anything not already covered by other statutes. He explained it was an unnecessary contribution to the 12 volumes of statutes. He summed his testimony by saying HB 352 would do no harm; therefore, the university system had no objection to it.

Gerard Berens, Save the Fort, Missoula, expressed support for HB 352, though they liked the original bill better because it strictly prohibited any transfers from a university to a foundation. He said the amendment allows transfers with full market value, which has nebulous definitions. He explained that in the past, the university transferred land with the future promise of scholarships upon sale of the land which was considered full market value.

Mr. Berens also expressed concern that transfers through the private, non-profit foundation result in a strict iron curtain to prevent any oversight in review by the legislative audit office, i.e. once land is transferred to the foundation, the audit office is stopped and cannot review how the transfer took place nor the results of the transfer.

Mr. Berens said Save the Fort felt no land or property should be transferred to the Foundation; however, if there is transfer, it should be subject to legislative audit. He said they noticed in the Foundation's record a strong objection to legislative audit. He said if the Foundation was to be dealing in state assets and state monies, there should be state review and oversight. He recommended approval for HB 352 if the above-mentioned change were part of the bill.

Ross Best, Missoula, said a year ago, land was sold for the UM Foundation to developers which caused him to realize there were constitutional problems connected with the sale. Mr. Best said he spent the past year researching the issue. He agreed with Mr. Berens' remarks alluding to the Constitution's stating there be a strict accounting of all revenue received and monies spent. Mr. Best said since Mr. Schramm didn't mention the philosophy of the foundations, he would inform the committee it was that some people in the university system believe more money would be raised if private foundations weren't subject to public scrutiny. Mr. Best opined the philosophy was inconsistent with the

Constitutional charge and duty to the people. He applauded REP. PECK for HB 352 and the legislature's theme of accountability, but felt HB 352 didn't go quite far enough. Mr. Best suggested the university system be told they could not entrust assets to private foundations without requiring a legislative audit.

Mr. Best said the U of M has had annual contracts with the UM Foundation since 1981 to provide office space, parking space, computer use and other services to the Foundation. Payments were made to the Foundation on a semi-annual or annual basis, with the last few years' payments being \$141,000/year. The contract lists the general services the Foundation would provide; basically, fund-raising and sending thanks to donors. He opined the University owns the money which the Foundation raises but the University does not agree, which means the University has delegated its main function (fundraising) to the Foundation which in turn chooses the information to be made available.

Mr. Best urged the committee to ask themselves why the University of Montana should pay a private foundation to use its (the University's) name to raise money for the Foundation, send thankyou notes for contributions and then allow the Foundation to keep the money. He offered his help to make amendments to HB 352 to address those issues and challenged the committee to take accountability seriously by knowing what is being done in the name of the people and what information is being hidden from them.

George Schunk, Attorney General's Office, said it was the AG's amendment which REP. PECK presented in his opening. He explained the initial introduction of HB 352 prohibited transfers from the university system to their foundation auxiliaries for both public funds and real property. An amendment was added in the House which authorized the transfer of real property where full market value is received for the transfer. Mr. Schunk said their amendment finishes what the House amendment started; it ensures a dovetailing with HB 351 which says in the future, when universities sell real property, they offer it to the public for fair market value. Mr. Schunk offered support for HB 352 with the proposed amendment.

Opponents' Testimony: None.

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

SEN. EMERSON asked for verification of an amendment that the land should be sold at public auction. George Schunk said when the Land Board sells public land, it must be sold at a public auction; HB 351 says it must be put out for bid at a public sale.

SEN. JENKINS asked if HB 352 would be clean if the House amendment on line 15 were removed. Mr. Schunk said it would.

SEN. JENKINS asked if the amendment were added in the House committee. REP. PECK said it was added at the request of the Commissioner's legal counsel. He explained if the amendment was deleted, "ownership of" in line 13, and "unless full market value is received for the transfer" in line 15 would also have to be removed. He further explained it would not be necessary to consider the proposed amendment; however, if it was desirous to leave the House language, the additional proposed AG amendment should also remain because compliance must be with all laws dealing with public sale.

SEN. JENKINS asked if the Fort was given or bought with the above proceeds. Mr. Schunk said the Fort was on land originally owned by the federal government which granted the State of Montana university use of the land for 20 years. In the end, the University of Montana did not use any public money to acquire the land; thus, HB 352 did not apply. HB 352 would cover situations where campuses were purchasing and selling lands close to their campuses.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. PECK said the HB 352 does not deal with land grants, but with money and land transferred from the university units to their foundations. He stated the purpose of HB 352 was to keep the two relationships at arms length.

HEARING ON HB 329

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. GEORGE HEAVY RUNNER, HD 85, Browning, said HB 329 allows the tribally controlled colleges or state-funded two-year postsecondary institutions to participate in the legislative intern program. He stressed each institution had a choice whether to participate and each participating institution was required to pay the costs, so there was no expense to the state. He distributed copies of a fact sheet entitled, "Montana's Tribal Colleges", (EXHIBIT 3) and Montana law (EXHIBIT 4) regarding the legislative intern program.

Proponents' Testimony:

Wayne Buchanan, Board of Public Education, said he was pleased to be asked by REP. HEAVY RUNNER to be a proponent of HB 329 when it was in the House. Mr. Buchanan said he was an avid proponent of tribal colleges because they fill a necessary niche in the higher education picture. He shared the fact his son considered his experience as a legislative intern while a student at the University of Montana to be one of the best things he had done. He said bringing the tribal colleges as members into the

community of scholars was very worthwhile and he urged support for HB 329.

LeRoy Schramm, Legal Counsel, University System, said Montana college and university students have been benefiting from the legislative intern experience for about 20 years. He commented the addition of the tribal colleges would pose more competition for the intern slots but expressed support for HB 329 on behalf of the university system.

Opponents' Testimony: None.

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

SEN. EMERSON asked who was paying for the program. REP. HEAVY RUNNER said each institution paid for its own interns. He said the tribal colleges had a foundation called the American Indian College Fund which annually provided scholarships from the interest earned. REP. HEAVY RUNNER said the Fund had about \$12 million.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. HEAVY RUNNER said there was no competition among the institutions because each would be allowed to send qualifying students. He urged support for HB 329 because historically students from the reservations have not participated in governmental issues and the ability for them to do so would be beneficial to all.

REP. HEAVY RUNNER said he would ask SEN. BARRY "SPOOK" STANG to carry HB 329.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

SEN. DARYL TOEWS,

TOEWS, Chairman

JANICE SOFT, Secretary

DT/jes

MONTANA SENATE 1995 LEGISLATURE

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL

DATE 3/3/95

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SEN. JOHN HERTEL, VICE CHAIRMAN			
SEN. DELWYN GAGE			
SEN. KEN MASAROS			
SEN. STEVE DOHERTY			
SEN. MIGNON WATERMAN			V
SEN. BARRY "SPOOK" STANG			
SEN. LOREN JENKINS			
SEN. GARY FORRESTER	V		
SEN. C.A. CASEY EMERSON			
SEN. DARYL TOEWS, CHAIRMAN			

SEN: 1995

wp.rollcall.man
CS-09

SENATE EDUCATION	
EXHIBIT NO/	
DATE 3/3/95	
BILL NO. 58 409	

Amendments to Senate Bill No. 409 First Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Hargrove
For the Senate Committee on Education and Cultural Resources

Prepared by Eddye McClure March 3, 1995

1. Title, line 4. Strike: "A REFUND"

2. Title, line 5.

Strike: "OF"

Insert: "AN EXEMPTION FROM"

3. Page 1, line 20. Strike: "<u>a refund of</u>" Insert: "an exemption from"

SENATE EDUCATION	
	22

EXHIBIT NO. 2

BILL NO #8 352

Amendment to HB 352

P. 1, line 15

Following: "transfer"

Insert: "and laws applicable to the disposition of property are followed"

MONTANA'S TRIBAL COLLEGES Fact Sheet

SENATE EDUCATION

EXHIBIT NO. 3

DATE 3/3/95

* There is a Tribal College on each on Montana's seven Indian reset affons. #8 329

Blackfeet Indian Reservation

Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation

Fort Belknap Indian Reservation

Fort Peck Indian Reservation

Crow Indian Reservation

Flathead Indian Reservation

Rocky Boy Indian Reservation

Blackfeet Co

Dull Knife M

Fort Belknap

Fort Peck Co

Little Big Ho

Salish Koot

Stone Child

Blackfeet Community College
Dull Knife Memorial College
Fort Belknap College
Fort Peck Community College
Little Big Horn College
Salish Kootenai College
Stone Child College

- * All of the Tribal Colleges in Montana are accredited except Dull Knife Memorial College which is in candidacy status.
- * Each Tribal College is established by its respective federally recognized tribe; is governed by a board in which the majority are enrolled tribal members; serves a student body in which a majority of the students are members of federally recognized tribes.
- * The Tribal Colleges are not private colleges. They are independent colleges.
- * In general the Tribal Colleges offer courses of study resulting in certificates and associate degrees. Salish Kootenai College has two baccalaureate degrees.
- * Students planning to complete baccalaureate degrees usually transfer to a unit of the Montana University System.
- * The Tribal Colleges receive federal funding for each Indian student attending, which is usually around \$3000 per student. In addition the college receives tuition and fees from each student.
- * Tuition and fees are the only income sources for the non-beneficiary students attending Tribal Colleges.
- * Non-beneficiary students are those that are not members of federally recognized tribes.
- * There are approximately 450 non-beneficiary students attending the Tribal Colleges.
- * The cost per student at a Tribal College is \$4500.
- * An appropriation of \$1.4 million to support non-beneficiary students attending the Tribal Colleges will result in about \$1500 per student.

story: En. Sec. 1513, Pen. C. 1895; re-en. Sec. 8985, Rev. C. 1907; re-en. Sec. 11681, [. 1921; Cal. Pen. C. Sec. 748; re-en. Sec. 11681, R.C.M. 1935; Sec. 94-5414, R.C.M. redes. 95-2814 by Sec. 29, Ch. 513, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 95-2814.

5-424 through 5-5-430 reserved.

The judgment may be that the idant be suspended or that he be removed from office and disqualified to any office of honor, trust, or profit under the state. 5-431. Nature of the judgment.

istory: En. Sec. 1514, Pen. C. 1895; re-en. Sec. 8986, Rev. C. 1907; re-en. Sec. 11682, 4. 1921; Cal. Pen. C. Sec. 749; re-en. Sec. 11682, R.C.M. 1935; Sec. 94-5415, R.C.M. redes. 95-2815 by Sec. 29, Ch. 513, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 95-2815. -5-432. Effect of judgment of suspension. If judgment of suspension ven, the defendant, during the continuance thereof, is disqualified from iving the salary, fees, or emoluments of the office.

listory: En. Sec. 1515, Pen. C. 1895; re-en. Sec. 8987, Rev. C. 1907; re-en. Sec. 11683, M. 1921; Cal. Pen. C. Sec. 750; re-en. Sec. 11683, R.c.M. 1935; Sec. 94-5416, R.C.M. redes. 95-2816 by Sec. 29, Ch. 513, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 95-2816. -5-433. Criminal prosecution not barred. If the offense for which the ndant is convicted on impeachment is also the subject of an indictment or rmation, the indictment or information is not barred thereby.

listory: En. Sec. 1518, Pen. C. 1895; re-en. Sec. 8990, Rev. C. 1907; re-en. Sec. 11686, M. 1921; Cal. Pen. C. Sec. 753; re-en. Sec. 11686, R.C.M. 1935; Sec. 94-5419, R.C.M.; redes. 95-2819 by Sec. 29, Ch. 513, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 95-2819.

Perjury and other falsification in official

Srimes, Title 45. Bribery and corrupt influence, Title 45, ch.

matters, Title 45, ch. 7, part 2. Obstructing governmental operations, Title 45, ch. 7, part 3.

Official misconduct, 45-7-401

CHAPTER 6

LEGISLATIVE INTERNS

Part 1 - Legislative Intern Program

Short title. 101. 102. 103. 104. -105.

Establishment of program.

Term of service.

Number of interns — where from.

Selection by schools. Intern qualifications.

Assignment of interns.

Legislative council to establish guidelines. .108.

Interns responsible to sponsor. Program not mandatory.

Funding not obligatory.

ō Lo Part --disl---

Sage

BILL MO. DEGISLATIVE INTERNS 599

DUNNIE EDUCATION

EXHIBIT NO.

5-6-101. Short title. This chapter shall be known as the "Legislative Intern Act of 1974".

History: En. 43-720 by Sec. 1, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-720.

that there be a legislative intern program open to students attending the 5-6-102. Establishment of program. It is the public policy of this state northern Montana college, western Montana college of the university of Montana, and the Montana college of mineral science and technology. Any private college of higher education in the state may also establish an intern university of Montana, Montana state university, eastern Montana college, program for the purposes of this chapter.

History: En. 43-721 by Sec. 2, Ch. 305, L. 1974; amd. Sec. 17, Ch. 309, L. 1977; R.C.M. 1947, 43-721; amd. Sec. 5, Ch. 224, L. 1989.

5-6-103. Term of service. Each legislative intern shall serve for 10 weeks during the regular session of the legislature.

History: En. 43-722 by Sec. 3, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-722.

Cross-References

Regular session - time and place of meet-

ing, 5-2-103.

5-6-104. Number of interns - where from. All institutions referred in 5-6-102 may have at least one intern. An additional five positions may be chosen from applications submitted to the legislative council. 2

History: En. 43-723 by Sec. 4, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-723.

5-6-105. Selection by schools. The legislative interns shall be named by the presidents of the several colleges and universities. The students so selected may be enrolled in any program offered by the college or university. History: En. 43-724 by Sec. 5, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-724.

5-6-106. Intern qualifications. (1) The legislative interns must have the following qualifications:

(a) at least one course of "government" or its equivalent as a course of study at an institution of higher learning;

(b) attainment of at least the level of a junior at an institution of higher learning; and

(c) the necessary degree of scholastic achievement, leadership, and involvement in community affairs.

(2) Preference must be given to Montana high school graduates.

History: En. 43-725 by Sec. 6, Ch. 305, L. 1974; amd. Sec. 18, Ch. 309, L. 1977; R.C.M. 1947, 43-725; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 72, L. 1993.

Compiler's Comments
1993 Amendment: Chapter 72 in (1)(a)
substituted "course of "government"" for

"quarter of "state government""; and made minor changes in style. 5-6-107. Assignment of interns. Bach legislative intern is assigned to a legislator by the legislative council.

History: En. 43-726 by Sec. 7, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-726.

5-6-108. Legislative council to establish guidelines. Each legislative intern is subject to guidelines established by the legislative council.

3, Ch. "nc 4. 1974. R4C.M. 1947, 43-727. 7 by

History: En. 43-728 by Sec. 9, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-728

may choose not to participate in the legislative intern program. History: En. 43-729 by Sec. :), Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-729. 5-6-110. Program not mandatory. An institution of higher learning

cond ton, because of this chapter be obligated to fund this internship pro-5-6-111. Funding not obligatory. The legislature shall not, under any

History: En. 43-730 by Sec. 12, Ch. 305, L. 1974; R.C.M. 1947, 43-730

CHAPTER 7

LOBBYING

Part 1 — General Provisions—Licenses

5-7-102. Purposes of chapter - applicability. Definitions.

5-7-103.

Licenses — fees — eligibility

5-7-104 Repealed.

5-7-106 and 5-7-107 reserved. 5-7-105. Suspension of lobbying privileges

5-7-109 and 5-7-110 reserved. 5-7-108. Inspection of applications and reports — issuance of orders of noncompliance.

5-7-111. Commissioner to make rules.

Part 2—Registration and Reports

Docket — contents.

5-7-202. Docket — public record

Principal — name of lobbyist on docket

5-7-204. Updating docket.

5-7-206 5-7-205.Repealed.

Repealed.

5-7-208Principals to file report. Report to legislature.

Payments prohibited unless reported - penalty for failure to report or for false statement.

5-7-210.

Reimbursement.

Governmental responses not lobbying payments

Audit of lobbying reports.

Disclosure by elected officials.

Part 3 - Prohibitions-Enforcement

5-7-301. Prohibition of practice without license and registration.

5-7-302. Unprofessional conduct.

5-7-303. Repealed.

Repealed.

Penalties and enforcement

Chapter Cross-References

Offenses against public administration Title 45, ch. 7. Agency, Title 28, ch. 10.

> 8, part 1. Offenses against public order, Title 45, ch.

General Provisions — Licenses

officials to make public their business, financial, and occupational and to require disclosure of the amounts of money spent for lobbyii of lobbyists and the suspension or revocation of the licenses, to requi to prevent unfair and unethical lobbying practices, to provide for the chapter are to promote a high standard of ethics in the practice of 5-7-101. Purposes of chapter — applicability. (1) The purpo

History: En. Sec. 1, Ch. 157, L. 1959; amd. Sec. 19, Ch. 309, L. 1977; F. 43-801; amd. Sec. 1, I.M. No. 85, approved Nov. 4, 1980; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 568, L. constitutional right to communicate with public officials. behalf to any reporting requirements nor deprives an individu Nothing in this chapter subjects an individual lobbying of

Sec. 1, Ch. 498, L. 1991. Right of participation, Art. II,

Cross-References

sec. 7, Mont. Const. Freedom of speech and expression, Art. II, Const.

5-7-102. Definitions. The following definitions apply in this c

(1) "Business" means:

- but does not include nonprofit organizations; and receivership, trust, or other entity or property held in anticipatio association, self-employed person, holding company, joint stock in a corporation, partnership, sole proprietorship, firm, enterprise, (a) a holding or interest whose fair market value is greater th
- allowances, are received. (b) present or past employment from which benefits, including
- "Commissioner" means the commissioner of political pract
- the commissioner pursuant to 5-7-201. (3) "Docket" means the register of lobbyists and principals mai
- district court judges. The term "official-elect" also applies to the o including but not limited to legislators, public service commissi a statewide vote of all the electors of Montana or a state dis-(4) "Elected official" means a public official holding a state offi
- "Individual" means a human being.
- "Lobbying" means:
- person other than a member of the legislature or a public official; of legislation before the legislature or the members of the legis (a) the practice of promoting or opposing the introduction or
- official. (b) the practice of promoting or opposing official action by
- hire. only for his personal living and travel expenses, which together a principal and whose duties include lobbying. If an individual is i or employees of a principal who are paid, reimbursed, or retail \$1,000 per calendar year, that individual is not considered to be (7) "Lobbying for hire" includes activities of the officers, agents
- for hire. 8 (a) "Lobbyist" means a person who engages in the practice

NAME GREIG Den Bester
ADDRESS 8000 Churchill Marketter
HOME PHONE <u>282 - 7319</u> WORK PHONE <u>382 - 736 </u>
REPRESENTING Man, Chr. Sol + ANSM
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL? <u>SB 409</u>
DO YOU: SUPPORT OPPOSE AMEND
COMMENTS:
This bill will help to fix a situation that has long been vor locked. Private account the schools are exempt of the Storte are fax & ake off Friduced takes, yet this tax on diselful we are Not. This is not right. I thouk you for your consideration of this bill.
exempt of the Stork on fax & also M Filled
tales, get this tax on disulful we are NOT.
This is not right. I thank you for your
Consideration of this bill.

WITNESS STATEMENT

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY

DATE 3 - 3 - 95	
SENATE COMMITTEE ON	Education
BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY:	513 409 HB 329
	HB 352

< ■ > PLEASE PRINT < ■ >

Check One

		7		
Name	Representing	Bill No.	Support	Oppose
GALLY DEN BESTEN	Mex Chr. S.L + ANSM	SB409	V	
GRACY DEN BESTEN SHARON HOFF	MT CATHOLIC CONF	SB409	V	
WAYNE BUCHANAN	BRD PUB. SD	HB329	V	
Gerard Berews	SAUE THE FORT	HB352		
George Schunk Ross Best	AH, Gen	F1B352	/	
Ross Best	, ,	HB352	~	
[L	<u></u>			

VISITOR REGISTER

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY