#### MINUTES

### MONTANA SENATE 54th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

# FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON HOUSE BILL 446

Call to Order: By CHAIRMAN MIKE FOSTER, on April 6, 1995, at 7:35 a.m.

#### ROLL CALL

#### Members Present:

Sen. Mike Foster (R)

Sen. Dorothy Eck (D)

Sen. Ken Miller (R)

Rep. Betty Lou Kasten (R)

Rep. Scott J. Orr (R)

Rep. Carley Tuss (D)

Members Excused: None

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Susan Fox, Legislative Council

Jennifer Gaasch, Secretary

#### Discussion:

SENATOR MIKE FOSTER stated the reason they were meeting was to clear up some amendments. He asked Tom Hopgood, representing the Health Insurance Association of Montana, to explain the amendments. (EXHIBIT #1)

Tom Hopgood discussed Section 1, Subsection 5, lines 17-22, on page 3 of the bill. This is dealing with policies of disability income insurance which is a type of insurance bought to cover a person's income stream in the event that a person is unable to work because of a medical condition. He stated they had intended to allow an insurance company to exclude coverage for preexisting conditions if medical treatment were received within the five years proceeding the effective date of the policy. actually excluded the pre-existing condition if treatment was received within the five years, but they would allow the insurer to exclude that if it was more that five years prior to the effective date, which was the opposite of what they had intended to do. He said the amendments would conform all of the preexisting condition clauses in the statute so they track each other exactly and to allow the exclusion of pre-existing conditions if treatment was secured within five years prior to the effective date of the coverage.

 ${\tt SEN.}$   ${\tt FOSTER}$  said if there were no questions, he would entertain a motion.

## Motion:

REPRESENTATIVE BETTY LOU KASTEN MOVED the amendments.

## <u>Vote</u>:

The MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

### ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 7:40 a.m.

MIKE FOSTER, Chairman

JENNIFER GAASCH, Secretary

MF/jg



on House Bill 446 Report No. 1, April 6, 1995

Page 1 of 1

Mr. Speaker and Mr. President:

We, your Free Conference Committee met and considered House Bill 446 and recommend as follows:

1. Page 3, line 18.

Following: "ADVICE"
Strike: ", DIAGNOSIS, CA

2. Page 3, line 19. Following: "SERVICES"

Insert: "unless the condition occurred"

And this FREE Conference Committee report be adopted.

For the House:

For the Senate:

Representative Orr

7//

Chair

Representative Kasten

Senator Ecl

Representative Tuss

Senator Miller

**ADOPT** 

REJECT

790933CC.Hbk

Exhibit #1

EXHIBIT / HB 446

LUXAN & MURFITT

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MONTANA CLUB BUILDING • 24 W. SIXTH AVE.

P. O. BOX 1144 • HELENA, MONTANA 59624

(406) 442-7450

TELECOPIER (406) 442-7361

MICHAEL J MULRONEY
GARY L. DAVIS
DALE E. REAGOR
PATRICK E. MELBY
MICHAEL J. RIELEY
TOM K. HOPGOOD
GREGORY A. VAN HORSSEN
KRISTI BLAZER\*

WALTER 5. MURFITT OF COUNSEL H. J. LUXAN (1918-1984)

March 29, 1995

\*ALSO ADMITTED IN IDAHO, DREGON AND WASHINGTON

Hon. Steve Benedict Chairman Joint Select Committee on Health Care Reform State Capitol Building Helena, MT 59620

Hon. Scott Orr Vice Chairman Joint Select Committee on Health Care Reform State Capitol Building Helena, MT 59620

RE: HB-446 - Pre-existing Condition Bill

Gentlemen:

In reviewing HB-446 and my letter to both of you dated March 24, 1995, I believe it would be clearer to use the following amendment in conference committee rather than the one I previously suggested:

1. Page 3, Line 18.

Following:

"ADVICE"

Strike:

", DIAGNOSIS, CARE,"

2. Page 3, Line 19.

Following:

"SERVICES"

Insert:

"unless the condition occurred"

With these changes, the language in subsection (5) of § 33-22-110, MCA would track exactly with subsections (1) and (2). It would be clear and all ambiguity as to the look-back period for disability income insurance would be eliminated. The subsection would read:

A POLICY OF DISABILITY INCOME INSURANCE MAY NOT EXCLUDE COVERAGE FOR A CONDITION FOR WHICH MEDICAL ADVICET DIAGNOSIS, CARET OR TREATMENT WAS RECOMMENDED BY OR RECEIVED FROM A PROVIDER OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES UNLESS THE CONDITION OCCURRED WITHIN FIVE YEARS PRECEDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF COVERAGE OF AN INSURED PERSON . . . .

Hon. Steve Benedict Hon. Scott Orr March 29, 1995 Page 2

I believe this is the clearest and most efficient way to accomplish our goal.

Thank you for your indulgence in this matter.

Sincerely,

TOM K. HOPGOOD

for LUXAN & MUREIT

TKH/jb

cc: Mr. Robert J. Steil

Mr. Larry Akey
Ms. Susan Good
Ms. Tanya Ask
Mr. Frank Cote