MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 53rd LEGISLATURE - SPECIAL SESSION

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

Call to Order: By Senator Blaylock, on December 10, 1993, at 3:52 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Sen. Chet Blaylock, Chair (D)

Sen. Harry Fritz, Vice Chair (D) Sen. John Brenden (R)

Sen. Bob Brown (R)

Sen. John Hertel (R)

Sen. Spook Stang (D)

Sen. Daryl Toews (R)

Sen. Mignon Waterman (D)

Sen. Bill Wilson (D)

Members Excused: Senator Yellowtail

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Eddye McClure, Legislative Council

Sylvia Kinsey, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and

discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing: Senate Bill 37

Executive Action: SB 37

HEARING ON SENATE BILL 37

Opening Statement by Sponsor: Senator Bruski-Maus, SD 12, read a quote from the MCA, 78-7-601 "it is in the best interest and to the great advantage of Montana to seek the highest development of state owned lands in order that they might be placed in our highest and best use, thereby derive greater revenue for the support of the common schools, the University System and other institutions benefitting therefrom, and that in so doing, the economy of the local community as well as the state, is benefitted as a result of such development". She said SB 37 is an act requiring the sale of state public lands. We have about 23 million acres and this bill only covers 4.8 million with certain exemptions providing no additional leases for agriculture, grazing or other surface uses be granted. When outstanding surfaces expire the land is to be sold to the highest

bidder with sale preference extended to the prior lessee. she said she believed the bill offered solutions to problems we are facing in Montana and offers solutions. She said the money from the sale of state lands would be placed in the permanent trust fund to provide interest for Montana schools.

<u>Proponents' Testimony</u>: Lorna Frank, Montana Farm Bureau, said at their last convention the delegates reaffirmed their position on recommending the sale of state land or the transfer to private ownership.

Senator Larry Tveit, SD 11, said he endorsed the concept of this bill. We are not getting our money out of state lands on grazing nor on the lands that are tillable. He believed a cash lease might bring in more money, and the isolated state lands should be looked at and could recover considerably more money by selling them at market value.

David Kasten, rancher and lessee of state lands in Eastern Montana said he would like to see the state lands sold first and the trust lands looked at in the future.

Opponents' Testimony: Representative Bill Endy, HD 74, said he was in strong opposition to this bill. On page 3 of the bill where it says our procedures presently allow, currently lessees can match public bids and maintain their interest in the property. One of the land owners who had about 40,000 acres leased and another has about 200,000 acres of private land. If Bill Endy and family put all our money together and bid on any of that property, that guy could outbid us and most of the people in the state of Montana. Another reason is that he has a house with 5 acres, and he hunts on it along with his BLM land and did not want to see it sold to those special interest people with all the money.

Jeff Hagenes, Montana Department of State Lands spoke in opposition to SB 37 and said the Department was not opposed to selling state land but had to oppose this bill because of the methodology of some of the things proposed in it. He gave written testimony. (Exhibit 1)

Jim McDermand, Medicine River Canoe Club, spoke in opposition to SB 37. He said the bill cites financial gain in property tax reduction as an underlying reason for this proposal. They felt these small monetary gains were far exceeded by the public benefit these lands provide to all our citizens.

Gregg Hester, Gallatin Wildlife Association, spoke in opposition to SB 37. One of the reasons this special session was called was to deal with property tax relief. Property values have risen considerably in recent years and will likely continue to rise. If we were to sell state lands at today's prices, it would look like a fire sale in the future.

Delores Colburg, representing herself, said as a past Superintendent of Schools she was still very much interested in the question of state lands. She said she believed the bill was well intended, but thought there were problems with it. When she was State Superintendent she would meet with her counterparts around the state and they discussed school lands. Her colleagues learned Montana had wisely retained our state lands, they said they had made the mistake several years ago when it looked good, and have been bemoaning that action ever since. She said a key piece of land around Alice Creek near Lincoln was in a place where people wanted to come in and develop. The environmental degradation of that land plus the Blackfoot would have been appalling. It was a key piece of school land they would not give over that prevented the rape and pillage of that land.

Tony Schoonen, Coalition for Appropriate Management of State Lands, said they also oppose the sale of state lands. This bill would create a huge bureaucracy of lawyers, appraisers, 72 new FTE's (Full Time Employees), etc. and believed there would not be much money saved.

Jim Richard, Montana Wildlife Association said these state lands represent a recreation and cultural heritage which gives them a value beyond any monetary benefits that accrue. He endorsed the statements of the opponents and said if these lands benefit the private sector economically, we are providing our number one industry, Agriculture, with some reasonably priced grazing fees and are probably benefitting our number two industry tourism by having an asset which lands itself to the attractiveness of Montana.

Lewis E. Hawkes, Executive Director of the Public Lands Access Group in Bozeman said they are opposed to HB 37 since it looks like a temporary fix of some financial problems. They would have no objection to isolated pieces of land being sold, but are opposed to the policy of land sales. He said they had late word of the hearing and handed in several sheets of signatures of people who were opposed to this bill. (exhibit 2)

Laurie Thomas, Anaconda Sportsman's Club, said they lease state lands on the Big Hole River. If this land is sold a big campground will go there and we have one of the largest elk herds in the state of Montana. He asked what would happen if they lose that land.

Sam Babich, Butte Skyline Sportsman's Association, said they are opposed to this bill. He said there would be a loss of income from loss of recreation in the future, as well as the cost of selling the lands which will be tremendous. That land is almost priceless, people are coming in and buying up land at unbelievable prices and he could not understand selling the best asset you have.

Representative Wayne Stanford, HD 47, Stevensville, said he was

opposed to this bill. He has been a teacher for 24 years, and has learned that history is important. We teach history to learn from the mistakes made in the past so we do not repeat them. Montana is one of the few states that have retained their state lands, and we should learn from the mistakes other states have made when they sold their lands.

Mich Jackson, Director, Montana Association of Conservation Districts could not remain for the hearing and left written testimony. (exhibit 3)

Informational Testimony : None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses: Senator Brenden, Daniels County, asked Tony Schoonen if we get raises in grazing fees etc. would you help in supporting a full payment in lieu of taxes and Mr. Schoonen said \$265,000 already comes out of the general fund in lieu of taxes, and mentioned the Duffield report.

Senator Toews said we heard a reference to our lands as hunter's The constitution calls for the state lands to bring in the greatest amount of revenue and a state Land Board to uphold the constitution. We have these State Lands people in a box and he asked Mr. Schoonen if he could help get State Lands out of the At the present time they are not fulfilling their constitutional duty and that is why Wyoming had to sell some of their land, because they had the same constitution we have. He asked how this could be worked out. Mr. Schoonen said he believed Senator Blaylock's SB 424 last session creating an Advisory Board which would evaluate the uses of state land would help in raising the grazing fees, recreational fees, and said oil and gas leases have been going very cheap. He had another document (but not with him) where Governor Schwinden gave rates to certain oil and gas companies under leases. All of these things have been what he believed to be poor management that has gone on in State Lands in the past. He believed this Land Board is trying to make an attempt, through the bills and the Advisory Council they set up, to try to rectify that. He said the 1972 constitution refers to state school lands as public lands. Granted the highest monetary return has to be obtained, but it has not been because of decades of poor management. They have not had the money to hire the extra help in the Forestry Division and in the Oil and Gas Leasing Division so it has been a serious problem for the Land Board.

Senator Brenden said there are about six counties that have the majority percentage in acres of state land in their counties. He said for the smaller counties with the biggest percentage, and ten years as leases comes up was a concern to him. You could create a potential of declining the market value of that land, and he believed ten years was too short except in cases of isolated tracts. He asked Senator Bruski-Maus if her bill

continues on if she would be amenable to increase that length of time. Senator Bruski-Maus said she had the same problems, the time was getting late to make amendments before the meeting, and she believed these things would be brought out and amendments could be made in a more thought-out pace. In a county where a lot of sections are involved it would probably deflate the price of the land if it was sold.

Doug Sternberg, Legislative Council, said it comes down to the constitutional requirement that the Board maintain and manage state lands and derive the highest and best use on that land. There is nothing in the bill as drafted, that would preclude the Board selling isolated tracts first, or in any other order. fiscal note indicates that as the leases come up the lands would be subject to sale, and that is over a ten year period because leases for agricultural and grazing under the enabling act, have been limited to a ten year period. As the leases expire, the lands would be subject to sale. The decision as to whether the sale would secure the largest measure of legitimate and reasonable value to the state and whether it would be an advantageous sale, that decision remains with the Land Board. When you are looking at a ten year time frame for the sale of land, that is rather elusive because there are also leases on state lands that go beyond that and would not come into that time frame and he believed the fiscal note did mention that additional sales will be required after ten years for longer term leases.

Senator Toews asked someone from the sports people if we were to consolidate these lands, would the sports people be willing to let the return on the investment be one of the major considerations on the purchase of different or consolidated property or would the hunting and fishing etc. be the driving force in purchasing property. Sam Babich said he believed the biggest concern they have, is not so much that they have to have the individual tracts, and he has been a staunch supporter of block management. Their biggest problem is that there are some sections they believe are necessary to retain to provide access to other lands, such as federal lands. They have never had a set policy that they have to have everything. They have been willing to negotiate and work with other groups in that area and believed anything that came along they would be willing to look at. said they have been fighting long and hard for access for everybody.

Senator Toews asked Mr. Babich if he was more concerned about access and would not be opposed to purchasing or trading property based on the economic value so long as you still had no access problems. Mr. Babich said directly yes, indirectly no. If you are selling off a prime elk habitat to a private owner that will lock it up you would have a problem there. You have to look at all economic valuation of it, at what is on the land itself before you trade it.

Senator Blaylock asked Mr. Hagenes if he was correct in saying

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE
December 10, 1993
Page 6 of 6

any sale of state school lands, by law we would have to retain all mineral rights to that land. Mr. Hagenes said at the current time, yes, but this bill would take away that right and would sell mineral rights also.

Senator Blaylock asked if we did this on some kind of a cycle when the leases come up, how many do come up each year. Mr. Hagenes said on agricultural and grazing leases there would be approximately 950 come up for renewal each year. Sen. Blaylock asked for a guess on how many acres that would involve and was told about 490,000 acres.

Closing by Sponsor: Senator Bruski-Maus thanked the committee for a good hearing and said she would urge consideration of what this bill will do for education now and in the future. She pointed out it would also provide property tax relief, help repair of state buildings etc. She said no one had asked her to carry the bill, it was her own idea, and the only people who advised her were her constituents.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 37

Motion: Senator Stang moved Senate Bill moved SB 37 be TABLED.

<u>Discussion</u>: Senator Stang said he would make his motion on the basis that Senator Toews had bill that only provided for a study and the Senate killed it on a 25-25 tie vote, he would make his motion to table the bill.

Vote: Motion to table SB 37 voted, passed 4 voting yes, 3 voting
no, 3 absent, roll call vote.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Adjournment: 4:55 p.m.

ENATOR BLAYLOCK, Chair

SYLVIA KINSEY, Secretary

CB/sk

ROLL CALL

SENATE COMMITTEE EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES DATE 12-10-93

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR BLAYLOCK Chair	V		
SENATOR FRITZ, V.C.	V		
SENATOR BRENDEN	/		
SENATOR BROWN	V		
SENATOR HERTEL	/		·
SENATOR STANG	V		
SENATOR TOEWS	V		
SENATOR WILSON	ν		
SENATOR WATERMAN	V		
SENATOR YELLOWTAIL			~
·			

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE	EDUCATION	AND	CULTURAL	RESOURCES	BILL	NO.
------------------	-----------	-----	----------	-----------	------	-----

DATE	12-10-93	TIME	4145	A.M.(P.M.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR FRITZ, V.C.		
SENATOR BRENDEN		~
SENATOR BROWN		
SENATOR HERTEL		~
SENATOR STANG	V	
SENATOR TOEWS		V
SENATOR WILSON	i i	
SENATOR WATERMAN	1	
SENATOR YELLOWTAIL		
SENATOR BLAYLOCK, Chair	V	
	4	3

SECRETARY CHAIR

MOTION: SEN. STANG MOVED SB 37 BE TABLED.

RCALVOTE.F09

TESTIMONY OF JEFF HAGENER

ADMINISTRATOR, LAND ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

DEPARTMENT OF STATE LANDS

ON

SENATE BILL 37

Senate Education and Cultural Resources Committee
Friday, December 10, 1993

Senate Bill 37 is a complex bill in its intent and the number of statutes that are amended and repealed. A bill of this complexity is difficult to fully digest within the short time frame that review has been allowed. The bill appears somewhat inconsistent in intent when sections 1 an 2 require the board to sell the lands, and then section 27 requires approval and confirmation of all sales by the board based on the Board's determination of whether the sale is in the state's best interest. This appears to leave in place the board's current discretion as to whether or not to sell.

If the reasoning behind the sale of state land is to achieve a greater rate of return from the state's assets, the desired rate of return, and the basis for calculating that rate, needs to be established. Some types of land (i.e agricultural and commercial) currently generate a much higher rate of return than other land types (grazing). Furthermore, the past rates of return are reliant on the rental rates which have been set by statute. SB 424, enacted during the last regular session, clearly places the

duty of achieving full market value from the state lands upon the Land Board. SB 424 also established the state land board advisory council to review rental rates and make recommendation to the board on rates that would obtain full market value. Therefore, rental rates, and thus the rate of return, may soon increase. An additional source of revenue is the recreational use program on state lands. That program, which was instituted in 1992, is beginning to generate additional revenues from state lands.

Implementing a program to sell approximately 4.9 million acres over the next ten years will require substantial planning, resources and funding as indicated in the fiscal note. The Legislature may wish to establish a process, or authorize rulemaking by the Land Board, to charge all costs to the eventual buyers. A state special revenue account could be established to either fund state personnel to process the sales, or contract out with the private sector for processing the sales.

In our brief review, the Department has identified several technical problems:

First, management of current leases and programs will not cease for many years beyond the first ten years of agricultural and grazing lease renewals. There will be longer running mineral lease held by production. Additionally, several types of commercial leases have longer terms than ten years. It can also be

expected that not all tracts offered for sale will sell. The bill, as drafted, does not appear to allow for continued leasing of unsold tracts or tracts on which the surface lease has expired but, a mineral lease is still in effect. Leaving these tracts vacant will result in lost revenue to the trusts, encourages trespass and will result in lack of on-the-ground management such as weed control.

Second, there is some confusion as to whether mineral estates are to be sold together with the surface estate or at separate sales. Selling mineral estates along with the surface estate may be giving up substantial future trust revenues from royalties of unknown mineral deposits. An example is several state sections near Lincoln on which there was no recognized mineral potential eight to ten years ago. A newly proposed gold mine now includes those sections. If the mine becomes reality, the trusts will realize from \$50 to \$100 million in royalties. Statutes enacted in the past recognized the unknown potential of mineral resources and therefore, withdrew those resources from sale and reserved them for the state.

Third, sales of any state land is not a simple process, forcing the sales in a short term is apt to complicate the process even further. Areas that currently raise legal problems are improvement settlements, determining the legality and location of existing easements and dealing with mortgages currently held against the leasehold interest. All sales will require compli-

ance with MEPA and the Antiquities Act. This compliance may result in conditions of sales which effect the value of the land. These issues take substantial time and effort to resolve and can be expected to be compounded with forced sales.

Fourth, placing these volumes of land on the real estate market in such a short term may overload the market for these type of properties and therefore, drive land values down.

Fifth, this bill, if it is intended to require sale of all state lands outside of state forests, may be over broad. Rough estimates of land values indicate that the value of state lands have increased from \$650 million seven years ago, to \$1 billion at present. Timber and recreational land values are continuing to appreciate at a rapid rate. Lands sold in the near future may be of much higher value in five or ten years in the future. Any decision to sell which fails to take into account the appreciation potential of the land, as a trust asset, may ultimately reduce the value of the trusts's available asset value. Perhaps, the Land Board should be given the discretion to not to sell these lands now.

In summary, the department is not opposed to sales of state lands, but believes SB 37 does not properly address all the issues that must be considered. The state land board advisory council should be allowed time to perform its duty. It would seem appropriate to wait for the results of the advisory council,

study the sales issue over the following year(s) and then proceed during the next regular legislative session.

F. J. W. 125 STATE SCHOOL LANDS 155 383 5/2NGTHRC) SB 37 (Sentro) à Resolution by one vote to Sell all montane state school Lands, NOW WE UNDERSTAND a SCONATOR 15 introducting a BIII with hearings on 12/10/93 to sell the Lands, inc the under signed are totally offosed to the selling of state school hands. Lawis Hamper 16 Choning Ca IN, BOZEMON Ash, 4 adam Box 471 Belgrad 388-6902 Trancis Hancock 721 Yellows time Belgrade 358-4975 VEnnes Spelt 212 Park Har E Boknih 388-1671 Top Hocht 905 5. Worde Boforch 384-3318 Rep Wilderon 910 WARD BELGRADE ATT 3881226 Sam Gesko & 2826 COLTER-BOZEMAN, MT. 586-573 Jan a Destar 2826 COLTER-BZN, MT, 586-5732 Engere Jordan 573 MT. HIVY 287 4 ENHIS MT 682-4175 Paulini & Bordan 573 MT HUY 287 #4, ENNIS MT 682-4175 Momen E. Miller 1422 Clary Br. Bozemon Wet 587-2536 (alt. Wingett 1139 S. Cadarvai Dr. Boyenn MT 587-092 Cherry Dietient 33ch Sundavez Die Bozemay 117 586-272 122 Envis LAKE RD. ELLUS 473=

Ciny TATE 4446 BANDOCK DR. 586-4931 haura hubur 16103 Rocky Non Rd 388-6207 Bus Jula 16103 Rocky Mon Rd 388-6207 204 U. Tefferson Apri 19 388-6907 388-6907 1 Du Haygen 204 w. Jefferson 7119 Booky Matthews 17 W Lamme #104 586-8577 Monk's Davis 3650 Magentaro BOZENAN 588 1191 Bu HECKERMAN 1815 SOURDOUGH RD 586-9770 Julie Dansen POBOX-421 Bolgrade 388-1429 With mull 701NRousett 2 Door 5865619 Parel & Hewly 411 Marento in View freeza 586-2804 1305 BALLY MAN LN. 388-668 Tom Satre Hand Ola 450 JUPAZ Bell-RAde 388.4434 Kanil Chi 404 W. Count. Boxe, Ml. 587-888 Heil S. Rouge Protent SEC-9938 lary Jacks 1307 WILDFLOWER WAY BOZEMAN 586-1811 AL ARMSTRONG Kothryn a. Biegel 8580 Selverberry Lane Bozzman 87-035 PU BX696 XZeman - this A Biege 547-035 Stay Wiman Po, Bry 1863 Bozeman 586-314 Chil Seelloff 3331 Yellowbell Gyenen 586 499 The Val 9209 Coum To Boyen 586 -0078 Palm Coffins Bex 495 Garding WH, 848 - 7499 BOX 201 GARDINOL, MT. 893-7226 848-722L Tox201 gardiner MT TORtal &

1

Brove A Oak 126 W Rooked Belgrade MT 388 G822 Hall P. Royain P.O. Box 872 Enris MT 682-4169 T. K. LANE, SR. P.O. BOL 1089 ENN'S, MT. 59739 Bar HRanch Ennis My 59729 Beins Harry 901 NEWSON Strey 1807 59715 BOX 5185 BOZ 59717 MATT ESCOR Marten Erickson 8498 Hyffinghn. Box 59715 Duzanne Frickson 8498 Hulling Bozeman 58715 James V Seuris POBH 140069 Big Sky Mt 59764 P.O Bax 160058 Big Sky MT 597/6 Robins 2 hiles Church Michael - Box 213 Bozeman 4912 Pryor Rd Bushing mo 5910, 602So, 13Th Boreman, MA 597 6025.-13h Bozena reforts P.O. Box 51 Styleyday Mt. 59745 upe 10 5851 Sheridan MT 5977 027 WBalcock Bozeman Sharon Adama 527 W. Balcock, St., Bezeman, MT 5971. 8625 Halling Lin #6 Dozenon, 707/2 N. BOZEMAN 912 A Jeanette Pl Belgrade MT 388-4892 Amelia hurston 2320 W. college US Bozeman 11T 587-5903

1,5908 Steath 1015 Nother, 585-8533 Meni anderser 1820 Reministratury 587-0582 Meninistratury 587-0582 James Swenson 707 1/2 N. Barman Lee, Bar. M. Mary Ellen anderson aloha, OR.
Roger Blanderson 121 Blackure Mt. D. Boz. M.T.

Enhibit 2 12-10-93 SB 37 Med J. King Dox 95 GALATIN GATENAY, MT. 763-4327 - 9763 FONEST CA. DA. BOLFMAN 585 9665 Jul 7500 11045: MONTANA GI GALLATIN BOZZIMAN 385-8425 January Boy 95 Hallatin Holeway, MI 763-4327 and Jason Po. Box 92 Monkattan, MI 284-3143 Dave Rent Box 624 Man HATTAN MET 284-6652 JOHN J. STEELE BOX 369 MANHATTAN MT 284-6055 BEN J. NARDI BOX 779 MANHATTAN MT 284-6463 Leo N. Hoffast 11 East Main Bozenian, Mt. 587-1225 Jack Greenway 12048 Bozeman Bozeman 586-6196 Wolf Vanton 1128 Holy Boz 586-11 Newny Saulato 21 Valley DR Boy MT. 586 1093
Patry hand ato 21 Valley DR Boy Mt 586 1093
Aproll J. Reter 103 last 3 and Big Director 932-4086
O alores OR user 11
Darrin Steveny Box 828
Bolgrade, MT 59714 6. Nayro Diabean 14085 tmographing 8 EPS 40 B Thomas Wed 12850 Rue Rd Ba Mt 59715 937HARRER ROLLIFLS BOX. MJ 59705 1007 EAGLE CT JUM. MT, 59047 Ot 38 Day 2173 deverality 69047 POBOTIAIS BegJember 59011. andina Butt. 1410 MANGOR BOZISMAN, MONT. 59715 arch Butto 1410 Naple Ur. Bozeman, MT 59715

Judit a Buts 1410 Maple Dr. Bosonan MT. 59715

BELLRADE Belynade mistine (Gergum) Barman, Mi sections! ozemon Mt. Solenar MT enth R Con We thom Kirk STOVALL BOZEANAN Bzm MT LORI MCCARFRE

EXHIBIT 2 12-10-93 SB 37 Boseman 59715 Horance R. House 828 JO FIRD BOS N

Nona Houghton Box 755 Selgrado. Wonna & Mac Neb Dozemen - 1417 S Montana Thoron C. Hopenan Songman Bozoman Mt Bob Farson Betty Doughton. Bogonow Mb. Phil atwell 19 farmant

Sharon Crowne Latter Bend 211 So 10th Boyman, my Boyeman, n.f.

Dozeman, MT Roland Lighertry manhattan mit Catherine Ctatuber manhattan mt

Topphe thei

Mukie Jordon MANHATTAN MT. RICK GORDON MANHATTAN M-Bozemes MT 586-6179

32 Bolon Boreman MT 5806170 Cray Cludum 12 E Garfield Bayunan W. J. 54711 586 4207

Michael S. Was 12607 Coup Creek &d. Manhattan, MT 597 Chower & Schessler 7010 Bristol Lene Bozeman, MT 59715 Cal frihat B. O. Boy Stallahm Stry mt Syste Alexan Bo. 175 (Theway 59750)

Jun Clon 4555 Shandalynline Bozzanan 59715

EXHIBIT 2 12-10-93 SB 37

Reed 821W. Mendan X Leod 11 Willey Beal 73 Chestnut & Bozeman, Mt. 586-7000 Pete Beal 13 Chestnut Rd. Bozenian, Int. 586-7022 GEORGE DIERUF P.O. BOX 6195 BOYEMAN MT 587-5055 Denise Heil Bozeman MT 587-2578 POB 3262 Anty DiSanti 610 South Collson Bogeman MT 58975 Transcours 4415 Thorpe Ro. Bel. NIT 59714 4415 Thorpe Rd Blorade Oh 14 Heeb La Montate 902 & MISSOULA BELGARDE Mes Rosie Grant Chamberlain Boxeman NT 59715 1703 DRIFTWOOD DZ. BOZEMAN lamala Webt 310 N. Montana Boy Mt- 59715 oward Thompson Box 130 8 ashy Thompson Box 130

44)

12-10-93 SeNaTe Bill #37 PREPARED BY PAGE NO. AN ACT REQUIRING SALE OF STATE OWNED CATE Public LANDS. Mick JACKSON - DIRECTOR - MONTANA MSSOCIATION of CONSEYVATION DISTRICTS MACD would support SB37 if The following were added. I. The principal be Kept fully intact. Only interest be spent. a. Clear wording That all water rights go with The land. 3. Possible Conservation easements so The land can't be sold To a second party for development. The conservation easements be in place before The land is sold by The STATE

DATE 12-10-93		
SENATE COMMITTEE ON	Education	
BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY:	SB 34	(SB 37)
	· (i	•

< ■ > PLEASE PRINT < ■ >

Check One

Name	Representing	Bill No.	Support	Oppose
Mick JACKSON-DirocTUR	MONTANA ASSOCIATION CONSERVATION D'STRICTS	37		
Grego Hester	GALLATIN Willife Association			
Jewis E HAWKES	PUBLIC LAND ACCES	ly.		V
LiFi Thomas	BURTSMEN			1
Jim McDermand	Medicine River Comoe Club.	37		X
BILL ENDY	Rep HD 74	37		<i>1</i> -
Tons Lanan	State Lands Corlita	37		χ
few Exector	MT Wiselifo Feel	3>		X
SAM BABict	SKYLINE SJORISMAN AS	537		X
Stan Frasier	Prickles Pear Sportsmen	37		X
lem Rechard	MT. Wille Fer	3>		X
Toff Nagener	117. Dept. of State Lands	37		X
Como Schuk	Atly Gen	37		
Dolores Colbury	Self	37		X

VISITOR REGISTER