

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 53rd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE & CLAIMS

Call to Order: By Chair Judy Jacobson, on February 4, 1993, at 12:00 noon.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Sen. Judy Jacobson, Chair (D)
Sen. Eve Franklin, Vice Chair (D)
Sen. Gary Aklestad (R)
Sen. Tom Beck (R)
Sen. Don Bianchi (D)
Sen. Chris Christiaens (D)
Sen. Gerry Devlin (R)
Sen. Gary Forrester (D)
Sen. Harry Fritz (D)
Sen. Bob Hockett (D)
Sen. Greg Jergeson (D)
Sen. Tom Keating (R)
Sen. J.D. Lynch (D)
Sen. Larry Tveit (R)
Sen. Eleanor Vaughn (D)
Sen. Mignon Waterman (D)
Sen. Cecil Weeding (D)

Members Excused: Senator Swysgood, Senator Harding, Senator Nathe

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Terry Cohea, Legislative Fiscal Analyst
Lynn Staley, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing: HB 124, HB 99
Executive Action: HB 93, HB 99, HB 124

HEARING ON HB 124

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Rep. Ed McCaffree of House District 27, said this bill would require an adult child to pay burial costs if able. Under

current law, they are already required to furnish necessary food, clothing and shelter and it is the feeling of the state of Montana and the counties that burial should be included into the statute. There are exclusions in the bill that if the child is not able, or during his upbringing he was severely abused or mistreated, they can be excused. There is an amendment to the bill. On page 2, line 14, the bill refers to "relative". That was not the intent. It was an oversight, and should say "an adult child". He said an additional amendment would be on page 2, line 17. (Exhibit 1)

Proponents' Testimony:

Donna Hagum, Commissioner of Fergus County, representing MACO and County Commissioners across the state, said they believe financially able adult children should be responsible for the burial of their parents. Last year the state paid \$230,000 in burial costs of indigents in just state assumed counties. She said the number of requests for county burials is on the rise in non-state assumed counties as well. She noted examples of financially able children saying their parents died indigent, and therefore the county should bury them. Due to estate planning, wills and trusts, many or most can be considered indigent upon their death. It is the responsibility of the funeral director to discuss payment with the family. If the family says they cannot pay anything, or very little, then the funeral director sends them to the Human Resources Office to fill out an application for a county burial. By including burial as a part of children's responsibilities in the law, it would help counties and the state use their tax dollars more wisely. She stated the funeral home directors have amended the original bill under number 4 of section 2. She said she was in opposition to that amendment because she believes this is an issue that should be covered under contract between funeral homes and counties or funeral homes and the state and should be kept at the local level.

Gordon Morris, Director of the Association of Counties said HB 124 is a bill the Association of Counties does support. This bill has no fiscal implications for the state or for counties. It simply provides that an indigent death and the identification of an adult child, who has the wherewithal to do so, has to retain the responsibilities for the burial, entombment or cremation of their parent. He said on page 1, section 1, the lines beginning 18-22, refers to a situation that might arise where a child would in fact terminate the parent/child relationship through a court of law. Those would be the legal reasons for a court or judge to issue an order that the parent/child relationship has been terminated. If that happens, that child, adult or otherwise, would have no liability for the deceased parent. He asked for the committee's support for HB 124.

Bonnie Tippy, Executive Director of Montana Funeral Directors Association, asked that the committee pass this bill as it has

been amended by the House of Representatives, and that they have no objection to the amendments offered by Rep. McCaffree.

(Exhibit 2)

Rick Evans, funeral director in Missoula, said he is in support of HB 124 as it stands, with the amendment attached to it. He said he has handled 5 state approved burials himself, and he could not collect money from relatives.

Roger Tippy, Legal Advisor to the Funeral Directors, said he was asked to see if the Legislature could clear this up. (Exhibit 3)

Russ Cater, Chief Legal Counsel for the Department of SRS, said the Department supports the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives, but does not support the amendment which was added in the House Human Services Committee. They are opposed to the amendment because it is very confusing. He believes it could be cause for confusion and litigation in the future. He distributed an amendment which the department recommends. (Exhibit 4) The amendments passed by the House, if left in, would amount to, who do you want to pay the bill, should it be the state, or should we be giving a break to the funeral homes.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Informational Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

Senator Waterman addressed Mr. Cater, and said she does not understand why it should be the mortician that goes after the payment and not the state that would go after it.

Mr. Cater said it is administrative ease. He believes if they require hospitals and physicians to go after the parties that are responsible first before they even bill the department, and after that the department will pay. He said they would go after insurance companies for a medical bill. They believe if the funeral directors are in the counties, why not let them go after the bank accounts, if there are cash assets in the bank. Right now the law says that there is a priority lien to funeral homes. The Department of SRS does not have a priority against the estate.

Senator Keating asked Mr. Cater if the department would recommend deleting paragraph 4 of the amended bill, section 2.

Mr. Cater said that is correct.

Senator Keating asked if there was anything that would go in its place.

Mr. Cater said no.

Senator Keating asked Mr. Cater if he wants 53 3-205 in there because it deals with medicaid eligibility.

Mr. Cater said the general relief eligibility. He said he supports all of the amendments submitted by Rep. McCaffree and the deletion.

Senator Christiaens said he had several phone calls from funeral homes who were concerned about the fact that when they have to go after the assets, they almost never recover them. He said he would like to address the amendments presented by Rep. McCaffree. He asked if these amendments would take care of the problem.

Rick Evans said yes it would.

Senator Jacobson asked Ms. Tippy regarding the adult child instead of a relative, was that not a problem? She said from the testimony, it was indicated it could be any relative that had access to the bank account, etc, so if this is changed to the adult child, would that change the intent.

Ms. Tippy said the amendment the House put on was a little different from the one they had offered, but they don't see any problem with the amendments Rep. McCaffree is putting on the bill. They feel the problem will be taken care of.

Senator Jacobson asked if that included striking a "relative" and inserting an "adult child".

Ms. Tippy said they did not see a problem with that.

Senator Vaughn said she was contacted by funeral directors about collecting the money.

Closing by Sponsor:

Rep. McCaffree closed on HB 124. He said the main issue is the amendments and he thinks the amendment he offered has given the funeral directors what they wanted.

HEARING ON HB 99

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Cobb, House District 42, presented HB 99 for Representative Bardanouve. He said HB 99 basically tightens the data processing responsibilities of the State on the computer systems and software. The Department of Administration has more control over the software.

Proponents' Testimony:

Mike Trevor, Administrator of Information Services Division, Department of Administration, said they have worked extensively with the interim subcommittee. They also spent a lot of time with the Legislative Fiscal Analyst staff and feel that this change in statute is probably one of the most significant things to come out of the HJR 18 study. He thinks the changes are a significant improvement over language that is as much as ten years old. He said it makes the Department of Administration's review of hardware all inclusive. It adds software to their review, which is important in terms of setting standards and encouraging compatible software throughout all the agencies. It would also have an expanded review of data processing contracts. Actually as it relates to universities and OPI, this language increases the interaction between the Department of Administration and the university system and OPI, even though it does make allowances for the unique operation of their business. The only thing that may be controversial about this bill to the committee is that it does add work to the ISD and in the fiscal note, three additional FTE's are requested to do this work. He thinks this investment will pay off over the long term with greater sharing of systems, through compatible software, maximizing the sharing of resources which will minimize the need for support FTE's in the agencies. He said in these difficult times with agencies having their budgets severely cut, they find themselves in the middle because it does not decrease the amount of work they have to do. Agencies turn to them more to use automation, to help them become more efficient.

Scott Buswell, Assistant Superintendent for Educational Technology with the Office of Public Instruction, said they support this bill. He said while this bill strengthens the control of the Department of Administration, they feel it is a good change and encourages standardization among state agencies. The language allows OPI some flexibility in terms of dealing with the school districts operating throughout the state who are not standardized.

John Hutchinson, Commissioner of Higher Education, said he supports this bill and would echo what Mr. Trevor said that there has been a much closer working relationship with the Department of Administration. This standardization process and the control vested in the Department of Administration actually will enhance communication.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Informational Testimony:

Senator Jacobson said the Finance Committee and the Audit Committee got together on this issue and there was a subcommittee

formed of 2 audit committee members and 2 Legislative Finance Committee members that worked on this computer planning committee and this legislation is the result of that committee. Senator Jergeson, Senator Nathe, Rep. Bardanouve and Rep. Cobb were those members.

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

Senator Hockett asked if there was a fiscal note with this bill.

Senator Jacobson said there should be a fiscal note. The fiscal note is \$130,000 the first year and \$115,000 the second year from the ISD proprietary account.

Closing by Sponsor:

Senator Jergeson closed for Representative Cobb. He said he became concerned about computers showing up in every budget and brought in a bill that would have provided for a system similar to long range building program to oversee computer purchases, etc. After a certain degree of opposition, his bill didn't make it. HJR did pass the Audit Committee and the Legislative Finance Committee was asked to appoint 2 members each to this interim study. He would hope the committee would give this bill a do pass vote.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 99

Motion/Vote : Senator Lynch moved that HB 99 BE CONCURRED IN.

The motion CARRIED with Senators Aklestad, Devlin, Swysgood and Tveit voting NO.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 93

Motion: Senator Keating moved that HB 93 BE CONCURRED IN.

Discussion: Senator Jergeson asked if the report on HB 93 could be held until next Tuesday. It will be held until Tuesday.

Vote: Motion CARRIED. Senator Keating will carry the bill.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 124

Motion/Vote: Senator Weeding moved the McCaffree amendments (Exhibit 1). Motion CARRIED.

Motion: Senator Keating moved the Department amendment, striking lines 13 through 17 on page 2.

Discussion: Senator Beck asked if according to this amendment, the county can collect, or was this put in by the funeral directors.

Senator Jacobson said this is the amendment that the funeral directors would object to and the Department of SRS was in favor of.

Vote: The motion FAILED on a roll call vote with 9 voting YES and 9 voting NO.

Motion/Vote: Senator Weeding moved HB 124 AS AMENDED BE CONCURRED IN. The motion CARRIED unanimously.

HEARING ON SB 232

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

Senator Jacobson said we have not completed the questions on this bill.

Senator Bianchi thinks we should wait for the amendments.

Senator Hockett said the Board of Regents were receptive to suggestions from the Legislature. He said he recalls where some legislators don't think that was true, one time being last summer when the regents were requested to not allocate the money that was surplus from the 6 mill levy.

Sen. Jergeson said the cuts that were executed on the Experiment Station, Extension Service, Bureau of Mines and all of the rest of the attached agencies were line item cuts in the budget bill passed by the legislature.

Senator Bianchi said he thinks Mr. Hutchinson should comment on where the 6 mill levy should go.

Mr. Hutchinson said their reasoning in using the 6 mill levy money as they did was to cushion and buffer the instructional programs in the university system as much as they could in the face of rather severe budget cuts they were taking over the course of those special sessions, so they invested that money primarily in instructional programs. There was a lot of concern that some of that money could have been used to bail out the experiment stations. It is true that they could have used it for that, but they thought, in their judgement, the will of the

people would be that they concentrate those monies on the core instructional programs of the institution and that is the reason they did that. He also said he would not suggest that in every single instance the Board of Regents has accepted the will of a particular legislative committee, but he thinks on balance, the Regents are responsive to, and try to do what they can to support the will of the legislature.


Closing by Sponsor:

Senator Waterman closed by saying if the bill makes for a higher level of trust or confidence in placing that trust to amend this bill so that the funding is a lump sum appropriation to specific institutions, that is fine and she would support that. She thinks we have to trust the university system to administer the system. She said she intends to make the same sort of amendment to the budgets they oversee in Human Services Subcommittee; that once they appropriate the money, they have the flexibility to move money within those programs.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 1 p.m.


SENATOR JUDY JACOBSON, Chair


LYNN STALEY, Secretary

JJ/ljs

ROLL CALL

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

DATE 2/4/93

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓		
SENATOR FRANKLIN	✓		
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓		
SENATOR BECK	✓		
SENATOR BIANCHI	✓		
SENATOR CHRISTIAENS	✓		
SENATOR DEVLIN	✓		
SENATOR FORRESTER	✓		
SENATOR FRITZ	✓		
SENATOR HARDING			✓
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓		
SENATOR JERGESON	✓		
SENATOR KEATING	✓		
SENATOR LYNCH	✓		
SENATOR NATHE			✓
SENATOR SWYSGOOD			✓
SENATOR TVEIT	✓		
SENATOR VAUGHN	✓		
SENATOR WATERMAN	✓		
SENATOR WEEDING	✓		

FC8

Attach to each day's minutes

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
February 4, 1993

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Finance and Claims having had under consideration House Bill No. 124 (first reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 124 be amended as follows and as so amended be concurred in.

Signed: Judy H. Jacobson
Senator Judy H. Jacobson, Chair

That such amendments read:

1. Title, line 9.

Following: ";

Insert: "REQUIRING REIMBURSEMENT FOR RECOVERY AFTER PAYMENT OF A CONTRACT CHARGE;"

2. Page 2, line 3.

Following: "Burial"

Insert: ", entombment, or cremation"

3. Page 2, line 8.

Following: "burial"

Insert: ", entombment, or cremation"

4. Page 2, line 9.

Following: "."

Insert: "A person who meets the eligibility requirements for general relief contained in 53-3-205 in the month that the person dies is indigent for purposes of this subsection."

5. Page 2, line 14.

Strike: "A RELATIVE"

Insert: "an adult child"

6. Page 2, line 17.

Following: "."

Insert: "A funeral home or a mortician that recovers an amount in excess of a contract amount paid under this subsection shall reimburse the county or the department for the amount recovered up to the amount of the contract."

-END-

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
February 12, 1993

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Finance and Claims having had under consideration House Bill No. 93 (first reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 93 be concurred in.

Signed: Judy H. Jacobson
Senator Judy H. Jacobson, Chair

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
February 4, 1993

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Finance and Claims having had under consideration House Bill No. 99 (first reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 99 be concurred in.

Signed: Judy H. Jacobson
Senator Judy H. Jacobson, Chair

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

BILL NO. HB 124

DATE 2/4/93

TIME _____ A.M. P.M.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON		✓
SENATOR JERGESON	✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓
SENATOR BECK	✓	
SENATOR BIANCHI		✓
SENATOR CHRISTIAENS		✓
SENATOR DEVLIN	✓	
SENATOR FORRESTER	✓	
SENATOR FRANKLIN		✓
SENATOR FRITZ		✓
SENATOR HARDING		
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓	
SENATOR KEATING	✓	
SENATOR LYNCH		✓
SENATOR NATHE		
SENATOR SWYSGOOD	✓	
SENATOR TVEIT	✓	
SENATOR VAUGHN		✓
SENATOR WATERMAN		✓
SENATOR WEEDING	✓	

Lynn Staley
SECRETARY

CHAIR

MOTION: Sen. Keating motion to amend, striking lines 13-17, page 2. (Failed motion)

Amendments to House Bill No. 124
Third Reading Copy

Requested by Representative McCaffree
For the Committee on Finance and Claims

Prepared by Greg Petesch
February 3, 1993

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS
EXHIBIT NO. 1
DATE 2/4/93
BILL NO. HB 124

1. Title, line 9.

Following: "i"

Insert: "REQUIRING REIMBURSEMENT FOR RECOVERY AFTER PAYMENT OF A
CONTRACT CHARGE;"

2. Page 2, line 3.

Following: "Burial"

Insert: ", entombment, or cremation"

3. Page 2, line 8.

Following: "burial"

Insert: ", entombment, or cremation"

4. Page 2, line 9.

Following: "."

Insert: "A person who meets the eligibility requirements for
general relief contained in 53-3-205 in the month that the
person dies is indigent for purposes of this subsection."

5. Page 2, line 14.

Strike: "A RELATIVE"

Insert: "an adult child"

6. Page 2, line 17.

Following: "i"

Insert: "A funeral home or a mortician that recovers an amount in
excess of a contract amount paid under this subsection shall
reimburse the county or the department for the amount
recovered up to the amount of the contract."

**TESTIMONY
HOUSE BILL 124**

Submitted by Montana Funeral Directors

February 4, 1993

Contact: Bonnie Tippy
Phone: 449-7244

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

EXHIBIT NO. 2

DATE 2/4/93

BILL NO. HB 124

The Montana Funeral Directors Association strongly supports HB 124 in its current form, and respectfully urges the committee to retain amendments which were added to the bill by the House Human Services Committee.

This bill states clearly that it will be the duty of the county or the state to collect from the adult children of indigent parents. Amendatory language in the bill clears up some real problems that funeral homes have been having in several of the state assumed counties. In these counties, oftentimes after contracting with a funeral home to provide services, the county discovers that the deceased has assets and reduces payment by that amount. That practice leaves the funeral home with the task of attempting to collect assets. These assets are anything from a social security check to a used car. It should be noted that the funeral home is already providing services at a seriously reduced rate, and that these businesses have no special powers to collect assets from the estate. We have been in contact with the State Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services on this issue, and their justification is interesting. They liken funeral homes to health care providers in this area, saying that if assets of a live person are found, then the provider must collect, and that carries through to assets of dead people. The problem with this reasoning is that a health care provider can withhold future services until they are paid from assets. A funeral home has already provided services, and the dead person has no need for future services of any kind from that funeral home. We believe that there are some gaps in the logic of applying the eligibility standards for living poor persons to the deceased. A live poor person has the ability to spend down their last bit of cash in order to get a full-size welfare check. A dead poor person obviously does not.

In addition, when a county or the state asks a funeral home to provide services, they are creating a contract. Many times, assets are not discovered until after services have been provided, and then the funeral home is told that no, they will not receive full payment for services which have been provided by a written or an oral contract.

These arguments were found to be strong enough that the House amended HB124 to make it clear that the department and counties must honor their contracts. We respectfully ask that the Senate Finance and Claims Committee do so as well.

Tippy & McCue

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1215 Eleventh Avenue
P.O. Box 543
Helena, Montana 59624
406-442-4448 FAX 406-442-8018

Roger Tippy
Mary Kelly McCue

August 6, 1992

Barbara Hoffman, Atty.
Dept. of SRS - Legal Unit
P. O. Box 4210
Helena, MT 59604

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

EXHIBIT NO. 3

DATE 2/4/93

BILL NO. LB 124

Re: Indigents' funerals


Dear Barbara,

This is to follow up our telephone conversation this morning on the above subject. Enclosed please find a memorandum I wrote to the Montana Funeral Directors' Association on Feb. 3.

The facts that were given to me last winter have been somewhat revised since then. The practice in some of these assumed counties (Missoula and Flathead that I know of) is not to turn over items of personal property of the indigent decedent to the funeral home. Rather, the county departments are said to be deducting the value of such property from the flat fee for burial of an indigent person and telling the funeral home to pursue the property, be it a checking account, an automobile, or whatever, to make up the difference.

When a county official invokes the contract with a local funeral home for indigent burial services, the funeral home is entitled to assume that issues of general relief eligibility have been resolved. I look forward to receiving whatever statements the Department may have issued in this area when we discuss this matter again next week.


Sincerely,


Roger Tippy

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICES

AUG 14 1992



STAN STEPHENS
GOVERNOR

JULIA E. ROBINSON
DIRECTOR

STATE OF MONTANA

P.O. BOX 4210
HELENA, MONTANA 59604-4210

August 13, 1992

Roger Tippy
Tippy & McCue
P.O. Box 543
Helena, MT 59624

Subject: Indigent funeral expenses

Dear Roger:

Enclosed is a copy of Section GR 160-4 of the Department's General Relief Manual, which delineates our policy with regard to payment for the burial of indigent persons. This policy applies only to state-assumed counties. To summarize that policy, available income and the equity value of non-excluded resources are deducted from the maximum payment allowed by the county for burial. The maximum payment is negotiated in each county by the county director and the local funeral director. Excluded resources are determined in accordance with 53-3-205(7)(b)(i) through (iv), MCA.

Your letter of August 6, 1992, indicated that in some cases the value of a checking account or automobile is being deducted from the maximum allowed for burial expenses. It is our policy to deduct the value of a bank account or nursing home account from the maximum payment, because such accounts are not excluded resources under the general relief statute. Other resources which will be deducted include life insurance, burial contracts, and tribal, social security and veterans burial benefits.

However, it is not correct to deduct the value of an automobile with an equity value of less than \$1,500, because this is excludable under 53-3-205(7)(b)(ii), MCA. Personal items such as clothing, household furnishings, and tools of the trade are also excluded under 53-3-205(7)(b)(iii) and (iv), MCA.

It is my opinion that our policy of deducting certain income and resources is permissible under 53-3-110, MCA, which provides for the burial of indigent persons. Since section 53-3-110 does not define "indigent" or specify what the county must pay toward the burial of an indigent

Roger Tippy
August 14, 1992
Page 2

person, it is necessary to interpret indigency and determine payment in the context of the entire general relief statute.

Therefore the department determines indigency in accordance with the income standards used to determine eligibility for general relief cash assistance and requires non-excludable resources to be used for burial expenses just as sections 53-3-205(7) and 53-3-206(5) require resources to be used for basic necessities and to pay medical expenses before general relief cash or medical assistance will be given.

Although our policy of deducting resources from the maximum payment puts the funeral homes in the position of having to collect the balance from the deceased person's estate, the same is true in the case of providers of medical services. If an recipient of general relief medical assistance has \$200 in a bank account, we deduct that \$200 from the amount we pay the medical provider for services to that recipient, pursuant to 53-3-206(5). However, there is no guarantee that the recipient will actually pay that \$200 to the provider. If the recipient doesn't use the \$200 for that purpose it will be up to the provider, not the department, to go after the recipient for the unpaid balance.

If our current policy is being misapplied, we will try to correct that. For example, if the value of personal items or automobiles with an equity value of less than \$1500 is being counted, we will advise the counties that is incorrect. I also agree with you that funeral directors should be notified before they are paid that certain income and resources will be deducted from the maximum payment allowed for indigent burials.

Additionally, although I believe our current policy is proper, our Family Assistance Division which administers the General Relief program will be reviewing this policy to see if any changes are appropriate. I will let you know as soon as possible the outcome of that review.


Sincerely,

Barbara B. Hoffmann
Barbara B. Hoffmann, Attorney
Office of Legal Affairs

BBH.253
Enclosure

c: Linda Currie
Roger La Voie

DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICES



MARC RACICOT
GOVERNOR

PETER S. BLOUKE, PhD
DIRECTOR

STATE OF MONTANA


Amendment to House Bill No. 124
(RE: Burial of Indigents)
Third Reading Copy

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS
EXHIBIT NO. 4
DATE 2-4-93
BILL NO. HB 124

1. Page 2.
Following: line 12
Strike: lines 13 through 17 in their entirety.

-End-

Submitted by:


Peter S. Blouke, Director
Montana Department of Social
and Rehabilitation Services

DATE 4 Feb. 1993

SENATE COMMITTEE ON Finance : Claims

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY: HB 99 - Bardanouve
HB 124 - McCaffree

Name	Representing	Bill No.	Check One	
			Support	Oppose
Scott Buswell	Office Public Inst	HB 99	X	
Mike Trevor	DOA/ISD	HB 99	✓	
Gordon Morris	MAHCo	HB 124	✓	
Rick Evans	At. Funeral Director	HB 124	✓	
Russ Carter	SRS	HB 124	✓	

VISITOR REGISTER

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY