MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 53rd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON SELECT BUDGET/REVENUE

Call to Order: By Ed Grady, on January 11, 1993, at 4:00 P.M.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Rep. Ed Grady, Chair (R)

Rep. Jerry Driscoll, Vice Chair (D)

Rep. Mike Foster (R)

Rep. Bob Gilbert (R)

Rep. John Johnson (D)

Rep. Bea McCarthy (D)

Rep. Mary Lou Peterson (R)

Rep. Joe Quilici (D)

Staff Present: Teresa Cohea, Legislative Fiscal Analyst

Mary Lou Schmitz, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

CHAIRMAN GRADY said the committee asked the Staff to bring in more information and turned the meeting over to Teresa Cohea, LFA, who referred the Committee to EXHIBIT 1, which will provide more information. The first sheet is a companion sheet to the Revenue Increase sheet that the Budget Office prepared. It shows examples of expenditure reductions necessary, if done in isolation, that would erase the deficit. For example, the current level foundation and related Guaranteed Tax Base (GTB) costs for the 95 biennium are \$789.9 million. That excludes the Retirement GTB. If you cut 1%, you would save \$8 million. Taking the next step, to erase the entire \$215 million deficit by cutting only the foundation program, you would need a 27% cut.

The same thing is done with the University System. Under current level general fund for the 95 biennium, based on the formula funding, a 1% cut would save \$2.5 million general fund. You would need to cut the University System by 86% if you used that method only to erase the projected deficit.

She referred next to Table 3, Page 11, Budget Analysis, Vol. I. Totally eliminating all funding for Agency 6 (Revenue), Agency 8 (Justice) and 10 through 40 (all other state agencies that are not Human Services or Higher Ed) would cost \$198 million. The only things that would remain funded are Human Services and

Higher Education. If you totally eliminated all general fund for all remaining agencies, you still could not erase the deficit since you would only get \$198 million, general fund savings.

The next thing the Committee asked Ms. Cohea to look at was, of the other general fund expenditures that are statutory appropriations, what is within their discretion to change and what is not? Personal property reimbursement is totally within legislative control. That is a program the legislature created, so there is no federal mandate. If you changed the statute, you could either eliminate the \$39.8 million biennial cost or reduce Those who would be affected would be local government and schools who receive this money. Debt service the \$23.3 million is a contractual obligation because bonds were sold and interest on them is due. The \$2.4 million shown, EXHIBIT 1, that is within legislative control is the fiscal 95 debt service resulting from Governor Stephens' recommendations for the downsized men and women's prisons and the two University Unit buildings that were approved in the 91 Session. Retirement benefits are entirely within your control. Those were the benefits enacted as a companion on SB 226, Taxation of State Employees and Teachers Retirement. Again, statute change could eliminate or reduce that cost.

The next page shows the current level, general fund balance statement for the 93 biennium and how it compares to the LFA current level for the 95 biennium. For example, each item is shown either increase/decrease. Ms. Cohea referred to the General appropriation act/pay plan there is a \$100 million increase between the two bienniums, general fund. To make it comparable you will have to take the Supplementals that are being requested for the 93 biennium and include them with the General appropriation act because in most cases they will be ongoing costs. If you did that there would be a \$77 million increase in State Agency and University System budgets.

The School Equalization Account (SEA) shows the Supplemental that is needed in the 93 biennium, \$12.1 million, and contrasts it with the Supplemental that will be needed to maintain current foundation schedules for anticipated enrollment. All this reflects is the amount that account is short to fund current foundation schedules.

Contained in the Feed Bill for 93 are the costs of the July Special Session and the current Session, \$5 million.

After looking at all of the above figures, there is a \$95 million increase in general fund expenditures between the 93 current level and the 95 biennium current level. Of the \$215 million deficit how much is due to expenditure increases and how much is due to revenue short-falls. That calculation is shown in the Components of Budget Deficit; expenditure increases are \$99.3 million. The difference between that and the \$215 million projected deficit is \$116.3 million of revenue shortfall.

- Ms. Cohea referred the Committee to the next page and explained they have taken the LFA current level for 1992-93 by Agency, general fund only and compared it to the LFA 94-95 general fund, shown a difference and a percentage. For instance, using the Legislative Auditor as an example, what is in the 92-93 current level is 92 actual expenditures that are ongoing. Built into this column are any vacancy savings that the Agency did experience in 92 and any vacancy savings or budget reductions imposed for 93 during the special sessions. Also built into that are any funding switches that relieve general fund etc. 95 is the LFA calculation as defined by statute of what it would cost to fund existing programs in 94-95. This includes full funding for all-authorized positions, Worker's Compensation increases as calculated prior to the 5% midterm increase, the annualized pay plan etc. All those sorts of costs are reflected in this column. The average percent increase for all agencies is about 13%, but it varies widely.
- Ms. Cohea referred to the next three pages, EXHIBIT 1, Current Level Expenditures Fiscal 1992. The first two pages exclude the University system. This is general fund only, but it tells you how agencies spent their budget.
- Ms. Cohea said that same type of information is presented on the back page for the University system. There is a little bit of difficulty in the University system data. General fund money is sent to the University system but they spend it out of Current Unrestricted. In that account it is mingled with 6 mill levy money, tuition money, etc. In this calculation, she is showing expenditures from the Current Unrestricted.
- Ms. Cohea summarized by saying, if, for example you chose to say that Agencies could spend no more than their fiscal 92 appropriation, for many Agencies that would mean reducing their positions by 10% or applying a 10.8% vacancy savings factor. These numbers are in the Budget Analysis, Summary Page 24 and a graph shows areas of change in the current level budget between 92 and 94. Now instead of looking at agency by agency, rolled up for all state agencies. Between the 93 biennium and the 95 biennium there is a \$71 million increase in state agencies' personal service budgets. Of that amount, \$4 million is due to upgrade, about \$12 million due to increase Worker's Compensation premiums, and about \$22 million due annualization of the pay plan. Most of the remainder is due to vacancy savings that you imposed and/or agencies accrued during the 93 biennium.

In answer to a question from REP. DRISCOLL concerning one-time revenues, Ms. Cohea said in the report the LFA office put out in June that dealt with predicting how big the deficit would be, Terry Johnson, LFA office, had a page that very carefully itemized what the one-time money in 93 was and she will make it available to the committee. REP. DRISCOLL said revenue collections from existing taxes on the books are going up. Formula driven government is going up at a different rate and he

feels somebody will have to get those things back in line.

REP. GILBERT said he was not sure all Medicaid benefits are mandates. They have options and we can look at them.

SEN. GAGE said the current level 92-93 general fund included 92 actual and part of that was funding source switches. Are there any funding source switches in 93 and are they taken into account? Ms. Cohea said in 92-93 these reflect funding switches you approved during the two special sessions. In 94-95 when the LFA build the current level, if there was an ongoing revenue source and the funding switch would still work and you hadn't specified it was one-time the LFA continued it. If you had specified it was one-time or the money wasn't there, then they switched it back to the historical funding method. There is a mixture. That is one of the things the LFA documented in the Budget Analysis book.

SEN. AKLESTAD referred to EXHIBIT 1, General Fund Expenditures and the reversions in 93 of \$7 million. Ms. Cohea said this is an estimated figure for the 95 biennium. Mr. Johnson calculated that because it is unknown. There is \$3.5 million estimated for 93. SEN. AKLESTAD said his concern is, since there were vacancy savings last time he thought the reversions were going to be nil and now if they are operating under the assumption they are not going to save vacancy savings then would reversions be greater for 94 or 95. Ms. Cohea said that is a good point. Even after the special sessions and the relatively tight budgets, there was an anticipated reversion rate of \$2.5 million per year.

Dave Lewis, Director, Budget Office said there were two things he was asked to do 1) ask the Human Service Department to access the impact of staying at the 92 appropriated level on their programs. SRS did a fairly detailed listing of what you would have to do to cut \$65 million out of the current level budget that is in the LFA budget. He explained EXHIBIT 2 in detail.

REP. DRISCOLL asked if the Montana income tax, worked through a percentage of Federal tax at 41% would make up the \$215 million? Mr. Lewis said it would.

Mr. Lewis referred to a question from REP. QUILICI who asked what can be saved when the various optional services are eliminated. The optional services are the ones at the top of the list (SRS Program Elimination and Reductions). Most of them do not amount to much in total dollars.

REP. DRISCOLL said the actual general fund and all other tax collections went down but the economy is going up and how do we stop that? He suggested fixing the structural imbalance of the tax system.

Terry Johnson, LFA, said in terms of the general fund and school equalization account revenues, they actually are going up. The

problem with looking at 92-93 versus 94-95 is one-time revenues in 92-93 but you also have the revenue accruals, the one-time bump in collections. You also have the implementation of the 7% surtax included. Looking at 94-95, a 3% growth rate is predicted. That is more of a normal trend now, once they have gone through the one-time adjustments.

SEN. SWYSGOOD said the subcommittees are working on budgets now and are struggling just to maintain current level and if they do that will still be \$215 short in that process. Somewhere they need to be aware of a target in reduction.

CHAIRMAN GRADY said hopefully they will be able to set Friday, January 15, as their target date.

SEN. GAGE said he recalled there were no Mods included and asked if there is somewhere they can see the total Mods. Ms. Cohea said she just received the Racicot budget. General Fund is actually negative with \$25 million cuts in the University System. In other Agencies there would be \$12 million or \$13 million positive Mods offset by the \$25 million negative. Total Mods in the Racicot budget are \$154.2 million increase so there are negative Mods in SRS, the University system etc. offsetting positive Mods in other Agencies for net increase of \$154.2 The general fund Mods are negative because of \$10 million. million negative Mods in SRS and \$12 million negative in the University system. Also there will be the \$14 million negative Mod general fund in Justice, the funding switch. So when the LFA staff does the analysis of the Racicot budget they will break it out by upward Mods versus negative Mods.

SEN. SWYSGOOD said the committee should not lose sight of the fact that when looking at these figures, they do not include the Supplementals. That is also a substantial amount of money.

Ms. Cohea said the current level also does not include the Racicot proposal for pay plan (\$20 per month insurance) which has a \$5 million general fund cost.

SEN. GAGE said to the extent that Supplementals are funded would it be a correct statement to say they will become a part of the 92-93 current level and thus reflect on the 94-95 current level?

Ms. Cohea said that is true in most cases but in a couple of cases they are not. For example, the Department of Revenue needed \$1 million to complete the Reappraisal Cycle. Hopefully, it will be completed, so the Supplemental won't be that ongoing. Certainly, cost over-runs in SRS will presumably continue in the 1995 biennium.

SEN. SWYSGOOD referred to the "cat and dog" appropriations that are ongoing. Are they included in the current level? Ms. Cohea said the LFA handled it like it has been traditionally handled. A "cat and dog" is not part of the current level base because the

appropriation is for two years only. For example, HB 999 provided funding for only two years, so it isn't in the LFA current level. However, there are one or two "cats and dogs" that are in the current level because the Legislature increased a revenue source and made it a permanent revenue source. In that case, the LFA current level would include them.

SEN. SWYSGOOD said if those programs are going to continue such as HB 999, which is Special Education Instate Reimbursement, then that further clouds the picture as far as the cost. Ms. Cohea said if the legislature wishes to continue funding the program with the general fund, that would be a general fund cost.

REP. DRISCOLL suggested the Appropriation Subcommittees be told that wherever possible they have to hold the spending to 1992 actual. The Taxation Committee will have to be told whatever this number is in the Budget, that amount will have to be put into tax increases that are ongoing.

REP. GILBERT suggested a motion to use 92 actual expenditures, have the staff come back with the results of that motion which would be in the range of \$115 million and determine if that is too much or not enough and then make another motion. This would be the best way to give guides to the subcommittees now.

Motion: REP. DRISCOLL amended REP. GILBERT's motion and recommended the Appropriations Committee set the target to not exceed the 92-93 Biennium actual, \$1,047,000 billion.

<u>Discussion:</u> Ms. Cohea said if it would be helpful she would work with the Budget Office to come up with a list of "cats and dogs".

SPEAKER MERCER reminded the committee they are setting a target and he will see to it personally there will be a number of Bill drafts put in that are general in nature to try to implement some of the things that might be necessary to meet the targets set by this committee. He encouraged them to not set the target simply based on the General Appropriations Act. You have to set the target based on all general fund money. A Resolution will be drafted and taken on the floor of the House, debated, and voted on and that Resolution will bind the Appropriations committees.

Vote: MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 5:15 P.M.

REP. ED GRADY, Chair

MARY LOU /SCHMITZ, Secretary

EG/mls

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Select Budget/Revenue

COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

	•				
DATE	1/11/93	BILL NO.	HR 2	NUMBER _	
MOTION:	Rep. Drisc	coll amended	l Rep. Gi	lbert's motio	n and
recommen	ded the Appr	copriations	Committe	e set the tar	get to not
exceed t	he 92-93 bie	ennium actua	1, \$1,04	7,000 billion	
•	1	Talian anna	adani	maal	

Motion carried unanimously.		
NAME	AYE	NO
Rep. Ed Grady, Chair	x	
Rep. Jerry Driscoll, Vice Chair	x	
Rep. Mike Foster	х	
Rep. Bob Gilbert	x	
Rep. John Johnson	×	
Rep. Bea McCarthy	x	
Rep. Mary Lou Peterson	x	
Rep. Joe Quilici	х	
	8	0

HR:1993

wp:rlclvote.man
CS-11

TERESA OLCOTT COHEA LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST

STATE OF MONTANA

Office of the Legislative Discal Analyst

STATE CAPITOL HELENA, MONTANA 59620 406/444-2986

January 11, 1993

TO:

Members and Observers of the Select Committee on Budget/Revenue

FROM:

Teresa Olcott Cohea

Legislative Fiscal Analyst

RE:

Material for Monday's Meeting

At Friday's meeting and in a follow-up meeting on Saturday, members of the committee asked us to prepare:

- 1) a comparison of agencies' general fund 1993 biennium current level base with the LFA current level for the 1995 biennium.
- 2) a comparison of all 1993 biennium general fund expenditures with the LFA current level for the 1995 biennium;
- 3) examples of the magnitude of education and state agency general fund budget reductions that would be needed in isolation to "erase" the projected \$215 million deficit. OBPP agreed to provide the same data for human service programs.

The requested material is attached so that you can review it prior to today's meeting. In addition, we have provided an analysis of how agencies spent their fiscal 1992 general fund current level budgets. With this material, the committee will know what percentage of total general fund expenditures were for agency operating costs (personal services, operating, and equipment) and the percentages spent on benefits, local assistance, and debt service.

We will be available to answer any questions you may have on this material at today's meeting.

TOC3J:lt:rev1-11.mem

EXHIBIT

DATE //		GF/SEA Total Savings (\$ Million)	215.7 215.6 197.9	•			
	rase Deficit	GF/SEA Total Reduction Needed (%)	27% 86% 100%		*		
	ary to E		8.0 2.5 2.0	ditures	Sontrol	39.8 2.4 7.8	
	Examples of Expenditure Reductions Necessary to Erase Deficit 1995 Biennium	GF/SEA Savings from 1% Reduction (\$ Million)		Discretionary General Fund Expenditures, 1995 Biennium	Within Legislative Control* (\$ Million)		*Statute chańge required
	f Expenditure F	GF/SEA Current Level Expenditure (\$ Million)	789.9 250.4 197.9	Discretionary G	Contractual (\$ Million)	0.0 23.3 0.0	*Statu
	Examples o		Foundation/related GTB University system Agencies #6, #8, #10-#40	-		Property tax reimbursement Debt service Retirement benefit	

General Fund Expenditures (\$ Millions)

	Current Level	LFA Current Leve	Increase/
	1993 Biennium	1995 Biennium	Decrease
General appropriations act/pay plan	\$926.467	\$1,026.459	\$99.992
Governor-elect	0.050	0.000	(0.050)
Statutory appropriations			ĺ
Property tax reimbursement	38.431	39.846	1.415
Debt service	24.314	25.728	1.414
TRANS interest	6.464	${f Unknown}$	(6.464)
Retirement	6.700	7.814	1.114
Miscellaneous appropriations	2.879	0.000	(2.879)
Continuing appropriations	9.863	0.000	(9.863)
Supplementals			0.000
All other agencies	22.330	0.000	(22.330)
SEA	12.100	45.214	33.114
Feed bill	5.425	5.000	(0.425)
Reversions	(7.305)	(7.305)	0.000
Anticipated disbursements	\$1,047.718	\$1,142.756	\$95.038

^{*}Adjusted for FY92 reversions; includes all HB2/HB509 general fund expenditures. \$908,765,660 total on next page reflects current level expenditures only.

Components of Budget Deficit 1995 Biennium

Expenditure increases		\$99.3	
General fund	61.9	·	
School foundation	37.4		
Revenue shortfall		<u>116.3</u>	
Projected general fund/SEA deficit		\$215.6	

Office of Legislative Fiscal Analyst General Fund Comparison 1992–93 Biennium vs. 1994–95 Biennium

DATE 1 11 93

Agcy		* Current Level Fiscal 1992-93	Current Level Fiscal 1994-95	Current Level Dollar	Current Level Percent
Code		General	General	Difference	Difference
	Legislative Auditor	2,405,934	2,598,280	192,346	7.99%
	Legislative Fiscal Analyst	1,640,161	1,730,163	90,002	5.49%
	Legislative Council	3,531,458	4,948,118	1,416,660	40.12%
	Environmental Quality Council	557,134		42,531	7.63%
	Judiciary	16,409,133	18,046,448	1,637,315	9.989
	Governors Office	4,716,106	5,048,068	331,962	7.049
	Secretary Of States Office	1,862,677	1,865,520	2,843	0.159
	Commissioner Of Political Prac	254,823	251,177	(3,646)	
	State Auditors Office	4,140,769	4,285,698	144,929	3.509
	Office Of Public Instruction	88,780,459	90,428,764	1,648,305	1.869
	Crime Control Division	929,671	948,664	18,993	2.049
	Highway Traffic Safety	366,160	420,000	53,840	14.70
	Department Of Justice	21,849,203	26,894,613	5,045,410	23.09
4201	Public Service Regulation	4,100,213	4,345,112	244,899	5.979
5101	Board Of Public Education	208,748	229,268	20,520	9.83
5100	Montana University System	246,182,349	250,382,166	4,199,817	1.71
5113	School For The Deaf & Blind	5,418,939	5,626,423	207,484	3.83
5114	Montana Arts Council	149,869	262,874	113,005	75.40
5115	Library Commission	2,070,961	2,328,265	257,304	12.42
	Historical Society	2,509,240	2,790,788	281,548	11.22
5201	Dept Of Fish, Wildlife & Parks	778,023	846,110	68,087	8.75
	Dept Health & Environ Sciences	6,472,799	6,616,383	143,584	2.22
	Department Of Transportation	611,342	461,689	(149,653)	
	Department Of State Lands	17,561,922	18,700,093	1,138,171	6.48
	Department Of Livestock	1,227,616	1,148,509	(79,107)	
	Dept Nat Resource/Conservation	8,657,844	10,375,645	1,717,801	19.84
	Department Of Revenue	38,784,319	43,420,054	4,635,735	11.95
	Department Of Administration	6,433,468	7,020,738	587,270	9.13
	Department Of Agriculture	2,124,606	1,942,467	(182,139)	
	Dept. Corrections & Human Ser	146,938,264	164,701,766	17,763,502	12.09
	Department Of Commerce	5,119,155	5,441,618	322,463	6.30
	Labor & Industry	1,453,872	1,396,969	(56,903)	a contract of the contract of
	Adjutant General	4,084,257	4,321,645	237,388	5.81
	Dept Social & Rehab Services	199,296,681	260,595,985	61,299,304	30.76
	Department Of Family Services	61,137,485	75,439,238	14,301,753	23.39
	Totals	908,765,660	1,026,458,983	117,693,323	12.959

^{*}Does not include \$22.3 million in requested supplementals.

	*
/st	1992
Legislative Fiscal Analyst	Level Expenditures Fiscal
Fiscal	tures
ative	pendi
egisl	el Ex
oę	فِ
Office	Current I
	<u> </u>

Agcy	Personal			Capital	Local		Benefits &		Debt	Total
Code Agency Name	Services	Operating	Equipment	Outlay	Assistance	Grants	Claims	Transfers	Service	Expenditures
1101 Legislative Auditor	1,017,398	126,896	15.510		0 0	0	0	0	J	1.159.804
1102 Legislative Fiscal Analyst	673,800	89,394	576/		0	0	0	0	•	763,770
1104 Legislative Council	995,028	418,418	137,002		0	0	0	0	•	1,550,448
1111 Environmental Quality Council	236,912	37,045	4,154		0 0	0	0	0	J	278,111
2110 Judiciary	4,083,851	902,900	248,756		0 0	2,646,833	0	0	•	7,882,340
3101 Governors Office	1,754,644	492,631	193,052		0 0	0	0	0	Ŭ	2,440,327
3201 Secretary Of States Office	543,308	361,108	7,313		0 0	0	0	0	J	911,729
3202 Commissioner Of Political Prac	92,858	38,172	531		0 0	0	0	0	1,176	132,737
3401 State Auditors Office	1,520,346	614,941	284		0 0	0	0	0	_	2,135,571
3501 Office Of Public Instruction	2,140,606	819,483	181,713		0 40,834,379	1,305,230	0	12,535,074	J	57,816,485
4107 Crime Control Division	348,249	106,115	888		0 0	0	0	0	_	455,252
4108 Highway Traffic Safety	0	0	0		0 183,080	0	0	0	J	183,080
4110 Department Of Justice	7,012,371	3,347,383	385,584		0 0	0	0	0	62,643	10,807,981
4201 Public Service Regulation	1,583,670	389,620	45,209		0 0	0	0	0	_	2,018,499
	84,403	26,695	0		0 0	0	0	0	•	111,098
-	993,129	329,630	47,633		0 3,733,048	4,669,838	0	0	706,255	10,479,533
5113 School For The Deaf & Blind	2,219,907	421,870	30,778		0 0	0	0	0	7,900	2,680,455
5114 Montana Arts Council	58,127	56,320	1,493		0 0	17,620	0	0	•	133,560
5115 Library Commission	383,640	208,971	97,931		0 0	379,105	0	0	J	1,069,647
5117 Historical Society	916,760	291,546	8,113		0 0	0	0	\$0,996	_	1,267,415
	98,296	222,504	72,621		0 0	0	0	0	_	393,421
	1,957,143	1,258,901	10,933		0 0	92,548	0	0	•	3,319,525
_	234,959	75,823	0		0 0	0	0	0	_	310,782
_	1,831,793	6,035,108	315,486		0 0	265,000	0	0	_	8,447,387
_	616,132	145,334	1,876		0	0	0	0		
	3,299,089	877,290	51,446		0	0	0	0	15,965	
	15,294,744	3,907,102	186,378		0	0	0	0	269,741	_
	2,246,884	895,895	28,822		0 4,737	0	0	0	3,179	` .
6201 Department Of Agriculture	878,621	244,432	11,985		0	0	0	0		
6401 Dept. Corrections & Human Ser	53,011,238	15,376,420	431,086		0 0	4,401,892	0	0	160,202	2 73,380,838
6501 Department Of Commerce	1,456,304	921,039	91,872		0	0	0	141,483		2,610,698
6602 Labor & Industry	233,548	106,076	1,340		0 0	0	402,779	0		743,743
6701 Adjutant General	1,062,065	1,040,728	18,939		0 0	0	2,470	0		0 2,124,202
6901 Dept Social & Rehab Services	5,793,235	4,799,456	38,958		0 0	0	87,669,772	0	•	0 98,301,421
6911 Department Of Family Services	13,028,973	1,657,938	117,650		0 0	1,159,914	15,057,768	Õ	-,	0 31,022,243
Grand Total General Fund	\$127,702,031 \$46,643.18	\$46,643.184	\$2,785,942		50 \$44.755.244	\$14,937,980	\$14,937,980 \$103,132,789	\$12,727,553	\$1.227.06	\$1,227,061 \$353,911,784

*Does not include university system (except CHE)

DATE 1-11-93

Agcy Oode Agency Name	Personal Services	Operating	Equipment	Capital Outlay	Local Assistance	Grants	Benefits & Claims	Transfers	Debt Service	Total Expenditures
Percent of Budget				-						
1101 Legislative Auditor	87.72%	10.94%	1.34%	,′ 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	88.22%	11.70%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	64.18%	26.99%	8.84%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	85.19%	13.32%	1.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	51.81%	11.45%	3.16%	0.00%	0.00%	33.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	_
	71.90%	20.19%	7.91%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
3201 Secretary Of States Office	59.59%	39.61%	0.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	69.96%	28.76%	0.40%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.89%	
3401 Office Of Bublic Learning	71.19%	28.80%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	3.70%	1.42%		0.00%	70.63%	2.26%	0.00%	21.68%	0.00%	
	%0.50/ 2000.0	23.31%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	. 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	0.00%	30.00%	3.570	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	78.46%	10.30%	2.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.38%	100.00%
	75.07%	24 03%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
_	9.48%	3.15%		0.00%	35.62%	44.56%	0.00%	0.00%	6.74%	
	82.82%	15.74%	1.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.29%	
	43.52%	42.17%		0.00%	0.00%	13.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	35.87%	19.54%		0.00%	0.00%	35.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
_	72.33%	23.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0.00%	4.02%	0.00%	
5201 Dept Of Fish, Wildlife & Parks		56.56%	_	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	. 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	_
5501 Department Of Transmortation	38.96%	31.92%	0.33%	0.00%	0.00%	2.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	21.68%	71.44%		0.00%	0.00%	3 149%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
		19.04%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	n 77.74%	20.67%	1.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.38%	
	71.80%	19.88%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.37%	
	70.67%	28.18%		0.00%	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	
		21.54%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
		20.95%	0.59%	0.00%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%	100.00%
	55.78%	35.28%	3.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.42%	0.00%	100.00%
	31.40%	14.26%	0.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	54.16%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	\$0.00%	48.99%	0.89%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	
	5.89%	4.88%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	89.18%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
6911 Department Of Family Services	42.00%	5.34%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	3.74%	48.54%	0.00%	0.00%	
Grand Total General Fund	36.08%	13.18%	0.79%	0.00%	12 65%	4 27%	20 14%	3,60%	0 35%	
				2,22,2	14.42 /	V.44.F	47.17.0	3.00.0	N.C.C.D	-

	992	
Office of Legislative Fiscal Analyst	Current Level Expenditures Fiscal 1992	

										- -
	Personal		•	Capital	Local		Benefits &	,	1020	10181
Code Agency Name	Services	Operating	Equipment	Outlay	Assistance	Grants	Claims	Transfers	Service	Expenditures
3511 Billings Vo Tech	1,531,205	381,995	65,245	0	0		1,000	0	0	1,979,445
3512 Butte Vo Tech	1,507,405	276,053	39,822	0	0	0	0	0	26,611	
3513 Great Falls Vo Tech	1,839,737	418,387	13,533	•	0	259	0	0	15,264	1 2,287,180
3514 Helena Vo Tech	1,919,767	508,765	220,866	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,649,398
3515 Missoula Vo Tech	2,303,531	488,558	17,766	0	0	58	0	0	0	2,809,913
5103 University Of Montana	36,341,038	7,605,528	1,510,275	43,900	0	0	0	0	26,219	45,526,960
5104 Montana State University	41,440,255	9,943,503	1,838,208	7,457	0	0	0	0	85,153	•
5105 Mont College Of Min Sc & Tech	8,431,764	2,752,520	441,203	0	0	0	0	0	10,200	
5106 Eastern Montana College	11,871,548	4,222,413	372,858	0	0	0	0	0	87,903	16,554,722
5107 Northern Montana College	6,547,695	2,135,501	87,642	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5108 Western Montana College	4.110,274	943,121	38,525	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5109 Agricultural Exper Station	8,416,969	1,504,952	241,830	785	0	0	0	0	2,803	_
\$110 Cooperative Extension Service	4,310,301	508,961	82,126	0	0	0	0	0	5,185	
5111 Forestry & Cons Exper Station	590,164	117,808	7,432	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5119 Fire Services Training School	191,866	37,968	18,854	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	ō	248,688
Grand Total Current Unrestricted	131,353,519	31,846,033	4,996,185	52,142	0	317	1,000	0	259,338	168,508,534
Percent of Budget										
3511 Billings Vo Tech	77.36%	19.30%	3.30%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	, 100.00%
3512 Butte Vo Tech	81.49%	14.92%	2.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	100.00%
3513 Great Falls Vo Tech	80.44%	18.29%	0.59%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.67%	, 100.00%
3514 Helena Vo Tech	72.46%	19.20%	8.34%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	300.00%
3515 Missoula Vo Tech	81.98%	17.39%	0.63%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	, 100.00%
5103 University Of Montana	79.82%	16.71%	3.32%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	3 100.00%
\$104 Montana State University	77.73%	18.65%	3.45%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%	900.00%
5105 Mont College Of Min Sc & Tech	72.46%	23.66%	3.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	200.001
5106 Eastern Montana College	71.71%	25.51%	2.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.53%	200.00%
5107 Northern Montana College	74.65%	24.35%	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	900.00%
5108 Western Montana College	80.72%	18.52%	0.76%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5 100.00%
5109 Agricultural Exper Station	82.78%	14.80%	2.38%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	200.00%
5110 Cooperative Extension Service	87.85%	10.37%	1.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.11%	900.00%
5111 Forestry & Cons Exper Station	82.49%	16.47%	1.04%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5 100.00%
5119 Fire Services Training School	77.15%	15.27%	7.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Grand Total Current Unrestricted	77.95%	18.90%	2.96%	0.03%	%00'0	%00 O	0 00%	%00 0	0 15%	100 00%
		2/2 2:01	2/2/:0	9/2010	2/20:0	0,000	0,000	0.00	7.7	

DATE 1-11-93

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND HUMAN SERVICES



MARC RACICOT, GOVERNOR

EXHIBIT 2

DATE /-11-93

HB NR 2

1539 11TH AVENUE

STATE OF MONTANA

(406) 444-3930 FAX: (406) 444-4920

PO BOX 201301 HELENA, MONTANA 59620-1301

MEMORANDUM

January 11, 1993

TO:

Dave Lewis, Budget Director

Office of Budget & Program Planning

Governor's Office

FROM:

Rick Day, Director

Department of Corrections and Human Services

SUBJECT: Legislative request January 9, 1993 - impact of reduction to FY 92 base

Returning to FY 92 base level would amount to approximately a 7.1 million dollar reduction in FY 94 and 9.3 million in FY 95. This approach would require significant statutory changes and elimination of entire programs. In addition, reductions of this level would not allow funding or time needed to develop alternate care. Consequently, loss of life, increased risk to public safety, and numbers of employees without any means of support would be among the results.

Complete closure of Galen, the Center of the Aged, Swan River Forest Camp, Eastmont Human Services Center and consolidating laundry services and eliminating inpatient chemical dependency treatment would produce just over 7.5 million dollars in savings by fiscal 95. This savings would only be realized if no or limited community funding was provided and employees were left without assistance. In addition, this approach does not take into consideration modifications including a new veterans hospital and expanded corrections proposals which propose to add about 13 million dollars to the budget.

The Department has been considering an alternative which seems more acceptable but would provide less direct savings in the short term. This approach focuses on requesting overall policy direction from the legislature to identify the services which are to be provided by the state and approve a budget which allows carry-over between years with maximum flexibility between levels and programs. The location of these services would not be identified and the Department would be directed to review all facilities to increase efficiency, emphasize community treatment, and lessen impact to employees. Savings would be reinvested in community and institutional programs to the extent needed to provide quality care. In other words, the Department would have the flexibility to manage resources to produce long term savings and better care.

cc: Mike Lavin, Chief of Staff, Gov's Office

Sally Johnson, Deputy Director

Division Administrators

DEPARTMENT OF EXHIBITION SERVICES ATE

EXHIBIT 7 L- 11-93 HB HR 2



MARC RACICOT GOVERNOR PETER S. BLOUKE, PhD DIRECTOR

STATE OF MONTANA:

P.O. BOX 4210 HELENA, MONTANA 59604-4210 (406) 444-5622 FAX (406) 444-1970

January 11, 1993

To:

Dave Lewis, Director

Office of Budget and Program Planning

From:

Peter S. Blouke

Director

Subject: SRS Budget Reduction to Fiscal 1992 Level

The following is provided in response to your request for a gross analysis of the impact of reducing the Department's 1995 biennium budget to the actual expenditure level for fiscal 1992. The attached table presents a summary of the program reductions and program eliminations that would be required and the corresponding fiscal impact. For comparison purposes, I have used the LFA 1995 biennium current level as a base.

As may be seen from the table, for SRS to reduce expenditures to the fiscal 1992 level would require a reduction of \$63.9 million general fund. Total reductions equal \$183.2 million of which \$119.3 million are federal funds.

Unfortunately, I must add the following caveats to any interpretation or use of this data.

- 1. For the majority of programs administered by the Department, federal law limits the degree of flexibility we have in making reductions. This is particularly true for the largest and most expensive programs Medicaid and AFDC.
- 2. The programs identified for reduction or elimination are a realistic assessment of the options available to the Department. I have purposefully not selected the most controversial or severe cuts possible. Clearly, in an agency this size there are a number of different program mixes that could be used to achieve the requested reduction, e.g., significant general fund savings could be realized if existing services to the developmentally disabled were eliminated; or if physician reimbursement rates were even further reduced below the 45 percent of billed charges currently reimbursed.

DATE 1-11-93

Dave Lewis January 11, 1993 Page 2

- 3. There is a very complex interrelationship among many of the programs. For example, elimination of the elderly and disabled waiver programs would certainly increase nursing home costs as these individuals would no longer be able to remain in their home or community; elimination of adult drugs would result in deterioration of health for a number of individuals with the result being hospitalization and a consequent increase in those more expensive costs; elimination of adult clinic services would most likely result in a significant increase in admissions to Montana State Hospital because much of the community mental health services are funded through the Medicaid program; elimination of many of the optional therapies (psychologists, optometrists, podiatrists) would result in a shift of costs to more expensive mandatory services such as outpatient hospitalization and physician services.
- 4. A large percent of the programs and services scheduled for elimination are in fact preventive medical services and the long-range cost to the state would be substantially greater as we would have to provide more expensive mandated services as the health of the population deteriorated.
- 5. Reduction of inpatient hospital and nursing home services to the levels presented in this analysis would immediately involve the state in expensive Boren Amendment law suits. I am reasonably sure we would ultimately lose.
- 6. The elimination of 107 FTE and associated operating expenses reflects a 20 percent reduction in the Department's general fund supported work force. Contrary to perception, over the last three legislative sessions the Department has experienced substantial reductions in personnel and operating costs, to the point that our ability to efficiently and effectively administer and manage the large and technically complex programs of the Department is at risk. Reductions in staff below our current level would not be cost effective.
- 7. Finally, as shown on the attached table, reducing general fund expenditures for the Department to fiscal 1992 levels results in the loss of \$199.3 million in federal funds. In Medicaid, for example, for every general fund dollar spent, the state receives 2.5 dollars of federal funds. These funds go to support well paying professional jobs in every community in Montana and in turn generate additional tax revenue for the state. If

DATE 1-11-93
HB HRY

Dave Lewis January 11, 1993 Page 3

one uses the Department of Revenue's 3.2 factor for the number of times money turns over in the community, the loss of federal funds associated with the reductions potentially means the loss of \$380 million and literally thousands of jobs.

8. I have also attached a breakout of SRS expenditures and FTE by county for each of the major programs within the Department. As may be seen from the sheet, the vast majority of funds (and FTE) go out to the counties and do not remain in Helena. The reductions included in this report would significantly reduce funds (and jobs) in each county.

Attachments

EXHIBIT BATE IC (1cq3)

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICES

NECESSARY TO REDUCE SPENDING TO FISCAL 1992 LEVEL PROGRAM ELIMINATION AND REDUCTIONS

\$183,196,868

\$119,314,868

\$63,882,000

TOTAL Reductions

-11-93 Day Care Food Stamps Total Total AFDC Salaries & Operating Expenditures COUNTY FTE Benefits Costs Expenditures | Expenditures | Expenditures | \$45,102 4.00 \$151,412 \$85,303 Beaverhead \$4,278,110 \$358,640 \$510,284 Big Horn 9.00 \$8,124,953 \$368,774 \$227,776 \$1,544,954 \$37,635 \$1,723,012 Blaine 8.00 \$4,899,582 \$270,600 \$126,596 \$743,327 \$615,249 \$4,625 Broadwater 4.00 \$2.073.341 \$116.033 \$34,779 \$121,743 \$7,820 \$207,729 \$3,029,821 \$252,806 Carbon 4.00 \$133.658 \$60.037 \$6,480 \$389,558 Carter 0.00 \$355,030 \$26,803 \$7,525 \$20,470 \$40,789 \$0 Cascade* 39.50 \$43,540,582 \$1,554,512 \$1,076,835 \$4,622,846 \$334,778 \$4,710,033 Chouteau 3.00 \$1,950,900 \$106,997 \$29,195 \$103,606 \$7,020 \$152,091 \$721,409 Custer 6.00 \$6,827,534 \$203,722 \$143,090 \$626,647 \$62,733 Daniels 0.00 \$539,525 \$22,787 \$6,595 \$14,638 \$25,852 \$0 \$44,979 Dawson 5.00 \$3,628,408 \$175,536 \$82,370 \$401,047 \$460,301 Deer Lodge* 9.00 \$6,571,835 \$328,029 \$837,917 \$836,958 *\$203,148* \$16,843 \$1,159,468 Fallon 4.00 \$78,621 \$18,969 \$48,290 \$2,221 \$90,498 **Feraus** 7.00 \$5,780,602 \$178,685 \$76.711 \$183.485 \$821 \$338,240 Flathead* 25.00 \$27,395,205 \$990,174 \$740.184 \$3.093.682 \$158.870 \$3,884,623 Gallatin 11.00 \$11,730,878 \$437.812 \$266,083 \$1,205,521 \$201,536 \$1,627,251 Garfield 0.00 \$326,044 \$19.907 \$4.980 \$11.749 \$14,945 \$0 \$2,130,905 Glacier 13.00 \$9,622,647 \$459,923 \$295,037 \$19,491 \$2,135,948 0.00 Golden Valley \$155,466 \$9,851 \$6,465 \$21,522 \$0 \$31,048 0.80 \$895,399 \$38,486 Granite \$18,650 \$79,952 \$1,577 \$127,990 Hill 13.30 \$10,277,018 \$495,246 \$276,154 \$1,569,640 \$112,695 \$1,568,922 4.00 Jefferson \$2,374,741 \$135,892 \$55,100 \$194,911 \$1,064 \$253,941 Judith Basin 0.50 \$556,657 \$26,378 \$10,800 \$43,346 \$13 \$59,277 13.25 \$13,973,660 \$559,727 \$427.752 \$2,099,996 \$127,890 \$1.888,136 Lake* Lewis & Clark* 527.75 \$54,663,682 \$12,817,927 \$12,994,827 \$2,230,688 \$213,729 \$2,593,133 Liberty 0.00 \$538,565 \$21,487 \$7,044 \$19,981 \$0 \$27,788 Lincoln* 10.25 \$9,842,702 \$401,783 \$1,192,451 \$43,278 \$270,688 \$1,483,588 2.50 \$1,689,329 \$84,939 \$109,464 \$172,589 Madison \$31,670 \$99 \$641,214 \$27,280 0.00 \$22,356 \$9,925 \$0 \$44,003 McCone 1.00 \$891,372 \$31,755 \$47,683 Meagher \$14.184 \$0 \$85,438 \$3,511 \$64,162 Mineral* 1.50 **\$**1.831.320 \$53.242 \$258,338 \$324,653 Missoula* 36.75 \$46,698,986 \$1,456,928 \$1,109,149 \$5,103,301 \$486,466 \$5,634,853 2.50 \$1.911.504 \$86.881 \$37.872 \$133,490 \$228.415 Musselshell \$13,430 Park* 6.00 \$6.180.012 \$228,697 \$157,669 \$584,108 \$13,949 \$792,149 \$2,164 \$4,132 Petroleum 0.00 \$66,511 \$3,313 \$3.031 \$113 Phillips 3.00 \$1,843,992 \$115,199 \$43.524 \$179,326 \$2,839 \$268,504 Pondera 5.25 \$2,644,858 \$143,385 \$63,198 \$366,987 \$3,966 \$414,329 Powder River 0.00 \$631,897 \$20,578 \$7,527 \$13,280 \$22,051 \$0 \$220,222 \$10,671 Powell* 3.60 \$2,434,644 \$121,608 \$61,218 \$244,144 Prairie 1.00 \$449,309 \$20,699 \$6,551 \$16,692 \$34 \$27,606 Ravalli* 11.00 \$387,203 \$284,328 \$1,081,780 \$48.391 \$1,503,136 \$11,611,212 Richland 5.50 \$4.348.279 \$209.637 \$88.646 \$391,510 \$10.311 \$588.218 Roosevelt 8.00 \$9,859,645 \$367.343 \$263,321 \$1,695,211 \$6,570 \$1,683,938 Rosebud 5.95 \$260,193 \$5,165,902 \$146,034 \$906,042 \$1,612 \$1,020,840 Sanders 4.25 \$4,676,380 \$177,503 \$108,422 \$545,729 \$7,859 \$640,536 Sheridan 2.00 \$37,181 \$1,200,973 \$56,543 \$17,757 \$4,443 **\$**98,297 Silver Bow* \$627,618 \$2,575,635 \$2,722,205 25.00 \$24,355,235 \$923,930 \$146,914 Stillwater 2.00 \$2,496,355 **\$**124,904 **\$**43,751 \$159,586 \$6,060 \$249,158 Sweet Grass 3.00 \$962,686 \$51.874 \$18,663 \$63.969 \$0 \$103.642 Teton 2.00 \$1,833,347 \$89,350 \$32,973 \$99,526 \$1,174 \$162,167 Toole 2.00 \$2,041,497 \$91,728 \$36,037 \$116,694 \$1,442 \$168,511 Treasure 0.00 \$180,054 \$9,778 \$3,931 \$21,683 \$47,651 **\$0** Valley 6.00 \$6,698,857 \$173,531 \$89,615 \$433,311 \$0 \$519,503 Wheatland 1.00 \$755,643 \$33,339 \$16,170 \$51,997 \$748 \$67,359 Wibaux 0.00 \$562,345 \$29.041 \$11,660 \$33,190 **\$0** \$61.058 Yellowstone 44.00 \$51.307.008 \$1,719,995 \$1,090,255 \$512,082 \$6,007,297 \$6,113,628 Institutions \$16,158,435 \$14,056 \$33,107 \$3,223,962 NoCo Breakdown \$17.027 \$40,103 State Total 906 \$450,465,118 \$27,268,240 \$22,102,973 \$45,058,303 \$2,733,886 \$50,531,306

Operating costs are based on the FY92 SRS operational expenditures of \$22.1 million, and include such items as contracted services, rent, travel, communications, supplies, utilities, repairs and maintenance and other costs.

^{*}State Assumed Counties

	Medicaid	Buy-In	General Relief	State Medical	Burial	Trans Rel
COUNTY	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures
Beaverhead	\$2,909,723	\$61,004	Experiences	Experiences		
Big Horn	\$3,519,262	\$ 61,936			Ĺ	XHIBIT \$214)
Blaine	\$2,658,282	\$ 52,156			n	17-
Broadwater	\$1,481,762	\$ 23,750			D.	1-11-9
Carbon	\$2,018,715	\$ 53,088			H	BHRY
Carter	\$237,280	\$0				ILAF
Cascade*	\$22,323,660	\$398,623	\$ 583,966	\$1,148,464	\$40,895.08	\$1,987
Chouteau	\$1,464,327	\$ 30,269	. ,	• • •	, .,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Custer	\$4,692,974	\$142,964				<i>\$7,686</i>
Daniels	\$ 450,502	\$0				·
Dawson	<i>\$2,271,533</i>	\$ 53,553				\$ 380
Deer Lodge*	\$ 3,678,242	\$ 87,082	\$ 78,514	\$ 147,427	\$ 11,173.68	\$ 281
Fallon	\$ 811,968	\$ 34,460				
Fergus	\$ 4,616,949	\$ 196,517				\$ 427
Flathead*	\$ 16,349,235	<i>\$296,639</i>	<i>\$206,858</i>	\$ 677,148	\$ 43,311.22	
Gallatin	\$ 7,291,411	\$ 122,008				\$ 1,325
Garfield	<i>\$262,024</i>	\$ 0				
Glacier	\$ 3,950,614	\$ 71,715		*		
Golden Valley	<i>\$75,236</i>	\$ 0				
Granite	\$ 582,626	<i>\$0</i>				
Hill	\$ 5,647,132	\$ 97,327				
Jefferson	\$ 1,589,575	\$ 57,744				
Judith Basin	\$ 383,557	\$ 0				
Lake*	\$ 8,119,891	\$143,430	\$ 46,241	\$ 161,536	\$ 10,120.04	
Lewis & Clark*	<i>\$13,126,971</i>	\$223,993	\$ 377,496	\$ 866,415	\$ 14,676.92	\$ 30,534
Liberty	\$448,248	\$0				
Lincoln*	\$5,682,720	\$94,533	\$ 105,586	\$229,451	\$8,640 .00	\$ 309
Madison	\$1,193,055	\$ 30,269				
McCone	\$509,697	\$0				
Meagher	\$668,519	\$0	#20.004	A 15 110	6 4 000 00	
Mineral* Missoula*	\$951,260	\$0 \$272.070	\$33,864 \$640,711	\$45,116	\$1,036.80	£10.702
	\$21,686,039	\$372,079	\$ 64 2 ,711	\$ 1,367,937	\$ 43,418.91	\$ 19,783
Musselshell Park*	\$1,275,810 \$3,824,786	\$0 \$00 704	CC 4 742	#124 207	£11 000 07	\$181 \$0.070
		\$98,724 \$0	\$64,743	<i>\$134,327</i>	\$ 11,266.97	<i>\$2,272</i>
Petroleum	\$47,030	\$0 \$0				
Phillips Pondera	\$1,151,324 \$1,455,790	\$108,969				
Powder River	\$543,385	\$100,909 \$ 0				£102
Powell*	\$1,556,583	\$37,254	\$ 29,761	\$ 68,491	\$1,166.00	\$ 123
Prairie	\$354,754	•	\$23,701	\$00,431	\$1,100.00	6 577
Ravalli*	\$7,317,331	\$0 \$144,827	\$ 121,373	\$ 232,921	\$9,367.98	\$ 577 \$ 986
Richland	\$2,841,616	\$61,936	\$121,070	\$232, 3 21	φ3,307.30	\$300
Roosevelt	\$5,138,060	\$111,298				
Rosebud	\$2,377,145	\$43,774				
Sanders	\$2,956,608	\$ 63,333				
Sheridan	\$ 935,730	\$0				
Silver Bow*	\$14,587,827		\$ 504,484	\$1,030,589	\$ 35,276.04	\$ 4,152
Stillwater	\$1,808,780		4001,101	\$1,000,000	4 00,270.07	\$1,851
Sweet Grass	\$702,473	\$0				\$939
Teton	\$1,352,655	\$0				,
Toole	\$1,510,119	\$0				<i>\$8,967</i>
Treasure	\$74,446	\$0				• • • • •
Valley	\$2,188,250	\$ 96,396				\$ 150
Wheatland	\$ 533,827	\$0				
Wibaux	\$405,602	\$0				
Yellowstone	<i>\$28,642,329</i>	\$ 476,857				\$ 3,227
Institutions	\$ 16,111,272					
NoCo Breakdown	\$ 3,067,123			\$ 96,710		\$ 3,000
State Total	\$ 244,413,644	\$ 4,236,767	\$ 2,795,597	<i>\$6,206,533</i>	\$ 230,350	\$ 94,086

SRS does not directly pay the operating costs of non-assumed counties but does reimburse them for approximately 50% of the costs with federal dollars.

Broadwater \$47,278 \$7,723 \$7,310 \$17 Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$3 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$276 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$29,303 \$72 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley </th <th>res</th>	res
Beaverhead \$65,127 \$43,386 \$15,790 \$30 Big Horn \$149,578 \$8,191 \$292,690 \$38,561 \$152 Blaine \$33,145 \$2,727 \$303,407 \$24,210 \$65 Broadwater \$47,278 \$7,723 \$7,310 \$17 Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$5 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$19 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Peer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72	
Big Horn \$149,578 \$8,191 \$292,690 \$38,561 \$152 Blaine \$33,145 \$2,727 \$303,407 \$24,210 \$65 Broadwater \$47,278 \$7,723 \$7,310 \$17 Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$3 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$34 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$276	
Blaine \$33,145 \$2,727 \$303,407 \$24,210 \$65 Broadwater \$47,278 \$7,723 \$7,310 \$17 Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$3 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$34 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Pallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$276 Garlield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,76	•
Broadwater \$47,278 \$7,723 \$7,310 \$17 Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$36 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$64,25 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	•
Carbon \$31,305 \$42,299 \$14,728 \$27 Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$5 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley	5,257
Carter \$8,121 \$0 \$4,901 \$5 Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$21,921 \$84 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$11 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Hill	7,414
Cascade* \$389,647 \$569,102 \$5,304,771 \$133,621 \$346 Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$15 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Galcier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$100 Hill	7,148
Chouteau \$27,975 \$52 \$9,863 \$13 Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Judith Basin	7,141
Custer \$70,185 \$49,361 \$21,921 \$84 Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$16,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$276 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$160 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$14,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$2	5,843
Daniels \$7,489 \$0 \$4,520 \$7 Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$10 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$47	9,505
Dawson \$40,003 \$29,722 \$14,708 \$54 Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$10 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$36,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059	1,842
Deer Lodge* \$97,785 \$162,647 \$21,868 \$63 Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 <	7,142
Fallon \$11,777 \$40,803 \$7,005 \$14 Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$10 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$18,085 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,276
Fergus \$61,399 \$25,238 \$29,303 \$72 Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$100 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,	3,919
Flathead* \$392,313 \$186,147 \$101,983 \$270 Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,855
Gallatin \$207,312 \$123,328 \$78,762 \$168 Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$105 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	2,826
Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	1,675
Garfield \$3,301 \$1,536 \$4,460 \$3 Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	3,529
Glacier \$24,769 \$17,647 \$363,338 \$41,639 \$111 Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	3,142
Golden Valley \$4,539 \$0 \$2,743 \$4 Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	
Granite \$18,915 \$8,812 \$8,022 \$10 Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,061
Hill \$114,137 \$19,456 \$229,625 \$37,627 \$109 Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$47,426 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,369
Jefferson \$37,521 \$16,862 \$11,883 \$20 Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	
Judith Basin \$14,407 \$0 \$6,425 \$12 Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,249
Lake* \$217,681 \$28,077 \$57,584 \$85 Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	2,454
Lewis & Clark* \$306,774 \$196,024 \$7,428,712 \$700,366 \$67,059 \$474 Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	
Liberty \$5,506 \$0 \$4,460 \$4 Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	
Lincoln* \$182,200 \$18,085 \$46,695 \$82	,051
	7,755
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	5,700
	5,391
	,780
	0,085
	5,195
	2,166
	5,137
),677
	7,077 9,998
	9,744
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	9,427 2,556
	3,561 9,653
	•
	2,288 1.071
	1,071
	9,997 2,080
	7,080 3,780
	5,508
	5,421
	1,825
	1,285
	1,707
	1,078
	, 1A1
	9,141
Institutions No. 20 Basel days	1,157
NoCo Breakdown	
State Total \$4,858,866 \$3,049,185 \$28,278,032 \$2,585,962 \$1,546,294 \$4,182	1,157

DD Expenditures are shown in the county where the Area Headquarters is located.

^{*}Food Distribution to Indian Reservations. The allocation was made to these counties encompassing the major portion of the reservation populations.

Examples of Revenue Increases Necessary to Erase Deficit

EXHIBIT DATE

Combined LFA Current Level Cash Deficit for GF & SEA

(215.640) Million

14.55 % Max \$0.0056 /kwh \$21.80 /liter \$138.70 /bbl 17.80 % 93.50 % % 08.99 231.20 % 40.40 % 12.50 % 29.40 % \$145.40 New Tax 11.00 % Max \$0.0002 /kwh \$0.27 /liter \$4.30 /bbl 6.75 % 15.00 % 5.00 % 15.00 % 2.75 % 2.65 % 1.60 % Current Tax \$4.00 Rate Generated 215.518 215.970 215.513 215.450 215.550 216.044 217.232 215.108 216.401 216.761 215.881 215.684

> 523.4 707.0 352.9

1,739.0 2,695.5

163.7

Veeded (%)

6.686 1.317 0.412 0.305 0.611 0.124 0.080

131.654

41.1635

Coal Severance Tax (Net of Trust)

Corporation Tax

ncome Tax

Revenue Source

Insurance Premium Tax

Metal Mines Tax

Oil Severance Tax

668.639

61.069

30.488

8.015

6.145 6.883 2.513 2.703

12.444

Surtax

Revenue From

Current Law

Revenue (\$ Million)

1% Surtax (\$ Million) \$0.40 /gal

\$0.20 /gal

345.0 98.9

62.517

8,625.6 3,125.2

3,535.1

0.069

0.025 0.027 0.625 2.180

Natural Gas Severance Tax

Video Gaming

Wine Tax

Driver's License Fees Electrical Energy Tax

Beer Tax

0.061

7,986,7

Additional Examples:

Total of Selected Sources Gas & Diesel Taxes (3/5 Vote)

A statewide property tax of 66.29 mills would be necessary to generate \$215 million over the biennium, assuming local governments retain current non-levy revenues. This would result in an approximate 20% in property taxes, based on a current law statewide average levy of 370 mills. Property Tax ---

A 3.1% sales tax would be required to erase the deficit, assuming a \$70 million yield per percent for a generic sales tax base and an mplementation date that provides only one year of revenue this biennium. Sales Tax --

% of Federal Tax - A 41% tax rate would be necessary to generate an additional \$215 million, if our income were converted to a percent of the federal ax (assuming a base rate of 31% similar to HB996 of the 1991 regular session).

Total generated may differ from target of \$215.640 million due to rounding.

Assumptions:

- Revenue from the surtaxes would be allocated to the general fund. କ୍ରନ
 - Surtaxes would be effective for the entire fiscal year.
- Revenue Oversight Committee revenue estimates are used.
 - Increases applied to each tax in isolation.

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES FY 94 GENERAL FUND REDUCTION TO FY 92

EXHIBIT 4

DATE 1-11-93

HB /4 R2

	Total	Personal Services	Operating	Misc	Grants	Funding
Program 01	436,000	179,000		157,000		100,000
Program 02	1,545,000	535,000	385,000	625,000		
Program 03	1,051,000	665,000	2,000	250,000		134,000
Program 05 — Admin	46,000	40,000	6,000			
Program 05 — Grants/Benefits	3,981,000				3,981,000	
Department Total	7,059,000	1,419,000	393,000	1,032,000	3,981,000	234,000

EXHIBIT 4 DATE 1-11-97 HB HRY

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES FY 94 GENERAL FUND REDUCTION TO FY 92 SUMMARY BY PROGRAM

Program 01

436,000

Personal Services:

Reduction of 6 current level FTE

179,000

Miscellenance:

Reduce following to 92 level

Department of Admin. - Insurance Legislative Auditor Fee 92,000 65,000 157,000

Funding:

Funding shift Federal Grant costs from

services to administration

100,000

Program 02

1,545,000

Personal Services:

Reduction of 24.85 current level FTE

535,000

Operating:

Reduction in following areas 3*

Annualized DD Services
Field Office Rent

Contracts for services in West Yellowstone and Lewis & Clark County Reduced budgeted inflation

20,000

350,000

35,000

<u>18.000</u> 423,000

Other:

Eliminate the modification request for operating costs in non-assumed counties

587,000

Program 03

1,051,000

Personal Services:

REDUCTION OF ST CHITCHE TEACH FIR

005,000

Operating:

Reduce budgeted inflation

Other:

Eliminate the modification request for community placement services

2,000 EXHIBIT. 250,000

increase FY94.

1,405,000

In-patient Medicaid Match - Reduction of 30% in the current placements or daily rates at 7/1/93. No caseload increase FY94.

1,895,000

Residential Treatment Medicaid Match -Reduction of 50% in the current placement or daily rates at 7/1/93. No caseload increase FY94.

794,000