

If you desire a cleaner copy than those in this volume, you may see the originals at the Montana Historical Society archives, 225 North Roberts, Helena (444-2694) or you may check at the circulation desk here in the Law Library to view the microfiche filed in the audio-visual room.

Handwritten signature

SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY COMMITTEE

DATE: Wednesday, March 6, 1991

BILLS TO BE HEARD:

House Bill 176 by Thomas Nelson. An act increasing fees for filing or obtaining copies of documents from the county clerk.

House Bill 325 by Richard Simpkins. An act to expand hospital districts to include health care facility/education benefits.

House Bill 345 by Joe Quilici. An act increasing the number of physical therapists to administer certain topical medications.

House Bill 516 by Thomas Lee. An act pertaining to the consent for medical treatment of youth at state youth corrections facilities.

BILLS AWAITING EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Senate Bill 369 by Jacobson. An act prohibiting tobacco sales to minors.

House Bill 118 by Jan Brown. An act allowing a licensed pharmacist to use the services of a pharmacy technician under the terms of an approved plan.

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 52nd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

Call to Order: By Chairman Dorothy Eck, on March 6, 1991, at 3:05 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Dorothy Eck, Chairman (D)
Eve Franklin, Vice Chairman (D)
James Burnett (R)
Thomas Hager (R)
Judy Jacobson (D)
Bob Pipinich (D)
David Rye (R)
Thomas Towe (D)

Members Excused: None

Staff Present: Tom Gomez (Legislative Council)
Christine Mangiantini (Committee Secretary)

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Announcements/Discussion:

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 176

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Nelson opened by saying this was a simple bill. It increases the fees for obtaining certified copies of birth and death certificates and for filing certain instruments required by law with the county clerk. The county clerk and recorder offices normally do not operate with property tax revenues. He reads from the bill and said the fees have not been changed since 1981. The instruments are filed and kept into perpetuity using a one-time fee. The change on page 3, line 6 was an amendment moved on the floor of the House of Representatives. Originally he had requested the fees for both birth certificates and death certificates be raised to \$5.00. The floor amendment left the birth certificate increase at \$5.00 but reduced the death certificate increase to \$3.00. The reasoning was that death certificates are needed in multiplicity.

He agreed to carry this bill at the request of the Yellowstone County Clerk and Recorder who told him that the increases are needed in order to cover the cost of providing the services. He said he understood that the Montana Association of Counties was a proponent of the bill but he thought they were testifying in another hearing at this time.

Proponents' Testimony:

The first witness was Mike Stephens, representing the Montana Clerk and Recorders Association. He said it does cost to keep records accurately and file and index them properly. In some cases the records are kept for 50 years. The minimal cost of \$5.00 for filing each writ of attachment and certificate of sale or lien are very important. The \$5.00 cost goes a long way in preserving those records. The birth and death certificates are essential items that are available to citizens at the county courthouse. The \$5.00 fee as far as the birth certificate was the same as the original intent of the bill. The death certificate was reduced down to \$3.00 because of multiple copies. The keeping and indexing of death certificates are important to the families particularly if they are interested in retrieving money from insurance policies. He asked that the committee move the cost up to \$5.00. He said the state charges \$5.00.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Hager asked Representative Nelson if a person needs a certified death certificate for each life insurance policy.

Representative Nelson said that was correct.

Senator Pipinich commented that he recently went through a situation and said for every transaction he needed a certified copy of the death certificate.

Senator Towe asked Representative Nelson if he opposed the House amendment.

Representative Nelson said he did not personally oppose the amendment.

Chairman Eck said they had looked at the list of fees in the Local Government Committee and said she was surprised the bill was not referred to that committee. She asked if there was any consideration to changing any other fees.

Representative Nelson said no.

Chairman Eck asked Representative Nelson if he was carrying the bill for the Clerk and Records Association.

Representative Nelson said the Yellowstone County Clerk and Recorder requested he sponsor the bill.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Nelson thanked the committee for a good hearing.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 325

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Senator Richard Simpkins said this bill is an expansion of existing law. It expands the law that allows the county to establish a hospital district by allowing them to include a health care facility. A health care facility is less than a hospital, it is not staffed around the clock and provides medical assistance on an as needed basis. The main intent of the bill, on page 3, allows the district to provide educational benefits to qualified individuals including tuition, room and board, educational materials, stipends and repayment of student loans in return for an agreement for those persons to provide services to the district. It allows an individual community to 'buy a doctor'. If you have a registered nurse in the area that would like to stay, it gives the community the capability of raising the money to send the person back to school to become a nurse practitioner and return with more medical skills and the ability to prescribe medication. He handed the committee members Exhibit #1 which lists the eight hospital districts in six rural counties. If the local community is involved they increase their chances of keeping the medical professionals in the community for long-term service. This option would have to be voted on by the people because if a medical district has above 3 mills, they would have to vote in another levy. The protection is built into the program.

Proponents' Testimony:

The first witness was John Delano, representing the Montana Medical Association. He said they are in favor of the bill.

The second witness was Jim Aherns, representing the Montana Hospital Association. He said they supported the bill. There has always been a question whether the hospital's could use funds for educational purposes. He said we would see more of this activity in Montana. We lose one hospital per year and this bill will assist in funding alternative sources of medical care.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Towe said the sponsor indicated he thought the process would require a vote. Do they need a vote to levy a certain number of mills.

Representative Simpkins said they would need a vote if they exceeded three mills. He said this bill does not give more authority to the hospital districts without the people getting involved. Most hospital districts have already levied their three mills in order to cover overhead and medical costs.

Chairman Eck asked about the impact of Initiative 105 and wanted to know the dollar equivalent to one mill.

The chairman recognized Gordon Morris, representing the Montana Association of Counties.

Mr. Morris said he did not have the figures with him but he guessed in Roosevelt County in 1991 based upon the tax year of January 1, 1990 the mill would be worth \$29,000.

Representative Simpkins said Choteau county has two but that would include any of the major incorporated areas that have a hospital. In Cascade county it would not include Great Falls. The question is what is the hospital district millage. With two hospital districts in Choteau and one mill raising \$24,000, divided into two districts, the amount of funds is minimal.

Chairman Eck asked if the freeze on millage applied to hospital districts.

Representative Simpkins said he thought it did. He said it was a very good question. He said someday Initiative 105 will be replaced and he would like to see this legislation enacted now.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Simpkins thanked the committee for a good hearing and asked for their support.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 345

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Quilici said this bill proposes to increase the number of times a year that physical therapists can offer an examination. Now, the law states they can only do this two times year but they are already doing this three times a year and this bill just cleans up the law.

Proponents' Testimony:

The first witness was Joyce Dugan, a physical therapist from Missoula. She volunteered to answer any questions.

The second witness was John Delano, a member of the State Physical Therapy Board. He said they have been giving three exams a year but were not aware they were not within the confines of the statute.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

None.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Quilici closed by thanking the committee for the hearing and urged passage.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON BOOSE BILL 345

Motion:

Senator Towe moved concurrence.

Discussion:

None.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

There being no objection the motion carried.
Senator Jacobson said she would carry the measure.

Recommendation and Vote:

Senator Jacobson moved to schedule the measure on the consent calendar.

There being no objection the motion carried.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 516

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Lee opened by saying this is a good bill and resource witnesses are available from the Department of Family Services.

Proponents' Testimony:

The first resource witness was Bill Unger, superintendent of the Mountain View Facility, Department of Family Services. He said this is a simple bill. Last session they received permission to sign for emergency medical treatment. He said if they received permission for this type of treatment it would be sufficient. However, in the past two years both institutions have had instances where they needed to have permission to sign for routine medical treatment because they were unable to contact the legal guardian. For example, they had a young woman from Butte who had a heart valve transplant when she was an infant. It was suppose to last seven years. When she arrived at the facility she was 14 years old and had never been back to the doctor for a check-up. They could not locate her mother, the child was sent to Utah for additional medical treatment. Her mother was eventually found in Warm Springs. In the 11 years he has been at Mountain View he has only has this occurrence three or four times.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Towe wanted to know if there was a danger this would become routine.

Mr. Unger said Department policy only permits signing the medical papers after every attempt to contact the legal guardian has been made.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Lee closed by thanking the committee for the hearing and urged passage.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 516Motion:

Senator Towe moved concurrence.

Discussion:

The chairman assigned bill sponsorship to Senator Franklin.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

None.

SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY COMMITTEE

March 6, 1991

Page 7 of 10

Recommendation and Vote:

There being no objection the motion carried.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 118

Motion:

Senator Towe moved adoption of the amendments in Exhibit #2.

Discussion:

Senator Eck said she had the amendments drafted in Exhibit #2 and asked Steve Shapiro, legal counsel at the Department of Commerce to explain their intent and impact.

Mr. Shapiro said from their reading of the bill it would authorize the Board of Pharmacy to delegate it's power to a private entity. They view that as a legal problem because the Constitution does not recognize the exercise of government power through a private entity. If the Board were to authorize a trade association to exercise legal authority it would be outside constitutional limits.

Senator Jacobson asked if other board's who give examinations utilize private entities.

Mr. Shapiro said they utilize the services of commercial testing organizations by contract and for a fee provide examinations and grade the tests. The difference in this bill is in the regulation of a profession within Montana, possibly by a trade association of that very profession. They lose the objectivity.

Senator Jacobson said their idea was to contract out someone to go through a utilization plan which is similar to testing.

Mr. Shapiro said the difference is in the language. In the bill it discusses a designee of the board. That sounds like the board gives it's authority to another entity and does not retain power as is done through a contract. If there were a contract involved here he would wonder if it would be a conflict of interest. It is the very profession regulating itself.

Senator Jacobson asked Mary McCue, a lobbyist for the Montana Pharmaceutical Association, what she thought of the discussion.

Ms. McCue said she wanted to point out that the way the bill is written the board retains control to draft the rules and set the fee. The board staff has indicated to the Association that they do not want to take on those duties. There is precedent for this.

Senator Jacobson asked her who she thought the designee would be.

Ms. McCue said it would be the Montana State Pharmaceutical Association. She said when she drafted the bill she made an error. She had the designee setting the fee that was her drafting mistake. They discussed this with the staff lawyer of the House committee and he advised them there was not a legal problem.

Chairman Eck said according to the bill that was an amendment not the original language. It was a House amendment.

Ms. McCue said that was right. The error was when she did the amendments for the House committee. The designee was in the entire bill except for that section. When she drafted amendments she included them in the language setting the fee. Then we realized they were not intending for the designee to set the fee. The Board retains the power to do the rules and set the fee.

Senator Towe suggested that the amendments are well taken and said the Board has to reserve the ultimate responsibility for everything.

Ms. McCue said she is contemplating that but she wants the committee to be very aware that is the scheme that is contemplated. It has been discussed and will likely happen. The Board feels it does not have sufficient staff or time to perform the duties.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

There being no objections the amendments denoted in Exhibit #2 were adopted.

Motion:

Senator Towe moved adoption of the amendments denoted in Exhibit #3.

Discussion:

Senator Towe explained that the purpose of the amendments were to avoid the problem of getting involved with the Sunrise law. The concept is instead of going in and having a pharmacy technician licensed with special qualifications, he eliminated that language and said the local pharmacist could delegate authority to another auxiliary as long as that authorization is granted by the Board through the utilization plan. That is the concept. It would not be a Sunrise problem.

He talked through each amendment in Exhibit #3.

SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY COMMITTEE

March 6, 1991

Page 9 of 10

Senator Hager protested the comments made by Senator Towe regarding the Sunrise law. He said they passed the Sunrise bill four years ago. He said he objected to the statement that they were considering these amendments just because it would skirt the Sunrise law.

Senator Towe responded by saying what he was proposing was better than the Sunrise law. He was proposing not to license the technicians, but allow the pharmacist to delegate authority to employees with Board approval. There is a better solution without creating a new license.

The chairman recognized Bonnie Tippy, executive director of the Montana Pharmaceutical Association. She said they drafted the amendments. She said she has concerns about the training. She does not think there is a Sunrise problem with the bill without the amendments.

The chairman recognized Steve Meloy, Bureau Chief of the Professional and Licensing division of the Department of Commerce. He said they discussed the problem about designating the Board's authority to an outside agency and prepared the fiscal note assuming the department would perform all of the work. He said his problem with the bill was that he did not want the Board to delegate it's authority to set fee's by rule. He said they will do whatever activities are denoted in the bill.


Senator Franklin voted in opposition to adoption of the amendments denoted in Exhibit #3 and all other committee members voted in favor of adoption. The motion carried.

Recommendation and Vote:

Senator Pipinich moved to concur in HB 118 as amended. There being 8 ayes and 0 nays the motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 4:00 p.m.



SENATOR DOROTHY ECK, Chairman



CHRISTINE MANDIANTINI, Secretary

ROLL CALLPUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE
AND SAFETY

COMMITTEE

Date 3/6/91

.....

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR BURNETT	X		
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X		
SENATOR HAGER	X		
SENATOR JACOBSON	X		
SENATOR PIPINICH	X		
SENATOR RYE	X		
SENATOR TOWE	X		
SENATOR ECK	X		

Each day attach to minutes.

POOR COPY

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 6, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT,

We, your committee on Public Health, Welfare, and Safety having had under consideration House Bill No. 345 (third reading copy - blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 345 be concurred in and unanimously recommend that it be placed on the Consent Calendar.

Signed: _____

Dorothy Eck, Chairman

LA 4/1/91
And. Coord.

SB 3/6 5:10
Sec. of Senate

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 6, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your Committee on Public Health, Welfare, and Safety having had under consideration House Bill No. 516 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 516 be concurred in.

Signed: _____

Dorothy Bick
Dorothy Bick, Chairman

vt 5/6/91

Amd. Coord.

vt 5/6 5:10
Sec. of Senate

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 5
MARCH 7, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Public Health, Welfare, and Safety having had under consideration House Bill No. 118 (third reading copy blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 118 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

1. Title, line 5.

Following: "TECHNICIAN"

Insert: "OR AUXILIARY"

2. Title, lines 6 and 7.

Following: "FLAM;" on line 6

Strike: remainder of line 6 through ";" on line 7

3. Page 1, line 13.

Following: "through"

Strike: ";"

Insert: "5"

4. Page 1, line 15.

Strike: "pharmacy technician is permitted to perform"

Insert: "pharmacist is permitted to delegate to a pharmacy technician or auxiliary"

5. Page 2, line 11.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

6. Page 2, line 18.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

7. Page 2, line 22 through page 3, line 2.

Strike: page 2, line 22 through page 3, line 2 in their entirety

8. Page 3, line 12.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

9. Page 3, line 13.

Following: "two"

Strike: "technicians"

Following: "both"

Strike: "pharmacy technicians"

10. Page 3, line 25.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

Page 2 of 5
March 7, 1961

11. Page 6, line 12.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

12. Page 7, line 1.
Following: "through"
Strike: "2"
Insert: "5"

13. Page 7, line 25 through page 8, line 1.
Following: "3." on page 7, line 25
Strike: remainder of page 7, line 25 through "required" on page
8, line 1
Insert: "Utilization plan"

14. Page 8, lines 2 through 10.
Strike: subsection (1) in its entirety
Re-number subsequent subsections

15. Page 8, line 11.
Following: "A"
Strike: "pharmacy technician"

16. Page 8, lines 17 and 18.
Strike: subsection (c) in its entirety
Re-number subsequent subsections

17. Page 8, lines 19 and 20.
Following: "tasks" on line 19
Strike: remainder of line 19 through "technician" on line 20
Insert: "delegated by the pharmacist"

18. Page 8, line 25 through page 9, line 2.
Strike: subsection (a) in its entirety
Re-number subsequent subsection

19. Page 9, line 4.
Following: "board"
Strike: "OR ITS DESIGNEE"

20. Page 9, line 6.
Following: "delegated"
Strike: "to a pharmacy technician"

21. Page 9, line 10.
Following: "the"
Strike: "pharmacy technician"
Insert: "person to whom the authority is delegated"

Page 1 of 5
March 7, 1941

22. Page 9, line 12.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

23. Page 9, lines 18 and 19.
Following: "plan" on line 18
Strike: remainder of line 18 through "pharmacist" on line 19

24. Page 9, line 20 and 21.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"

25. Page 9, lines 23 and 24.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"

26. Page 10, line 1.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"

27. Page 10, line 3.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

28. Page 10, line 4.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"

29. Page 10, line 7.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

30. Page 10, line 8.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"

31. Page 10, line 11.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

32. Page 10, line 13.
Strike: "or its designee"

Page 4 of 5
March 7, 1991

33. Page 10, line 18.

Following: "through"

Strike: "7"

Insert: "5"

34. Page 10, line 23 through page 11, line 12.

Strike: section 5 in its entirety

Renumber subsequent sections

35. Page 11, line 15.

Following: "pharmacist"

Strike: "who"

36. Page 11, line 16.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

37. Page 11, line 17.

Following: "board"

Strike: "OF ITS DESIGNER"

38. Page 11, line 21.

Following: "a"

Strike: "pharmacy technician"

39. Page 11, lines 22 and 23.

Following: "board" on line 22

Strike: "OF ITS DESIGNER"

40. Page 12, line 3.

Following: "through"

Strike: "7"

Insert: "5"

41. Page 12, lines 4 and 5.

Following: "plan" on line 4

Strike: remainder of line 4 through "[section 5]" on line 5

42. Page 12, lines 6 and 7.

Following: "pharmacy" on line 6

Strike: remainder of line 6 through "BOARD" on line 7

43. Page 12, line 11.

Strike: "7"

Insert: "5"

Page 5 of 5
March 7, 1991

44. Page 12, lines 12 through 16.
Strike: section 7 in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent sections

45. Page 13, line 3.
Following: "through"
Strike: "2"
Insert: "5"

46. Page 13, line 12.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

47. Page 13, line 15.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

48. Page 13, line 17.
Strike: "9"
Insert: "7"

49. Page 13, line 18.
Following: "through"
Strike: "8"
Insert: "6"

Signed: _____
Dorothy Eck, Chairman

15 3/7/91
Asst. Coord.

51 3-7 11:15
Sec. of Senate

J. Dale

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES



STAN STEPHENS, GOVERNOR

CONSWELL BUILDING

STATE OF MONTANA

PAGE 2 (406) 444-2608

HELENA, MONTANA 59608

Telephone: (406)444-4473

January 31, 1991

SENATE HEALTH & WELFARE

EXHIBIT NO. 1

Representative Richard D. Simpkins, HD 39
Montana House of Representatives
Capitol Station
Helena, MT 59620

DATE 3/1

Hg BILL NO. 325

Honorable Rep. Simpkins:

Question arose as to the number of hospital districts in Montana during the House Human Services Committee hearing on House Bill 325. According to Department of Revenue records, we have located the following information for you in the event the question arises again.

There are a total of 8 hospital districts in the following 6 counties:

1. Beaverhead County
2. Broadwater County
3. Chouteau County (2 districts)
4. Madison County
5. Roosevelt County (2 districts)
6. Teton County

Also, there are 17 counties that offer financial support to hospitals from revenue generated through county mill levies. Two of the counties with special hospital districts are among these 17 (Beaverhead and Teton).

I hope you find this information useful. If you should have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of J. Dale Taliaferro in cursive.

J. Dale Taliaferro, Administrator
Health Services Division

JDT:MC:th

Amendments to House Bill No. 118
Third Reading CopyRequested by Senator Dorothy Eck
For the Senate Public Health, Welfare, and Safety CommitteePrepared by Tom Gomez
March 6, 1991

1. Page 9, line 4.
Following: "board"
Strike: "OR ITS DESIGNER"
2. Page 9, line 20 and 21.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
3. Page 9, lines 23 and 24.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
4. Page 10, line 1.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
5. Page 10, line 4.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
6. Page 10, line 8.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
7. Page 10, line 15.
Strike: "or its designee"
8. Page 11, line 10.
Following: "board"
Strike: "or its designee"
9. Page 11, line 17.
Following: "board"
Strike: "OR ITS DESIGNER"
10. Page 11, lines 22 and 23.
Following: "board" on line 22
Strike: "OR ITS DESIGNER"
11. Page 12, lines 6 and 7.
Following: "pharmacy." on line 6
Strike: remainder of line 6 through "BOARD." on line 7

Amendments to House Bill No. 118
Third Reading Copy

SENATE HEALTH & WELFARE

EXHIBIT NO 3

DATE 3/1/91

H BILL NO 118

Requested by Senator Tom Towe
For the Senate Public Health, Welfare, and Safety Committee

Prepared by Tom Gomez
March 6, 1991

1. Title, line 9.

Following: "TECHNICIAN"

Insert: "OR AUXILIARY"

2. Title, lines 6 and 7.

Following: "PLAN;" on line 6

Strike: remainder of line 6 through ";" on line 7

3. Page 1, line 13.

Following: "through"

Strike: "7"

Insert: "5"

4. Page 1, line 15.

Strike: "pharmacy technician is permitted to perform"

Insert: "pharmacist is permitted to delegate to a pharmacy
technician or auxiliary"

5. Page 2, line 11.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

6. Page 2, line 18.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

7. Page 2, line 22 through page 3, line 9.

Strike: page 2, line 22 through page 3, line 9 in their entirety

8. Page 3, line 12.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

9. Page 3, line 13.

Following: "two"

Strike: "technicians"

Following: "both"

Strike: "pharmacy technicians"

10. Page 5, line 25.

Following: "technician"

Insert: "or auxiliary"

11. Page 6, line 17.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

12. Page 7, line 1.
Following: "through"
Strike: "1"
Insert: "3"

13. Page 7, line 25 through page 8, line 1.
Following: "3." on page 7, line 25
Strike: remainder of page 7, line 25 through "required" on page
8, line 1
Insert: "Utilization plan"

14. Page 8, lines 2 through 10.
Strike: subsection (1) in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent subsections

15. Page 8, line 11.
Following: "A"
Strike: "pharmacy technician"

16. Page 8, lines 17 and 18.
Strike: subsection (c) in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent subsections

17. Page 8, lines 19 and 20.
Following: "tasks" on line 19
Strike: remainder of line 19 through "technician" on line 20
Insert: "delegated by the pharmacist"

18. Page 8, line 25 through page 9, line 2.
Strike: subsection (e) in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent subsection

19. Page 9, line 6.
Following: "delegated"
Strike: "to a pharmacy technician"

20. Page 9, line 10.
Following: "the"
Strike: "pharmacy technician"
Insert: "person to whom the authority is delegated"

21. Page 9, line 12.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

22. Page 9, lines 18 and 19.
Following: "plan" on line 18
Strike: remainder of line 18 through "pharmacist" on line 19

Ex. 3
3/6/91
40118

23. Page 10, line 3.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

24. Page 10, line 7.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

25. Page 10, line 11.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

26. Page 10, line 18.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

27. Page 10, line 23 through page 11, line 12.
Strike: section 5 in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent sections

28. Page 11, line 15.
Following: "pharmacist"
Strike: "who"

29. Page 11, line 16.
Following: "technician"
Insert: "or auxiliary"

30. Page 11, line 21.
Following: "a"
Strike: "pharmacy technician"

31. Page 12, line 3.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

32. Page 12, lines 4 and 5.
Following: "plan" on line 4
Strike: remainder of line 4 through "[section 5]" on line 5

33. Page 12, line 11.
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

34. Page 12, lines 12 through 16.
Strike: section 7 in its entirety
Re-number: subsequent sections

35. Page 13, line 3.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

36. Page 13, line 12.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

37. Page 13, line 15.
Following: "through"
Strike: "7"
Insert: "5"

38. Page 13, line 17.
Strike: "9"
Insert: "7"

39. Page 13, line 18.
Following: "through"
Strike: "8"
Insert: "6"

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

Date 3/6/91 H Bill No. 345 Time 3:30 p.m.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X	
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Towe moved concurrence. There being no objection,
the motion carried.

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

Date 3/6/91 H Bill No. 345 Time 3:31 P.M.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X	
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Jacobson moved adoption of placing HB 345
on the consent calendar. There being no objection the motion
carried.

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

Date 3/6/91 H Bill No. 516 Time 3:35 P.M.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X	
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Towe moved concurrence. There being no
objection the motion carried.

ROLL CALL VOTESENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETYDate 03/06/91 H Bill No. 118 Time 3:11 p.m.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X	
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

SecretaryChairman

Motion: Senator Towe moved adoption of the amendments denoted
in Exhibit #2. There being no objection the motion carried.

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETY

Date 03/06/91 H Bill No. 118 Time 3:50p.m.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN		X
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Towe moved adoption of the amendments in
Exhibit #3. There being 1 nay by Senator Franklin and 7 ayes
the motion carried.

ROLL CALL VOTESENATE COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE & SAFETYDate 3/6/91 H Bill No. 118 Time 3:55 p.m.

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BURNETT	X	
SENATOR FRANKLIN	X	
SENATOR HAGER	X	
SENATOR JACOBSON	X	
SENATOR PIPINICH	X	
SENATOR RYE	X	
SENATOR TOWE	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	

SecretaryChairman

Motion: Senator Pipinich moved to concur in HB 118 as
amended. There being no objection the motion carried.

3-6-91

COMMITTEE ON

VISITORS' REGISTER

NAME:

REPRESENTING

BILL

Check One

SUPPORT

1000000

Onge Danga

Physical Therapy

345

✓

Gordon Thomas

MA Co.

176

Ernst Steph

MT Clerk & Records

126

4

Birds Merged

Dept. Family Services

576

✓

Tom Atkins

Mr. Howard Aschen

325

—

Green Morris

DATE

325.

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)