

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 52nd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Call to Order: By Chairman Esther Bengtson, on March 5, 1991, at 3:07 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Esther Bengtson, Chairman (D)
Eleanor Vaughn, Vice Chairman (D)
Thomas Beck (R)
Dorothy Eck (D)
H.W. Hammond (R)
Ethel Harding (R)
John Jr. Kennedy (D)
Gene Thayer (R)
Mignon Waterman (D)

Members Excused: Senator Kennedy and Senator Beck will be late.

Staff Present: Connie Erickson (Legislative Council).

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Announcements/Discussion: Senator Waterman announced that she had given the committee materials to read about SB-407 which will be acted upon on Thursday, March 7, 1991.

HEARING ON HB-123

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Representative Stella Jean Hansen, District 52, said this bill is a simple bill. This bill asks authority to create a special fund for insurance premiums that are not used. This bill is for self-insured local governments only. This fund is created for premiums that are not used when they are budgeted. When they budget for insurance, it is done by a mill levy. A budget is a budget. The insurance premium is usually not the same as what is budgeted for. What they would like to do is put this unspent money into a special fund, so they can use it when the premiums are higher than those budgeted for. This will make it unnecessary to go back and enact a special mill levy to cover the higher premium. This is also advantageous to the local governments to have this special fund when negotiating with an insurance provider. This would give them more flexibility in how they pay their premiums. This is essentially what the bill does.

Proponents' Testimony: Chuck Stearns, Finance Officer and City Clerk, Missoula, supported this bill (Exhibit #1). He added that this bill is patterned after existing law. Unused premiums occur through two means: #1 through vacancy savings where a position is budgeted for, but it is not filled, and #2. when people are delinquent on their property taxes they pay a 2% penalty and a 10% per annum interest. These penalties go into the health insurance levy fund, and build up the fund. Last June 30th, there was \$55,000 balance in the fund. Currently, at the end of the year these funds reduce the health insurance levy by this amount. Under I-105, by reducing the health insurance levy, we are allowed to raise other levies up to the I-105 limit. This bill would give more flexibility if we could use these funds for the original purpose, which is to go to the employee's health insurance self-insured fund.

Opponents' Testimony: none

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Hammond asked if there was a cap on the amount of money that can be put in this fund? Mr. Stearn said that the bill does not have a cap. Actuary studies would tell when to stop increasing when the reserves are adequate. Under I-105 this is the real cap because all the health insurance funds come from property taxes. There is no cap on the reserve legally, but there is the cap of actuary studies, the city council decisions and I-105. Senator Hammond said there really isn't a cap. Will these funds reduce the mills? Mr. Stearn said currently that the insurance mill is decreased if reserves are greater than premiums. The reason I-105 is a cap is because health insurance levies have a special authority, and those proceeds for health insurance benefits come from property taxes. By levying property taxes you also get some motor vehicle revenue. Senator Hammond said that you could continue to build the reserve? Mr. Stearn said that the way the reserve is built now is just by experience. The premiums are set at a certain level, but we can only now transfer through the reserve fund, the number of employees on the payroll each month. So monthly, the transfer is based on the number of employees on the payroll for the month times their monthly premium, and that amount is transferred over. At the end of the fiscal year, because of vacancy savings and delinquent penalties and interest we have monies left over. This bill would allow us to transfer the leftover funds for the original purpose.

Senator Thayer asked Representative Hansen why the House did not amend the title when they changed the language to restrict this to self-insured? Representative Hansen said that the bill was changed because the school districts wanted to use this bill for their self-insurance fund. After investigating this, some school districts are doing this illegally, but changing this to comply with school district was impossible. They are coming in with a bill of their own. However, the amendments were made to insure

that all types of funds were for self-insured programs.

Closing by Sponsor: Representative Hansen closed by adding that she would like the committee to Concur in HB-123. It is necessary to keep the self-insured programs operational, and allow them to negotiate for better contracts with their health insurance provider.

Senator Thayer asked C. Erickson if "self-insured" needed to be amended into the title? C. Erickson said it couldn't hurt. She said the change would make it consistent, and she would rather error on the conservative side.

HEARING ON HB-227

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Representative Don Larson, District 65, said this bill permits a landowner to vote in a fire district if he is paid up on his property taxes. This is done in irrigation districts. This is a fairly simple bill.

Proponents' Testimony: James Lofftus, Montana Fire District Association (MFDA) supported this bill, and stated that this bill simply clarifies the law to allow landowners to vote in fire district elections. This does not change anything else. It just clarifies who can vote in the election.

Bruce Suenram, Missoula Rural Fire District (MRFDA) supported HB-227.

Opponents' Testimony: none

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Eck said she liked the idea, but she questioned whether this has been declared unconstitutional to allow people to vote on the basis of owning land, and she thought you could only vote when you are registered to vote in the district? C. Erickson said that the original language of the bill said regardless of whether they were registered to vote, so the amendment didn't change that. They simply have to prove some interest in the land in that district. So they did not have to be registered before.

Senator Harding clarified that interest in land in the district was necessary, and so this bill just provides that they can be registered anywhere, but they can vote in the fire district if they have interest in that district. Senator Bengtson said there

are two options: #1 reside in the district, or #2 pay property taxes in that district.

Senator Thayer asked Mr. Lofftus what problems created the need for this bill? Mr. Lofftus said the problem lies with proof of interest. What is proof of interest? A bank with a mortgage could have interest, or a finance company or individual. They could show proof of interest in the land, but they are not buying the land. This bill clarifies what "interest in the land" is defined for the purpose of voting in the district. Burlington Northern could vote in multiple districts because of their proof of interest in the land all over the state. The clarification is from "proof of interest" to "proof of paying taxes on the property". Senator Thayer asked why the same person could not bring in his tax receipt? Mr. Lofftus said he could probably do that, but this clarifies who can vote. Senator Thayer said he understood the intent of the bill and he asked Representative Larson if people would have to prove this at the polling location? Representative Larson questioned this too, but the overall intent of the bill is what is important. A fire district with a large absentee landowner, he wants a say in the election, and he should. His tax receipt would qualify him to do so.

Senator Waterman said there must be some problem that prompted this. She thought the concern would be over when an assessment would be voted on, and this bill only covers voting for trustees. Why would they be concerned about who serves as trustees? Why is BN or an absentee landowner concerned about who serves? Mr. Lofftus said the Clerk and Records Association said they would send the tax roll to the polling locations for verification just like they do for irrigation districts. They had no problem with providing these. In the House, the Clerk and Recorder representative testified in favor of the bill.

Senator Eck asked if fire districts have the same difficulty as other districts with getting people to run for office? Mr. Lofftus said they do have problems with that, and then the county commissioners sometimes have to appoint trustees. Senator Eck asked what authority trustees have? Mr. Lofftus said trustees set the budget, contract for services, etc. Senator Eck said they may set the budget, but who sets the assessment? Mr. Lofftus said the County Commissioners set the assessment based on requests from the Fire District Trustees.

Senator Hammond said one of his questions was answered. The election of trustees can determine whether an assessment will be requested.

Senator Harding said fire districts have different amounts of levies. County Commissioners agree to assess a levy because if the trustees want to put this type of tax on themselves, then go for it. So the County Commissioners aren't bothered if the people request this through their trustees. Mr. Lofftus said fire districts are limited by I-105.

Closing by Sponsor: Representative Larson closed by stating that this bill is a question of taxation without representation. He asked for a do pass on HB-227.

HEARING ON HB-480

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Representative Tom Lee, District 49, said this bill is from a wish list by Greg Petesch to clean up laws. As a member of the National Guard, he felt the right to paid leave that the National Guard enjoys should be extended to a town employee. He offered the Attorney General's opinion and a definition of "town" (Exhibit #2).

Proponents' Testimony: none

Opponents' Testimony: none

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Bengtson asked if a fiscal note was requested? Representative Lee said there was no fiscal impact.

Senator Beck asked if this bill was restricted to incorporated or unincorporated towns? Representative Lee said this bill is strictly for incorporated towns.

Senator Thayer asked about the definition of municipality in the Exhibit #2, but municipalities are not included in this bill. Representative Lee said they were added to cover any questions about the difference in the language or terminology that relates to the bill. The problem can be attacked two ways: #1 insert the word "municipality, which would include all incorporated towns and cities, or #2. simply add the word "town" to the bill, and the handwritten note on the Exhibit #2 indicates how the code breaks down. Senator Thayer said if "town" is used then you do not need the word "municipality".

Senator Bengtson asked about unincorporated towns? Representative Lee said they are not affected by this statute. C. Erickson said unincorporated towns do not have a town government, so they have no employees. They are county employees, so they would be covered. Senator Bengtson questioned how water user associations are paid for furnishing water to towns like Worden, Huntley, or Big Fork. Senator Bengtson conceded that we can't do all things in one bill.

Closing by Sponsor: Representative Lee had no closing remarks.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-480

Motion: Senator Eck moved to Concur in HB-480. The motion carried unanimously and it was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Eck will carry HB-480.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-123

Discussion: C. Erickson said the question as to whether the title should be amended. She suggested that it is better to put "self-insured" in the title. She would check with the House Legislative Council to see if there was a reason it was left out of the title.

Senator Hammond said the title should cover all possibilities. So the word government is used, and then in the body of the bill they restrict it. The title doesn't restrict it, so they can make the bill more restrictive, so he saw no problem. C. Erickson said she tends to error on the side of caution.

Senator Vaughn said that state employees are discussed. Senator Harding wondered where the unused funds come from? The secretary read the testimony that these funds come from #1 vacancy savings, and #2. from penalties and interest on delinquent property taxes.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: Senator Beck moved to amend the title of HB-123. The motion carried. Amendment (Exhibit 4).

Motion: Senator moved to Concur in HB-123 as Amended. The motion carried and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Thayer will carry HB-123.

HEARING ON HJR-7

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Representative Don Larson, District 65, this resolution merely extends the will of the House of Representatives that we include school districts and the university system in a recycling incentives program that we developed for state government. It is a simple resolution that encourages them to get into the recycling act. No better place to set an example than in state and local government units. So he asked the committee to pass this resolution through.

Proponents' Testimony: Chris Kaufman, Montana Environmental Information Center, said that HB-160 that the Senate will hear soon, sets up a state government/university system recycling and procurement program. What this resolution does is simply encourages local governments and local school districts to go along with these guidelines. HB-160 also sets up a 25% waste reduction goal for the state, and this resolution will give local communities a start in how to reach that 25% goal. She thought it was unfortunate that this resolution was not a bill, a mandatory type of program, that would require recycling at the local level, but we need to start somewhere. She recommended that the resolution have one more "be it resolved" It would read "be it resolved that local governments and school districts be encouraged to institute public education and curricula which promote recycling by the citizens." This resolution only deals with local government as a unit, or entity of itself, to set up a recycling program. Really it is the citizens of this state that will need to get behind recycling, they already are, but this would encourage local governments to support public education programs for their citizens. She said she would write this if someone on the committee requested it. She said the MEIC does support this resolution as it is.

Susan Leonard, Montana Audubon Legislative Fund, said they support this legislation, and also any amendment that Chris Kaufman would draft.

Harley Warner, Montana Association of Churches, rose in support of this bill (Exhibit #3).

Opponents' Testimony: none

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Eck asked Mr. Warner is he wanted to add churches to the resolution? Mr. Warner said yes ma'am. Senator Eck asked if it would be proper to encourage churches to do anything? Mr Warner said he did not see why not, that this resolution encourages, not demands, local governments to recycle, etc. He added that most churches are recycling. They do not serve coffee in styrofoam cups, etc.

Senator Harding asked what Representative Larson thought of the proposed amendment? Representative Larson said he had no problem with the amendment. The point is to get people to recycle. Part of the problem is that recycling in the infancy does not have enough recyclable to make the market place viable. By encouraging the local government and school systems to at least get into the glass, paper, and aluminum recycling, we can increase the volume of recyclable in the state so the industry will become feasible. Any education to help this would be supported.

Senator Bengtson asked Chris Kaufman to read her suggested amendment. Chris Kaufman said it would be on Page 2, following line 5, "be it further resolved that local governments and school districts be encouraged to institute public education programs and curricula which promote recycling citizens." She said a slight amendment to the title might be needed.

Senator Beck said the original intent was to recycle in the school system. Are they doing this now? Ms. Kaufman said some schools have taken steps in that area, and this would encourage other schools to do it. Again, it would be just an encouragement. She said she would write the amendment if someone on the committee would like her too.

Senator Thayer said that he did not feel an amendment was necessary. Senator Bengtson said the committee will think about this bill, and she directed Chris Kaufman to draft the amendment. Then in Executive Action the committee can discuss the pros and cons that the amendment would propose.

Senator Beck clarified that on a resolution, the Secretary of State sends a letter to all the school districts in the state. C. Erickson said the resolution would direct that it be sent to the Executive Officer of each county or incorporated community and to the County Superintendent. Senator Beck said the resolution would have to be expanded to get it to the local governments, etc. C. Erickson said a copy of the resolution would be sent to the mayor, the county commissioners, etc.

Closing by Sponsor: Representative Larson said he is very pleased to be part of a Legislature that is taking recycling so seriously. A lot of legislation from the House pertains to recycling, and this is just a small oversight that was not attended to. He strongly urged the committee to support this resolution.

Senator Beck asked if there were any bills that mandate waste reduction? Representative Larson said HB-160 mandates a state recycling program with a 25% reduction by 1996. Senator Beck questioned the need for the resolution if a mandatory bill was coming. Representative Larson said that HB-160 does not address local governments or the university system.

Senator Vaughn questioned the wording in the amendment using "curricula", and whether government funding for that would be asked for by schools. C. Erickson reminded the committee that this is just a resolution, and it does not have the force of law. Senator Hammond said that people still think its a mandate.

Senator Bengtson said the committee will think about HJR-7, and the amendment will be prepared.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 227

Motion: Senator Hammond moved to Concur in HB-227. The motion was unanimous, and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Hammond will carry HB-227.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 3:59 p.m.


ESTHER BENGTSON, Chairman


JOYCE INCHAUSPE-CORSON, Secretary

EB/jic

DATE MARCH 5, 1991

COMMITTEE ON SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

VISITORS' REGISTER

[illegible]

ROLL CALL

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

DATE 3-5-91

52 LEGISLATIVE SESSION _____

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Beck	X		X
Senator Bengtson	X		
Senator Eck	X		
Senator Hammond	X		
Senator Harding	X		
Senator Kennedy	X		
Senator Thayer	X		
Senator Vaughn	X		
Senator Waterman	X		

Each day attach to minutes.



FINANCE/CITY CLERK OFFICE

435 RYMAN ST. • MISSOULA, MT 59802-4297 • (406) 523-4700

FAX (406) 728-6690

FINANCE AND DEBT MANAGEMENT
BUDGET AND ANALYSIS
ACCOUNTING
CITY CLERK
UTILITY BILLING
RISK MANAGEMENT
GRANT ADMINISTRATION

CITY OF MISSOULA

CHUCK STEARNS TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BISENATE LOCAL GOVT. COMM.

March 5, 1991

EXHIBIT NO. Ex#1

DATE 3-5-91

The City of Missoula supports HB123 and thanks Representative Hansen for introducing this bill. This bill would give local governments the same authority that the State of Montana has in transferring unused employer contributions which were budgeted for medical/dental insurance purposes to a special account for the benefit of the separate medical/dental insurance account or fund. BILL NO. HB-123

The City of Missoula has a self-insured medical/dental insurance program. The plan currently has a cash balance of \$332,256.30, but this balance dipped as low as \$126,446.76 one year ago in April, 1990. With monthly claims averaging \$50,000, the April, 1990 balance equalled only 2.5 months of claims in reserve. This level of reserves is quite low.

The change of HB123 would allow us to transfer unused employer contributions for group health insurance (last June 30 = \$55,338) from the health special levy fund to the medical/dental self-insurance fund rather than having to use the cash balance to reduce the mill levy by the amount of cash balance remaining.

Medical insurance costs have spiralled beyond the control of local governments in recent years. HB123 does not change I-105 and would not allow any additional taxing authority, but would allow local governments more flexibility and better use of the taxing authority that already exists.

We believe that this authority is already beneficial for the State of Montana and its' employees and should be an option that local governments have. We thank you for your consideration of HB123 and urge your concurrence.

EXHIBIT NO. 2DATE 3-5-91BILL NO. HB-480

House Bill 480

This bill includes town employees in the group of public employees entitled to leave of absence with pay for attending military training. In 42 Attorney General Opinion 26 (1987), the attorney general ruled that an employee of a town is not entitled to leave with pay. State, city, and county employees are entitled to military leave. This bill merely extends the same right to leave with pay to a town employee that other public employees currently have. It is possible that the statute could be declared unconstitutional on an equal protection basis if challenged. There does not appear to be a rational basis for excluding town employees from the benefit available for other public employees.

Town = 300 to 1000 population

7-1-411

Cities w/ 10,000 or more = 1st class

Cities less than 10,000; more than 5,000 = 2nd class

Cities less than 5,000; more than 1,000 = 3rd class

Municipal Corp. less than 1,000; more than 500 = town
7-1-411

Municipality means an entity which incorporates as a city or town
7-1-412(c)

OPINIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

3. Securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, the Federal National Mortgage Association, and Farm Credit System banks are permissible investments under section 7-6-202, MCA. Mortgage-backed certificates issued by a private entity but guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association are not permissible investments under section 7-6-202, MCA. Treasury investment growth receipts represent investments in direct obligations of the United States government permissible under section 7-6-202, MCA.
4. The permissible alternatives for deposit or investment of county general fund moneys, protest fund moneys, and school district moneys differ and are governed, respectively, by sections 7-6-202 to 213, 15-1-402, and 20-9-213(4), MCA.

Very truly yours,

MIKE GREELY
Attorney General

VOLUME NO. 42

OPINION NO. 26

ARMED FORCES - Town not required to give paid military leave of absence;
CITIES AND TOWNS - Town not required to give paid military leave of absence;
LEAVES OF ABSENCE - Town not required to give paid military leave of absence;
LOCAL GOVERNMENT - Town not required to give paid military leave of absence;
MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT - Town not required to give paid military leave of absence;
MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED - Sections 7-1-4111, 7-1-4121(9), 10-1-604;
MONTANA CONSTITUTION - Article XI, section 1;
UNITED STATES CODE - 38 U.S.C. §§ 2024(d), 2024(f).

HELD: An employee of a town is not entitled to a leave of absence with pay while attending regular encampments, training cruises, or similar training programs of the organized militia or of the military forces of the United States.

OPINIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

17 September 1987

Donald D. Cole
Saco Town Attorney
171 South Central Avenue
Malta MT 59538

Dear Mr. Cole:

Your request for my opinion inquires whether a town employee who is a member of the National Guard is entitled to a leave of absence with pay while attending a training session with the National Guard. The subject of military leave is addressed by section 10-1-604, MCA, as follows:

A state, city, or county employee who is a member of the organized militia of this state or who is a member of the organized or unorganized reserve corps or military forces of the United States and who has been an employee for a period of 6 months shall be given leave of absence with pay for a period of time not to exceed 15 working days in a calendar year for attending regular encampments, training cruises, and similar training programs of the organized militia or of the military forces of the United States. This leave may not be charged against the employee's annual vacation time. . [Emphasis added.]

Your letter concludes that this section has no application to a town employee. I agree with your conclusion.

The Montana Constitution defines the term "local government units" to include counties and incorporated cities and towns. Mont. Const. art. XI, § 1. Cities and towns are separately defined entities according to population, as set forth in section 7-1-4111, MCA. The term "municipality" means "an entity which incorporates as a city or town." § 7-1-4121(9), MCA. The plain meaning of the word "city" is not synonymous with the word "town." I cannot insert what the Legislature has omitted. If the Legislature had intended to include towns in section 10-1-604, MCA, it could have expressly done so either by enumeration or by utilization of the term "local government units" or "municipalities." As a rule in statutory construction, expressio unius est exclusio alterius, i.e., the expression of one excludes the other. I conclude that section 10-1-604, MCA, does

OPINIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

not apply to a town, and that a town is therefore not required to grant paid leaves of absence for military training.

According to federal law, a public or private employer must grant a leave of absence for the period required to perform active duty for training or inactive duty training in the armed forces of the United States, including the National Guard. 38 U.S.C. §§ 2024(d), (f). The federal law further provides: "Upon such employee's release from a period of such active duty for training or inactive duty training, or upon such employee's discharge from hospitalization incident to that training, such employee shall be permitted to return to such employee's position with such seniority, status, pay, and vacation as such employee would have had if such employee had not been absent for such purposes." 38 U.S.C. § 2024(d). While the section is ambiguous with respect to whether the leave of absence must be given on a paid or unpaid basis, it has been determined that the Veteran's Reemployment Rights Act does not require an employer to pay a reservist for the time he is away on reserve training duty but only requires that a reasonable request for an unpaid leave of absence upon proper notice be granted. Hilliard v. New Jersey Army National Guard, 527 F. Supp. 405 (D.N.J. 1981).

THEREFORE, IT IS MY OPINION:

An employee of a town is not entitled to a leave of absence with pay while attending regular encampments, training cruises, or similar training programs of the organized militia or of the military forces of the United States.

Very truly yours,

MIKE GREELY
Attorney General

VOLUME NO. 42

OPINION NO. 27

LIENS - Definition of "owner" of real property being purchased under a contract for deed;
TAXATION AND REVENUE - Definition of "owner" of real property being purchased under a contract for deed;
MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED - Sections 15-8-601; 15-16-402(1);
OPINIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - 9 Op. Att'y Gen. at 440 (1920-22), 23 Op. Att'y Gen. No. 114 (1950).

Montana Association of Churches

MONTANA RELIGIOUS LEGISLATIVE COALITION • P.O. Box 745 • Helena, MT 59624

PHONE: (406) 442-5761

Date Submitted: March 5, 1991

SENATE LOCAL GOVT. COMM.

EXHIBIT NO. 3

Bill Number: HJR 7

DATE 3-5-91

BILL NO. HJR-7

Submitted by: Harley E. Warner

WORKING TOGETHER:

American Baptist Churches
of the Northwest

Christian Churches
of Montana
(Disciples of Christ)

Episcopal Church
Diocese of Montana

Evangelical Lutheran
Church in America
Montana Synod

Presbyterian Church (U. S. A.)
Glacier Presbytery

Presbyterian Church (U. S. A.)
Yellowstone Presbytery

Roman Catholic Diocese
of Great Falls - Billings

Roman Catholic Diocese
of Helena

United Church
of Christ
Mt.-N. Wyo. Cont.

United Methodist Church
Yellowstone Conference

Chair, members of the Committee, for the record I am Harley Warner. I am here this afternoon representing the Montana Association of Churches.

We urge your support of House Joint Resolution 7.

There are many reasons why the Montana Association of Churches is concerned about solid waste and resource recovery, reuse and recycling. Elements of the solid waste problem include continued growth in per capita solid waste generation, environmental and public health costs from waste disposal, including aesthetic damage, depletion of natural resources, waste of energy, and high economic costs for collection and disposal.

We have much to gain both by reducing the volume of waste, and by recycling and reusing more of it than we do presently.

Passage of House Joint Resolution 7 would promote stewardship and careful husbandry of resources.

The Montana Association of Churches supports programs to reduce the generation of solid wastes, and supports the recycling of post-industrial and post-consumer wastes.

The Montana Association of Churches therefore rises in support of House Joint Resolution 7.

Amendments to House Bill No. 123
Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Beck
For the Committee on Local Government

Prepared by Connie Erickson
March 5, 1991

SENATE LOCAL GOVT. COMM.

EXHIBIT NO. 4

DATE 3-5-91

BILL NO. HB-123

1. Title, line 5.
Following: "LOCAL"
Insert: "SELF-INSURED"

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Date 3-5-91 Bill No. HB-480 Time 3:35 P.m.

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Beck	X	
Senator Bengtson	X	
Senator Eck	X	
Senator Hammond	X	
Senator Harding	X	
Senator Kennedy	X	
Senator Thayer	X	
Senator Vaughn	X	
Senator Waterman	X	

Joyce Inchauspe-Corson
Secretary

Senator Esther Bengtson
Chairman

Motion: move to Concur in HB-480

Senator Eck will carry HB-480

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Date 3-5-91 Bill No. HB-227 Time 3:55

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Beck	X	
Senator Bengtson	X	
Senator Eck	X	
Senator Hammond	X	
Senator Harding	X	
Senator Kennedy	X	
Senator Thayer	X	
Senator Vaughn	X	
Senator Waterman	X	

Joyce Inchauspe-Corson
Secretary

Senator Esther Bengtson
Chairman

Motion: Do Concur in HB-227

Senator Hammond will carry

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Date ~~HB-123~~ 3-5-91 Bill No. HB-123 Time 3:42

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Beck	X	
Senator Bengtson	X	
Senator Eck	X	
Senator Hammond	X	
Senator Harding	X	
Senator Kennedy	X	
Senator Thayer	X	
Senator Vaughn	X	
Senator Waterman	X	

Joyce Inchauspe-Corson
Secretary

Senator Esther Bengtson
Chairman

Motion: move to Concur as Amended HB-123
Senator Thayer will carry

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 5, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Local Government having had under consideration House Bill No. 480 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 480 be concurred in.

Signed: *Esther G. Bengtson*
Esther G. Bengtson, Chairman

4/5/11
Amd. Coord.

SR - 6 12:55
Sec. of Senate

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 6, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Local Government having had under consideration House Bill No. 123 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 123 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

1. Title, line 5.
Following: "LOCAL"
Insert: "SELF-INSURED"

Signed:

Esther Bengtson
Esther Bengtson, Chairman

MA 3-6-91
Adm. Coord.

SR 3-6 12:55
Sec. of Senate

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 5, 1991

HR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Local Government having had under consideration House Bill No. 227 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 227 be concurred in.

Signed: *E. G. Bengtson*
Eather G. Bengtson, Chairman

LB 3/5/91
Amd. Coord.

SR 4/6 12:55
Sec. of Senate