MINUTES

1

MONTANA SENATE 52nd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

Call to Order: By Lawrence Stimatz, on February 6, 1991, at 3:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Lawrence Stimatz, Chairman (D) Cecil Weeding, Vice Chairman (D) John Jr. Anderson (R) Esther Bengtson (D) Don Bianchi (D) Lorents Grosfield (R) Bob Hockett (D) Thomas Keating (R) John Jr. Kennedy (D) Larry Tveit (R)

Members Excused: Steve Doherty (D)

Staff Present: Michael Kakuk (EQC). Roberta Opel, Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Announcements/Discussion: Amendments for SB 210 and SB 165 were distributed to the committee. Don MacIntyre, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, told the committee he was available for questions.

HEARING ON SB 210

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Senator Bill Yellowtail, District 15, presented SB 210. This bill, Yellowtail stated, was the result of work done in the past biennium by the Environmental Quality Council (EQC) regarding geothermal groundwater. Under current law, Yellowtail stated, there are no means to protect the heat and byproduct value of geothermal resources. Modification of state water law is necessary to require a water use permit for users of geothermal resources, Yellowtail told the committee.

Opponents'/Proponents'Testimony:

Susan Brooke, Montana Stockgrowers and Woolgrowers Association, appeared neither in support of nor opposition to SB 210. A definition of "beneficial use" will be needed to address the heat value, Brooke stated. Many ranchers in southwestern Montana drill for a well, tap into geothermal water and use this water for irrigation, Brooke said. Under the proposed legislation, the rancher would have to prove that groundwater (other than geothermal) was not reasonably available. Brooke told the committee she understood the intent of the bill but was not comfortable with the phrase "reasonably available" (regarding groundwater) used throughout the bill.

Questions from Committee Members:

Senator Bengtson asked Michael Kakuk, Environmental Quality Control counsel, what precipitated the drafting of this bill and what the threat to geothermal resources truly is. Kakuk explained that the EQC's study was precipitated by the Church Universal and Triumphant's proposed developments in Park County. The study was part of the governor's request to the EQC to check the adequacy of state laws as they relate to development in rural Montana regarding four issues. One of those issues, Kakuk stated, is geothermal development. Montana is one of the few states in this area that has unprotected geothermal resources The quantity of the water is protected but not Kakuk continued. the quality of the water Kakuk added. EQC feels that the geothermal resources available in Montana are unique and should be protected as such, Kakuk concluded.

Senator Keating asked if there were provisions for a landowner to appropriate geothermal water on his property. Van Jamieson, Administrator of the Energy Division, DNRC, explained to the committee that only if you use geothermal water to generate power would you need to come under a Major Facility Siting Act. Jamieson explained that rather than regulate all geothermal users using 25 million B.T.U.'s per hour, the focus would be on regulating only those who use that heat to generate electricity.

Senator Keating asked Jamieson if someone found water at 1,000 feet and the water was at 85 degrees, would this water be classified as geothermal and therefore, not useable to water stock? Jamieson explained that geothermal water could be used for stock watering if it was the only water available. What I am trying to find out, Keating inquired, is if SB 210 passes, will water coming out of the hole in the ground at 85 degrees F be considered geothermal and subject to restrictions other than just a normal water permit? Jamieson stated that would be true.

SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE February 6, 1991 Page 3 of 5

Senator Grosfield told committee members that the state of Montana has always had questions about "how much water" and now there is another aspect being added...temperature. Might we not also protect water at 32 degrees, Grosfield inquired. Grosfield added that geothermal resources needed to be protected and was in support of SB 210, but was "uncomfortable doing it in this manner."

Senator Bengtson asked legal counsel, Michael Kakuk, what precipitated this particular bill and why are we talking about permitting?

Michael Kakuk told the committee that the Environmental Quality Council research on geothermal resources in Montana was originally driven by the Church Universal Triumphant's use of geothermal water on their lands. EQC found, as a result of their study, that geothermal resources are unique and deserve statewide special protection, Kakuk stated.

Senator Weeding noted that the only people who testified at EQC hearings that they would be adversely affected by passage of this bill were those in the CUT area. Legal counsel, Michael Kakuk, concurred.

Senator Bianchi asked if someone drilled a well, would they have to go through a process of applying for water rights? Van Jamieson, DNRC, responded that up to 100 gallons per minute a Notice of Completion would have to be applied for. Over 100 gallons per minute would require a permit process. Groundwater is currently regulated, Jamieson said. The regulation of the heat content of water would be added with the adoption of SB 210, Jamieson added.

Closing by Sponsor:

The fundamental policy question raised is shall we or shall we not recognize that geothermal water has a unique value (heat) that is worthy of protection, Yellowtail asked the committee. SB 210 would recognize that value and apply similar processes already in effect in state law for permitting, etc. as to the use of water as well as to geothermal water. There is a value to geothermal resources, Yellowtail stated. The matter of water quality is an important one, Yellowtail said, and these issues need to be addressed now. SB 210 would protect geothermal resources in the same vein as other water sources are now being protected, Yellowtail concluded.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 114

Motion:

Senator Weeding made a motion that SB 114 DO PASS.

Discussion:

Senator Grosfield told the committee that he would "hate to see Montana having local referendums on whatever project comes along. Basically what we're talking about, Grosfield added, is importing garbage." Are we interfering with interstate commerce laws if we pass this senate bill, Grosfield asked, and do we, therefore, have a constitutional problem? Grosfield stated that he would like to see an EIS (Environmental Impact Statement) done on the landfill issue. Senator Grosfield said he simply did not find the bill "workable."

Senator Hockett asked Michael Kakuk, legal counsel, what requirements would be necessary as far as "being a good neighbor" to someone who has a development adjacent to the landfill. Kakuk responded there were "fairly strict" requirements as to the type of soil testing, groundwater protection, etc. that are currently being proposed through other legislation dealing with megalandfills.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

Senator Weeding told the committee that he was not going to move any amendments before the committee. After the hearing on SB 114 there were concerns about the possible effects of megalandfills on people in neighboring counties, said Weeding. Weeding continued by saying that he had not seen any options that satisfied his intent to address this concern and asked the committee for a DO PASS without amendments.

Recommendation and Vote:

The motion made by Senator Weeding to pass SB 114 resulted in a tie vote: 5 in favor and 5 against. Senator Steve Doherty cast the deciding vote in favor of passing SB 114.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 165

Motion:

Motion by Senator Grosfield to move the amendments to SB 165 carried unanimously.

Senator Bengtson made a motion to pass SB 165 as amended.

Discussion:

There was no discussion of SB 165.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

There was no discussion on adoption of the amendment to SB 165.

Recommendation and Vote:

The motion made by Senator Bengtson carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 5:00 p.m.

rman Roberta Secretary Opet,

LS/ro

ROLL CALL

	Resources COMMITT	EE DATE_	2-6-91			
52 Nd LEGISLATIVE SESSION						
NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED			
Senator Anderson						
Senator Bengtson						
Senator Bianchi						
Senator Doherty			\checkmark			
Senator Grosfield	\checkmark					
Senator Hockett						
Senator Keating						
Senator Kennedy	\checkmark					
Senator Tveit						
Vice Chairman, Weeding						
Chairman, Stimatz						
`						

Each day attach to minutes.

SENAT	E COMMITTEE Nati	ural Resources			
Date_	76/91		Bill No. [[4	Time_	4:45pm

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Anderson		V
Senator Bengston		
Senator Bianchi		
Senator Doherty ABSENT	1520	
Senator Grosfield	C	
Senator Hockett	V	
Senator Keating		V
Senator Kennedy		
Senator Tveit		
Senator Weeding, Vice Chairman		
Senator Stimatz, Chairman		

We & Sotraty Chaiman Secretary (((Motion: 0

	DATE Febr	main	6,1991) .				
COMMITTEE ON WAY	ural: Resonances	. ((SB) <u>2</u> 10)				
· · ·			C	<u> </u>				
VISITORS' REGISTER Check One								
NAME	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Support	One Oppose				
- SUSALABOTKE	Heckoppiles/Midophile	ac						
(in fits a)	J11 /	11						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
				<u> </u>				
S.								
	, 							
	a Nan kana di kana kana kana kana kana kana kana kan							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·					
		·						
, <u>an ang kang kang kang kang kang kang kan</u>								
				<u> </u>				
				1				

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

1

i

Page 1 of 1 February 7, 1991

HR. PRESIDENT:

.

•

We, your committee on Natural Resources having had under consideration Senate Bill No. 165 (first reading copy - white), respectfully report that Senate Bill No. 165 be amended and as so amended do pass:

1. Fage 1, line 22. Following: "and" Insert: "of not more than"

Signed: Signed PC Lawrence G. Stimatz, Chaldman

Mnd. Coord. S<u>(S) 3 121</u> (OC) Sec. of Senate

280736SC.8)1

SENATE STANDING COBHLTTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1 February 7, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Natural Resources having had under consideration Senate Bill No. 114 (first reading copy -- white), respectfully report that Senate Bill No. 114 do pass.

Signed: <u>Lawrence G. Stimatz, Chailman</u>

Amd. Coord. Amd. Coord. Sec. of Senate Sec. of Senate