MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 52nd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Call to Order: By Chairman Bardanouve, on March 14, 1991, at 8 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Francis Bardanouve, Chairman (D) Ray Peck, Vice-Chairman (D) Dorothy Bradley (D) John Cobb (R) Dorothy Cody (D) Mary Ellen Connelly (D) Ed Grady (R) Larry Grinde (R) John Johnson (D) Mike Kadas (D) Berv Kimberley (D) Wm. "Red" Menahan (D) Jerry Nisbet (D) Mary Lou Peterson (R) Joe Quilici (D) Chuck Swysgood (R) Bob Thoft (R) Tom Zook (R)

Staff Present: Terry Cohea, Legislative Fiscal Analyst Sylvia Kinsey, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Announcements/Discussion: REP. NISBET asked for clarification concerning language on A-8 and A-13. Is the lack of a motion mean we have adopted that language or do we have to take specific action on them? CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked Mrs. Cohea what she suggested. She said if there is a motion to adopt it would make it clearer.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said we will have to be more careful with the dollars in this committee or we will get ourselves into a difficult position. The budget is many millions of dollars over the Governor's budget. We have not addressed the pay plan, the Foundation Program, he felt the University will make a major move which would add dollars to the budget and the bottom line is that our appropriations will be considerably above the available revenue by many millions.

The Governor has promised to veto any new revenue bills, and that is his right. He said he felt the committee should pursue a more conservative course of spending. He mentioned Westlaw, said it was a fine project, but had nothing to do with running the government and that is where the efforts should be. He cautioned the committee about pursuing amendments of this type which spend money which was not available. He pointed out that the committee should not hurt the agencies but think seriously about what they could do without.

REP. KADAS referred to the question of language in the budgets. Since we are taking motions on all of the mods, and felt the committee might make better use of time if they were to accept the language, and if we want to reject it then it would take a positive motion to reject it.

HOUSE BILL 2

GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND HIGHWAYS

The Major Appropriation Bill.

EXECUTIVE ACTION

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved that language in the narrative be accepted unless a motion is made to reject it. REP. QUILICI seconded the motion.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

Mrs. Cohea explained to the committee where language would be located in the narrative. She said in some agencies there is another section called Legislative Intent, this would not be in the bill itself, but would be in the appropriation report after the session.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to cut 5% out of the Personal Services budget for '93. A-23,

REP. COBB said this gives them time to start preparing to have money out. It would take 5% out of whatever the personal services is.

REP. QUILICI said of all the budgets you would want to take 5% out--Judiciary in personal services is salaries and salaries in the Judiciary are set by statute. You will be taking out of lower paid salaries that are exempt positions. He did not feel it made good sense to him.

REP. KADAS said we hire the subcommittees to look through these budgets and try to set what they feel is the appropriate FTE level. Some subcommittees are tougher than others, but to do a broad sweep action like this goes against what we are supposed to

do in the subcommittees.

REP. CODY asked what would this do? REP. COBB said it would take about \$200,000 out of personal services. They did get some salary increases plus those in the pay plan and the Judges are coming in for their own salary increases in a separate bill. We look at the increases in the subcommittees and we don't really look at the base, we don't have the time. It is all salary money unless they take it out of operating expenses and equipment.

REP. BARDANOUVE said he appreciated the effort to save dollars, but REP. QUILICI has made a point that Judiciary salaries are statutorily set.

Vote: Motion was voted, passed, 9 to 8, roll call vote. # 1.

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE:

Office of Budget and Planning:

REP. COBB moved mod 2) A- 44, NASBO 1992 National Meeting be accepted. Second by REP. KIMBERLEY.

Vote: Motion failed with a tie vote, roll call vote # 2.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to take 4% out of the Personal Services Budget for the year 1993 from the Governor's Budget, A-37.

Mrs. Cohea asked if there are motions with percentage cuts, when we take the funding out do we do it in the exact proportion of the agency as a whole? She cited Judiciary where there is Water Court funding. She said if she understood the committee's motion correctly they would take a proportionate amount out for the personal services of all the funding sources equal to the percent of the motion. This would not change the FTE number, and would allow the agency the flexibility to move money up if necessary to maintain those positions. She asked if this was the committee's intent?

REP. BARDANOUVE said that was his understanding. REP. CODY agreed and asked for the opinion of REP. COBB. He said that is his intent unless the agencies come in afterward and say they would like to do it differently.

REP. KADAS asked what the criteria is for which agencies would get 4% and which would get 5% etc. REP. COBB said he was looking at the amount of money in personal services. You would not want to touch the Secretary of State's office for example, since they are too small and if you took out the percentage there they could not do it.

REP. QUILICI said anytime you take a straight 4% or 5% across the board cut in personal services, you impose vacancy savings one way or another. REP. COBB said you hope they can be permanent

reductions then. Looking at the Governor's budget and the past expenses there, he felt they could take some money out of operating expenses without it hurting them.

REP. BRADLEY said she had sheets of REP. COBB's amendments on her desk and wondered if there is a common theme behind this. She asked if it was his intention to decrease spending overall a certain percentage or is it mainly his intent to try to pull money out of other budgets and put it into direct services. REP. COBB said both. He said he might spend some of it in other places but mostly he was trying to collect money to try to pay for the budget we can't afford. It's up to the majority if they have extra money, they might not do anything, or they might use it for the pay plan or whatever the majority decides. He said he had some priorities he would like to address in SRS.

REP. PECK said he felt what REP. BRADLEY was asking was, is there a master plan in that you can share with us, or isn't there?
REP. COBB said his master plan was that he felt the budget is too big, we have a pay plan we can't afford, he would rather have less employees and higher wages, and he could see the wreck we are heading for since the Governor said no taxes, and felt that was what he meant. We don't get time to look at the base, it sits there and grows and they want new things. This should be a living document and not have us look at the increases only because that's all the time we have. He felt if the agencies want something they should sometimes be willing to give up something else.

REP. QUILICI said as he looked at this, we take 5% out of Judiciary, 4% out of the Executive branch, and then look at the Legislative branch and see where we put 4 1/2 more FTE in personal services for the Legislature, but yet are going to cut both the Judiciary and Executive and who else as we go through this. He did not feel we are very consistent.

REP. COBB said we are here to set priorities and we cut all the same to treat them all the same, but if we need to give more to one then it is up to the individual legislator. He said he could not see where we were not too consistent.

REP. QUILICI read a note he had received which said a 5% cut in district court equals 80 to 85% cut in district court operating money. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said that is if you put it all in one place. REP. QUILICI said our rules are, you can take from operating and put in personal cost but you can't take from personal cost and put in operating cost. This leaves only one way to move money.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion to cut 4% from Personal Services in the Governor's budget passed. 11 to 7, roll call vote # 3.

<u>SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE:</u> A-49. <u>Records Management Program A-53</u>

MOTION: REP. NISBET moved 1) Microfilm Project, A-50 be accepted. Second by Rep. Cody.

REP. CODY asked if this modified was paid for by the fees. She asked if the fees go into the general fund and then filter back. REP. QUILICI said no, this is general fund. This is doing the records they are mandated by statute to do.

Vote: Motion passed 15 to 3, roll call vote # 4.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved mod 2), Fireproof Document Storage, A-50 be accepted.

Vote: Motion passed, 13 to 5, roll call vote # 4A.

MOTION: REP. ZOOK moved mod 3) State Agency Records Management A-50 be approved.

REP. SWYSGOOD said he needed clarification. The House bill referred to in the Language deals with this transfer from the Dept. of Administration to the Sec. of State on the Records Management. REP. ZOOK said this was his bill, HB 897. REP. SWYSGOOD asked if this bill saves us money in the process? REP. ZOOK said about \$300,000. REP. SWYSGOOD asked how many FTE would be transferred in the bill. REP. ZOOK said 9.25, but believed there would be one additional FTE that will be left in the Dept. of Administration that had been in Records Management to help clean up. REP. SWYSGOOD said then 8.25 are coming with the bill and one is staying in the Dept. of Administration and we are adding one more. REP. ZOOK said it was his understanding that 9.25 are going to the Secretary of State and we are going to add one because we are leaving it back in the Dept. of Administration REP. SWYSGOOD said he wanted to know if there is one more in the transfer than we currently have there now.

REP. QUILICI said there is one more to do the clean up. When the Sec. of State came in they wanted \$300, 000 plus and 3 FTE to do the micro filming of the corporate records. This bill passed through Records Management over to the Secretary of state. They still have to do the microfilming of their own records. There were 8.25 FTE originally. They needed one more to do that specific job, when this job is over, the FTE will no longer be there.

Vote: Motion passed 15 to 3, roll call vote # 5.

COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES: A-59 - 60.

REP. PECK said he had discussed the mod with the Commissioner and she said the secretary or head clerk is retiring and she is way out on the steps on the pay scale. She would bring someone in significantly less than that which would balance off the termination pay obligation. The reason he had checked is that there was some concern this would not be enough money.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved 1), A-60, Staff Termination Pay be accepted. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE: Central Management: A-65 Tape 1, side 2.

REP. COBB moved 1) A-64, Computer System Replacement be accepted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed 11 to 7, roll call vote # 6.

- 2) General Office Remodelling A-65, no motion, mod. failed.
- 3) Additional Office Remodelling A-65, no motion, mod failed.
- 4) Computer Systems Analyst A-65. REP. KADAS asked if this has something to do with the new computer or is it additional demands in the office. REP. QUILICI said this computer system analyst is a person they want inhouse to look at their computer system. He felt if they use ISD they can work on it. REP. KADAS asked if the subcommittee had reduced the contracted services and REP. QUILICI said no.

No motion, mod 4) failed.

Insurance: A70.

REP. COBB asked for an explanation of the Medicare Compliance Specialist and REP. QUILICI said he understood it was supposed to be a one time position but there are more federal regulations coming in with medicare compliance and they need to keep this person on steady.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved 1) Medicare Compliance Specialist A-70 be accepted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 12 to 6 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grady, Cody, Connelly, Grinde voting no.

- 2) National Association dues, no motion, mod failed.
- 3) Office Equipment, no motion, mod failed.

Securities: A-73

MOTION: REP. PECK moved 1) Office Equipment A-73 be accepted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 13 to 5 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grady, Grinde and Cody voting no.

MOTION: REP. ZOOK moved to amend Page A-74 to strike "434,018"

and insert "411,648" and strike "392,391" and insert "485,810".

REP. ZOOK said it changes the figures under Legislature FY 92 and FY 93. He introduced this at the request of the Auditor. She can explain the reason for this. She feels a supplemental will be necessary again if these changes are not made.

Andrea Bennett said the reason for this amendment is we have projected a 6% increase over 1991 for this biennium and we believe if it is not appropriated at this time we will have to come in for a supplemental similar to the one you just looked at. Debbie Van Vliet, Administrator, continually feels as they come in for supplementals that she is not a very good manager since she has to keep coming back to ask for more money. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said if this does not pass remind whoever is here in '93 that you warned us.

REP. QUILICI said this request is basically for postage. This would be about a 70% increase over FY '90 for postage. Postage has gone up, but he was not sure it had gone up that much.

REP. SWYSGOOD asked, in this increase for the warrant writing system, has the language where we are asking the Auditor to implement a fee system been taken into account as to whether it could offset this possibility of a supplemental? CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said it could offset some of it. REP. ZOOK said he did not know for sure if those projected income dollars are in the figures.

Vote: Motion failed, 5 to 12 and one absent, roll call vote # 7.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI handed out an amendment EXHIBIT FOR AMENDMENT 8. he asked Mr. Schenck to explain the amendment. He said it would not change any of the numbers but would change the method of funding.

Clayton Schenck, LFA explained the amendment by saying in FY '91 the committee took the direct appropriations of the state fund to help reimburse the warrant writing system in the State Auditor's office for the cost of warrants. The subcommittee took similar action in regard to FY '92 and '93. They took a direct appropriation from the state fund and also from the Dept. of Highways and the Dept. of Fish, Wildlife and Parks to help recover some of the cost of the system. There was language approved by the subcommittee requiring there be a permanent system in the next biennium to recover the costs. There are 3 of the larger agencies being assessed fees for the next biennium. It took a direct appropriation. Two of those agencies objected, not in terms of being assessed the fee, but to the direct Those two agencies preferred to put the money in appropriation. the agencies, to give them a fee increase and the State Auditor bill them, and it would go into a state special revenue fund in the State Auditor's office. There is no change from the total appropriation for the warrant writing system, it would change it

from a direct appropriation from 3 accounts to a state special revenue fund and in the state fund it would put in \$119,000 of warrant fees and \$8,000 of warrant fees in the Dept. of Highways and in section it would put warrant fees in the Dept of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said this would cost more money. Mr. Schenck said it does not cost more money, it results, in effect, to a double appropriation. You are putting the appropriation in 2 places, but it doesn't result in an increase of money paid out.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if they were reducing money to the Auditor's office then? Mr. Schenck said it does not change the amount of funding for the State Auditor's office, it changes the method of funding for them. It does increase the overall funding picture. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said it does increase the overall appropriation, and Mr. Schenck said that is correct. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said if we don't pass this the agencies will take it out of their present budget. Mr. Schenck said that is correct. The State Auditor would have a direct appropriation and would simply spend out of that appropriation. REP. QUILICI said this increases the authority but does not increase the appropriation.

Mrs. Cohea said this will result in the same over all expenditure level but will result in the appropriation being counted twice. Once when it is spent in the State Auditor's office and from the transfer from the other agencies to the State Auditor's office.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked what is the bottom line on our total bill when we finish? Is it neutral or is it increasing? Mrs. Cohea said it increases appropriations but does not increase expenditure. REP. SWYSGOOD asked if this worked the same as in the Highway Patrol where they get spending authority for gas and it shows up twice in the same budget but is the same money. Mrs. Cohea gave an example that had been dealt with already. The Legislator billed agencies for audits. You appropriate money to the agency to pay the bill and you appropriate authority for the Legislative Auditor to spend the money.

<u>vote:</u> Motion on amendment # 8 passed unanimously.

REP. QUILICI asked if the committee would like to make this a separate line item. Mr. Schenck said if this was a separate line item in each of the agencies you could do so, but the amendment at the bottom said there would be something written in Legislative Intent which would take care of it.

REP. COBB asked about the warrant writing system equipment and what would happen if this was not passed. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said you won't get your pay check.

MOTION: REP. NISBET moved 1) Warrant Writing System Equipment be accepted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed, Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grinde voting no.

2) Equipment Maintenance Contracts. REP. KADAS asked how they are paying for maintenance contracts now and was told by REP. QUILICI that he thought it is under warranty now.

Debbie Van Vliet said some of this equipment was not under a maintenance contract last year and comes to about \$18,000 more than we had in the budget last year.

There was no motion, 2) Equipment Maintenance Contracts failed.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved on State Auditor's office, page 61 FY '93 take 4% out of the personal Services. Second by Rep. Peck.

Vote: Motion passed 12 to 5 and one absent.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved amendment # 8. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12 to 5 with one absent, roll call vote # 9.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE;

REP. KADAS asked, on A-91 under language, why do we need 1 FTE? REP. QUILICI said it puts added responsibilities onto the Dept. of Revenue over and above what they can do now.

Jack Ellery, Deputy Director, Operations D of R said the Taxpayer Bill of Rights creates an office of Taxpayer assistance and the position is one we have within the Department currently. We specifically left that position vacant in the event the Taxpayer Bill of Rights was not passed. It does give a number of additional responsibilities to the Dept. to follow up on taxpayer complaints, answering questions, evaluating complaints etc.

REP. KADAS asked if this position is in the current level budget and Mr. Ellery said it would be if Senate Bill 279 passes. Mrs. Cohea said on A-82 the first paragraph, last sentence states that a grade 19 FTE vacant throughout '90 was removed by the subcommittee but would be reinstated by a language appropriation if SB 279 passes.

In an answer to a question from REP. CODY it was decided SB 279 was in some committee in the House.

REP. KADAS asked why a grade 19 and asked if the Dept. with the position vacant for a year was still doing the work? Mr. Ellery said no, they were not.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to delete the language on page A-81. Second by Rep. Peck.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

Data Processing Division:

1) Employer's payroll Tax--Data Processing Program. REP. COBB asked where the money was coming from. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said this is a proprietary fund and REP. QUILICI said it comes from the Payroll Employers Tax. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said this is where the Revenue Dept. administers the collections of the employee payroll.

REP. COBB asked if this is the one we moved it over to keep general fund out? Ms. Steinbeck said when the Dept. was first given the duties to begin to develop a system to collect this tax and administer it there was a general fund appropriation to do that. Mrs. Cohea said during the May Special Session general fund money was given to the Department to develop the system preparatory to taking the duties. This increases the number of FTE that would be needed to administer the tax and changes the funding source to state special from the Payroll Tax.

MOTION: REP. CODY mod 1) Employer's Payroll Tax--Data Processing Program be accepted. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

Mrs. Cohea answered a question on total FTE added. She said the total FTE in '92 would be 2.33 and the total would be 2 in the second year--it reduces in the second year.

Vote: Motion passed 11 to 6 with 1 absent. Roll call vote # 10.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked how this would be collected if the bill does not pass and Mr. Ellery said they are moving it from Labor to Revenue.

Income Tax Division: A-94.

REP. CODY asked if the mod we just voted on is the same as the one here. She was told no, this is a different division.

Mr. Ellery explained the Employer's Payroll Tax. He said this modification spans 2 divisions, the Data Processing Division which is responsible for taking the forms that are going to be filed and doing the data entry. There is about a 55% increase in the number of filers we have in that department. The number pieces to be processed in addition to what we are doing now is 17 million, and that is the 2.33 in the Data Processing Division for FY '92 and the 2.0 in FY '93. The FTE we are talking about here in the Income Tax Division are the FTE that will be required to address the additional work load that the Income Tax Division will have to have to maintain the work.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked how they are doing the work now and Mr. Ellery said they aren't doing it. REP. CODY said it is being transferred from the Dept. of Labor. Mr. Ellery said it becomes effective July 1 of '91. This was done in the Dept of Labor before. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this will reduce the Labor

budget? REP. QUILICI said he believes it reduces the state fund budget a little.

Mrs. Cohea said on A-76 of the Budget Analysis book, there is a table that lays out the impact. She said there is a net increase in FTE in this proposal. She thought the state fund reduces by only 1 1.2 FTE and Revenue increases under the subcommittee request approximately 4 1/2; this is a net increase in state government.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if there is more work and Mr. Ellery said yes, there is. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said yes, but balancing one off against the other, is there more work? Mr. Ellery said the Legislature transferred this function from the Dept. of labor to the Dept. of Revenue because the Legislature felt Revenue could do a better job. He said they plan to do that, have the system in place to do it, but they need people to handle it. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked how many positions were increased. Mrs. Cohea said essentially 3 additional state employees.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved 1), A-94, Employer's Payroll Tax. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

<u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. THOFT made a substitute motion that we reduce this to 4. After some discussion he withdrew the motion.

REP. GRINDE asked if this mod and the rest are in the Executive budget? REP. QUILICI said with the exception of computer processing and replacement of equipment, yes. Later on they added computer processing in the Executive.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 15 to 3 with Rep. Cobb, Thoft and Grady voting no.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved mod 2) A-94, Replacement Equipment. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. CONNELLY made a substitute motion to take the 3 vehicles out of this mod. Second by Rep. Cody.

REP. CODY asked why they can't go to the motor pool, and REP. QUILICI said they could and Mr. Ellery said they send their people out in other areas and they like their anonymity there.

Vote: Voted, Passed, 12 to 6, roll call vote # 11. (1/2 out each
year.)
Tape 2

MOTION: REP. CODY moved mod 3), A-94, Accounts receivable be accepted.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 16 to 2, with Rep. Swysgood and Thoft voting no.

Mr. Ellery explained mod 4) to the committee by saying these are

costs they are going to incur in their data processing operations. The Legislature has continually upgraded the equipment so they can do a better job. The systems are now all on line and these are the costs they anticipate for the next biennium. The numbers are in the Governor's budget, they are late numbers, but they are there. The bottom line is they cannot turn off their computer system, they are highly automated and dependent on them to do the work.

REP. KADAS asked if the Governor had added these in later on, and when he did, was the expense taken out of the ending fund balance? Mr. Ellery said the way the modification was presented they conservatively think they will put an additional \$2.6 million over the biennium in the bank over and above the money they are already collecting against that \$20.5 million outstanding accounts receivable.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved 4) Computer Processing Costs be approved. Second by Rep. Cody.

REP. KADAS said he had asked if the Governor had taken the extra expense out of the ending fund balance, and the answer is that it is going to bring more revenue in. Did he add that to the ending fund balance? Mr. Ellery said he did not know the answer, but the way the modification was presented to the subcommittee there would be an additional \$1 million that was not counted in the original revenue estimate in FY '92 and \$1.6 million in FY '93.

REP. KADAS asked Mr. Sundsted the same question. Mr. Sundsted said the additional revenue from the accounts receivable has not yet been put into the Governor's budget, however we would put this in if this mod is passed.

REP. KADAS asked with more data processing, how are you going to catch this additional revenue. Mr. Sundsted said they have recommended those additional costs be included in the budget. They are not included in the expenditures in the last spread sheet, but we would include those. He said those costs will not generate more revenue, they are to keep the system running.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion on 4) Computer Processing Costs passed, unanimously.

Motor Fuels Tax:

MOTION: REP. CODY moved to strike the language on page A-98. Second by Rep. Peck.

Mrs. Cohea said SB 164 is the creation of the Dept. of Transportation. It would say, if the bill does not pass then the appropriation as shown in the table is reduced. If it does pass the appropriation continues. Mr. Ellery said the additional FTE table used by the new Highway Department to coordinate the transition to the Dept. of Transportation. REP. CODY said if

this bill passes we are not eliminating the position, we are moving it? Mr. Ellery said that is correct.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 13 to 5 with Rep. Nisbet, Kadas, Grinde, Bardanouve and Zook voting no.

Property Assessment Program: A-104

REP. QUILICI said without the amendment 1) he did not feel the Department of Revenue could complete the Appraisal system in the time designated.

MOTION:

REP. MENAHAN moved 1) Cyclical Reappraisal Costs be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

REP. CODY said since she had been here in 1985 the Department has added more FTE to get this job done. Rep. Ramirez did it twice. How many extra FTE you have in place to do this reappraisal? Kim Morrison, Property Assessment Administrator, Dept. of Rev. showed a chart. The Dept. has completed 2 reappraisal cycles and are in the process of completing a third. When we completed the first reappraisal cycle in 1978 they had just under 800 employees at that time. We put in a level of automation and when we did the 2nd reappraisal cycle in 1986 we had 465 employees. Now the cycle we are to complete this next biennium, we are looking at about 397 employees. We have been dropping the number of employees because we are able to work with automation.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if they will have this one done on time and Mr. Morrison said yes, some of the Legislation on the reappraisal this session would lower the cycle from the current statutory 5 years which has been expanded the last 2 times to 7 years, down to 3 years.

REP. MENAHAN said when you were 800 a lot of those were trained for a short run of work and were not on for a long time. Mr. Morrison said that is correct. We usually put on additional staff the last 2 years of the appraisal cycle and this time we are actually reducing the staff.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion on 1) Cyclical Reappraisal Costs passed, Rep. Thoft, Cobb, Grinde and Grady voting no.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved mod 2), A-105, CAMAS Costs be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, Rep. Grinde, Cobb, Peck, Thoft, Bardanouve Swysgood and Grady voting no.

REP. COBB moved on page 77 that 4% be taken out of Personal Services in '93.

Vote: Motion passed, 10-8, roll call # 12.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked the Budget office if, now that they had gone through the budget, if they approved it. Mr. Sundsted said we have been taking money out of Personal Services but ont FTE. He does not feel that will save the state any money. There are \$20 million worth of reversions anticipated which are mainly coming out of personal services. If we take 5% or 4% out of Personal Services in '93, he felt they would have to lower the reversion estimates because they won't be there if the money is CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said his question was the bottom taken out. line of this budget -- are you supporting it, and Mr. Sundsted said he felt we were still too high on this budget. He said he could not run all those numbers in his head, but basically the places money came out will not have that much of an impact on the bottom line and it was about \$2 million over. He said he still believed this budget is higher than it should be. He said he had a feeling it was pretty close, but still above the Governor's budget.

REP. COBB asked about the remark of not doing anything by the 4%. Last time we line itemed Personal Services and the Executive branch did not want this done, they went out to their Executive branch government and said we want 4% or 6% back each year. All they have to do now is the same thing, keep the reversions at the same level and tell their departments besides the 4% we have taken out tell them to take another 4% and it does not stop you from doing it. We are taking 4% on the top because we have to pay for the pay plan and also for some other services plus the modifieds.

There was a 10 minute break at this point.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION:

Procurement & Printing Division:

1), A-120 Federal Surplus Property. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this program has been increased in the past couple years and REP. QUILICI said it was increased last session.

Bob Marks, Director, Department of Administration said the last legislature did take on the bill of Rep. Simpkins which provided they would expand their inventory of federal surplus property and that designation was left up to the Governor as to which dept. that would go to and the Dept. of Administration has the program in one of it's bureaus. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked how successful it has been. Mr. Marks said it has been very successful, they are getting a very good program going and a lot of the surplus property is being more available to local governments and sub divisions. They have a good inventory policy going.

REP. PECK asked what the last line on A-120 means, "Funds would be expended for consulting services to provide information about

this program to school districts----" What does it mean by consulting services? REP. QUILICI said it will be pamphlets etc. that are sent around to schools, local governments, etc as to what they have in the surplus program. This would inform entities as to what they have to sell, what type of equipment, services, and the costs.

MOTION: REP. JOHNSON moved mod 1) A-120 be adopted. Second by Rep. Cobb.

Vote: Motion passed, 9 to 8 with one member passing, roll call
vote # 13.

REP. CODY said there were some amendments passed out yesterday on A-123

REP. QUILICI pointed out the amendment on Legislative Intent, A-123. We have gone over this with Ms. Steinbeck and don't feel the amendment is needed. We would have to move to strike the language.

Mail & Distribution Bureau:

MOTION: REP. JOHNSON moved amendment # 14 to strike the legislative Intent language. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 2), A-126, Expanded Mail Services be adopted.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 11 to 7 with Rep. Peck, Thoft, Swysgood, Grinde, Cobb, Grady and Peterson voting no.

MOTION: REP. NISBET moved mod 1), Postage Machines be adopted. Second by Rep. Kimberley.

Someone from the Department said the average life of a postal machine is 3.5 million pieces. That is how many pieces they process per year. We have 3 machines, we run 2 and have the 3rd for a back up.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grinde, Cody, Peterson & Grady voting no.

<u>State Personnel Division:</u> No motion on the first 2 mods on A-129 so they were not adopted.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved mod # 4), A-130, Professional Development Center be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion failed 8 to 10, roll call vote # 15.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved mod 3), A-130, System Enhancement be

adopted.

Laurie Ekanger, State Personnel Division said in the last session the Legislature appropriated \$112,000 to the State Auditor to make enrollment in the State Health and Life Plan automated as part of the PPP system. This modification allows us to operate that system and get it implemented in this next plan.

Vote: Motion passed, 12 to 6, roll call vote # 16

Tort Claims Division:

MOTION: REP. PECK moved mod 1), A-132, Tort Claims: Contracts be adopted. Second by Rep. Swysgood.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

REP. KADAS said in regard to the first one, this allows them to contract out for more attorneys. That office has 2 attorneys on staff now and are contracting for everything else. It would be more cost effective if they hired another attorney or two rather than continuing to contract for these attorneys. Based on that he said he would move to give them the budget authority to hire 2 more attorneys with the contracted money.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved to give the flexibility to contract 2 more attorneys.

REP. QUILICI said he had no real objection but the majority of their contracted services go to agency legal services under Justice and they get those services at a pretty good rate. They utilize these fees pretty frugally. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said there are not contracted private attorneys around Helena. They are using the attorneys in Justice. This would be an option, it does not make them hire attorneys. REP. KADAS said he did not want to make them hire, he wanted them to have the flexibility to do so.

Tape 2, Side 2.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously

Computer Services: REP. COBB asked the Department what their disaster backup is now. Mike Trevor, Dept. of Administration said the Disaster Recovery we have had has been the Armory Computer. The problem we have run into is with the growth we have had in systems and with the integration of systems, the large data base systems such as TEAMS and the Dept. of Revenue Income Tax, the Armory Computer is not large enough to support these large systems that we have running. REP. COBB asked if we have a disaster computer system now if everything fails or not and Mr. Trevor said we are hanging out there. This was an issue last session and we did not get funded to have additional capability. This Disaster Backup request is designed to contract with a system that is equivalent to ours and we can systematically test our critical jobs. REP. COBB asked, if TEAMS

fails, we have no backup for the system we have now? Mr. Trevor said that is correct.

REP. QUILICI said we did not give them this backup system. He had voted against it because he did not feel it was needed. After 2 years without this backup the Criminal Justice Act and also Montana Motor Vehicle, I don't think we will function much longer.

REP. CODY asked about the proprietary funds that are funding it. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said it is agency fees and service and is from the agencies that use the services. Mr. Trevor said approximately 30% of our revenues have typically been general fund. He pointed out the fees involved in recouping the costs of all our program here in Computer Services, there has been a net effect of decrease to all agencies and when he talked about the increase in network and the substantial decrease in computer fees which was 18% in '92 and 27% in '93, that nets out a savings to all users, all funds, in excess of \$17,000 over the biennium.

REP. COBB asked about the \$1 million you want for growth in work load, how did you figure it out? Mr. Trevor said the 17% is quite accurate when you look at our growth over a 9 or 10 year period. In recent years it has taken a very steep increase, and we are 55% higher today than we were at this time a year ago. This estimate was originally made on a basis of a 33% increase in '92 and 44% in '93 over the '91 level. We are going to exceed that considerably. REP. COBB said if you don't spend the money, is it money you charge people? Mr. Trevor said they are careful with their rate reductions. They try to maintain a balance in their operating account of between 30 and 45 days operating expense since this was direction from the Legislature years ago and some guidance from the Auditor's office. They know now, the technical people tell him, that the computer is running out of gas. We are making plans to acquire the system, but we are carefully making sure that cash balance is building up.

REP. COBB asked, with this \$1 million, do you have to increase the fee to agencies? Mr. Trevor said we have decreased the cost to the agencies. This would be paid for by the agencies, but because there is substantially more usage the unit cost has been reduced.

MOTION: REP. NISBET moved mod 1) A-138 Armory Computer Upgrade be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

Vote: Motion passed 13-5, roll call vote # 17.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 2) A-138, ISD; Growth in Workload be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed, 11 to 7, roll call # 18.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 3), A-138 ISD: Networks, be

adopted. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

REP. KADAS said the trend has been to work toward networks, he felt they are more cost effective and our state employees use them and are more efficient because of them. Mrs. Cohea in answer to a question said the fees are included in the budgets as they come to you.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 13 to 5 with Rep. Thoft, Grady, Swysgood, Cobb and Grinde voting no.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 4), A-138, Disaster Backup be adopted. Second by Rep. Cody.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 11 to 7 with Rep. Thoft, Grady, Swysgood, Grinde, Peterson, Zook and Bardanouve voting no.

Telecommunications:

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved mod 1) A-141, Public Safety Communication be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed 12 to 6, roll call vote # 19.

REP. QUILICI explained the Student Long Distance modification and said it not only helped the students but the state.

MOTION: REP. PECK moved mod 2) A-141, Student Long Distance be adopted. Second by Rep. Cody.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if they were sure, with all this additional cost, you are recovering it. Mr. Trevor said if you vote down this mod it will cost the state. Because we are planning for this, we have a 3% reduction on long distance calling in both '92 and '93. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if the 3% makes up for the costs elsewhere, and Mr. Trevor said yes, with that 3% reduction we are able to recover costs and the students are still able to get a savings over what they would have to pay without state rates.

REP. KADAS asked what the 3% is worth and Mr. Herbert said around \$70,000. REP. KADAS said, if the 3% is only worth \$70,000 and we are spending \$650,000 here it is not very good. Mr. Trevor said most of this is as close to pass through as we can get. Basically we have volume contracts with AT&T, U.S. West, and there are many more calls coming by those contracts, the bill will be paid by those students, and that is what you are funding us. Because of the loading of the system during off hours we see some efficiency for all of us and we are able to reduce the rate in State Government also. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this was \$2,300,000 a year and Mr. Herbert said that was exactly what it cost.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved mod 3), A-141, Telephone System Upgrades be adopted. Second by Rep. Bradley.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 10 to 8 with Rep. Cody, Peterson, Grinde, Cobb, Thoft, Swysgood, Grady and Zook voting no.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved on page 106, Department of Administrations, Personal Services, that 4% be taken out for the fiscal year '93. Second by Rep. Peck.

Mrs. Cohea said that would be \$387,955 reduction.

Vote: Motion Passed 13 to 5, roll call vote # 20.

State Compensation Mutual Insurance Fund:

REP. QUILICI said they had an amendment they wished to propose for the State Fund. It adds \$30,000 in proprietary funds to the State Fund. There are offices in various communities around the state that have been located in the various Job Service office. These auditors are now going to be charged rent, it was not built in their budget and it is needed.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked them why they have to pay rent and REP. QUILICI said because the Job Service asked them to. Mr. Sweeney said the Job Service is going to charge them rent because they are federally funded. In the past the auditors were attached to the Dept. of Labor. He did not know how it was handled, but they had a split in the audit function. Nine of those auditors came down to the State Fund for Policy Holder Audits. They are located in the field and make occasional use of the Job Service offices in the state. The Dept. of Labor has seen fit to charge them rent for that space. REP. QUILICI said if this is put in the budget for State Fund it should also be taken out of Labor.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved the amendment to add \$30,000 in Proprietary Funds to the State Fund for the purpose of rent to the Job Service Offices. (no copy of amendment received).

Amendment to Motion: REP. ZOOK moved to amend the motion to remove this amount of money from the Dept. of Labor.

REP. BRADLEY said she was trying to sort out what the reorganization has done and what the final activities were. She felt this amendment should be dealt with when Labor comes up and not deal with it here.

Vote: Motion failed, 7 members voting aye, 11 no. Roll call
vote # 21.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion by Quilici to add \$30,000 for rent failed. 8 members voting aye, 10 no, roll call vote # 22.

REP. CODY asked about A-146 under in the third paragraph 3), she

said she felt we should reward good work, not bad work. She would like to see some good work before we start giving 6.9% increase in the pay raises. She asked if the committee has the ability to make a motion to cut the 9.6% increase. Mrs. Cohea said this is laid out on page A-148 in the Budget Analysis book. These salary increases are in place. The Legislature can for '92-'93 reduce personal services by an amount that would be equal to that raise but the raises have been granted and are currently being paid.

REP. CODY asked how she could earmark those particular individuals. If Personal Services is lowered by 6.9% an FTE doing all the work will not get the raise. Mrs. Cohea said in the Legislature passed by the '89 session, all of the employees of the State Fund are exempt from the pay plan. Their salaries are set by the State Fund Board. She said she understood they have adopted an internal matrix that governs the majority of the staff and then the management staff salaries are set by the Board. As laid out on A-149 the total increase of the management staff amounted to \$228,384 in FY '90. The Legislature cannot, because of its statutory bases set the salaries for those people but you could have Legislative Intent direct your expression of intent that salaries should not be increased above X amount.

REP. CODY said, then we cannot lower the salaries, and Mrs. Cohea said you can lower the appropriation for Personal Services but the Legislature cannot directly set the salaries without changing the statute.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved to put language in to remove the 6.9% which would be \$200,000 plus.

Mrs. Cody said the amount of increase range from 2.5% for the employees to 33.4% and thought it was about 11 employees.

REP. PECK said we may sympathize with the intent of this but the majority of the Legislators passed the law that excluded them from that control and gave it to the board. Since the Legislature did this we should respect the action of the board. The proper way to approach this would be to repeal the statute and put them back where they were. REP. QUILICI said we have the right to set their budget but the Board has the right to say how they expend it. While many were disturbed about the increases and the way they came about, he did not feel this would be the proper way to handle it.

REP. CODY withdrew her motion. She said she would get an amendment to put in on the floor.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved mod 1) A-147 be adopted. Second by Rep. Connelly.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12-6 with Rep. Peck, Thoft, Swysgood, Grinde, Grady and Cobb voting no.

MOTION: REP. KIMBERLEY moved mod 2) A-127 be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to take 4% out of Personal Services for FY '93, page A- 145.

REP. KADAS asked if it made any difference in this case? They seem to be able to do what they want to do.

REP. COBB withdrew his motion.

Public Employees Retirement Board:

MOTION: REP. CODY moved mod 1), A-150 PERD System Rewrite be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 13 to 5 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grady, Peterson and Grinde voting no.

MOTION: REP. NISBET moved mod 2) A-150, Program Improvements. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 11 to 7 with Rep. Bardanouve, Thoft, Peck, Swysgood, Peterson, Grinde and Cobb voting no. Tape 3, side A.

Teacher's Retirement Board:

MOTION: REP. JOHNSON moved mod 1) A-153 DP and Service Upgrades be adopted. Second by Rep. Menahan.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed, 13 to 5 with Rep. Swysgood, Thoft, Peterson, Grinde and Cobb voting no.

Military Affairs:

REP. QUILICI passed out a sheet, for amendment # 23. He said this is a technical amendment which corrects the funding source for the Veteran's Affairs Division cemetery funding by moving \$10,000 of authority from federal to state special revenue.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved the amendment # 23. Second by Rep. Cody.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

REP. QUILICI said these are repairs and maintenance for the armories around the state. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said if these are just repairs they should go through the Long Range Planning Committee. REP. QUILICI said Ms. Steinbeck had thought they might go before LRP but were told to come before full Appropriations Committee.

Colonel Cottrill said the Dept. of Military Affairs submitted as part of the Long Range Building request to the Dept. of Administration as Repair and Maintenance projects as their top 10 projects. None of those projects were in the Governor's recommendation to the committee. We discussed it with them, they said they were on-going Repair and Maintenance Projects for facilities of the Dept. and they should be part of the operating budget. That is the reason we brought this to the committee. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked what kind of repairs are you referring Colonel Cottrill said the projects they listed in the top 10 were rifle range lead removal, asbestos abatement, repair the Chinook shop, boiler and furnace repairs and replacements throughout the state, roof repair and replacement throughout the state, sidewalk and asphalt repair, extensive painting requirements and kitchen repair. The facilities are nearly 30 years old and the increased cost of maintaining them raises each year. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said this is the type of thing we take up in LRB.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said he felt this was a violation of procedures and should be heard in LRP. He said he realized it was no fault of the Military Affairs, but would like to look at it and see if there was some money in LRP rather than taking this end run on the general fund.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved LRP consider mod 1) A-155 be sent to LRP for consideration. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

REP. THOFT suggested that mod 2) A-155, Environmental Program be sent to LRP also, and it could be looked at as a package.

REP. KADAS asked if they could have some explanation of the Environmental Program. Colonel Cottrill said the Dept. of Military Affairs is a very environmentally impacting agency by nature of almost everything they do. They operate a number of industrial operations throughout the state which are basically heavy equipment repair and maintenance facilities. They also do an extensive amount of fuel distribution through their equipment and need to run the equipment on the ground. There are a tremendous number of laws, both federal and state that deal with environmental issues. Presently they have 1 FTE federal civil service technician trying to deal with this. That person cannot get the job done and the EPA has looked at Military Agencies as a target of opportunity. About half the National Guards in the states have been fined for environmental violations. We need an environmental program here to operate our system. This request is for a 100% federally funded position to support that environmental position.

Following some discussion Colonel Cottrill said the general fund positions we are asking for, one is 100% federally funded, taking existing staffing in the Dept. and this is matching funds for a

75% federal 25% state position.

MOTION REP. BRADLEY moved mod 2) A-155, Environmental Program be adopted. Second by Rep. Menahan.

REP. KADAS said Colonel Cottrill had said 2 FTE and there is only one in the book so he is still confused. REP. QUILICI said one is an allocation from current level so it is already in the base.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 10-8 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Grady, Kadas, Zook, Grinde and Cobb voting no.

Air National Guard Program:

Language: A-161. REP. PECK asked for an explanation of the language. Ms. Steinbeck said this language was in the bill last biennium. When the Air National Guard took on the firefighter support from the Great Falls airport, there were some firefighter FTE that were hired by the airport at that time that was assumed as a part of the contract with the airport. If the contract is unnecessary, or if the FTE retire or leave their jobs it could allow the Dept. to move funds from contracted services into personal services to replace the 2 FTE provided by contract.

REP. KADAS said there was a lawsuit we paid for in a supplemental, is there anything we can do here to stop another lawsuit? REP. QUILICI said at one time the city of Great Falls had the firefighters there and they were working on a contracted basis. Now it is all in the Air National Guard and this language just clarifies that.

MOTION: REP. PETERSON moved mod 1) A-162, Air Guard Repair and Maintenance be looked at by LRP.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 15-3 with Rep. Nisbet, Quilici and Menahan voting no.

Emergency Management Development:

REP. QUILICI said this is all federal funds. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said it will become State funds when? REP. QUILICI said in '95. REP. KADAS asked if whoever was hired here would set up a plan that would be a response to an earthquake and we would not have to have that person here forever once the plan was made. REP. QUILICI agreed this was probably correct. In response to a question from Rep. Cody he said Montana is the 3rd biggest risk for earthquakes with California and Hawaii being the highest.

REP. ZOOK said he had resisted this in committee since we have a Civil Defense or Civil Disaster person in every county and felt this should be a part of their function.

MOTION: REP. PECK moved mod 1) A-166 Earthquake Management be adopted. Second by Rep. Bradley.

REP. SWYSGOOD asked if the School of Mines did this or do they just do monitoring? REP. QUILICI said just monitoring.

Vote: Motion failed 8 aye, 10 no. Roll call vote # 24

MOTION: REP. COBB moved Military Affairs, page A-154 have 4% taken out of Personal Services. Second by Rep. Grinde.

Mrs. Cohea said that would be \$106,328 total funds of which \$38,591 would be general fund and \$57,737 other funds.

Vote: Motion failed 2 aye, 16 no, roll call vote # 25.

Crime Control:

REP. CODY asked who the Board of Crime Control collected the fees from. She was told from Local Government.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved 1) A-173, Narcotics Control be adopted. Second by Rep. Peterson.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this was a new enlarged program?

Mr. Ed Hall said the initial grant was about \$1,013,000 but went down to \$500,000, the next year \$800,000 last year \$1, 288,000 and this time \$1,225,000. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked when this will run out and have to put general fund in? Mr. Hall said this is the present Narcotics Control Anti-drug Abuse and he had no idea when it runs out. It runs out year to year. The money they are asking for will pass through except for administration. If the program ends, the position ends.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked why we had to put in more FTE if the program is already in operation and Mr. Hall said initially the FTE that has been providing for administration was done under contract with a grant from a federal agency that works with the anti-drug abuse. That has ended and they partially picked up the position through a budget amendment. This session we are asking it be part of the fund by request. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this position will be paid by general fund and Mr. hall said it would be paid 25% by general fund in '92 and 50% in '93.

Vote: Motion to adopt 1) passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved mod 2) A-173 Juvenile Justice Support Services be adopted. Second by Rep. Peterson.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion Passed 14 to 4 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Cobb and Grinde voting no.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved 3) A-173. Victims' Assistance and Support Services be adopted. Second by Rep. Peterson.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved 4) A-173, Drug Education Grants be

adopted. Second by Rep. Menahan.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked who will receive these funds and Mr. Hall said these programs are passed through to either municipal governments or private nonprofit organizations. Almost all of the money is used for Project DARE so they are going law enforcement agencies in conjunction with school districts to put that law enforcement officer in the DARE program.

REP. KADAS asked if there is a maximum requirement come into place on this program and Mr. Hall said there has not been a master program in this program.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked the Budget office about the budget for the Department of Administration. He asked whether they thought it too low or too high. Mr. Sundsted said he believed the budget for the D of A was probably within the Governor's budget and did not see any particular problem. He said he did not see any problem with the Dept. of Revenue, Military Affairs or Administration budgets.

REP. QUILICI said they had a technical amendment in the Board of Crime Control--A-170. He said this amendment would add \$1,000 a year federal grant funds for Victims of Crime Assistance to a total of \$333,000 per year. The language written is for \$332,000 and was due to a formal notification of a slightly higher federal grant in 1993. That is budget Modification 3).

MOTION: REP. CODY moved the amendment # 26A. Second by Rep. Peck.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved Technical Amendment # 26B on 2) A-173.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

Department of Justice:

Legal Services Division:

<u>MOTION:</u> REP. KADAS moved 1) A-181, Attorney - Indian Legal Jurisdiction. Second Rep. Menahan.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said they hoped the Attorney General's office would not have to use this but they did not know if there would be cases that came up or not. He asked Mr. Racicot if they had any pending cases now? These are potential cases, aren't they? Mr. Racicot answered yes, they do have cases right now. He said some of the oldest litigation dates back to the Crow Tribe Tax case that originated in 1978. That involves \$180 million that

the state could be liable for. They presently received an adverse ruling from the District Court in Billings which is on appeal to the 9th circuit. There is a trial date set for 1992 and they are in the process of preparing for it and it will involve a great deal of expense, in terms of expert witnesses, which is estimated from \$270,000 to \$345,000. They have recently been notified that the Blackfeet intend to withdraw from negotiations with the compact commission and as a consequence we will be involved in litigation there.

Tape 3, side 2.

Mr. Racicot gave a brief history of the law suit over the coal funds collected and what it will require in the appeal. REP. CODY asked what the council used before and Mr. Racicot said outside counsel was employed.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion 1) A-181 Indian Legal Jurisdiction Attorney passed unanimously.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said he would like to have the committee put a little language in the Appropriations bill giving Mr. Racicot some moral support if we get into this suit that we would hope the '93 session will support him. He asked Mrs. Cohea to draft some language.

REP. BRADLEY said she had a motion she would like to address to the Legal Services Division and it would change the adjustments on the chart on A-180. The first deals with greater use of Westlaw in the Attorney General's office. At present it is a fairly favorable arrangement where there is a \$1200 a year subscription and a \$3 per minute research time. The budget as it came out of Rep. Quilici's committee would only allow use of the computer for 1 hour and 15 minutes and that is fairly minimal. The problem is that the office at times is working with 50 to 60 cases a month and issuing 12 to 15 opinions and this is not sufficient. You have the equipment there but are not allowing This amendment would allow an additional 2 1/2 the proper use. hours for the use of Westlaw research. The second part of the amendment addresses billable time for investigative and paralegal support.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved the motion listed as amendment for roll call # 26. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed 10-8, roll call # 26

Gambling Control Division:

MOTION: REP. PECK handed out an amendment for page 1-184 affecting the Program Table A-184. (Amendment to correspond with Roll call vote # 27.) Second by Rep. Kadas.

REP. PECK said this would provide for the expansion of the

Gambling Control Division. Mr. Racicot said originally before the subcommittee there was a budget modification at the current level that called for the addition of 23 FTE within the Gambling Control and an additional amount of revenue--\$1,138,000 in FY '92 and \$1,045,000 in FY '93. The proposals for the funding would have been made available through various increases. He listed the fees changes and said they would have produced \$2.695 million in special revenue to the Special Gambling Account. The original modification called for 23 FTE located in 4 different programs in the Gambling Control Division. The subcommittee did not approve the mod and they went back to the drawing board. This is what they have come up with, and he felt it is vitally important to all concerned. He recapped the new request compared to the first and said he had some serious concerns. Last year in Montana they had nearly \$265 million legally wagered in activities regulated by the Dept. of Justice. In addition they collected \$2.6 million pursuant to various license fees plus \$17 million in taxes. said they have to assess, allocate, disperse and audit that amount of money with 5 employees. He said they presently have 10 people involved in the investigative side effects and listed their duties.

- REP. PECK said he offered this amendment because in assuming two Legislators from Flathead were favorable to gambling. He said they told him he was wrong and explained that the Flathead Valley has all kinds of problems because of gambling and laws not being enforced. They mentioned the MAFIA element as already being in western Montana, the correlation and association of gambling with drugs etc. He said Rep. Measure and Cohen had convinced him the Attorney General has a tremendous problem.
- REP. BARDANOUVE mentioned the history of gambling and said it had already touched high officials in the Whitefish area, and shows how easy corruption can creep in.
- REP. QUILICI said when you look at how much money is being put in this division and how much is already there, then ask for 18 more employees over the 31 you have in the division when we have been cutting services in all the other areas, it does not seem right. He said he did not believe the "hoods" were coming into the state, and he had heard no complaints from law officers in regard to gambling.
- REP. MENAHAN said he felt the gambling helped the local governments. Those who supported gambling did not ask for casinos. There was a loophole in the law on the Keno machines, and felt they should be cut back.
- <u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. BRADLEY moved the committee first vote on the Executive budget which evidently was a recommendation for 10 as opposed to 18.
- REP. BARDANOUVE asked about the bill in the House and how much revenue it will produce. REP. PETERSON said she has a bill in

Taxation that will be up on the 20th. HB 919 has various pieces of money. She asked that the committee work HB 2 all the way through before they acted on HB 919 so we know how much money should be in that bill. Whatever comes out of our action will be paid for by fees in that bill.

Mr. Racicot said they are overwhelmed. They are not out to undermine the legitimate gaming interest, they simply cannot keep up. Even the 10 won't keep up, there is a tremendous amount of work.

REP. CONNELLY said she would like to clarify something that was said about Flathead County and the MAFIA etc. Those people were all involved in drugs, not with gambling.

REP. SWYSGOOD asked if the subcommittee had taken the LFA budget on the gambling provision and REP. QUILICI said yes.

REP. CODY said, since this is such a new division, have you done any checking as to the possibility of putting some efficiencies into your present FTE's? Mr. Racicot said yes, and he told the amount of coverage his people have to do and said they just cannot get around.

REP. CODY addressed a question to Rep. Bradley saying she said a middle ground would be 10. Would you consider less than 10--say 6? She said perhaps there should be some extra but did not feel ready to support 10. REP. BRADLEY said she had no cost benefit analysis of this, just felt we are underfunding everything in the state and 18 new people bothers her. The only reason the committee is even thinking about it is because it is a different funding source. She said she did not doubt Mr. Racicot saying he needed the number to get the job done, she was trying to find a middle ground such as the Executive proposed since she felt they share the same concern.

Tape 4

<u>Vote:</u> Substitute Motion by Bradley to add 10 FTE in Gambling failed, 5 aye, 11 no and 2 abstaining.

<u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. CODY moved 5 FTE to be added to the Division and they would be used wherever they were needed.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion failed 7 aye, 10 no, 1 absent. Roll Call Vote # 28.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion by Peck to add 18 FTE in the Gambling Division failed, 5 aye, 12 no, 1 absent. Roll Call Vote #29.

The committee recessed for Lunch and Session. They reconvened at 3 P.m.

Mrs. Cohea said REP. BARDANOUVE thought it might be useful to check back with the committee and told them how we plan to handle

the 4% and 5% personal service cuts that were approved this morning. Her staff needs to start inputting into the computer now in order for the bill to be ready for the floor. If they went into each individual program and took the 5% there are approximately 350 separate computer calculations needed and it would also have to be funded separately, etc. She met with the Budget office on it and they have agreed on an approach and have met with Reps. Bardanouve and Cobb. They would show for the agency as a whole, a negative appropriation and there would be language saying the agency would need the approving authority and allocate that negative percentage at the beginning of FY '93. The sum total of the reductions must equal the negative appropriation. The language would further state the reduction must be taken in personal services so you do line item personal services and the Auditor would have an audit trail on what personal services are. The funding split for the negative allocation will be based on the funding split for the agency as a whole as it came out of subcommittee to this committee. other point which is subject to committee approval, the number you voted on had the modification in it, however, if a modification wasn't approved, working with the budget office would get a total of what you did approve for the agency and they would take 5% of those personal services as the negative allocation.

REP. CODY said it was her understanding that when they cut the 4% and 5% out they were allowing the agencies to move some money around from operational or equipment into personal services.

REP. COBB said they can do that now, and if we line item personal services they can still move money into it, they just can't move money out. REP. KADAS said he thought Personal Service was already line itemed and it would take a positive action by the committee to de-line Personal Services.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved to put 8 people in the Gambling Division. REP. MENAHAN was concerned that this was not a big problem and felt it was not a correct action for the committee to pass this amendment.

REP. QUILICI said there were a lot of phone calls during floor session, but they are coming in with these 8 FTE when we have other things including Human Services budget where people are needed in the agencies to run state government.

Vote: Motion failed 7 aye, 11 no, roll call # 30.

Highway Patrol:

REP. KADAS asked why the same FTE as the Executive Recommendation but are about \$300,00 less. REP. QUILICI said the per diem was taken off by the LFA.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved mod 1) A-189 Additional Patrol Officers. Second by Rep. Quilici.

Vote: Motion passed 11-7, Roll call vote # 30a.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved 2) A-189, hand held Radios - Highway Patrol. Second by Rep. Nisbet.

Vote: Motion failed 8 aye, 10 no, roll call # 31.

Law Enforcement Services Division:

MOTION REP. SWYSGOOD moved Amendment # 32, Program Table, page A-191. Second by Rep. Cobb.

REP. SWYSGOOD explained the motion and said it would replace \$615,624 general fund for the biennium with state special revenue (Coal Board grant funds. and the language. Second by Rep. Cobb.

REP. CODY asked where that money was going now and REP SWYSGOOD said it is going there now. This money has funded this program before. REP. CODY asked why it isn't in the budget and REP. SWYSGOOD said because they took it out. Mr. Schenck said Coal Board funding has been provided for this program for several bienniums and in subcommittee hearings they were concerned about the continued funding from the Coal Board and the subcommittee elected to delete the Coal Board funding and substitute general fund and put in language that if the Coal Board or other funding became available that funding would substitute for the general fund. The action was based on whether the Coal Board money would be available. REP. QUILICI said the committee was concerned that in the event the Coal Board money had dried up, they wanted to make sure the East Drug Enforcement Unit would be kept in operation because it is doing a very good job.

REP. CODY asked if this amendment is reversing the subcommittee action and REP. SWYSGOOD said yes, if the money is not there they can come in for a budget amendment to continue it.

REP. KADAS asked how much additional general fund money is being put in this now, and REP. QUILICI said totally \$844,000. REP. SWYSGOOD said this replaces about \$615,000 general fund and about \$230,000 will be in there.

Vote: Motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved mod 1) Fire Prevention Grant and 2) ID Bureau Federal Grant be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if this runs out, will we be obligated to pick it up with general fund dollars? Mr. Racicot said he did not believe so. The first amount is all federal funds provided for prevention activity. The second source of funding is a one time allocation to help agencies bring the integrity of their criminal history records up to "snuff". They do not have any anticipation of a further extension.

Vote: Motion passed with Reps. Swysgood and Grinde voting no.

Data Processing Division:

REP. QUILICI said this fee increase will be used to pay for an upgrade to the Dept. of Justice Computer System which is nearing capacity. The other is an air conditioner at the Helena National Guard Armory where the computer has gone down a couple times in the summer months because of the heat.

REP. COBB said the Dept. of Administration has money to fix something there too, and asked if this is extra money or a combination? Is this the same money? Mick Robinson, Administrator, Central Services Division, Dept. of Justice said they transfer the money basically to the Dept. of Administration. You approved \$150,000 in their budget for the purchase of the upgrade system. REP. COBB asked if this is general fund becoming proprietary fund? He was told yes.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if the Budget office could give them a total of what they are putting into computers and REP. SWYSGOOD said he had that information in a cabinet and had brought it into committee earlier. He said he would give it to him.

REP. CODY asked why the Dept. of Administration is asking for a 10% increase? We are voting on a 10% increase in the fee. Mr. Schenck said the Dept. of Justice Computer system started out as the backup for the mainframe Dept. of Administration computer. There was an agreement between the agencies and they were given a lower rate than the normal data processing cost. They are not on the normal data processing charges that the rest of the agencies are and this is the increase in the flat fee and has been that way for several years. That computer has reached capacity and they are asking to do an upgrade. The fee increase is intended to cover that update. This is providing the spending authority to the Dept. of Justice so they can pay the Dept. of Administration.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved mod 1) Computer Processing Fee Increase be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion failed on a tie vote. Rep. Thoft, Peck, Swysgood, Connelly, Grady, Cobb, Cody, Peterson and Grinde voting no.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 2) Data Processing Air Conditioner be adopted. Second by Rep. Cobb.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12 yes, 6 no with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Peterson, Grady, Nisbet and Cody voting no.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved 4% be taken from Personal Services in the Dept. of Justice for '93. A-177.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said this would be about \$746,000.

Vote: Motion passed 13 to 4 roll call vote # 33.

Mrs. Cohea said that is \$327,000 general fund and \$418,000 other funds. She said the amendment passed around was the proposed language to A-180 that CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE had asked to be prepared.

MOTION: REP. MENAHAN moved the amendment Proposed Language Added to Page A-180, # 34. Second by Rep. Kadas.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion failed 8 aye, 10 no with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Connelly, Cody, Zook, Peterson, Grinde, Cobb, Johnson and Kimberley voting no.

Department of Highways:

MOTION: REP. MENAHAN moved mod 1) A-213, Road Reporting System be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion failed 8 aye, 10 no with Rep. Thoft Swysgood, Connelly, Cody, Zook, Peterson, Grinde, Cobb, Johnson and Kimberley voting no.

MOTION: REP. QUILICI moved mod 2) A-213, Map Digitizing be adopted. Second by Rep. Menahan.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 10 to 8 with Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Connelly, Grady, Zook, Peterson, Grinde and Johnson voting no.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 3) A-213, Kalispell By-Pass Study. Second by Rep. Bradley.

Vote: Motion passed 15 aye, 3 no with Rep. Thoft, Grady and Cody
voting no.

MOTION: REP. SWYSGOOD moved to strike the language on A-211. Second by Rep. Johnson.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion failed 1 aye, 17 no. Rep. Swysgood voted aye and the remainder voted no.

Construction Program:

MOTION: REP. BRADLEY moved mod 1) A-217, City Park Rest Areas be adopted. Second by Rep. Kadas.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12 to 6 with Rep. Zook, Peterson, Swysgood, Grady, Grinde and Nisbet voting no.

Maintenance Program:

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved mod 1) A-219, Monitoring Fuel Tanks be adopted. Second by Rep. Connelly.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked where the money comes from and Mr. Salisbury said it comes from gas tax. It is mandated and is an ongoing program. Tape 4, side 2.

REP. SWYSGOOD asked how many tanks now and Mr. Salisbury said they have replaced 120 tanks. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked if there is any federal money in this program and Mr. Salisbury said they have received about \$10,000 so far. They get some money from the Underground Storage Tank Pool. In answer to a question from REP. GRADY he said they got the money to do what they have done from the last session. In answer to a question from REP. SWYSGOOD he said they have 221 tanks left to do.

REP. QUILICI said one of the questions the subcommittee asked was the cost of removal of these tanks. He said they have been contracting them out and the contract charges are exorbitant.

Mr. Salisbury said this would replace about 29 tanks and monitor another 70. The cost is over \$10,000 for each tank.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12 to 6 with Rep. Cobb, Swysgood, Cody, Peterson, Grady and Grinde voting no.

Gross Vehicle Weight:

GVW: REP. KADAS moved mod 1), A-225, Automated Weigh Stations be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

REP. SWYSGOOD said if this equipment was used as intended and not as a harassment he would be for it. They install the computers in weigh stations and they record the time etc. then when he checks into the next one they check his log book to see the time, etc. He felt it was a form of harassment.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 12 to 6 with Rep. Cobb, Connelly, Peterson Grinde, Grady and Swysgood voting no.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved mod 2) A-225, GVW Officer Training be adopted. Second by Rep. Quilici.

<u>Vote:</u> Motion passed 13 to 5 with Rep. Thoft, Zook, Peterson, Grinde and Swysgood voting no.

REP. MENAHAN said when vehicles in the motor pool are worn out and they trade the vehicles, is there some system we could devise so the other systems could get first shot at them? Mr. Salisbury said surplus by statute will go to Local and State entities who get the first crack at them.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved Dept. of Highways, A-205, 4% Personal Services for FY '93. Second by Rep. Connelly.

Mrs. Cohea said this would \$2,440,045. REP. KADAS asked what the result would be if we did not spend the money? Would it increase the account of Gas Tax Revenue that would be available for

appropriation next biennium? Mrs. Cohea said the subcommittee action left an ending fund balance projected at the end of '93 was about \$29 million down from \$46 million. This would decrease expenditures and leave that much more in the fund balance.

REP. QUILICI said this motion will take away match to federal funds and stop some of the construction programs.

REP. COBB said if we do nothing and have a 6% pay increase for that year you have to come up with \$3.6 million and it shrinks it all anyway. He is trying to get the agency to shrink a little to help pay for the pay increase, not to stop any construction.

REP. GRADY asked if this would actually have some layoffs in FTE? REP. QUILICI said he did not know for sure. He felt it might curtail some of the construction projects.

REP. GRADY said in talking to the Highway Dept. they could hardly handle the construction they have now with their present man power.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion failed 5 aye and 13 no with Rep. Peck, Bradley, Cody, Grady, Grinde, Johnson, Kimberley, Menahan, Nisbet, Quilici, Swysgood, Thoft and Bardanouve voting no.

REP. COBB asked what you are going to do now. You have a pay increase coming and how are you going to pay for it?

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to take 3% from Personal Services from the Highway Department in FY '93. Second by Rep. Kadas.

REP. THOFT asked if the Pay Plan was designed that the agency was to fund a portion of. It would do the same thing you are doing, wouldn't it? REP. COBB said they would have to take it out and it would shrink the special revenue fund even further.

REP. CODY asked if part of this money was being used as a federal match and REP. QUILICI said yes. Mr. Salisbury said in the construction program, where you are talking about the project, we based our staffing patterns on the projects. We did receive a 20% increase in federal funds for one year and in FY '92 there is about a 35% total increase in the construction pattern. We asked for 23 FTE in '92 and 25 in '93 to take care of that and have predicated that based on 100% of personal services being funded.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion failed with 3 voting aye (Rep. Connelly, Cobb, Peterson) and the remaining 15 members voting no.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said we are told by your Department that you cannot hire engineers, that they will not accept the salaries. Why can you not upgrade them? Mr. Salisbury said they have a pay exception request at the Dept. of Administration. now and if they approve it we can get our salaries up to where we will be competitive. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE asked how long this would take

and Mr. Salisbury said they hoped soon. He explained they had the request in before, but it kept coming back for more information or further detail. He said this was not done before because they were anticipating a decrease in the federal aid program and were not as concerned at losing positions. At a 20% increase this has changed and it much more crucial.

REP. QUILICI said he has a letter written from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, to the Director of the Dept. of Highways concerning this issue. He read a portion of the letter and said he would get copies made for the committee members. "The success of the Federal Aid Highway program is heavily predicated upon the organization, staffing and equipping of each state highway administration. This aspect is so important that Congress in Title 23 USC 302 directed that any state designing to avail itself of the provisions of Title 23 shall have adequate powers to --- discharge the duties provided by this title".

REP. THOFT said he thought the committee had done something they shouldn't have done. It was an expenditure that he did not feel belonged in this budget, and that was Westlaw.

MOTION: REP. THOFT moved to remove Westlaw, A-31.

<u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. BRADLEY moved we leave our action as we did it yesterday. Second by Rep. Cody.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion passed 10 yes, 8 no with Rep. Peck, Cobb, Connelly, Johnson, Swysgood, Thoft, Zook and Bardanouve voting no.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved to reconsider the action on A-36 and take the action out. Second by Rep. Kimberley. (Mod 1) Salary Increase under Clerk of Court, Judiciary)

REP. KADAS said he felt this was one of the things that should be dealt with in the pay plan.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion Passed 12 to 6 with Rep. Bradley, Connelly, Quilici, Cobb, Kimberley and Grinde voting no.

REP. KADAS said on Rep. Cobb's motion to take out 5% on Judiciary from Personal Services, he thought it was a mistake since the Judicial salaries are set statutorily and to force them to take the 5% out of those will force all the cut into the few people that are classified or exempt, or into operating costs.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved to reconsider our action. Second by Rep. Kimberley.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion passed 15 to 3 with Rep. Thoft, Grinde and Swysgood voting no.

MOTION: REP. KADAS moved this be changed to 4% out on non-elected officials in Personal Services.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion passed with Rep. Grinde and Menahan voting no.

MOTION: REP. COBB moved to line item all the modifieds.

REP. QUILICI said the problem is, when you line item the budget, the Governor can line item this appropriation budget. He can line item veto the appropriation budget and it takes it out of the hands of the Legislature.

There was discussion about line items on services or on appropriations. Mrs. Cohea said they are going to check with Mr. South. In general there is no problem with line iteming modifications. The problem in SRS in some cases, is there are provider rate increases divided into 2 components, one is in the modification and one is in the current level. She said she did not believe there was a problem in General Government.

REP. GRINDE seconded the motion.

REP. GRINDE said he would support the motion because when they go the floor he did not feel many on the committee knew 20% of what is in the budget. The rest of the people don't have a clue to what is being done. If you put this into the base they will never know what happened this time in Appropriations. If it is line itemed members can see what is being done with the new monies.

Discussion was held on mods and what happens to them. CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said they go into the base unless the committee says otherwise and then you can lose track of them.

REP. KADAS asked what happened if we adopt a mod and the agency doesn't use the money the way we specified, can they do that? Ms. Cohea said yes, they can in normal cases. Normally what happens with a modification, you approve it and then if it actually appears in the bill it is rolled into the division or the program appropriation. The appropriation report says you appropriated x amount of money, the auditor would take a general look at that, but normally it wouldn't be written up for not using that specific amount of money for that specific purpose. She told about last session in Natural Resources a number of modifications in Fish and Game were included in the general appropriation for a program, but in the language below it said this amount was for this purpose and could not be included in the current level base presented to this Legislature. It had to be presented as a modification again. She said she would welcome a clarification, the line item they can handle from the computer, but would like to know if they would also like language drafted saying these modifications are not to be considered in the current level base for the next biennium because just to line item it will not achieve that intent.

REP. COBB said he wanted this in the base the next session, but wanted it used for this purpose the next 2 years. Mrs. Cohea said in line item it would be identified to be used only for that purpose, but would be considered part of the base as the OBPP and LFA prepare the budget for the next time.

REP. SWYSGOOD said he was in favor of the motion since some of the members have become quite upset because a number of the mods addressed today in the budget are for computer upgrades or enhancement. This is the same thing we were presented last time in the budget, the same equipment, and we don't know whether it is being done since it is always coming back. This would be a way we can check.

REP. KADAS asked what level of authority the Legislative Intent has in the bill. Mrs. Cohea said that is something the Legislative Council has raised as an issue. Historically the thought has been that Legislative Intent statements that have not been in the bill itself, but in the narrative that accompanies the bill, have force of law. The Legislative Council has raised an issue with that, and that is one of the things the subcommittee chairs were working with. If you want it to have the force of law include it in HB 2 itself. If it is just general direction that something you hope the agency will do, that is normally what is in Legislative Intent.

REP. KADAS asked what would happen if we not only put the money in the mods, but also make the mod a part of the Legislative Intent? In each mod we have adopted it would be for a specific thing and make that Legislative Intent so that money has to be moved for that particular purpose. Mrs. Cohea said then you would not state in the bill it is for that purpose but have it in the narrative and REP. KADAS answered yes. Mrs. Cohea said she thought they would have to call upon the Legislative Council to give you the answer. The issue that has been raised on Statement of Intents in general and thought they would need a legal opinion. One way to have the force of law is to put it directly in HB 2.

<u>Substitute Motion:</u> REP. KADAS moved that we do not line item the mods but the intent of each mod be placed in the narrative as Legislative Intent.

REP. GRINDE said it would be rolled into the base so other people on the floor wouldn't be able to see it. REP. KADAS said when we go through this bill on the floor everybody is looking at the narrative so it will stand out. When they go to get their amendments the numbers will be changed in the bill, and that is how it works anyway. REP. GRINDE said he would like to do this because the other people on the House floor want to know what is being done on the process. You roll the numbers into the base and they will never have a clue because they don't have the time to read it all and go through it.

CHAIRMAN BARDANOUVE said he felt some of these mods should not be rolled into the base. REP. KADAS said they are not rolled in to the current level base. When the LFA comes back next time, if it was an item for one year, they will pull it out.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion was voted, failed, 5 to 12 with one absent, roll call vote # 34.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion by Rep. Cobb to line item all the mods passed with Rep. Kadas. Quilici, Menahan and Nisbet voting no.

MOTION: REP. MENAHAN moved to reconsider action on A-213 1).

REP. MENAHAN said the Road Reporting System and the map digitizing are 87% federal funding and has nothing to do with the money coming out of construction. Second by Rep. Cody.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion passed, 9 to 8 with one absent. Rep. Thoft, Swysgood, Cobb, Peterson, Bardanouve, Zook, Grinde and Bradley voting no.

MOTION: REP. MENAHAN moved 1) A-213, Road Reporting System be adopted. Second by Rep. Cody.

<u>Vote</u>: Motion passed 12 to 3 with one absent. Rep. Thoft, Cobb and Grinde voting no.

MOTION: REP. CODY moved General Government and Highways section be adopted. Second by Rep. Menahan.

Vote: Motion passed 9 to 8 with one absent. Roll call vote #
35.

There was some discussion on handling the other sections of the bill.

REP. BRADLEY, said the format they have prepared for the section on Human Services is slightly different. They will start with SRS and take executive action as they go through the budget.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 5:44 P.M.

FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, Chair

(AP031491/HM1

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
March 14, 1991
Page 39 of 39

Sylvia Kinsey, Secretary

FB/sk

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL

DATE 3/14/91

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	1/		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	1		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	ν		,
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	i/		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V /		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	1		
REP. JOE QUILICI	1		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	V		
REP. BOB THOFT	·V		
REP. TOM ZOOK			
	#		

DATE 3/4/91 EXHIBIT 3/14/91

HOUSE APPROPRIATION CHANGES Additions/(Deletions)							
Date of Action		General Fund	Total Funds	FTE			
3/13	Legislative Auditor	\$(239,683)	\$(239,683)	(4.5)			
3/13	Judiciary						
3/13	Salary Increase (Law Library)	(10,288)	(10,288)				
3/13	Salary Increase (Water Court)		(22,840)				
3/13	West Law (Law Library)	64,976	64,976				
		\$(184,995)	\$(207,835)	(4.5)			



March 13, 1991

RE: House BIII 100

The Montana Association of Chiefs of Police is writing this letter supporting an Amendment to House Bill 100, relating to Gambling Control Division. This Amendment would allow for the employment of extra Agents and Auditors for investigations.

This Association supports the Amendment as we feel this would help Local Law Enforcement in enforcing the laws of gambling throughout the State.

Any questions or testimony required of our Association can be directed to:

Chief BIII Ware MACOP Legislative Chairman Helena Police Department 221 Breckenridge Helena, Montana 59601

Sincerely, Corners

James P. Conners, President

Montana Association of Chiefs of Police

P.O. Box 518

Anaconda, Montana 59711

ROLL CALL VOTE	TIME 81/80
DATE $\frac{3/14}{}$ BILL NO	NUMBER
MOTION:	
Coll Cut 5	5,)_ Cm
y A-23	

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	W		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	+	1	
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS		V	
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		1	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	_		
REP. JOE QUILICI	,	1-	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	V.		
REP. BOB THOFT	V		
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL	9	8	

APPROPRIATIONS

	\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<u> </u>
date $3-14-91$ bill no. 2 numb	ER_ e)
MOTION:		
accept 2) A-44		
NAME AYE	NO	ABSENT

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	1		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		i/	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS		V	
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	,	v/	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		4	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	9	9	

Lailed

APPROPRIATIONS											
	ROLL	CALL	VOTE				TIME		\$	1,34	
DATE	<i>A=</i>	-/ Lf -	91	BILL N	io	2		NUME	BER	3	
MOTIC	n:					···					
		4. \	e	-19	3	A	- 3	7			
		0	7611	130	_C = 1	·	-Pec	7	Sec	<i>∪</i> ~	
					3						

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V	
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	1	<u> </u>	
REP. JOE QUILICI		V	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	V		
REP. BOB THOFT	V		
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL		Н	

ROI	LL CALL VOT	E			TIME	8	138PM
DATE	3/14	BILL	NO.			NUMBER_	40
	See Sta	t	Mi	cro film	Pa	nje L	1) A-50
				<i>U</i>		-	

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	1		
REP. ED GRADY		/	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT	0		
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	15	3	

ROLL CALL VOT			TE		TIME	8:40		
DATE		9/14	BILL	NO.	2_		NUMBE	R 4 A
MOTIO	N:							·
(r)	n	Rus	Dr	St			2)	PA-5-0
		1	2)	A -	50		7	

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN			
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	,		
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
тота	13	5	

5/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	COLL CALL VOT	E BILL NO.	2	TIME	NUMBER	13 AM
MOTION						
)3 \$	ust	.5	t ag	Rd su	9.

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE			
REP. JOHN JOHNSON			
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	1		
REP. JERRY NISBET	/		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	/		
REP. JOE QUILICI	/		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK			
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	15	ŝ	

R	OLL_CALL VO	TE		TIME	8,41	
DATE	9/14	BILL NO.	2		NUMBER_6	
MOTION	<u> </u>					
	Cold	Compute				
	aud	2				
		1				

[T	J	T
NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		/	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		/	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	/		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT			
REP. TOM ZOOK		,	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL		7	

Amendments to House Bill 2 Roll Call Vote # 7 Submitted by Representative Zook Introduced Bill Copy March 13, 1991 am # 1

3/14/91

1. Page A-74 Strike: 434,018 Insert: 511,648

> 2. Page A-74 Strike: 392,391 Insert: 485,810

Replacement

Marc Exhaption (p)

3/14/91

I	ROLL CALL	VOTE		TIME	8:50	6 AM	
DATE _	7/14	BIL	L NO.		NUMBER_	7	
MOTION	N:	M	<i>u</i>				
	Ft	//	zork				<u> </u>

NAME		AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN			V	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V		
REP. JOHN COBB		/		
REP. DOROTHY CODY			V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY			/	ı
REP. ED GRADY			V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE			V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON				~
REP. MIKE KADAS			2	
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY			V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V		
REP. JERRY NISBET			V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON			V	
REP. JOE QUILICI		V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD			V	
REP. BOB THOFT			V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN				
TO	OTAL	ST	12	1

Quil and

AMENDMENT
STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE
FISCAL MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL PROGRAM

Roll call vote #8 3-14-91 HB2

Explanation: This motion is a change in procedures for reimbursing the State Auditor (Warrant Writing System) for the approximate cost of processing warrants for three non-general fund accounts. Government and Highways Subcommittee made direct appropriations from two state special revenue accounts and one proprietary account, totaling \$297,394 for the biennium to the State Auditor's Fiscal Management and Control Program. This amendment deletes the direct appropriation from the accounts and replaces it with a state special revenue appropriation for \$297,394 in the State Auditor's office. In addition, it establishes an appropriation for warrant writing fees in each of the three agencies being charged for warrant processing. The agencies would then pay the fees to the State The net result is the same, although this method does Auditor's office. require a double appropriation to accomplish the same result. The effected agencies prefer this method due to concerns about cash flow and federal regulation conflicts.

AMENDMENT:

SECTION A:

State Auditor - Fiscal Management and Control (Page A-74)

Account	<u>FY 92</u> <u>FY 93</u>
FWP General License - SSR Highways - SSR State Insurance Fnd - Prop. Warrant Writing - SSR	(\$ 8,096) (\$ 8,096)
Dept. of Highways - General	Operations (Page A-211)
Warrant Fees (Op. Exp.)	\$ 8,096 \$ 8,096
State Compensation Mutual In	surance Fund (Page A-145)
Warrant Fees (Op. Exp.)	\$119,788 \$119,788

SECTION C:

Dept. Fish, Wildlife, & Parks - Management Services Division (Page C-51)

Warrant Fees (Op. Exp.) \$ 20,813 \$ 20,813

Note: If this amendment is approved, the LFA will include a paragraph in the narrative that it is legislative intent that the State Auditor will collect the fees from the three agencies <u>in full</u> as reimbursement for the costs of processing state warrants.

3/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ROLL CALL VOTE TIME	9:	9:		
MOTION: Quil #8	NUMBE	R 8		
NAME	AYE	мо	ABSENT	
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN				
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY				
REP. JOHN COBB				
REP. DOROTHY CODY				
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY				
REP. ED GRADY				
REP. LARRY GRINDE				
REP. JOHN JOHNSON				
REP. MIKE KADAS				
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V			
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN				
REP. JERRY NISBET				
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON				
REP. JOE QUILICI				
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD				
REP. BOB THOFT				
REP. TOM ZOOK				
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN				
TOTAL				

	APPROPRIAT	LONS	~ 1	
ROL	L CALL VOTE	TIME	9,08	
DATE	BILL NO	*******	NUMBER	?
MOTION:	Pg 6			
	4. Pu Gu	6		
	St and 2			

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V	
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON			
REP. MIKE KADAS	/		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	<u></u>	/	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	/		
REP. JOE QUILICI		/	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	/		
REP. BOB THOFT	/		
REP. TOM ZOOK			
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN			
TOTAL	12	5	/

		APPROPRIAT.	LUNB	\bigcirc t		_
RO	LL CALL VO	re	TIME	9:	18 E	
DATE	5-14	BILL NO. 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NUMBE	R)
MOTION:		DONR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
	1)	A-89 Mod				
		7 6 m - l.				
		u				
<u></u>						
NAME				AYE	NO	ABSENT

NAME	AYE	мо	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN		V	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY			
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON			
REP. MIKE KADAS	/		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY			
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	/		
REP. JERRY NISBET	/		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT			
REP. TOM ZOOK		1/	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL		6	

3/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APPROPRIATIONS

				14 01 3
RO	LL CALL VO	TE	TIME	# 913
DATE	7/14	BILL NO.		NUMBER //
MOTION:				
	MA	Vihieles		
	Con	nuly		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	~		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	-	/	·
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	/		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN			
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	/		
REP. JOE QUILICI		V	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	V		
REP. BOB THOFT	V		
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	12	6	

Janes

3/14/91

Fage 123-A 9/4

Following: tate-owned buildings." H M Passed

trike:

Legislative Intent

t is the intent of the legislature that additional funds budgeted for utility costs of \$94,182 in fiscal 1992 and \$97,938 in fiscal 1993 be sed only for utility costs.

	ROLL CALL	VOTE		TIME	10:090		
DATE	3/14	BILL	NO		NUMBER	/3	
MOTIC	ON:						
) /	09		_		
	Johnson	~	Fed	Dussler	HNO TE	city	mod
0				7	<i>J</i>	7	

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	·V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	- 1 mark 10000		PASS
REP. ED GRADY		· V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V	<u> </u>	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		/	
TOTAL	9	8	

DATE MOTIC	ROLL C	PALL VO	DTE BILL NO	•	TIME	NUMBER /5	<u> </u>
		pph Col	1-130 Ch Mo	lian			

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN		1	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	1		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V	
REP. ED GRADY		U	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL	8	10	

ROLL CALL VOTE TIME 10.22 4	22 A M						
DATE 3	14	BILL NO.	~	***************************************	NUMBER_	16	
MOTION:				<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		
	4) Own	in 129				

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY			
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS	/		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		/	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	12	6	

	116 4 1142 41411	/	0:3	· 6
ROLL CALL DATE $\frac{7/14}{}$ MOTION:	VOTE BILL NO.	TIME NUMBE		7
) (lom	pulu		
	A 738			
NAME		AYE	NO	ABSENT

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	~		
REP. DOROTHY CODY			
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY			
REP. ED GRADY		1	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		/	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	/		
REP. MIKE KADAS	/		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	1		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	13	h	

ROLL CALL VOTE	TIME	10833
DATE 3/14	BILL NO. 2	NUMBER / S
MOTION:		
	2) washered	
	2) 00	
	A-138	

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	,	V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		/	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		,	
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	\checkmark		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V.	·
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		ν	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN			
TOTAL			

ROI	CALL V	OTE BILL NO	2	TIME	NUMBER 19	
MOTION:						
		/4 - 14				
		,				

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V.		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	1		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		/	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		1	
TOTAL	12	6	

R	OLL CALL VO)TE	TIME	101,45	
DATE _	3/14	_ BILL NO. 2		number 20	
MOTION	' :	X) n c	ldin		
		11, 10 1	06		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V	
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	1		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	/		
REP. JERRY NISBET		1	,
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI		/	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	1		
REP. BOB THOFT	/		
REP. TOM ZOOK	1		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V.		
TOTAL	13	5	

ROLL CALL VOTE TIME		10,4	7 0	
MOTION:	NUMBER 2/			
Amend (A	۰	n.	<i>)</i>	
NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT	
NAME	AIL	NO .	ABSENT	
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN		V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V		
REP. JOHN COBB		V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V			
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V		
REP. ED GRADY		V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V			
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V		
REP. MIKE KADAS		V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V			
REP. JERRY NISBET		V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	1			
REP. JOE QUILICI	V			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		/		
REP. BOB THOFT		1		
REP. TOM ZOOK		v		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	/			
TOTAL	1			

APPROPRIATIONS

ROLL CALL VOTE	TIME	10152 C		
DATE BIL	L NO			
MOTION:				
to ollow to	pay Sew Space			
NAME		AYE	мо	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CH	AIRMAN		V	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V		·
REP. JOHN COBB			V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY			V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNEL	LY	V		
REP. ED GRADY			V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE			V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON			V	
REP. MIKE KADAS		V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY			V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V		
REP. JERRY NISBET		V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V		
REP. JOE QUILICI		V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD			/	
REP. BOB THOFT			V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUV	E, CHAIRMAN		V	
	TOTAL	18	10	

Jacen

Jasseuron Pa e A-169

unanimous - Roll call vote #23

3-14-91

HB 2

re; pg 21 of 34

Jr er Fiscal 1992 Fund Sources

Fo lowing general fund insert: 10,000 state special revenue

Strike:

46,762 federal funds

Insert:

36,762 federal funds

Urmer Fiscal 1993 Fund Sources

Fullowing General Fund insert: 10,000 state special revenue

St ike:

46,761 federal funds

36,761 federal funds

The samendment corrects the funding source for the Veteran's Affairs Division cemetery funding by moving \$10,000 of authority from federal to state special revenue. Currently, all revenue for burial allowance aki donations are accounted for in the federal revenue fund type. The amount for donations should be accounted for as state special revenue.

34

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ROLL CALL VOTE DATE	BILL NO.	1 :	IME	24
lauch g	- 	A-166		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ν		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V	
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		.V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	レ		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	·	. V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI	1		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		v	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		Ÿ	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL	8	10	

Unler Fiscal 1992 Fund Sources

Following general fund insert: 10,000 state special revenue

St ike:

46,762 federal funds

Insert:

36,762 federal funds

Under Fiscal 1993 Fund Sources

Fallowing General Fund insert: 10,000 state special revenue

Strike:

46,761 federal funds

Insert:

36,761 federal funds

This amendment corrects the funding source for the Veteran's Affairs Division cemetery funding by moving \$10,000 of authority from federal to state special revenue. Currently, all revenue for burial allowance and donations are accounted for in the federal revenue fund type. The amount for donations should be accounted for as state special revenue.

3/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	CALL VOTE	BILL NO.	2	TIME	10127.0 NUMBER 25
MOTION:		·			
	4	(, P v	Militar	y	
					

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN		V	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY			
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V	
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		/	
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI		V	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		W	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		1	
TOTAL	2	16	

m 36x

AMENDMENTS CRIME CONTROL DIVISION **PAGE A-170**

Re: Pg 25 of 39 Vote #26 3-14-91

This motion is to add \$1,000 per year federal grant funds for Victims of Crime Assistance, to a total \$333,000 per year. This is due to formal notification of a slightly higher federal grant in the 1993 biennium.

Budget Modification #3 (Page A-173):

Increase federal funds by \$1,000 per year, total biennial appropriation of \$273,101.

Technical Amendment:

Budget Modification #2 is overstated by \$21,000 per year. Federal funds will not increase, and should be removed from the modification.

Budget Modification #2 (Page A-173:

Reduce administrative costs/federal funds by \$21,000 per year, reducing modification to \$7,500 per year general fund, total \$15,000 general fund for the biennium.

AJE Donnel

Broadley and Call toll the

Jok #24 3-14-91 HB 2

AMENDMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LEGAL SERVICES DIVISION
PAGE A-180

The motion is to increase expenditures for computerized legal research (\$5,600/yr) and investigative/paralegal contract service support for County Prosecutors Services (\$8,000/yr).

Program Table A-180:

Operating Expenses:

Strike: \$291,798 \$187,055 Insert: \$305,398 \$200,655

General Fund:

Strike: \$1,342,517 \$1,231,577 Insert: \$1,356,117 \$1,245,177 3/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ROLL CALL VOTE	TIME	11:580			
date $3/4/97$ bill no. 21		//:580 NUMBER 2.6			
MOTION:					
#26					
NAME		AYE	NO	ABSENT	
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN			V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V			
REP. JOHN COBB		1			
REP. DOROTHY CODY			V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V			
REP. ED GRADY			V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE			V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V			
REP. MIKE KADAS		V			
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V			
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		1			
REP. JERRY NISBET		V			
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON					
REP. JOE QUILICI		\ \ \			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD			V		
REP. BOB THOFT			V		
REP. TOM ZOOK			1		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V			

A-150 am

Vote #27 3-14-91 HB 2

AMENDMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
GAMBLING CONTROL DIVISION
PAGE A-184

This motion provides for the expansion of the Gambling Control Division to allow for adequate enforcement of current level activities. This motion provides for an increase of 18 FTE and increases in total expenses of \$953,733 in FY92 and \$883,891 in FY93.

Program Table A-184:

FTE:

Strike: 31.00 31.00 Insert: 49.00 49.00

Personal Services:

Strike: \$933,702 \$962,301 Insert: \$1,455,417 \$1,454,016

Operating Expenses:

Strike: \$399,053 \$399,097 Insert: \$594,156 \$589,200

Equipment:

Strike: \$62,800 \$63,700 Insert: \$329,715 \$265,863

State Revenue Fund:

Strike: \$1,425,555 \$1,425,098 Insert: \$2,379,288 \$2,309,079

Jud - Dorothy Brasly 10 rather than 18.

ROLL CALL VOT	E	TIME	/	// / 3	3/
DATE	BILL NO		NUMBE	r	7
MOTION: Such	10 da:				
1	4 #2	7 C,			
	P				
madey					
NAME			AYE	NO	ABSENT

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	~		
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY			
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V	
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS			
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		1	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		1	
REP. JOE QUILICI		/	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		/	
REP. TOM ZOOK			
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		/	
TOTAL	5		

7/14

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

			, /	1_
ROLL CALL V	OTE	TIME	//_	132
DATE 3-14	BILL NO.		NUMBER_	28
MOTION:				
	Sul			
	Cox 5			
1				

	T	T	
NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	✓		
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		~	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI		<i>i</i> /	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		/	
REP. TOM ZOOK			
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	: :	V	
TOTAL	1	10	

2/4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APPROPRIATIONS

AFFROFRIATIONS	1101				
ROLL CALL VOTE TIME		P/1	134		
DATE $3-14$ BILL NO. 2	NUMBE	R 29			
ROLL CALL VOTE TIME DATE 3-14 BILL NO. 2 MOTION:					
_					
Orig Pech y#27					
7. 0.					
					
NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT		
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	~				
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V			
REP. JOHN COBB		V			
REP. DOROTHY CODY		V			
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V			
REP. ED GRADY		V			
REP. LARRY GRINDE		/			
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V			
REP. MIKE KADAS	V				
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		V			
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V			
REP. JERRY NISBET		~			
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V				
REP. JOE QUILICI					
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V			
REP. BOB THOFT	1				
REP. TOM ZOOK					
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V				
	The same	. ^	,		

Jacken

APPROPRIATIONS

ROI	LL CALL VO	TE	TIME	3115 PM	-
DATE	3/14	BILL NO.	5 :	NUMBER 30	
MOTION:					
	St	reople in	Gren i	leng	
	0			J	
		Mary			

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
NAME	MIE	NO	ABSENI
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB		~	
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS	~		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY		/	
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		~	
REP. JERRY NISBET		/	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON			
REP. JOE QUILICI		/	
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT	V		
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V		
TOTAL	7	11	

Jacker

	ROLL CALL	VOTE	TIME	3118
DATE	3/14	BILL NO.		number 30a
MOTIC	ON:		·	
		\ / 4		
		1) Heylin	1000	/
		60		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN		V	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	1		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	1		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	V		
REP. MIKE KADAS		V	
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V.		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V.		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V.	
REP. JOE QUILICI			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL		1/2	

ROLL CALL	VOTE	TIME _	5,20
DATE	BILL NO.	N	UMBER 3/
MOTION:			
	His DI	1 and c	
	<i></i>		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	,	1	
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	V		
REP. JOHN COBB	V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY		(/	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON	1		
REP. MIKE KADAS		V	
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V	_	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		/	
TOTAL	8	10	

3) AM DEI

AMENDMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES DIVISION
PAGE A-191

Vote # 32 3/14/91 #B2

4 I

This motion is to restore Coal Board funding for the Special Investigations - East (drug enforcement) Unit, and to remove general fund support for the program. The Coal Board projected funding under current law and revenue estimates is \$2.57 million in fiscal 1992 and \$2.55 million in fiscal 1993.

Program Table, Page A-191:

General Fund:

Strike: \$1,373,793 \$1,678,094 Insert: \$1,055,273 \$1,380,990

State Special Revenue:

 Strike:
 \$ 38,894
 \$ 38,865

 Insert:
 \$ 357,414
 \$ 335,969

The above amendment replaces \$615,624 general fund for the biennium with state special revenue (Coal Board grant funds).

Language, Page A-192:

Delete the following language:

"If coal board grant funds or other funds can be obtained for item ____ (Special Investigation - East), the state special revenue or other funds must replace the general fund appropriation in item ____, and the general fund appropriation must be reduced by the amount of state special revenue or other funds received. The total appropriation contained in item ____ may not be increased."

R	COLL CALL VOTE	1	TIME	3/35	
DATE _	7/14/91	BILL NO.		NUMBER 32	
MOTION	T:				
	Jun =	Surgarol		3	
			· A	7	
		4, 11	7 1		

NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	·V		
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		V	
REP. JOHN COBB	·V		
REP. DOROTHY CODY	1	#	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY	V		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	V		
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		0	
REP. MIKE KADAS	V		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN		V	
REP. JERRY NISBET		V	
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON	V		
REP. JOE QUILICI			
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD	V		
REP. BOB THOFT	V		
REP. TOM ZOOK	V		
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	Į.V		
TOTAL	13	4	

Uote #34 3/14/91 HB2

Halle Lavin

PROPOSED LANDUAGE ADDED TO PAGE A-180

"The legislature recognizes that: 1) costs associated with the Crow Coal case, water rights and tribal litigation, may exceed the appropriation in item (the Legal Services Division appropriating); and 2) in that event the department will need to request a supplemental from the 1993 legislature to adequately represent the state."

3598 3036

APPROPRIATIONS

DOZ		AFFROER.		4	36	
DATE	il Call v	BILL NO	TIME	NUMBER_	34	
MOTION:		1	t Law le		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Sec	by Kad	200	<u>. </u>		
		on line	Sten			

NAME	AYE	мо	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN	·	2	1
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY		4	
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY		L)	
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY		V	
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		1	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON		V	
REP. MIKE KADAS	1		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	i/		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	1		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON		V	
REP. JOE QUILICI	V		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		ν	
REP. BOB THOFT		V	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN		V	
TOTAL	à	12	Ì

faction

ROLL CALL VOTE TIME DATE BILL NO MOTION:	NUMBE	R 35	
Adopt Section Bar + 1	on Hegli	· as	
NAME	AYE	NO	ABSENT
REP. RAY PECK, VICE-CHAIRMAN			
REP. DOROTHY BRADLEY	_ ^	V	
REP. JOHN COBB		V	
REP. DOROTHY CODY	V		
REP. MARY ELLEN CONNELLY	V		
REP. ED GRADY		V	
REP. LARRY GRINDE		V	
REP. JOHN JOHNSON			
REP. MIKE KADAS	1		
REP. BERV KIMBERLEY	V		
REP. WM. "RED" MENAHAN	V.		
REP. JERRY NISBET	V		
REP. MARY LOU PETERSON			
REP. JOE QUILICI	1		
REP. CHUCK SWYSGOOD		V	
REP. BOB THOFT		V.	
REP. TOM ZOOK		V	
REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, CHAIRMAN	V-		
	$\perp \alpha$	1 0	

VISITOR'S REGISTER

appropri	ations	COMMITTEE	BILL NO	
DATE 3/14/7/	SPONSOR(S)			
- 6				

PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT

NAME AND ADDRESS	REPRESENTING	BILL	OPPOSE	SUPPORT
DR SD LAWLER Gillings	Self privite			
Ge Ilve)	, ,			
8.				
,				

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED TESTIMONY WITH SECRETARY. WITNESS STATEMENT FORMS ARE AVAILABLE IF YOU CARE TO SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY.