

## MINUTES

### MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 52nd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION & CULTURAL RESOURCES

**Call to Order:** By CHAIRMAN PECK, on February 8, 1991, at 8:00 am

#### ROLL CALL

**Members Present:**

Rep. Ray Peck, Chairman (D)  
Sen. Greg Jergeson, Vice Chairman (D)  
Sen. Don Bianchi (D)  
Rep. Larry Grinde (R)  
Sen. H.W. Hammond (R)  
Rep. Mike Kadas (D)

**Staff Present:** Pam Joehler, Senior Fiscal Analyst (LFA)  
Mary Ann Wellbank, Budget Analyst (OBPP)  
Melissa Boyles, Secretary

**Please Note:** These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

**Announcements/Discussion:** CHAIRMAN PECK stated that Senator Nathe came in before the meeting and went on record with the subcommittee apposing any WICHE cuts.

#### EXECUTIVE ACTION ON AG EXPERIMENT STATION

018

**Ms. Joehler** distributed a handout on Executive Action. **EXHIBIT 1**

**Ms. Joehler** stated that page 18 and 19 of the 1992 Biennium Budget Request Book contains modification requests for the University System. **EXHIBIT 2**

**CHAIRMAN PECK** asked Ms Wellbank if she had anything she wanted to say about the Ag Experiment Station. **Ms. Wellbank** stated that some of the differences between the LFA and the OBPP relate to the development of the budget, the OBPP drove off the FY91 appropriated base and removed \$15,752 relating to a lease financing agreement that the Department of Administration entered into with the First Interstate Bank of Denver. This was a ten year agreement and at the end of that ten years the phone system becomes owned. There will be no more payments and therefore we removed it. **Ms. Joehler** stated that she did not make the

comparable adjustment in the LFA current level budget because she couldn't find where additional funds had been added to the budget to take care of this.

Program - Research

Current Level Issues

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. JERGESON moved to adopt the LFA current level. MOTION CARRIED unanimously 6/0.

CHAIRMAN PECK reviewed page 1 of EXHIBIT 1

062

CHAIRMAN PECK asked Ms. Joehler if the differences between the LFA current level and the Executive expenditure base is just the difference in where you're starting. Ms. Joehler stated that the Executive allowed reallocations among the various expenditure lines and when they used the higher expenditure base in utilities compared to the LFA current level it was partially offset by a reduction in repair and maintenance. Ms. Joehler stated that the \$5,800 per year is a net.

CHAIRMAN PECK asked Ms. Joehler to explain to the subcommittee what recharges are. Ms. Joehler stated that recharges are charges that are assessed to the Ag Experiment Station for services provided by Montana State University (MSU). Ms. Joehler stated that she provided a \$10,000 increase over what was actually paid in FY90 and the Executive included the Agency's request. The difference is approximately \$15,000 per year. REP. KADAS asked Ms. Wellbank why the Executive is higher. Ms. Wellbank stated that it is based on the FY91 appropriation.

100

Ms. Joehler stated that it was a policy decision in the Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst to automatically remove any expenditures that were coded under "other" categories. This amounted to approximately \$26,000 per year that was deleted from the expenditure base. The net impact is that the Executive is \$7,200 per year higher in operating costs compared to the LFA. The agency presented testimony to the subcommittee where they felt this should not have been deleted because they were real expenditures for real reasons. SEN. BIANCHI stated that he spoke to the Ag Experiment Station and feels they are real expenditures and they should be in the budget. Because of their accounting system they didn't have anywhere to put them so they were categorized under miscellaneous.

CHAIRMAN PECK asked Ms. Joehler if she were to add the \$7,253 in, would we be at the Executive level. Ms. Joehler said yes.

SEN. BIANCHI stated that he is concerned that they have reduced the operating budget by \$26,000. Ms. Joehler stated that if you compare the total bottom line in operating expenses between FY90

and the adjusted base for FY92 and FY93 with what the Governor is recommending and what the LFA current level has, it is \$20,000 less than what the Governor is recommending.

**Motion:** SEN. BIANCHI moved to replace miscellaneous funding with \$26,829 annually in the operating budget.

**Discussion:** REP. KADAS asked if the recharges is spending authority or general fund dollars. Ms. Joehler said it is partly general fund dollars. The agency has funded two sources of federal funding from the hatch act, sales and service revenue, and the balance is general fund.

**Vote:** MOTION CARRIED unanimously 6/0.

CHAIRMAN PECK asked if the inflation factor is the correction of what was adopted by the Appropriations Committee. Ms. Joehler stated that her understanding was that the LFA inflation is to be used. REP. KADAS asked Ms. Wellbank what their inflation factors were in this area. Ms. Wellbank said they deflated the utilities to bring the FY91 appropriation more in line with the actual inflation factor. REP. KADAS asked if the budget office's treatment of inflation in the University System is comparable to treatment in other parts of the budget. Ms. Wellbank said yes. SEN. BIANCHI stated that he feels the LFA has reduced the general fund appropriation by that same amount in trying to maintain current level. SEN. BIANCHI said the Extension Service went out and got these federal funds and the LFA turns around and takes them away from them in the general fund. SEN. BIANCHI stated that he has a problem with that and he doesn't think it is right.

**Motion:** SEN. BIANCHI moved to replace \$129,633 from the general fund to equipment in both years.

**Discussion:** SEN. BIANCHI stated that the Ag Experiment Station is spending nearly \$129,000 out of their operating expenses just to keep there current equipment running. This money has been raised by them through the federal funding and they should be able to keep this money without it affecting their general funding.

CHAIRMAN PECK stated that Ms. Joehler brought this to question to him as to whether to backing out general funds relative to federal funds. This is standard practice, however, the agency can protect themselves against this through a budget amendment. SEN. JERGESON stated that he wants to keep the general fund appropriation at current level rather than reducing it by \$129,000. CHAIRMAN PECK asked if SEN. BIANCHI is concerned with the funding source or the expenditure level. SEN. BIANCHI said he is worried about both. If we can retain the general fund at current level this will give them the opportunity to move that amount of money into equipment and replace a lot of old equipment and reduce maintenance expenditures. CHAIRMAN PECK asked SEN.

BIANCHI if what he wants to do is increase the total expenditure \$129,633 and maintain the general fund at present level and fund that via federal funds that they indicate they are going to get additionally. **SEN. BIANCHI** said no.

**Ms. Joehler** stated that she thinks **SEN. BIANCHI** want to increase the expenditure base \$129,633 a year due to the increased federal funds and \$15,000 a year difference between the sales and service revenue between the Executive recommendation and the LFA current level. The LFA current level includes the increased federal revenue estimate, but has a lower general fund so you really want to add \$129,633 general fund each year to expand the expenditure base and put that money into equipment. **SEN. BIANCHI** said yes, and that would bring the general fund expenditure up to current level. **Ms. Joehler** stated that it would bring the current level expenditure level above the approximated FY91 appropriate level.

**Ms. Joehler** stated that she feels a need to make public clarification for the approach that was used in making the federal revenue estimate. The practice that was used in coming up with the federal revenue estimate was that the expenditure base was established funded with a non general fund revenue using the most recent federal revenue estimates available at the time. The difference between the revenue estimates available at the time and the expenditure base became the general fund appropriation. **Ms. Joehler** stated that it was not intentional on her part to make the federal funds become in danger because of the need to keep the general fund at a certain level. **Ms. Joehler** stated that she was not aware until the hearing that there was a requirement or this would have been handled differently.

**SEN. BIANCHI** asked **Ms. Joehler** if the federal funding is \$15,000 less than the \$129,633. **Ms. Joehler** said the information she received from the agency said that the increased federal funds was \$114,633 per year.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 3/3, **REP. GRINDE**, **SEN. HAMMOND**, and **CHAIRMAN PECK** voting no. **REP. GRINDE** and **REP. KADAS** voting by proxy.

#### Budget Modifications

**CHAIRMAN PECK** called a recess until **REP. GRINDE** and **REP. KADAS** were back in the hearing to make motions on Budget Modifications.

**CHAIRMAN PECK** called the meeting to order all members present.

**Motion:** **SEN. JERGESON** moved to adopt the Soil Biology Program 3.0 FTE and \$146,564 each year of the biennium.

**Discussion:** REP. KADAS stated that he would like some information as to what this MOD does. Don Mathry, Associate Director, Ag Experiment Station, the soil biology program would help with water quality program. REP. KADAS asked how much work they are doing in this area now. Dr. Mathry said they do approximately \$320,000 per year but they have no one who is technically a soil microbiologist.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 2/4 REP. GRINDE, REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

**Motion:** SEN. JERGESON moved to adopt Biological Weed Control \$60,000 plus 1.0 FTE in FY92 and \$125,564 plus 3.0 FTE in FY93. (General Fund)

**Discussion:** SEN. JERGESON stated that this MOD would provide for a Weed Biologist in the first year of the biennium and in the second year of the biennium it would add a Weed Microbiologist. Senate Bill 123 anticipates funding these two positions \$163,000 per year from a surcharge on farm chemicals for these weed people to work on weed control. REP. THOFT and REP. GRADY are opposed to that particular funding source and have assured that if this subcommittee approved this modification they would strongly support SB123 in appropriations committee. SEN. JERGESON stated what we're looking at with respect to weed control and crop plants is that the federal government is putting on stringent requirements on chemicals. We're losing some of the chemicals we're used to using and we're going to have a lesser variety that we can use and we need more information and help on making better use of those that we are left with. REP. KADAS asked what the FTE and the dollar amount levels are. SEN. JERGESON said there would be 1 FTE in the first year 3 FTE in the second year and \$160,000 each year of the biennium.

SEN. HAMMOND stated that there has been some opposition to SB123 by people who are active in weed control, and they are afraid that this is going to remove the work of the weed biologist. SEN. JERGESON stated that REP. THOFT feels this is a worthwhile program. He is being very protective of the funding source for the noxious weed grant program. It would interrupt to a certain degree the flow of money into the amounts that they have to make grants with. The supporters of SB123 are paying a surcharge and a portion of that surcharge income should be applied to their weed problem. SEN. HAMMOND asked if with this modification that could be satisfied. SEN. JERGESON said he is hoping that it could.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION CARRIED 5/1 CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

**Motion:** SEN. JERGESON moved to adopt Grasshopper Management for \$60,000 in FY92 and \$102,454 in FY93 no FTE.

**Discussion:** REP. GRINDE asked if anyone is familiar with REP.

STEPPLER'S bill. Dr. Mathry stated that is the same type of program that we are proposing for modification here. REP. GRINDE asked what the source of funding is in that bill. CHAIRMAN PECK said it is general fund.

SEN. HAMMOND asked Dr. Mathry if they already have a program on grasshoppers in grassland. Dr. Mathry said the USDA has a major range land insect laboratory at bozeman and a major part of that program is on biological control of grasshoppers.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 3/3 REP. GRINDE, REP. KADAS, and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

**Motion:** SEN. JERGESON moved to adopt Range Livestock Nutrition \$50,000 in FY92 and \$115,325 in FY92 plus 2.25 FTE (General Fund).

**Discussion:** Dr. Mathry stated that Range Livestock is very important and with this modification it could be greatly strengthened. SEN. HAMMOND stated that we're not in as bad a shape in Range Livestock Nutrition as in other areas. Rod Hiechmit stated that if we don't grow the forage we can't worry about nutrition. Once the forage is grown we have to be able to harvest it. The nutritional aspects of the livestock industry are certainly very important to being able to do that.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 1/5, REP. GRINDE, SEN. BIANCHI, REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND, and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

#### Program - Livestock and Range Research Station

880

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. JERGESON moved LFA current level. MOTION CARRIED unanimously 6/0.

#### Current Level Issues

REP. KADAS asked what the revenue available is to fund this. CHAIRMAN PECK stated that if the subcommittee adds this money we will take it up to the Executive level that the Governor has recommended. REP. KADAS asked what the state special revenue is. CHAIRMAN PECK said it is from the sale of livestock.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved to adopt \$6,771 in FY92 and \$6,722 in FY93. MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. BIANCHI moved to adopt the Executive Budget \$5,700 in FY92 and \$3,000 in FY93. MOTION CARRIED 6/0.

**EXECUTIVE ACTION ON EXTENSION SERVICE****Program - Public Service**

930

**Ms. Joehler** stated that the funding on this is general fund and federal smith lever funds. The same approach was used here by establishing the expenditure base and using the most recent federal revenue estimate and the balance is general fund.

**Current Level Issues**

**Motion/Vote:** **REP. KADAS** moved the LFA current Level. **MOTION CARRIED** unanimously.

**CHAIRMAN PECK** stated that in Other Expenses the Executive includes a higher expense and asks why that would be. **Ms. Joehler** stated that it is likely due to base differences. The Executive is going off the FY91 appropriated level for operating costs and the LFA came in working off of the FY90 actual and there will be differences in just about every expenditure category. You never spend precisely what has been appropriated. **REP. KADAS** stated that he feels we should be relatively consistent between what we did with the Ag Experiment Station. **SEN. BIANCHI** stated that he thinks this budget also has a shortfall on the miscellaneous expense category for many of the same reasons as the Ag Experiment Station did.

**Motion:** **SEN. BIANCHI** moved to add \$3,350 back into the budget.

**Discussion:** **Ms. Joehler** stated that the miscellaneous expenditures occurred throughout the whole spectrum of operating costs. There are eight different categories of operating costs, each one has an other category. The dollar amount you have figured per year would have shown up in the whole variety and we would have to go through and try and pick them out. **REP. KADAS** asked **Ms. Joehler** where the best place to put the \$3,350 back into the budget. **Ms. Joehler** said she would go through and reinstate them where they were taken out. **CHAIRMAN PECK** asked **Ms. Joehler** if she could do that if this motion passed. **Ms. Joehler** said yes.

**Vote:** **MOTION CARRIED** 5/1 **REP. GRINDE** voting no.

112

**SEN. BIANCHI** stated that the Extension Service also had an increase of \$63,412 in federal funds and that same amount was reduced from the LFA general fund appropriation.

**Motion:** **SEN. BIANCHI** moved to add \$63,412 annually in General

for operations.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 3/3 REP. GRINDE, SEN. HAMMOND, REP. PECK voting no.

Board of Regent Budget Modifications

178

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. BIANCHI moved to add \$741,318 in FY92 and \$433,961 in FY93 of general fund for Information Delivery.

**Discussion:** Jim Lynstrom stated that this modification would put down link systems into 49 county offices and also computer linkage throughout all county offices. SEN. BIANCHI stated that it is his understanding that the Extension Service traveled the state and had town meeting and discussed all of these modifications. What was the result of those meetings. Mr. Lynstrom stated that for the past three years they have had town meetings gathering information from local communities into our programming. Increasing the information technology has been a major priority in all three years. This past year we had eight town hall meetings and it was a major priority and that is why we have the modification in.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 1/5 REP. GRINDE, REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND, SEN. JERGESON, and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

Tape No. 2

REP. KADAS asked for a brief description about the Forestry/Natural Resource Education modification. Mr. Lynstrom stated that they currently have an Extension Forestry Specialist located at the University of Montana, School of Forestry. This person is funded with soft money from the federal government. The logging industry has assisted us in pushing forward this budget modification to make it a full-time hard money position instead of soft money. REP. KADAS asked if this is the position that the Logging Association has agreed to put up a third of the costs for. Mr. Lynstrom stated that he is unaware if there is any monies attached to the recommendation that we place a person on a full-time basis in the Extension Service to help the logging industry. CHAIRMAN PECK stated that there is a bill being developed.

252

SEN. JERGESON stated that the subcommittee needs to make decisions without always knowing or agreeing with what is out there.

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. JERGESON moved to adopt Forestry/Natural Resource Education \$50,000 in FY92 and \$78,165 in FY93 plus 2.00 FTE.



**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 2/4 REP. GRINDE, REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND, and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

CHAIRMAN PECK asked for a brief description of Low-Input Agriculture. Mr. Lynstrom stated that the past legislature told us that we need to be working in Low In-put Agriculture, however, there was no appropriation to assist us with that and that is what this is for. CHAIRMAN PECK asked how they directed the Extension Service to do that. Mr. Lynstrom said by language in the bill. SEN. HAMMOND asked what low-input agriculture is. Mr. Lynstrom said it is looking at alternatives to traditional tillage, fertilizer, herbicides, different cropping systems and reducing pesticides. SEN. JERGSON stated that some producers are looking at all sorts of different integrated methods of operating their operations and I suspect this would fit very well into that category of dispensing the information developed by the Ag Experiment Station.

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. JERGSON moved to adopt Low-Input Agriculture \$0 in FY92 and \$91,598 in FY93 plus 2.00 FTE.

**Discussion:** CHAIRMAN PECK asked if they have an idea how many farmers might be involved in this or interested in it. Mr. Lynstrom said that chemical usage is going to be a regular finding to farm bills with compliance so this is going one aspect of dealing with that. We will have to teach people to monitor their chemical usage and looking at a way to reduce the level of chemical that is being applied to the soil. REP. KADAS asked how much information is available to be disseminated in this area and is there that large of a pool to draw from that people need access to. Dr. Mathry stated that there is a fair amount of activity in the research game particularly in Western Montana and there is a fair amount of information that growers in the smaller farms are eager to adopt and this would help extend that information to those people.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 3/3 REP. GRINDE, SEN. HAMMOND and CHAIRMAN PECK voting no.

REP. GRINDE stated that if he was going to put any money into any portion of the budget he would put it into these areas, however, with the economic problems we have in this state he feels he cannot vote for these items. CHAIRMAN PECK said he understands and appreciated REP. GRINDE'S position

CHAIRMAN PECK stated that SEN. HAMMOND is out of the hearing at this time, however, the subcommittee will go ahead and take action on the Forestry and Conservation Experiment Station. If there is any close vote REP. KADAS said he would support any motion to reconsider after SEN. HAMMOND comes back.

**EXECUTIVE ACTION ON FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION EXPERIMENT STATION**

Program - Research

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved LFA current level. MOTION CARRIED 5/0, SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved to add \$3,700 each year to the LFA base. MOTION CARRIED 5/0 SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

CHAIRMAN PECK asked for a brief description of Stewardship Practices - Private Forests. Sid Frissell stated that the federal government has already developed a major appropriation for the management of private forest lands to encourage better stewardship on small private land owners. This money is to go for the development of state stewardship committees to help coordinate and put on educational programs that is being handled through the state foresters office and also some money for incentives for landowners to develop management plans. REP. KADAS asked what the modification is for. Mr. Frissell said it is to develop the research and demonstration areas. REP. KADAS asked what the 3.75 FTE are suppose to do. Mr. Frissell said one would be a new position to deal specifically with private lands the rest are to provide funds for summer research time to help develop the research projects.

SEN. JERGESON asked if this modification would phase in with the voluntary mismanagement practices act or would it remain mandatory. Mr. Frissell said they are related.

REP. KADAS stated that this is very important particularly to the small private landowners but is concerned of the consequences that are going to impact those lands as their timber value increases.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved to add 1 FTE and \$55,116 in FY93 to the Stewardship Practices. MOTION CARRIED 3/2 SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved to reconsider his motion to add 1 FTE and \$55,116 in FY93 to the Stewardship Practices. MOTION CARRIED 6/0.

**Motion:** REP. KADAS moved his original motion to add 1 FTE and \$55,116 in FY93 to the Stewardship Practices.

CHAIRMAN PECK stated that this motion prevailed three to two originally and that is why it is being revisited. REP. KADAS informed SEN. HAMMOND what this program is about. SEN. HAMMOND stated that they have some of the same kinds of situations in The Bear Paws and they seem to be getting the information. SEN. HAMMOND stated that he would like to create more and more good things but the money isn't there.

REP. KADAS withdrew his motion.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON BUREAU OF MINES

558

Ms. Joehler reviewed page 6 of EXHIBIT 6

CHAIRMAN PECK stated the subcommittee would have to authorize the LFA to shift the \$36,000 from operating to personal services. Mr. Ruppel stated that \$23,000 of that personal services money is student salaries, it is not classified or professional Ms. Joehler's are all classified or professional. Ms. Wellbank has student salaries plus additional benefits that she got on her computer. REP. KADAS asked if they are put at the LFA current level are you going to be transferring operating into personal services to cover those costs. Mr. Ruppel would prefer Ms. Joehler's level and restore that to operating because the bureau has so many soft dollar contracts that we transfer money back and forth between hard and soft dollars that we could probably pick up the \$23,000 in personal services. CHAIRMAN PECK asked if the Bureau of Mines asked the OBPP to do this and now your saying you don't want to. Mr. Ruppel stated that he didn't ask the OBPP to do this. Ms. Wellbank said it was a reallocation by the Mr. Ruppel. CHAIRMAN PECK stated that they will lose flexibility if they keep personal services in a frozen category that they cannot transfer out of. Ms. Joehler stated that the adjusted base of the FY91 appropriated level is higher than their actual expenditures. So they didn't lose any money be reallocating the funds. Ms. Joehler stated that if the legislature allows the reallocation from operating to personal services to determine the true operating base we would have to have a consensus or discussion on what the appropriate move should be for next time.

752

CHAIRMAN PECK stated that if the subcommittee adopts the LFA current level then this \$36,794 question is moot unless we transfer it up into personal services. CHAIRMAN PECK stated that it appears that it would be better to leave the LFA base and not make the transfer. REP. KADAS asked if we wanted to transfer the \$29,000 that the LFA is lower than the Executive were would we put it, in operating or personal services. Ms. Wellbank stated it would be more appropriate in operating.

Motion/Vote: REP. KADAS moved LFA current level. MOTION CARRIED 5/0 SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

**Motion/Vote:** SEN. JERGESON moved to add \$4,824 to the Executive Budget each year of the biennium in operating differences.  
MOTION CARRIED 5/0 SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

REP. KADAS asked what the Popular Rive Project is. Ms. Joehler stated that it was a special appropriation passed by cat and dog bill in the last session. Mr. Ruppel stated that the Popular River Program has been ongoing for approximately ten years in monitoring the effect of a Coal Fire Generator in Canada. It is to monitor changes in ground water as well as surface water allocation into Montana. CHAIRMAN PECK asked what this provides. Mr. Ruppel stated that it is for monitoring wells on our side of the border. We measure the wells on a quarterly basis, collect samples, analyze the samples and report to the international joint commission.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved the adoption the Poplar River Project \$4,336 each year of the biennium. MOTION CARRIED 5/0 SEN. HAMMOND absent and not voting.

072

No motions made on the Board of Regents Budget Modifications

CHAIRMAN PECK updated SEN. HAMMOND while the subcommittee waited for REP. KADAS to return to the hearing.

#### EXECUTIVE ACTION ON FIRE SERVICES TRAINING SCHOOL

##### Issues

CHAIRMAN PECK asked for a summary of what happens if the Fire Services Training School goes to lands in terms of the personnel assignment. Mr. Artley stated that we would decentralize the school and assign the instructors to various locations in the state. CHAIRMAN PECK asked if when the Cobb bill came in last time dealing with the reassignment of this where was the department. Mr. Artley said they did not come in at that time. We again said here is our proposal. SEN. HAMMOND asked what would happen with the library materials if they decentralized. Mr. Artley said the library would remain in the city of Great Falls.

**Motion/Vote:** REP. KADAS moved the LFA current level. MOTION CARRIED unanimously

##### Issues

Skip Culver stated that the Fire Services Training School has a vehicle with approximately 100,000 miles on it and Mr. Weeden said he could not replace the vehicle with \$10,000 and in his testimony asked for \$17,000. The figure I came up with came to us from the purchasing division and the sedan he wants will cost

\$10,925.

**REP. KADAS** asked if the Executive intended to cover a vehicle. **Mr. Culver** said no. **Mr. Schmitz** stated that they didn't cover a vehicle because under the reorganization it was assumed that with the purchasing of state land vehicles of 20 or 30 at a time they could obtain a vehicle through state lands. **REP. KADAS** asked why they need a laser printer. **Mr. Schmitz** said they do a lot of certification, correspondence and newsletters that go out to all of the volunteer fire fighters throughout the state.

**SEN. JERGESON** stated that the vehicle situation is important and they need a heavier vehicle.

**Motion/Vote:** **SEN. JERGESON** moved to add \$7,000 to Equipment the second year of the biennium. MOTION CARRIED unanimously

**Mr. Culver** stated that there was one issue left out. **Mr. Weeden** mentioned in his testimony that the Commissioners Office is assessing the Fire Services Training School approximately \$7,554 and \$7,900 to cover purchasing, payroll, accounting, etc. **CHAIRMAN PECK** asked if this cost was incurred two years ago that was not anticipated. **Mr. Culver** said no, it was an anticipated expenditure rather than an actual expenditure. **Ms. Joehler** stated it is with the Montana State University not the office of the Commissioner. **Mr. Noble** stated that the UM has a recharge system which they rate to all users. **REP. KADAS** asked if this was discussed last session when the transfer was made. **Mr. Noble** stated that the Commissioners Office had nothing to do with the transfer of the FSTS. **REP. KADAS** asked **Mr. Noble** if they didn't normally inform the legislature when they are going to do something that is going to cause more cost. **Mr. Noble** stated that it wasn't going to impact the Commissioners Office.

**SEN. JERGESON** stated that he feels the recharge issue is something that the Full House Appropriations should rule on.

**Motion:** **SEN. BIANCHI** moved to add \$7,554 in FY92 and \$7,900 in FY93 for personal services cost.

**Discussion:** **REP. KADAS** asked if the Commissioners Office would come to the Legislature when situations like this arise and tell us that this is going to happen. **Mr. Noble** said yes he would, even in light of that legislation we would not have known what those costs were. **REP. KADAS** stated that they would have know that there would have been costs. **Mr. Noble** said not until they were assigned with the cooperative extension service and that happened subsequent to the legislation that passed.

Tape No. 3

**CHAIRMAN PECK** stated that the Legislature cannot be aware of costs associated with these things if the agency does not inform us.

**Vote:** MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

**Budget Modification**

708

**REP. KADAS** how much space the Fires Services Training School needs to relocate. **Mr. Weeden** stated that if they are able to get services, satellite reception etc. at the Vo-Tech we would need 2,000 square foot of space. If not we would need twice that. **REP. KADAS** asked which scenario this MOD anticipates. **Mr. Weeden** said it anticipates the school being able to get space from the Great Falls Vo-Tech.

**Motion:** **SEN. JERGESON** moved to add \$29,000 in FY92 and \$16,800 in FY93 for relocation costs and new location rent.

**Discussion:** **REP. GRINDE** asked how much time the FSTS has to move out. **Mr. Weeden** says their authority to occupy the space expires July 1, 1991. **CHAIRMAN PECK** asked Mr. Weeden if they have a formal written contract with the Vo-Tech. **Mr. Weeden** said it was the direction of the Legislature since 1979. **CHAIRMAN PECK** stated that it will only expire if the Legislature lets it.

**Role Call Vote:** MOTION FAILED 2/4 **REP. GRINDE, REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND** and **CHAIRMAN PECK** voting no.

**Motion:** **SEN. JERGESON** moved to add \$17,611 each year of the biennium to eliminate fees for library and certification testing.

**Discussion:** **REP. KADAS** stated that the various volunteer fire departments are giving service and probably more service than what the people are paying for, in terms of the fee and this is a good incentive for them to take the service seriously. **SEN. JERGESON** stated that he believes the Volunteer Fire Departments take very seriously their duties and functions but to pay these fees it pulls away from the ability for equipment they need and functions they have to carry out to provide the services that they are responsible for to their local people.

**Vote:** MOTION FAILED 3/3 **REP. KADAS, SEN. HAMMOND, and CHAIRMAN PECK** voting no.

**Motion/Vote:** **SEN. HAMMOND** moved that the language be contained in appropriation bill that requires the Vo-Tech Center to provide the office space to the Fire Service Training School. MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Adjournment: 12:12 a.m.

  
REPRESENTATIVE RAY PECK, Chair

  
MELISSA J. BOYLES, Secretary

RP/mjb

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL

DATE 10/21/11

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. RAY PECK, CHAIRMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SEN. GREG JERGESON	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
REP. LARRY GRINDE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SEN. DON BIANCHI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
REP. MIKE KADAS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



EXHIBIT

DATE 2-8-91

HB Ed. & Curr. Dept. Sub.

5109 02 00000 & 00036

AGENCY: AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

PROGRAM: RESEARCH

BUDGET ITEM	FY 1990 Actual	Fiscal 1992		Fiscal 1993	
		Executive	LFA Curr Lvl	Executive	LFA Curr Lvl
FTE	243.00	243.00	243.00	243.00	243.00
Personal Services	\$7,171,689	\$7,548,180	\$7,548,180	\$7,548,180	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$1,468,586	\$1,491,586	\$1,478,140	\$1,479,605	(\$6,760)
Equipment	\$125,694	\$126,000	\$126,000	\$126,000	\$0
Debt Service	\$306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$8,766,275	\$9,165,766	\$9,152,320	\$9,153,785	(\$6,760)
FUNDING					
General Fund	\$6,735,143	\$7,134,631	\$6,991,552	\$6,993,017	\$122,873
Current Unrestricted					\$0
Sales/Service	\$324,999	\$325,000	\$340,000	\$340,000	(\$15,000)
Fed/Reg'l Research	\$562,403	\$562,405	\$593,506	\$593,506	(\$31,101)
Fed/Hatch	\$1,143,730	\$1,143,730	\$1,227,262	\$1,227,262	(\$83,532)
TOTAL FUNDING	\$8,766,275	\$9,165,766	\$9,152,320	\$9,153,785	(\$6,760)

CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES:

1. COMMUNICATIONS EXPENSE-The executive budget removes \$15,752 relating to the termination of a ten-year telephone lease agreement.
2. UTILITIES/REPAIR & MAINTENANCE-The executive budget uses a higher expenditure base for utilities which was partially offset by reducing the repair & maintenance expenditure base.
3. RECHARGES-The executive budget allows the agency's request for increased recharges. The LFA CL budget includes an approximate \$10,000 increase over fiscal 1990 recharges.
4. MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURES-The LFA budget removes expenditures classified as "Other" in various expenditure categories. This reduction was partially offset by the executive reducing recruiting expenditures and long distance communication charges.
5. INFLATION-The LFA has higher overall inflation

TOTAL CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES

BOARD OF REGENT BUDGET MODIFICATIONS:

1. SOIL BIOLOGY PROGRAM
2. BIOLOGICAL WEED CONTROL
3. GRASSHOPPER MANAGEMENT
4. RANGE LIVESTOCK NUTRITION
5. GENERAL FUND INCREASE (Increase from FY 91)

	EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA--	
	FY 92	FY 93
	\$0	(\$15,752)
	\$5,892	\$5,892
	\$14,687	\$14,687
	\$7,253	\$7,253
	(\$15,715)	(\$19,678)
	\$12,117	(\$7,598)
	\$146,564	\$146,564
	\$60,000	\$125,564
	\$60,000	\$102,454
	\$50,000	\$115,325
	\$284,465	\$580,309
	\$601,029	\$1,070,216

AGENCY: AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION      LEGISLATIVE ACTION      PROGRAM: LIVESTOCK & RANGE RESEARCH STATION

BUDGET ITEM	FY 1990 Actual	Executive	Fiscal 1992 LFA Curr Lvl	Fiscal 1992 LFA Curr Lvl Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1993 LFA Curr Lvl	Fiscal 1993 LFA Curr Lvl Difference
FTE	16.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	16.67	16.67	0.00
Personal Services	\$354,283	\$375,784	\$375,784	\$0	\$375,784	\$375,784	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$3,820	\$10,591	\$3,820	\$6,771	\$10,542	\$3,820	\$6,722
Equipment	\$1,900	\$18,000	\$12,300	\$5,700	\$3,000		\$3,000
Grants				\$0			\$0
Benefits and Claims				\$0			\$0
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$360,003	\$404,375	\$391,904	\$12,471	\$389,326	\$379,604	\$9,722

FUNDING

General Fund				\$0			\$0
State Special Rev	\$360,003	\$404,375	\$391,904	\$12,471	\$389,326	\$379,604	\$9,722
Federal Revenue				\$0			\$0
TOTAL FUNDING	\$360,003	\$404,375	\$391,904	\$12,471	\$389,326	\$379,604	\$9,722

CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES:

1. OPERATING EXPENSES-The executive budget is based on fiscal 1991 appropriated levels while the LFA CL is based on actual fiscal 1990 expenditures.
2. The executive includes \$3,000 annually for horses which is not included in the LFA current level budget. Also, the executive budget includes \$15,000 for a pickup truck while the LFA includes \$12,300.

	EXEC OVER (UNDER)	LFA--
	FY 92	FY 93
	\$6,771	\$6,722
	\$5,700	\$3,000
	\$12,471	\$9,722

TOTAL CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES

EXHIBIT 1  
 DATE 2-8-91  
 HB Ed. & Curr. Dev. Sub.

5110 03

AGENCY: EXTENSION SERVICE      LEGISLATIVE ACTION      PROGRAM: PUBLIC SERVICE

BUDGET ITEM	FY 1990 Actual	Executive	Fiscal 1992 LFA Curr Lvl	Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1993 LFA Curr Lvl	Difference
FTE	115.77	115.77	115.77	0.00	115.77	115.77	0.00
Personal Services	\$3,744,822	\$3,988,347	\$3,988,347	\$0	\$3,988,347	\$3,988,347	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$513,623	\$518,827	\$514,155	\$4,672	\$507,715	\$513,995	(\$6,280)
Equipment	\$16,655	\$20,000	\$17,500	\$2,500	\$20,000	\$17,500	\$2,500
Debt Service	\$3,345			\$0			\$0
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$4,278,445	\$4,527,174	\$4,520,002	\$7,172	\$4,516,062	\$4,519,842	(\$3,780)
FUNDING							
General Fund	\$2,332,037	\$2,580,666	\$2,510,082	\$70,584	\$2,569,554	\$2,509,922	\$59,632
Current Unrestricted							
Fed Smith Lever	\$1,946,408	\$1,946,508	\$2,009,920	(\$63,412)	\$1,946,508	\$2,009,920	(\$63,412)
TOTAL FUNDING	\$4,278,445	\$4,527,174	\$4,520,002	\$7,172	\$4,516,062	\$4,519,842	(\$3,780)

CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES:

- COMMUNICATIONS-The executive removes \$10,546 relating to the termination of a ten-year telephone lease agreement.
- UTILITIES - Base difference
- SUPPLIES - The executive budget reduced publications expense \$13,632 in FY 92 and \$13,829 in FY93 while the LFA CL deleted "other" expenses in supplies category. The net difference is:
 

--EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA--	
FY 92	\$7,296
FY 93	(\$10,546)
	\$7,296
	(\$12,166)
	\$7,923
	\$2,014
	\$2,500
	\$7,567
	(\$3,176)
- RECHARGES - The executive includes increased recharges
- OTHER EXPENSES-The executive includes higher other expenses than LFA
- EQUIPMENT-The executive includes the FY91 appropriated level while the LFA used an historical average

TOTAL CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES

BOARD OF REGENTS BUDGET MODIFICATIONS:

	FY 92	FTE	FY 93
1. INFORMATION DELIVERY		?	8.00
2. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		?	6.50
3. FORESTRY/NATURAL RESOURCE EDUCATION		?	2.00
4. LOW-INPUT AGRICULTURE		0.00	2.00
5. GENERAL FUND INCREASE (Increase from FY 91)	18.50		\$1,058,392
			\$1,132,875

5119 FIRE SERVICES TRAINING SCHOOL		ACTUAL FISCAL 1990	EXECUTIVE FISCAL 1992	LFA FISCAL 1992	DIFFERENCE FISCAL 1992	EXECUTIVE FISCAL 1993	LFA FISCAL 1993	DIFFERENCE FISCAL 1993
FTE		5.0	4.0	5.0	(1.0)	4.0	5.0	(1.0)
PERSONAL SERVICES OPERATIONS EQUIPMENT		166,692	148,087	175,657	(27,570)	148,700	176,368	(27,668)
		56,363	56,565	59,510	(2,945)	56,837	59,464	(2,627)
		16,299	3,000	300	2,700	3,000	10,925	(7,925)
TOTAL EXPENSES		239,354	207,652	235,467	(27,815)	208,537	246,757	(38,220)
GENERAL FUND STATE SPECIAL REVENUE PROPRIETARY		220,258	120,106	211,967	(91,861)	120,888	223,257	(102,369)
		0	58,500	0	58,500	58,500	0	58,500
		19,096	29,046	23,500	5,546	29,149	23,500	5,649
TOTAL FUNDS		239,354	207,652	235,467	(27,815)	208,537	246,757	(38,220)

ISSUES

NOTE: The Exec budget proposes that the FSTS become part of the Dept of State Lands. In making this proposal the Exec budget has included assumptions which make comparison to the LFA current level difficult. Depending upon final the final outcome of the reorganization proposal, it may be necessary to reconsider the budget of the FSTS.

- 1) The Exec budget, as a part of the reorganization proposal, has eliminated one secretarial position under the assumption that the FSTS will use DSL secretarial pool.
- 2) The Exec budget has projected operating cost savings as a result of the proposed reorganization. The LFA current level is based upon FY90 actual expenditures plus inflation of approximately \$2,000 each year.
- 3) Equipment: The LFA current level includes 10,925 for a mid sized sedan in the FY93. The exec budgets \$3,000 each year for equipment.
- 4) Funding: The Exec budget proposed to replace general fund with \$58,500 each year of state special funds raised by assessing additional fees on private forest lands receiving fire protection from DSL. This would reduce general fund and increase state special.

Budget Modifications

LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (GENERAL FUND)

RELOCATION COSTS AND NEW LOCATOR RENT (GENERAL FUND)

ELIMINATE FEES FOR LIBRARY & CERTIFICATION TESTING (General fund)

EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA		ACTION	
FTE	FY92	FY92	FY93
(1.0)	(27,750)	(27,668)	
	(2,945)	(2,627)	
	2,700	(7,925)	
	58,500	58,500	
	60,000	63,000	
	29,000	16,800	
	17,611	17,611	

EXHIBIT 7-8-91  
 DATE 7-8-91  
 HB Ed. v Curr. Prod. Δ 100

5111 02

AGENCY: FORESTRY & CONSERVATION EXPERIMENT STATION      LEGISLATIVE ACTION      PROGRAM: RESEARCH

BUDGET ITEM	FY 1990 Actual	Fiscal 1992		Fiscal 1993		Difference
		Executive	LFA Curr Lvl	Executive	LFA Curr Lvl	
FTE	16.09	16.09	16.09	16.09	16.09	0.00
Personal Services	\$507,491	\$547,721	\$547,721	\$547,721	\$547,721	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$137,060	\$128,943	\$129,030	\$129,347	\$129,832	(\$485)
Equipment	\$3,750	\$13,000	\$9,300	\$13,000	\$9,300	\$3,700
Grants						\$0
Benefits and Claims						\$0
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$648,301	\$689,664	\$686,051	\$690,068	\$686,853	\$3,215
FUNDING						
General Fund	\$648,301	\$689,664	\$686,051	\$690,068	\$686,853	\$3,215
State Special Rev						\$0
Federal Revenue						\$0
TOTAL FUNDING	\$648,301	\$689,664	\$686,051	\$690,068	\$686,853	\$3,215

CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES:

--EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA--  
 FY 92      FY 93      ACTION-----

1. EQUIPMENT - The executive included equipment at the FY91 appropriated level while the LFA CL funded equipment at an historical expenditure level.

TOTAL CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES

	FY 92	FTE	FY 93
	3.75		3.75
	\$185,524		\$179,756
	\$27,516		\$56,163
	\$213,040		\$235,919

BOARD OF REGENT BUDGET MODIFICATIONS:

- STEWARDSHIP PRACTICES-PRIVATE FORESTS
- GENERAL FUND INCREASE (Increase from FY 91)

AGENCY: BUREAU OF MINES

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

PROGRAM: RESEARCH/PUBLIC SERVICE

BUDGET ITEM	FY 1990 Actual	Fiscal 1992 Executive LFA Curr Lvl	Fiscal 1992 Difference	Fiscal 1993 Executive LFA Curr Lvl	Fiscal 1993 Difference
FTE	26.82	26.82	0.00	26.82	0.00
Personal Services	\$955,119	\$1,013,566	\$36,794	\$1,013,566	\$36,794
Operating Expenses	\$348,696	\$331,925	(\$7,138)	\$332,130	(\$7,825)
Equipment	\$19,946	\$28,500	\$0	\$28,500	\$0
Debt Service	\$3,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>\$1,327,161</b>	<b>\$1,373,991</b>	<b>\$29,656</b>	<b>\$1,374,196</b>	<b>\$28,969</b>

FUNDING

General Fund	\$1,283,425	\$1,296,835	\$33,420	\$1,297,727	\$32,733
Current Unrestricted	\$43,736	\$47,500	(\$3,764)	\$47,500	(\$3,764)
Sales/Service					
<b>TOTAL FUNDING</b>	<b>\$1,327,161</b>	<b>\$1,344,335</b>	<b>\$29,656</b>	<b>\$1,374,196</b>	<b>\$28,969</b>

CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES:

- PERSONAL SERVICES REALLOCATION- The executive budget allows the agency's request to reallocate operating expenses to personal services. This adds \$36,794 annually to personal services costs in the 1993 biennium
- OPERATING BASE DIFFERENCES
  - The executive adjusted base is higher than the fiscal 1990 expenditures
  - The LFA CL removed "Other" expenditures
  - The executive allowed the reallocation of operating costs to pers svcs
  - The LFA CL removed one-time expenditures associated with Poptar River Project

TOTAL CURRENT LEVEL ISSUES

	FY 92	FY 93	---	---	---
EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA--					
FY 92	\$36,794	\$20,027		\$20,232	
FY 93		\$4,824		\$4,824	
		(\$36,794)		(\$36,794)	
		\$4,336		\$4,336	
		\$29,187		\$29,392	
BOARD OF REGENT BUDGET MODIFICATIONS:					
1. ESTABLISH ANALYTICAL RESEARCH CENTER (JOINT WITH MCMST)	?	?		\$875,116	\$85,117
2. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES IN GROUNDWATER (JOINT WITH MCMST)	1.73	1.73		\$185,325	\$119,994
3. GENERAL FUND INCREASE (Increase from FY 91)	1.73	1.73		\$53,197	\$108,522
				\$1,113,638	\$313,633

-----ACTION-----

OTHER AGENCIES - MONTANA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM  
BIENNIUM BUDGET COMPARISON

EXHIBIT 2  
DATE 2-8-91  
HB. Ed. & Univ. Res. Div.

Agency	Estimated 1989-90	Budgeted 1990-91	Regents' Request		Biennium Change	
			1991-92	1992-93	Amount	Percent
Bureau of Mines	\$1,324,445	\$1,382,925	\$1,436,122	\$1,491,447	\$220,199	8.13%
AG Experiment Station	9,166,885	9,532,165	9,931,263	10,227,107	1,459,321	7.80%
Coop Extension Service	4,289,062	4,538,366	4,689,852	4,797,050	659,474	7.47%
Forest Conserv Station	664,214	687,905	715,421	744,038	107,340	7.94%
Fire Svc Training School	224,221	217,094	228,303	234,809	21,797	4.94%
CHE*	13,462,868	13,518,539	14,375,225	14,464,000	1,857,818	6.89%
<b>Total Other Agencies</b>	<b>\$29,131,695</b>	<b>\$29,876,994</b>	<b>\$31,376,187</b>	<b>\$31,958,451</b>	<b>\$4,325,949</b>	<b>7.33%</b>
<b>Funding:</b>						
General Fund	\$17,281,926	\$18,328,924	\$19,115,081	\$19,698,474	\$3,202,705	8.99%
State Special	1,005,839	767,395	767,395	767,395	(238,444)	-13.45%
Federal	10,843,930	10,780,675	11,493,711	11,492,582	1,361,688	6.30%
<b>Total Funding</b>	<b>\$29,131,695</b>	<b>\$29,876,994</b>	<b>\$31,376,187</b>	<b>\$31,958,451</b>	<b>\$4,325,949</b>	<b>7.33%</b>

\*Excludes CHE Group Insurance Account

OTHER AGENCIES - DETAIL

	Estimated 1989-90	Budgeted 1990-91	Regents' Request		Biennium Change	
			1991-92	1992-93	Amount	Percent
Bureau of Mines	\$1,324,445	\$1,382,925	\$1,436,122	\$1,491,447	\$220,199	8.13%
<b>Funding:</b>						
General Fund	\$1,281,915	\$1,329,925	\$1,383,122	\$1,438,447	\$209,729	8.03%
State Special	42,530	53,000	53,000	53,000	10,470	10.96%
<b>Total Bureau</b>	<b>\$1,324,445</b>	<b>\$1,382,925</b>	<b>\$1,436,122</b>	<b>\$1,491,447</b>	<b>\$220,199</b>	<b>8.13%</b>

Modified Requests:

(Montana Tech/Bureau - Joint Proposals)	FY 92	FY 93	Total
1 - Establish Analytical Research Center	\$875,116	\$85,117	\$960,233
2 - Hazardous Substances in Groundwater	\$185,325	\$119,994	\$305,319

OTHER AGENCIES - DETAIL

	Estimated 1989-90	Budgeted 1990-91	Regents' Request		Biennium Change Amount	Change Percent
			1991-92	1992-93		
Ag Exper. Station	<u>\$9,166,885</u>	<u>\$9,532,165</u>	<u>\$9,931,263</u>	<u>\$10,227,107</u>	<u>\$1,459,321</u>	<u>7.80%</u>
Funding:						
General Fund	\$6,735,146	\$7,111,635	\$7,396,100	\$7,691,944	\$1,241,264	8.96%
State Special	725,604	714,395	714,395	714,395	(11,209)	-0.78%
Federal Funds	1,706,135	1,706,135	1,820,768	1,820,768	229,266	6.72%
Total Funding	<u>\$9,166,885</u>	<u>\$9,532,165</u>	<u>\$9,931,263</u>	<u>\$10,227,107</u>	<u>\$1,459,321</u>	<u>7.80%</u>

Modified Requests:	FY 92	FY 93	Total
1 - Soil Biology Program	\$146,564	\$146,564	\$293,128
2 - Biological Weed Control	\$60,000	\$125,564	\$185,564
3 - Grasshopper Management Program	\$60,000	\$102,454	\$162,454
4 - Range Livestock Nutrition	\$50,000	\$115,325	\$165,325

	Estimated 1989-90	Budgeted 1990-91	Regents' Request		Biennium Change Amount	Change Percent
			1991-92	1992-93		
Extension Service	<u>\$4,289,062</u>	<u>\$4,538,366</u>	<u>\$4,689,852</u>	<u>\$4,797,050</u>	<u>\$659,474</u>	<u>7.47%</u>
Funding:						
General Fund	\$2,334,554	\$2,576,858	\$2,679,932	\$2,787,130	\$555,650	11.31%
Federal Funds	1,954,508	1,961,508	2,009,920	2,009,920	103,824	2.65%
Total Funding	<u>\$4,289,062</u>	<u>\$4,538,366</u>	<u>\$4,689,852</u>	<u>\$4,797,050</u>	<u>\$659,474</u>	<u>7.47%</u>

Modified Requests:	FY 92	FY 93	Total
1 - Information Delivery	\$741,318	\$433,961	\$1,175,279
2 - Economic Development	\$164,000	\$318,879	\$482,879
3 - Forestry/Natural Resource Education	\$50,000	\$78,165	\$128,165
4 - Low-Input Agriculture	\$0	\$91,598	\$91,598



**Exhibit 6 was not transmitted with the minutes.**

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 5-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Ag. Experiment Station

MOTION: Sen. Bianchi moved to reattach  
#159, 158 from the general fund to  
account in both bills.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS	✓	
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	3	3

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER 03 Expense of  
State

MOTION: Sen. Jergeson moved to adopt  
the Soil Fertility Program 50 FTE  
and 146,564 each year of the bicennium.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	2	4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-5-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER AA. Experiment Station

MOTION: Sen Jergeson moved to adopt  
Amendment Management Plan  
\$100,000 in FY92 and \$12,454 in  
FY93 1000 FTE

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND	✓	
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	5	5

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER 24 *an Extension*

MOTION: Sen. Jergeson moved to adopt  
biological wind control \$10,000 in  
FY92 and \$125,504 plus 3 CFTE in  
FY93. (General fund)

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE	✓	
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS	✓	
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND	✓	
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	5	1

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 8-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Ag. Experiment Station

MOTION: Sen. Jergeson moved to adopt  
Ray's Amendment  
F498 and \$115,325 in F498 (ag. experiment)  
plus 3.5 FTE.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI		✓
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	1	5

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Extension Service

MOTION: Sen. Bianchi moved to add  
\$134.2 in General Funds annually  
in operation

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS	✓	
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	3	3

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 3-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Extension Sec 200

MOTION: Sen Proctor moved to add  
\$741,318 in FY93 and \$433,961 in  
FY 93 of General Fund money to  
Information Highway.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN		✓
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	1	5



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Extension Service

MOTION: Sen. Jergeson moved to direct  
Student/Parent Resource Education  
\$56,000 in FY91 and \$78,145 in FY93  
plus 2.00 FTE.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	2	4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Amendment 28000

MOTION: Sen. Ferguson moved to adopt  
Amendment Agriculture \$0 in FY98  
and \$91,598 in FY99 plus 2-00 FTE.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS	✓	
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	3	3

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

*Forestry & Conservation*

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER Supplemental

MOTION: Rep Kadas moved to add 1 FTE  
and \$55,000 in FVAs to the Stewardship  
Practice - Private Forests

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS	✓	
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	3	2

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-91 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER 312 Increase

MOTION: Sen. Jergeson moved to add  
\$29,100 in FY92 and \$1,200 in FY93  
for education cost and new position  
staff.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE		✓
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGESON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	2	4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE

ROLL CALL VOTE

DATE 2-8-01 BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER For Union

MOTION: Sen Jergeson moved to add  
17 bill in ER2 & 17 bill in ER3 &  
amendments for year library <sup>and</sup> participation  
visiting.

NAME	AYE	NO
REP. LARRY GRINDE	✓	
SEN. DON BIANCHI	✓	
REP. MIKE KADAS		✓
SEN. H.W. "SWEDE" HAMMOND		✓
SEN. GREG JERGSON, VICE CHAIRMAN	✓	
REP. RAY, PECK, CHAIRMAN		✓
TOTAL	3	3

