MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 51st LEGISLATURE - SPECIAL SESSION

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

Call to Order: By Chairman H.W. Hammond, on July 11, 1989, at 10:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Senator Pat Regan, Senator Bill Farrell, Senator John Anderson, Senator Joe Mazurek, Senator Chet Blaylock, Senator Dennis Nathe, Senator Dick Pinsoneault, Senator Bob Brown, and Senator H.W. Hammond

Members Excused: None

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Andrea Merrill, Legislative Researcher

Announcements/Discussion: None

DISCUSSION ON HOUSE BILL 28

Chairman Hammond stated that the Committee waited all day yesterday, for the Taxation Committee to come up with something on the sales tax, so the Committee could amend into HB 28. He said the sales tax didn't look like it was an option anymore. Chairman Hammond indicated that Senator Mazurek had a proposal to present to the Committee.

Senator Mazurek stated that his proposal included pieces from each plan that the Committee had before them. The proposal would do the following which were broken down by cost and revenue. Senator Mazurek stated that the Committee would take the bill before them (HB 28), and they would fund the schedules at 90% of the 1988 actual expenditures for general fund and comprehensive insurance. He said that would represent about 85% state funding. Then, they would use the guaranteed tax base for the following purposes: 1.) Use it to fund retirement. The low levy, in higher wealth districts, would not be penalized. They would stay where they are but we would subsidize the low wealth districts. 2.) Use the guaranteed tax base to allow a permissive levy up to 95% of 1988 expenditures statewide. Senator

Mazurek explained that beyond the 95%, you would be on your own tax base. Another words, you would use the quaranteed tax base to get to 95%. Senator Mazurek restated that this proposal would not penalize the rich districts. Senator Mazurek then went on to say the caps would be at 130% and the districts would be frozen above that amount. He said that he had concerns about this particular point, because it did not address equalization as much as the Committee should. Senator Mazurek explained that the proposal would be funded with a 6% surcharge on income. (They would continue to use 100 mills with flat tax incentive rates.) they would take the Education Trust Flow for one year and the Coal Tax interest. They would have to increase the percentage of income tax allocated to schools to generate \$11 million.

Senator Mazurek stated that the cost for that proposal was \$144.6 million. He then gave a run down on the calculations he had, for each projection. mills with the flat tax represented \$110.9 million. The 6% surcharge represented \$16.4 million. Education Trust Flow represented \$2.6 million. of the soft side of the Coal Tax interest, in 1991, would be \$4.5 million (the McLaughlin Center money had already been deducted). The income tax reallocation would be \$11 million and that would come to \$144 million. Senator Mazurek stated that as a technical matter, the last two items were taking \$14.5 million from the \$56 million ending fund balance, and it would reduce the ending fund balance to around \$31 million. Senator Mazurek thought that's where they wanted to be at the end of regular session.

Motion: Senator Mazurek moved the amendments.

Discussion: Chairman Hammond said the he could not accept the 100 mills. He explained that the 100 mills in eastern Montana would place an additional 23 to 40 mills on the people living there. He said the people are already in partnership with the banks. He stated, "You say this isn't...an increase tax bill. It all depends on who you are and where you are." Senator Hammond went on to explain that the people in eastern Montana would not only have pay for the additional mills but they would also have to do without much of the education which had created this problem because those small schools are going to be capped. He said they were not going to be capped because they have better educational programs than anyone else, (they are already inferior to many other school districts). Senator Hammond explained that eastern Montana school

districts won't be able to use one dime of the money, that they cough-up, in order to help their own children. He stated they would be forced to send the money elsewhere, to help school districts who already have enough, get even more. Senator Hammond expressed that he would not support anything above 85 mills because it would still be costly to his school districts.

- Senator Mazurek explained that there may be a problem. The millage figures he had been using with the flat tax reduction were too low. He said he may be off \$12 million on the flat tax figure. Senator Mazurek said that it would be resolved by increasing the surcharge or reallocating the income tax money.
- Senator Regan said she had asked a fiscal analyst to run some figures for her to show an analysis of the various districts. She wanted to know how many mills were currently being levied, and what the percentage of districts were levied at 100 mills or more? (SEE EXHIBIT 25) Senator Regan stated that the figures speak for themselves. She explained that there was only 15% (elementary) of the population that levied less than 100 mills and in high school the figure was 10%.
- Chairman Hammond stated that wealth neutrality was not the intent of the Supreme Court decision. He said it seemed to be the area the Committee spent most of their time on. Chairman Hammond explained that as long as you use the average, as the guaranteed tax base does, of all the schools and categories, you would continue to dig a deeper hole for those smaller schools. Chairman Hammond said, "I am going to present the Cobb amendment which would use the average of each category, to keep that from becoming even more severe." He said that it was a consolidation move, if they chose not to use the Cobb amendment.
- Senator Pinsoneault asked Chairman Hammond what was his counter proposal? Chairman Hammond replied that he would vote for the 85 mills and he did like certain parts of the guaranteed tax base. He said he was trying to keep from destroying a part of the population. He said that he didn't think that it was the intent of the courts to destroy people when they made the decision.
- Chairman Hammond stated Senator Mazurek's motion was probably made prematurely.

The Committee requested a 15 minute recess.

Andrea Merrill suggested that the Committee use exhibit 15 and move the issues they thought would be the most beneficial. She said that the Committee could reconsider everything that conflicted with Senator Mazurek's proposal. (SEE EXHIBIT 15)

Senator Mazurek withdrew his motion.

Motion: Senator Mazurek moved to keep amendments 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 15 from exhibit 15.

Discussion: Senator Mazurek said that the motion he just made would remove (because of HB 6) 3% out of the base; it would remove the Daily amendment; remove one time Coal Tax parks maintenance diversion; it would keep Senator Pinsoneault's amendments regarding the sales tax; it would keep Senator Brown's bonus payments; it would keep Senator Farrell's motion to require use of cash reappropriated to reduce levies; it would not include all the decisions made on funding, the mandatory permissives, the flat tax, and the 100 mills. Senator Mazurek stated that the funding would be taken care of with his replacement amendments.

Amendment, Discussion, and Votes: The question was called for and a voice vote was taken. The motion carried unanimously.

Motion: Senator Mazurek moved to fund the schedules at 90% of `88 actuals for comprehensive insurance and general fund; use the guaranteed tax base to fund retirement (the cost of that would be \$137.5 million and it represents about 80% of the total spending for 1988); revenue would be at 100 mills, with a flat tax on oil, gas, and coal at 8.4% for oil, 5% for coal, and 15.25% for gas, He said there would be a 8% surcharge on individual income tax and on corporation income tax; the Education Trust Flow for year at \$3.6 million; 15% of the Coal Tax money for one year (the McLaughlin Center money had already been deducted from the figure); \$14.5 million reallocation on income tax.

Senator Mazurek presented the figures to the Committee. 100 mills (with flat tax) would generate \$88.6 million; an 8% surcharge would generate \$21.9 million; Education Trust Flow would generate \$3.6 million; 15% of the coal money would generate \$5.0 million; income tax surcharge on corporations would raise \$4.0; increase in income tax reallocation would raise \$14.5. The total of

\$137.6 million.

- <u>Discussion:</u> Senator Brown stated that basically, the motion the Committee had now, was slightly scaled down from SB 26. Senator Brown stated his support of the motion.
- Senator Farrell asked Senator Mazurek where the numbers were for the corporate surtax? Senator Mazurek said, "The corporation tax now, raises approximately \$50 million per year. That was \$500,000 per percentage would be what the surcharge would raise." He said there seemed to be a concern about taking too much money out of the general fund. He said they were taking close to \$20 million of the \$56 million ending fund balance. Senator Mazurek explained that the figure was still above where they wanted to be at the end of regular session. He stated that it seemed fair to put 8% on corporations as well. Particularly, since the Senate had already reduced the personal property tax to at least 6%.
- Chairman Hammond said that the 6% may have passed the Senate, but it had not advanced anywhere. Chairman Hammond explained that the leadership, from both sides of the isle, wanted to get together and see if they could come up with something on the bill.
- Senator Regan stated that she appreciated the leadership's interest to work on the bill, but the Senate Education Committee had been working on the bill since January 1, 1989. She suggested to the Committee, to present them with a proposal and they could go to caucuses and discuss it. Then, they could hammer it out on the floor or ask the Committee to make some changes.
- Senator Mazurek expressed to the Committee that he was not pleased with the bill. He wanted to give the plaintiffs a proposal that would satisfy them and handle the lawsuit. Senator Mazurek explained that they still have problems with the equalization because of the caps but at least the bill was a step in the right direction.
- Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: The question had been called for and roll call vote was taken. The motion carried with Senator Farrell, Senator Anderson, and Senator Hammond voting no.
- Andrea Merrill explained what the technical amendments were. She stated much of the technical amendments would be geared towards cleaning up the guaranteed tax base. She said that some of the technical amendments were

suggested by OPI, such as the Gage clean-up amendment. Ms. Merrill did not have the technical amendments available to submit into the record.

Madalyn Quinlan asked if the Committee had addressed when the surtax would go into effect? Senator Mazurek stated that he was only counting one year worth of revenue. He said it would be January 1, 1990.

Motion: Senator Blaylock moved the technical amendments.

Discussion: None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: The question was called for and a voice vote was taken. The motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Hammond called for a recess subject to the call of the chair.

The Committee assembled at 3:34 p.m.

Chairman Hammond explained to the Committee that they needed to pass HB 28 out of Committee or to table it.

Senator Mazurek stated that Big Horn County Schools had a problem with the pay back of a flat tax. He said that districts may have voted a levy in 1988, but they did not actually use the money. Senator Mazurek wanted to make a technical correction to take care of that problem.

Senator Mazurek also wanted to make a technical amendment regarding the coal distribution. He wanted to fix the coal distribution so it was handled like oil. He wanted to make that distribution based on tonnage rather than a unit production value. He said that would force that money back to the taxing jurisdiction, on basis of tons produced in that district.

Senator Gage agreed to help Andrea Merrill with the technical amendment concerning coal distribution.

Motion: Senator Mazurek moved that the distribution formula of the flat tax rate on coal conform to what was used with oil so it was allocated back within the right taxing jurisdiction.

Discussion: None

- Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: The question had been called for and a voice vote was taken. The motion carried unanimously.
- Greg Groepper explained the problem in Big Horn County. He said the problem was that they had approved some mills, but they did not levy them, because they were able to use PL 874 monies. Mr. Groepper stated that Hardin School District might be a winner or they might be loser. He said they would like to try and give that school district credit for using PL 874 money. He suggested they could somehow convert PL 874 monies into mills and ask the county treasure to take that into consideration. He stated that way, they would not be penalized if they held down their mill levy by using the PL 874 funds.
- Mr. Groepper stated that he would help Andrea Merrill with the technical amendment.
- Senator Mazurek suggested that they wait and offer this amendment on the floor.
- Motion: Senator Mazurek moved HB 28 to BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED.

Discussion: None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: None

Recommendation and Vote: The question was called for and a roll call vote was taken. The motion carried with Senator Farrell, Senator Anderson, and Senator Hammond voting no.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 11:55 P.M.

SENATOR H.W. HAMMOND, Chairman

HH/jj

ROLL CALL

| EDUCATION | C | :(| Э. | М | Ų. | 1 | I | Ί | 'I | Έ | Ε |
|-----------|---|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

DATE 7//

51st LEGISLATIVE SESSION 1989

| NAME | PRESENT | ABSENT | EXCUSED |
|---------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| SENATOR NATHE | V | | |
| SENATOR BLAYLOCK | ,~ | | |
| SENATOR BROWN | | | |
| SENATOR PINSONEAULT | | | |
| SENATOR FARRELL | V | | |
| SENATOR REAGAN | V | | |
| SENATOR ANDERSON | <u></u> | | |
| SENATOR MAZUREK | ₩ | | |
| SENATOR HAMMOND | <u></u> | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Each day attach to minutes.

THERE WERE ONLY 4 EXHIBITS FOR THIS DAY. THEY ARE NUMBERED 15, 23, 25, AND 26.

EXHIBIT NO. 15

DATE 7/8/29

SHL NO. MB 28

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 28, 3RD READING COPY SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

July 7, 1989

Prepared by Committee Staff

- 1. Motion by Sen. Blaylock to remove from HB 28 the coordination instruction in section 97 which would have added any approved foundation program schedule increase for FY 1990 into the schedules in HB 28. Motion passed, 6 2
- 2. Motion by Sen. Farrell to reduce the statewide mill levy from 45 mills to 30 mills (for total of 85 mills). Motion failed, 6 3
- 3. Motion by Sen. Farrell to reduce the statewide mill levy from 45 mills to 35 mills (for total of 90 mills). Motion failed, 5 4
- 4. Motion by Sen. Farrell to reduce the statewide mill levy from 45 mills to 40 mills (for total of 95 mills). Motion passed, 5 4
- 5. Motion by Sen. Farrell to reduce the overschedule permissive levy to a level that combined with the foundation program amount would bring districts to 90% of average FY 1988 expenditures without a voted levy, rather than 100%. Motion failed, 8 1
- 6. Motion by Sen Mazurek to change the permissive levy to a phased-in mandatory obligation of districts. Districts would be required to finance a nonvoted amount equal to 10% of the district foundation program amount in FY 1991 and 20% of the foundation program amount thereafter. Motion passed, 5 4
- 7. Motion by Sen. Farrell to remove the "Daily" exemption from the expenditure cap. Motion passed, 8 1
- 8. Motion by Sen. Regan to remove the provision which would have temporarily diverted the coal severance tax parks acquisition fund (5%) to state equalization aid. Motion passed, 6 2
- 9. Motion by Sen. Pinsoneault to add to the bill title language that would indicate that the income tax surcharge was "in lieu of a general sales tax". Motion passed 8 1
- 10. Motion by Sen. Pinsoneault to add to the statement of intent language stating that the income tax surcharge is not intended to provide a long-term solution to the school equalization problems. Motion passed, 7 1
- 11. Motion by Sen. Pinsoneault to add as a duty for the legislative oversight committee in the bill the "assessment

of administrative costs of public school services in Montana." Motion passed, unanimous

- 12. Motion by Sen. Brown to make effective for FY 1990 the increased bonus payments for districts which consolidated in FY 1989. Motion passed, unanimous
- 13. Motion by Sen. Nathe to reduce the local government severance tax rates on oil and gas to the levels in SB 26 (oil-8.4%; gas-15.25%; strippers 4.2%)
- 14. Substitute motion by Sen. Mazurek to lower the rates, but also restore the statewide levy to 45 mills (100 mills total). Motion passed, 5 4
- 15. Motion by Sen. Farrell to require districts to use any cash reappropriated from a previous fiscal year for first reducing the voted levy, then the mandatory overschedule amount, then any other district levies.

 Motion passed, 8 1

JUNE 22, 1989

A SUMMARY OF SCHOOL FUNDING EQUALIZATION PROPOSALS:

JUNE 19, 1989 - SPECIAL SESSION

Prepared for the

House Education and Cultural Resources Committee Senate Education and Cultural Resources Committee

by

Andrea Merrill, Staff Researcher, Legislative Council

Dave Cogley, Staff Attorney, Legislative Council

Revised June 20, 1989

(BILL NUMBERS ADDED JUNE 22, 1989)

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN CHART

ANB -- average number belonging

Bldg./debt -- district debt service, building fund, building reserve

CPI -- Consumer Price Index

Elem. -- elementary schools

FP -- Foundation Program

FY -- school fiscal year (i.e., FY 91 = school fiscal year beginning July 1, 1990)

GAAP -- Generally accepted accounting principles

GF -- school district general fund for operation and maintenance

G.T.B. -- Guaranteed tax base

H.S. -- high schools

I.T. -- income tax

M -- Million

PERS - Public Employees' Retirement System

SS -- Social Security

Spec. ed. -- special education

Transp. -- transportation

TRS -- Teachers' Retirement System

UI -- unemployment insurance

CURRENT

Mandatory county
retirement levy for
TRS, PERS, SS, UI;
using lottery for
equalization (25mill ave.)

sive insurance (5-mill ave.) Allowable district LShet Bar

FP schedule funding not based on actual costs of operating school. & increases by legislature (1% since FY 86)

Increased by & shown value per ANB in low retirement, transp., wealth districts up in #11. Additional supported by FP by to statewide mill equalization aid subsidizing mill and GF costs not provided for P schedule

HB 28, SCHYE SB 7, MAZUREK

Eliminate levy. State aid to fund district's actual costs. Spec. ed. Separate fund. allowable cost retirement as

guaranteed for levy

in low wealth

current law except

mill value

No change from

RAMIREZ KADAS/ HB 39 counties. Spec. ed retirement funded

rom retirement

levy. Lottery

diverted to state

equalization aid

Insurance in GF. 100% of 1988 costs in FP schedule

Eliminate levy.

Insurance in GF.

Eliminate levy.

FP schedules for FY insurance (minus PL 874) and 100% of 91 based on: 90% of districts' 1988 GF (minus spec. ed. & PL 874) and retirement

100% of districts'

insurance, plus

1988 GF and

FP schedules for FX 91 based on

FY 90. Include 701 of Pt 874 in base any & increase for

value per ANB

deficiency levy for greater than 10% of ed. retirement not funded through FP Retirement in GF, retirement costs Insurance in GF allowable cost districts with schedule with FP schedule.

FP schedules based on desire to equalize funding for Eliminate levy 803 OF FY 91

IB 45 (GOVERNOR'S)

HANNAH

deficiency levy for

Retirement in GF, funded through FP

SB 20 NATHE

greater than 10% of FP schedule. Spec.

retirement costs

districts with schedule with

ed. retirement as

allowable cost

Eliminate levy.
Insurance in GF. 90%
of '88 costs in FP schedule base projected costs for GF, insurance, and retirement

EX alcurrent

Mandatory 45-mill levy, collected at county (28 mills elem., 17 mills h.s.)

For elem. (6 mills) & H.S. (4 mills) to fund 20% of

schedules

expenditure limitations (except for 1-105) No statutory 1930

permissive levy with permissive amount of approx. 90% of ave. '88 expenditure) permissive levy up to 25% of schedule amount (for total schedule and overschedule

but recapiure of 2% of budget over 166% of FP schedule No GF budget limit, amount for each 1% by which budget exceeds 166%

Maximum GF budget limited to 117% of

schedules, spec.

ed, & retire-

Districts

ment.

with FY 88 GF, insurance over retirement, &

SB 7, MAZUREK HB 28, SCHYE

county. Collect 45 Collect 47 elem. 28 h.s. mills at state mills. Effective FY 91

No change in county

RAMIREZ KADAS/ HB 39 levy. Collect 50 Effective FY 91.

state mills.

permissive levy. Effective FY 91 Eliminate

Replace current

SB 20 NATHE

at county. Effective FY 91 Collect 46.67 elem. mills & 28.33 mills

county. Effective FY 91

Collect 53 elem. mills & 32 mills at

HB 45 (GOVERNOR'S)

HANNAH

Eliminate permissive levy. Effective FY

Eliminate permissive levy. Effective FY

> plus spec. ed). Districts with FY88 GF, retirement, & insurare frozen; PL 874 \$ FP (schedule amount limited to 130% of excluded from cap. Exempt from I-105 rance budget over 130% of FY 91 FP Maximum GF budget

from cap. Exempt

from I-105

are frozen. PL 874 \$ excluded from

1178 of FY 91 FP

cap. Exempt from I-105

insurance or 125% of FP schedule amount. year budgets for GF, limited to either 104% of previous PL 874 \$ excluded Maximum GF budget retirement, &

| 23 | 28 |
|---------|----|
| #: | 罕 |
| Exhibit | 8 |

HB 45 (GOVERNOR'S)

HANNAH

| KADAS/ | RAMIREZ | НВ 39 | |
|--------|---------|-------|--|
| | | | |

CURRENT

State revenue sources earmarked

equalization aid

for state

Current sources plus lottery, increased coal tax allocation, increased individual 50-mill state levy, 5% income surtax, income tax allocation

HB 28, SCHYE SB 7, MAZUREK

state equalization aid. Effective FY 45-mill state Levy and lottery to

I.T. surkax continued, lottery

Revenue from minimum

Lottery to FP.

property, in lieu of C.A. taxes for voted

deficiency levy

and retirement

elem. mills and 26 district equaliza-

tion levy of 44

H.S. mills on C.A.

cation. Effective FY revenue, coal tax & interest reallo-SB 20 NATHE

state equalization current law except aid to offset tax

No change from

current law except state equalization

No change from

aid to offset tax

delinquencies

delinquencies

current law except state equalization aid to offset tax No change from delinquencies

current law except state equalization aid to offset tax No change from delinquencies PL 874 excluded from cap until equaliza-tion allowed under federal law. Part of

PL 874 excluded from

federal law. Part of cap until equaliza-tion allowed under

35% if no state aid; 30% if aid 1/4 or Reserve limited to: study

revenues received from basic levies, federal forest Grazing, motor vehicle, misc. funds, Taylor

PL 874 not counted as resource for equalization

PL 874 not subject to recapture

35% if no state aid; 30% if aid 1/4 or less of FP; 20% if aid over 1/4 of FP Reserve limited to:

federal law. Part PL 874 excluded Reserve limited from cap until allowed under equalization of study

aid 1/4 or less of FP; 20% if aid to: 35% if no state aid; 30% if over 1/4 of FP

Reserve limited to: study

10.

General fund reserve

limit of 35%; no exceeding limit

penalty for

County equalization

. 8

6

35% if no state aid; 30% if aid 1/4 or less of FP; 20% if aid over 1/4 of FP

less of FP; 20% if aid over 1/4 of FP

| Exhibit # 23 7/8/89 HB 28 HB 45 (GOVERNOR'S) | No change in structure. Schedules increased by 46% for elem. & H.S. | Funding for only 180 PI days, plus 7 PIR | 20% state equalization aid in July, then 7% monthly | Interim study of needs & methods of equalization |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| SB 20 NATHE | No change in structure, except 9% increase for elem. over 300 ANB. Schedules increased by 44.57% for elem.; 52.85% for h.s. | Funding for only 180 PI days, plus 7 PIR | 20% state equalization aid in July, then 7% monthly | Interim study of needs & methods of equalization |
| HB 28, SCHYE SB 7, MAZUREK | No change in structure. No extra increase for some categories. Increased by 51% for elem.; 60% for h.s. | Funding for only 180 PI days, plus 7 PIR | 20% state equalization aid in July, then 7% monthly | Interim study of needs & methods of equalization |
| KADAS/ RAMIREZ HB 39 | No change in structure. Schedules increased 4% for FY 90 and 8.51% elem. (with additional 9% increase for elem. over 300 ANB) and 16.32% H.S. for FY 91 (FY 91 increase is from FY 89 | Funding for only 180 PI days, plus 7 PIR | 20% state equalization aid in July, then 7% monthly. Includes both FP schedule payment and GTB aid | Interim study of needs & methods of equalization |
| CURRENT | FP structure & schedules based on school size | Minimum 180 day school year with no maximum; no limit on days creates diseequity in FP payments | Current state equalization aid payment schedule is 5 times per year | Building/debt service not equalized |

12.

| 7/8/89 HB 28 | HB 45 (GOVERNOR'S) HANNAH |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| | SB 20 NATHE |
| | HB 28, SCHYE SB 7, MAZUREK |
| | KADAS/ RAMIREZ HB 39 |
| | |

SB 20 NATHE

capped at 1988 costs unless OPI approval. State funds 100% of transp. schedules. Over-schedule amt.

No change from current law

Interim study: \$11.56 in bill

No change from current law. No appropriation

retirement costs for FY 91. \$4.3M in bill State funds allowable costs for FY 90, 91, plus

of transp. schedules. No cap. Interim study

State funds 100%

HB 28, SCHYE SB 7, MAZUREK

Transportation program separate

15.

CURRENT

amount capped at '88 value guaranteed for transp. levy in low wealth districts. state funds half of Schedules revised

costs unless OPI former schedule

approval. Mill

Interim study

retirement costs State funds

able costs -

in bill \$33.862M in HB 100. Spec. ed. retirement State funds allownot allowable cost

for FY 91. \$4.3M

Special ed. part of GF and FP; actual

costs not fully

funded; separate accounting and OPI

oversight

CURRENT

Additional components

17.

RAMIREZ нв 39 KADAS/

Same as LC 0001 plus personal property. Institutes flat tax revises classificarate on net & gross oil, f gas. Abolishes education proceeds on coal, tion and taxable rate of real and trust fund.

SB 7, MAZUREK HB 28, SCHYE

Legislative

funding. Protested tax settlements training funds in bonus payments to GAAP accounting-districts. Teleadopt rules for communications mplementation bill. Increase \$20,000); OPI reserve limits committee for exempt from studies and oversight enlarged

SB 20 NATHE

implementation (\$20,000); OPI adopt rules for GAAP accounting--training funds in bill. payments to enlarged oversight committee exempt from reserve funding. Protested tax settlements districts. Telefor studies and Increase bonus communications Legislative limits.

accounting--training funds in bill.

settlements exempt

Protested tax

implementation \$20,000); OPI adopt rules for GAAP

oversight committee

Legislative

for studies and

HB 45 (GOVERNOR'S)

HANNAH

C.A. property - 44 mills elem., 26 H.S.; if 80% of all voted & retirement

levy mills exceeds 70 mills, taxpayer gets refund from

equalization levy on from reserve limits.

District

New plan effective for FY 91. Study effective July 1, 1989. OPI rules prior to FY 91

New plan effective for FY 91. Study effective July 1,

New plan effective for FY 91. Study effective July 1, 1989. OPI rules on GAAP prior to FY 91

Phase-in

8.

1989. OPI rules prior to FY 91.

New plan effective for FY 91. Study effective July 1,

1989

M5024 9171AMIIA

SEDATE EDUCATION

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR

07/10/89

12:08 PM MILLANAL.WK1

| ANALYSIS OF DISTRICT MILL LEVIES | | DISTRICTS WITH LESS MORE MILLS | ANB MORE WITH LESS MILLS | * DISTRICTS WITH LESS MORE | % ANB WITH LESS MOR€ MILLS |
|----------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| 65 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| THE WILL TIS ELEMENTARY | 39 | 349 | 103,672 | 91.361% | 99.316% |
| 1225 FOHIGH SCHOOL | 26 | 160 | 44,372 | 98.160% | 98.754% |
| 75 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| 37 23ELEMENTARY | 45 | 324 | 100,667 | 84.817% | 96,437% |
| 1096 HIGH SCHOOL | 30 | 154 | 43,840 | 94.479% | 97.570% |
| 85 TOTAL MILLS | | | • | | • |
| 7477 ELEMENTARY | 51 | 283 | 96,918 | 74.084% | 92.846% |
| 1951 HIGH SCHOOL | 34 | 146 | 42,981 | 89.571% | 95.658% |
| 95 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| 3,05belementary | 57 | 237 | 91,334 | 62.042% | 87.496% |
| HIGH SCHOOL اعاق | | 137 | 41,271 | 84.049% | 91.852% |
| 100 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| 14,896 ELEMENTARY | 60 | 212 | 89,494 | 55.497% | 85.734% |
| 4430HIGH SCHOOL | 40 | 132 | 40,502 | 80.982% | 90.141% |
| 110 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| 19.745ELEMENTARY | 66 | 171 | 84,645 | 44.764% | 81.088% |
| 5100HIGH SCHOOL | 44 | 123 | 39,832 | 75.460% | 88.650% |
| 120 TOTAL MILLS | | | | | |
| 27,339 ELEMENTARY | 72 | 151 | 82,051 | 39.529% | 78.603% |
| 6517 HIGH SCHOOL | 48 | 114 | 38,415 | 69.9398 | 85.496% |
| 1 | | · | , | 07.7074 | 03.7708 |

ANB Encollment Elementary 104,390 High School 44,932 Total 149,322

EUNIBIT NO. 26

DATE 7/11/89 - 56 #628 90%; GTB Ret \$ 137.5 8000 Atotal - 1948 Revenue: 100 mills (flat try) 8°10 much 28.6 21.9 Ed Trust Flow 3.6 5.0 15, 16 coul One · Tox realocation 14,5 8% corp 4.0 No 1 time purhe Suchaje

SENATE EDUCATION

DATE 7/1/89 COMMITTEE ON Education

| • | WTGTMODG! DUGTGMOD | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| | VISITORS' REGISTER | | Check (| One . |
| NAME | REPRESENTING | BILL # | Support (| Oppo |
| Ken Williams | Entech | | | |
| Lew BERRY | 1/ | | | |
| GENE PALLIPS | PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT | | | |
| Gordon Morris | maco | | | |
| Meggeor Watering | trustee - Helena 50 % | | |) |
| Hy & Sporing | OPT | | | |
| Steve Brown | Indian Impact School | \$ | | |
| Tucker Hill | Champion Int' | | | ** |
| Ted Dove | ASARCO | | | |
| Jess W Long O | SAM | | | 1.5 |
| Sirl mari | Lucy Schools. | | | |
| Cionelle Tallan | MPA | | | |
| Marme audurer | Mul Huter Er P. Pur | | | |
| W. a. Robbi | Tel Hast Coal Cu | | | |
| Torry Minow | MT 1 Traches | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | ** |
| | | | | 404 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | bora |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | |

ROLL CALL VOTE

| SENATE COMMITTEE EDUCATION | | · | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------|
| Date 7/1/89 | Bill No. | <u> 1828</u> T | ime <u>//:50</u> |
| VAME | | YES | NO |
| SENATOR ANDERSON | ette anna e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | V |
| SENATOR BROWN | | 1 | |
| SENATOR BLAYLOCK | | - | |
| SENATOR FARRELL | | | |
| SENATOR REGAN | | ~ | |
| SENATOR NATHE | | 1 | |
| SENATOR PINSONEAULT | | 1 | |
| SENATOR MAZUREK | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | |
| SENATOR HAMMOND | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| JAELENE JOHNSON | н.w | . HAMMOND | |
| Secretary | Chairman | | |
| Motion: Smotor Maz proposal. The | wek me | wed it | io |
| proposal. The | notion a | mied | 6 203 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | |

ROLL CALL VOTE

| ME | | YES | 00// |
|---|--|-------------|-------|
| SENATOR ANDERSON | 9,000 July 1980 July | | / |
| SENATOR BROWN | | 1 | |
| SENATOR BLAYLOCK | | 1 | |
| SENATOR FARRELL | | | 1 |
| SENATOR REGAN | | 1 | · |
| SENATOR NATHE | | | |
| SENATOR PINSONEAULT | | 1 | |
| SENATOR MAZUREK | | | |
| SENATOR HAMMOND | | | 1 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| JAELENE JOHNSON | | .w. HAMMOND | |
| ecretary otion: Senator Maz Concurred in a The Motion case | Chairm und mai | | To Be |