

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE  
51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION  
COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

Call to Order: By Chairman William E. Farrell, on April 6, 1989, at 10:00 a.m., Room 331, Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Senator Hubert Abrams, Senator John Anderson, Jr., Senator Esther Bengtson, Senator William E. Farrell, Senator Ethel Harding, Senator Sam Hofman, Senator Paul Rapp-Svrcek, Senator Tom Rasmussen, Senator Eleanor Vaughn

Members Excused: None

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Eddy McClure

HEARING ON HJR 35

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Norm Wallin distributed amended copies of HJR35 to the committee members. He indicated that it is interesting to review Montana's association with Taiwan, and that the relationship goes back a long way. Representative Wallin related the history of Taiwan, and indicated that, although there is no longer an ambassador to Taiwan, Montana still maintains a friendship with that country, noting that Taiwan has a favorable trade balance.

He reported that, in 1985, Governor Schwinden signed a sister relationship with Taiwan, and noted that Governor Stephens has promised to continue that relationship, and has promised to stay on the best of terms with the government of Taiwan.

Representative Wallin indicated the bill was amended in the House, that the original bill failed 48 to 46, because they were concerned with what the People's Republic of China would construe our actions to be. He reported that the House amended out the references to official status, and narrowed

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 2 of 11

it down to a statement of good will to encourage trade. He noted that anything along that line would be helpful.

He further indicated they are not looking for credit, that they have strong capabilities, on their own. He stated that, even though the bill has been watered down a great deal, it will still send them a message of good will, noting that, as amended, the bill received a vote of 87 to 7 in the House.

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group they Represent:

Everett M. Snortland, Director, Montana Department of  
Agriculture

Bob Ellerd, Governor Stephens' Office

Testimony:

Mr. Snortland's written testimony is attached as Exhibit 1.

Testimony:

Mr. Ellerd stated that he is representing himself, as well as the Governor's office, and indicated that the bill, as it is today, shows continuing interest. He reported he was impressed with what he saw, that the people are trying to help themselves, and do well as an independent nation, noting that, whatever we can do, would certainly help.

Mr. Ellerd reported that he visited Taiwan as a guest of the government, that he toured the islands, and could find no remnants of the Japanese fortifications. He indicated there seems to be no bad feelings or, if there were, they kept it to themselves. He stated that he thinks Montana can have a very strong relationship with them, and that the resolution will help to show them that the people of Montana appreciate the trade with them, and would like to continue to do so.

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

Q. Senator Rapp-Svrcek asked Mr. Snortland if the Department of Agriculture is discouraging efforts at establishing a relationship with the People's Republic of China.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 3 of 11

- A. Mr. Snortland responded they are not discouraging any type of relationship with the People's Republic of China, or Korea, etc. He indicated they realize these types of things are necessary to open the doors to future relationships, and that he thinks Montana will profit from it. He noted that, the way the bill is amended, it should not be offensive.
- Q. Senator Hofman asked Representative Wallin what the difference was in the language, as drafted.
- A. Representative Wallin responded that, when the ambassador was withdrawn in 1979, it was with the understanding that there would not be two Chinese governments. He reported that President Carter decided it would have to be the People's Republic of China, noting that Montana does most of their business with Taiwan, and very little with the People's Republic of China. He indicated that it is a very delicate position, and the wording had to be changed so as not to offend the government. He noted it was the Governor's feeling that we should very strongly endorse the Republic of China, and Taiwan, and this resolution is intended to let them know we really like them, and want their business.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Wallin indicated he would have liked to stay with the original bill, but that it still has value.

Chairman Farrell announced the hearing on HJR35 as closed.

DISPOSITION OF HJR 35

Discussion:

Senator Hofman offered a motion that HJR35 be concurred in. Senator Rasmussen indicated he is disappointed that the bill did not come as originally written.

Recommendation and Vote:

Motion passed by the committee that HJR35 be concurred in.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 4 of 11

HB 543

Discussion:

Chairman Farrell announced that the amendments to HB543 have been prepared, and asked Ms. McClure to explain the amendments, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 2. Ms. McClure asked Linda King to explain the details of the amendments.

Ms. King summarized the amendments, indicating that everyone is eligible for Medicare Part A, but that a small group of people, those not covered by Social Security, have to pay for Part A, in order to have pre-paid Medicare Part A. She explained that the amendments will provide for a supplemental benefit, paid through the Highway Patrol retirement system, to that group of people, equal to what they would have to pay out of their pocket, in order to pay their Medicare Part A, noting it will provide more money, per month, than the previous program. She noted that will circumvent the problems of re-opening the state health care plan to retirees who were previously excluded.

Chairman Farrell noted that the retired police and fire fighters are provided with a supplemental benefit, and that this is a way to provide this health care coverage, without re-opening the health insurance plan. He added that a lot of money would be involved in opening that up.

Senator Rasmussen asked if it is raised by \$.25. Chairman Farrell responded yes, instead of \$.50. He then asked Ms. McClure to go through the amendments, section by section, so that everyone understands.

Ms. McClure went through amendments. Ms. King noted that they expect the \$.25 to be enough to cover the 172 people who are currently retired. She indicated that, when they know the actual expense, and how many people are retired, who need this, they might be able to reduce it further.

Senator Hofman asked if, instead of paying one-half, they will pay it all, but only for the retirees.

Chairman Farrell responded that it will be for the retiree or surviving spouse. He indicated that, as it came from the House, the surviving spouse was written out of the bill. He added that, originally, it was to pay 50% of the premium for the state plan, but that would have involved more people.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 5 of 11

Ms. King indicated that, also, it would grow, that they would not be paying one-half of the premium just for those who came back in, for also for anyone hired or retired before March, 1986. Chairman Farrell asked Representative Connelly if that is what she understood.

Representative Connelly responded yes, and that they felt it would take care of the people. She added that they like the idea of having the surviving spouse, that they would need that also, noting they had not talked to reducing it to \$.25, but that is fine, if they feel it would be enough money. Chairman Farrell responded that he is going on their figures. Ms. King indicated they think it will be more than enough.

Senator Rasmussen asked Mr. Ashley if he feels the integrity of the pension system has been fractured, or are they okay.

Mr. Ashley responded that he thinks the amendments give a very small group of individuals a significant increase in their benefit, noting that the PRB has always taken the position that they do not oppose it, if it is funded, and this is. He indicated that the group which would benefit is the Highway Patrol retirees who are 65 years of age, or older, or disabled, and who are self-paying their Medicare Part A. He added that they are talking about a small number of eligible people, and it gives them a very significant benefit. He indicated that the bill is not as onerous as before, that it keeps the integrity of the health plan in place, noting the retirement system is fragmented, anyway. Mr. Ashley stated that he does not think this will set a precedent they can not live with, two years down the road.

Senator Harding offered a motion that HB543, as amended, be concurred in.

Recommendation and Vote:

Motion passed by the committee that HB543, as amended, be concurred in.

HB 730

Discussion:

Mr. Doug Mitchell distributed copies of the proposed amendments to HB730, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 3.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 6 of 11

Chairman Farrell asked Mr. Mitchell to explain the proposed amendments.

Mr. Mitchell indicated that one of the provisions of the bill is that the Secretary of State's office mail out the voter information pamphlets, and that it was amended, in the House, to describe how that is to be done. He explained that the wording does not help in the event of special elections, that they would be mandated to develop a list, but the clerk and recorders are not mandated to provide the information in a timely manner. He indicated that the amendment only addresses special elections, and allows them to determine rules under which the clerk and recorders would send the information to the Secretary of State. He indicated they expect to be able to work it out with the clerk and recorders, and that they felt it was not appropriate to include wording, because they do not know when special elections will be held. He added that the title has been changed to provide an effective date of July 1, in the case of a special election this November.

Senator Bengtson offered a motion to adopt the amendments to HB730.

Amendments and Vote:

Motion passed by the committee that the amendments to HB730 be adopted.

Discussion:

Senator Vaughn offered a motion that HB730, as amended, be concurred in.

Senator Hofman indicated he is confused as to the funding, and asked how much general fund money would be spent. Mr. Mitchell responded that the impact will be around \$80,000, but that they want to try to eat as much as they can in their budget.

Senator Harding stated that she will have to resist this, that she has to resist anything which takes anything away from local government. She noted that she is not concerned that this is saving tax dollars to the state. She indicated that maybe she should be in favor of consolidating small counties, that they are going to be paying for the voter information to be mailed out of Helena and, as far as the taxpayer, it is tax dollars, but stated that she resists taking control away from the local level. Senator Harding added that, if they really

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 7 of 11

want to consolidate, they should do that, and not take it piece meal.

Senator Vaughn indicated that she does not think that is the feeling throughout the state, because they would still have their local lists, and would be responsible for registering people. She further indicated that she does not see where they are taking anything away from the local level, that the people she has talked to feel this is a big plus, and do not feel control is being taken away from the local counties.

Senator Hofman indicated the \$10,000 gives him a problem. He pointed out that some counties charge only \$10, that, in his county, it costs \$50 and, in some, \$100. He noted that it would seem to him that someone would think twice about spending 10,000 for a list and, if they can get it somewhere else, they will.

Chairman Farrell stated that it is not unusual to pay so much, that those lists are expensive.

Senator Rapp-Svrcek asked Mr. Mitchell if he has any idea what a similar list would cost from a private firm. Mr. Mitchell responded that is a tough question, because this type of list would not be legal for private firms to deal with. He added that there are different types of data from each county, that they can have the list a lot cheaper but, to have it in usable form, would cost. He noted that the Democrats paid \$42,00, and that the \$10,000 was out of the air, as to how to recoup.

Chairman Farrell asked Sue Bartlett if she has seen the proposed amendments. Ms. Bartlett responded no.

Senator Harding indicated that she thinks the public is sick of getting mailings, and that she does not think they are doing a service to these people, but maybe for national committees.

Chairman Farrell asked Senator Harding if they provided the list to candidates in her county. Senator Harding responded yes, and to every registered elector who wanted one.

Senator Bengtson indicated she would think it is a plus for Montana to be able to disseminate information and names, and that it moves us into the 20th century, adding that this is really a move toward efficiency. She noted that, in all the different counties, the lists are not always correct, and that they have to hire people to mail them out. She pointed out that the lists are being used for more than that, that they

SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 8 of 11

will have a correct voter registration list, and the information goes to every voter.

Ms. Bartlett indicated this is the first opportunity she has had to look at the amendments, noting there has been strong interest in eliminating the rule-making authority of the Secretary of State. She noted that it appears to her that the real concern is addressing the issue of special elections, that it does not cover preparing lists and voter information pamphlets. She indicated that, in the amendments, there is wording regarding special elections or unusual circumstances, which will allow the Secretary of State to determine the manner in which the list or information has to be submitted. She noted that page 5, line 20, has to do with even-year elections, and provides that lists must be provided to the Secretary of State office not later than 30 days prior to the close of registration for the general election. She further indicated that subsection (c) provides for a supplement covering those people who may have registered after the first list went in. She suggested that line 23 could be amended to provide that the supplemental information be submitted no later than 10 days before a general or state-wide election, noting that is something which was overlooked.

Senator Vaughn withdraw her motion to concur as amended, and asked that the committee postpone action on HB730 one day, in order to let the clerk and recorders look at this.

Chairman Farrell reminded the committee that the Senate will be looking to start on HB100 tomorrow. Senator Rapp-Svrcek asked if the committee could meet upon adjournment today. Chairman Farrell announced that the committee will delay executive action on this bill until 2:00 today.

HB 26

Discussion:

Chairman Farrell reported there has been an agreement worked out with the Governor's office and the university system. He reported that the money is already in the general appropriations bill, HB100. He noted this bill was scheduled for hearing, but the Governor and the sponsor asked that the hearing be delayed. He then indicated that, on the day of transmittal, they wanted a hearing on the bill, and were asked to insert some money. Chairman Farrell reported that the



SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION

April 6, 1989

Page 9 of 11

Speaker of the House said it was okay, and that both parties have reached an agreement.

Senator Rapp-Svrcek offered a motion that the amendments to HB26 be adopted. Senator Harding asked if this is going to cost money. Chairman Farrell responded yes, that the money is supposed to be in HB100. Senator Harding asked if that is good. Chairman Farrell responded that it is in HB100, that they have not heard testimony on the money. Senator Hofman noted that he thinks it is in there. Senator Bengtson indicated that she does, too, adding that this is something which has been worked on for a long time.

Senator Harding asked if the schools are in favor of this. Senator Bengtson responded no, not at first, that they fought this, but the auditor has worked it out.

Chairman Farrell noted that Jack Noble was in favor, with the amendments. Senator Hofman indicated that one of the reasons to have this is so they can track the higher education system, noting they get the run around. Senator Bengtson added that they had their own check writing system, as well as purchasing, that there are six facilities, with no tracking at all. She noted that, this way, it will go through the central payroll system.

Amendments and Vote:

Motion carried by the committee that the amendments to HB26 be adopted.

Discussion:

Senator Rapp-Svrcek offered a motion that HB26, as amended, be concurred in.

Recommendation and Vote:

Motion carried by the committee that HB26, as amended, be concurred in.

GOVERNOR'S APPOINTMENTS

Discussion:

Chairman Farrell asked the committee if there are any motions to separate any of the appointments.

Senator Vaughn stated that she is not comfortable with the appointment of John Kinna to the Board of Public Education, but that she does not see any reason to separate that appointment.

Senator Rapp-Svrcek reported that he was approached by a person connected with the Board of Education who indicated that, while Mr. Kinna was recovering, they wanted to send him some information. He further reported that they called the Governor's office, asked for Mr. Kinna's Helena address, and were told to send the information to Mr. Kinna at his Fairfield address. Senator Rapp-Svrcek noted there is still some question as to where Mr. Kinna lives.

Senator Bengtson indicated that she thinks Mr. Kinna owns a place in Fairfield, but that he rents in Helena, adding that he was stricken in Fairfield. She further noted that she would expect he can not get rid of it.

Senator Anderson indicated Mr. Kinna testified that he is a resident of Helena. Senator Bengtson responded that he only has to be here 10 days. Senator Hofman reported that Mr. Kinna was in the hospital in Great Falls, and that he chose to go to Fairfield. He added that, when he talked to Mr. Kinna, he talked about going home, which was here in Helena.

Senator Bengtson offered a motion that the all of the appointments currently before the committee be confirmed.

Chairman Farrell stated that he would ask that Mr. Kinna's appointment be separated by committee vote.

Senator Vaughn offered a motion that the appointment of John Kinna to the Board of Public Education be separated.

Recommendation and Vote:

Motion passed by the committee that the appointment of John Kinna to the Board of Public Education be separated.

Motion passed by the committee that the appointment of John Kinna to the Board of Public Education be confirmed, with Senator Vaughn opposed.

Discussion:

Senator Bengtson offered a motion that the remainder of the appointments currently before the committee be confirmed.

Recommendation and Vote:

Motion passed by the committee that the remainder of the appointments currently before the committee be confirmed.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 11:15 a.m.

  
WILLIAM E. FARRELL, Chairman

WEF/mhu  
HJR35.046

ROLL CALL

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

51ST LEGISLATIVE SESSION

DATE: April 6, 1989 10:00 a.m.

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
HUBERT ABRAMS	✓		
JOHN ANDERSON, JR.	✓		
ESTHER BENGTON	✓		
WILLIAM E. FARRELL	✓		
ETHEL HARDING	✓		
SAM HOFMAN	✓		
PAUL RAPP-SVRCEK	✓		
TOM RASMUSSEN	✓		
ELEANOR VAUGHN	✓		

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

April 6, 1969

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on State Administration, having had under consideration HJR 35 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that HJR 35 be concurred in.

Sponsor: Wallin (Gage)

BE CONCURRED IN

Signed: William E. Farrell  
William E. Farrell, Chairman

W. E. Farrell  
4/6/69  
2:16 p.m.

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

page 1 of 2  
April 6, 1989

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on State Administration, having had under consideration HB 543 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that HB 543 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

Sponsor: Connelly (Harp)

1. Title, line 12.

Following: second "ACT"

Strike: "PERMITTING"

Insert: "PROVIDING A SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT ALLOWANCE TO"

2. Title, line 13.

Following: "OFFICERS"

Insert: "OR THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES"

3. Title, lines 14 through 16.

Following: "TO" on line 14

Strike: remainder of line 14 through "OF" on line 16

Following: "A" on line 16

Strike: "CERTAIN RETIRED"

4. Title; lines 17 and 18.

Following: "PREMIUM" on line 17

Strike: remainder of line 17 through "PLAN" on line 18

5. Title, lines 20 and 21.

Following: line 19

Strike: "SECTION 2-12 FOR AN"

Insert: "SECTION"

Following: "PROVIDING"

Insert: "AN"

Following: line 20

Strike: "DATES"

Insert: "DATE"

6. Page 1, line 24 through page 5, line 12.

Strike: sections 1 through 3 in their entirety

Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 1. Supplemental retirement allowance for certain retirees. (1) Retired Montana highway patrol officers or their surviving spouses who are 65 years of age or older or disabled and who are not entitled through their own or their spouses' contributions to social security or medicare to prepaid medicare hospital insurance coverage are eligible for a supplemental retirement benefit.

(2) Subject to the restrictions in subsection (1) and beginning on the first day of the month after receipt of written application of an eligible retiree, the retirement system shall

pay a supplemental benefit equal to the premium for coverage under medicare hospital insurance, excluding coverage for a spouse and dependents, from revenue deposited in the state special revenue fund, as provided in 61-3-321(5). The written application must be accompanied by proof that the retiree is paying for medicare hospital insurance coverage.

(3) The supplemental benefit payment made under subsection (2) may not exceed the medicare hospital insurance premium in effect on July 1, 1989, for similar coverage."

Renumber: subsequent sections

7. Page 7, line 3.

Following: "~~64~~"

Strike: "\$3.50"

Insert: "\$3.25"

8. Page 7, line 9.

Following: "~~revenue~~"

Strike: "50"

Insert: "25"

9. Page 7, line 12.

Following: "~~[section 2]~~"

Strike: remainder of line 12

Insert: "highway patrol retirement"

10. Page 8, line 2.

Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 4Codification instruction.

[Section 1] is intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 19, chapter 6, and the provisions of Title 19, chapter 6, apply to [section 1]."

Renumber: subsequent section

11. Page 8, lines 2 and 3.

Following: "Effective"

Strike: remainder of line 2 through "are" on line 3

Insert: "Date. [This act] is"

12. Page 8, lines 5 and 6.

Strike: subsection (2) in its entirety

AND AS AMENDED BE CONCURRED IN

Signed: William E. Farrell  
William E. Farrell, Chairman



STAN STEPHENS  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MONTANA  
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR  
AGRICULTURE/LIVESTOCK BLDG.

CAPITOL STATION

HELENA, MONTANA 59620-0201

SENATE STATE ADMIN.

EXHIBIT NO. 1

DATE 4/6/89 a.m.

BILL NO. HJR 35

TELEPHONE: pg1  
AREA CODE 406  
444-3144

FAX 406-444-5409

EVERETT M. SNORTLAND  
DIRECTOR

TESTIMONY OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOR THE STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE  
ON JOINT RESOLUTION 35  
THURSDAY, APRIL 6, 1989  
HELENA, MONTANA

Chairman Farrell and members of the Committee. The Montana Department of Agriculture supports House Joint Resolution 35 to encourage the President of the United States to maintain active and cordial relations with the Republic of China (ROC).

Montana has a rapidly expanding business relationship with the Republic of China and it is in our best interest to do everything possible to foster friendly relations between our state, nation and the Republic of China.

What advantages are there in the United States maintaining active and cordial relations with the Republic of China?

1. The ROC is the United States sixth largest trading partner.
2. The ROC is rapidly progressing towards a representative democracy and diplomatic recognition would encourage this process.
3. The ROC is a major player in the international economy and should be encouraged to join the world community.

What advantages are there in the Montana Senate passing a resolution encouraging maintaining active and cordial relations?

1. The Montana business community would benefit from the improved relations with the ROC. Business between the ROC and Montana has been rapidly increasing over the past year. ROC businessmen have shown interest in investing in Montana.



Page 2

Testimony of Montana Department of Agriculture  
for the State Administration Committee  
April 6, 1989

2. Montana's trade office in Taipei could use such a resolution to encourage increased trade between the state and the ROC.
3. Twenty-three other states have passed similar resolutions.
4. Montana would be showing support of a fledgling non-communist democracy.
5. Pacific Rim nations represent the fastest growing market for export goods.
6. Montana does not have a significant business relationship with communist China.

What disadvantages are there in maintaining active and cordial relations with the ROC?

1. The communist Peoples Republic of China would take offense at doing so.

Amendments to House Bill No. 543  
Third Reading Copy

Requested by Representative Connolly  
For the Senate Committee on State Administration

Prepared by Eddy McClure  
April 4, 1989

1. Title, line 12.  
Following: "ACT"  
Strike: "PERMITTING"  
Insert: "PROVIDING A SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT ALLOWANCE TO"
  
2. Title, line 13.  
Following: "OFFICERS"  
Insert: "OR THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES"
  
3. Title, lines 14 through 16.  
Following: "TO"  
Strike: remainder of line 14 through "OF" on line 16  
Following: "A" on line 16  
Strike: "CERTAIN RETIRED"
  
4. Title, lines 17 and 18.  
Following: "~~PREMIUM~~"  
Strike: remainder of line 17 through "PLAN" on line 18
  
5. Title, lines 20 and 21.  
Following: line 19  
Strike: "SECTIONS 2-18-704 AND"  
Insert: "SECTION"  
Following: "PROVIDING"  
Insert: "AN"  
Following: line 20  
Strike: "DATES"  
Insert: "DATE"
  
6. Page 1, line 24 through page 5, line 12.  
Following: "Section 1."  
Strike: remainder of line 24 through "dependents." on page 5,  
line 12  
Insert: "Supplemental retirement allowance for certain retirees.  
(1) Retired Montana highway patrol officers or their surviving  
spouses who are 65 years of age or older or disabled and who are  
not entitled through their own or their spouses' contributions to  
social security or medicare to prepaid medicare hospital  
insurance coverage are eligible for a supplemental retirement  
benefit."

(2) Subject to the restrictions in subsection (1) and beginning on the first day of the month after receipt of written application of an eligible retiree, the retirement system shall pay a supplemental benefit equal to the premium for coverage under medicare hospital insurance, excluding coverage for a spouse and dependents, from revenue deposited in the state special revenue fund, as provided in 61-3-321(5). The written application must be accompanied by proof that the retiree is paying for medicare hospital insurance coverage.

(3) The supplemental benefit payment made under subsection (2) may not exceed the medicare hospital insurance premium in effect on July 1, 1989, for similar coverage."

Renumber: subsequent sections

7. Page 7, line 3.

Following: "\$4"

Strike: "\$3.50"

Insert: "\$3.25"

8. Page 7, line 9.

Following: "~~revenue~~"

Strike: "50"

Insert: "25"

9. Page 7, line 12.

Following: "~~[section 2]~~"

Strike: remainder of line 12

Insert: "highway patrol retirement"

10. Page 8, line 2.

Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 4. Codification instruction.

[Section 1] is intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 19, chapter 6, and the provisions of Title 19, chapter 6, apply to [section 1]."

Renumber: subsequent section

11. Page 8, lines 2 and 3.

Following: "Effective"

Strike: remainder of line 2 through "are" on line 3

Insert: "date. [This act] is"

12. Page 8, lines 5 and 6.

Strike: subsection (2) in its entirety

Proposed Amendments to HB 730  
Prepared by the Office of the Secretary of State  
April 5, 1989

1. Title, line 10

Following: "."

Insert: "AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE"

2. Page 6 line 2

Following: "."

Insert: "(4) The Secretary of State may prescribe by rule the dates and the manner for the submittal of lists if special elections or unusual circumstances required the collection of the lists at times and in a manner not identified in this section."

3. Page 6 line 6

Following: "."

Insert: "New Section. Section 5. Effective date. (This act) is effective July 1, 1989."

