MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Call to Order: By CHAIRMAN PETE STORY, on APRIL 3, 1989, at 8:00 A.M.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Senator Gary Aklestad, Senator Loren Jenkins, Senator Esther Bengtson, Senator Matt Himsl, Senator Paul Boylan, Senator Tom Keating, Senator Judy Jacobson, Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond, Senator Pat Regan, Senator Larry Tveit, Senator Fred Van Valkenburg, Senator Dennis Nathe, Senator Greg Jergeson, Senator Gerry Devlin, Senator Richard Manning, Senator Sam Hofman, Senator Lawrence Stimatz, Senator Ethel Harding, Senator Pete Story

Members Excused: None

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Judy Rippingale, LFA

Announcements/Discussion: None

SECTION E HB 100 - EDUCATION

Representative Ray Peck, Chairman of the Education Subcommittee, presented the education budget. He discussed each program, the budgets and expansion (See HB 100 Narrative Page E-1).

Questions from the Committee:

- Senator Regan asked (492) about the housing allowance for the Superintendent (page E-3). Representative Peck replied that the Superintendent was not able to get any rest at night because of the bar across the street. The Board agreed to give him a housing allowance equivalent to rent. Another employee is now using the house. Sandy Whitney, LFA, replied that the house is owned 42% by the school and the rest by the foundation. Senator Regan commented that the foundation should pay their portion of the rent for the house.
- Mr. Bill Cricket clarified that the house was being rented temporarily by an air force Captain from Malmstrom

Airforce.

Senator Keating asked about page E-9 the de-earmarking of the athletic program if that meant the program was abandoned or there was a funding change. Representative Peck replied that it was a matter of gate receipts and was not a limited fund. The revenue then flows into the general fund.

- Senator Keating asked what the reduction of state special revenue in the OPI budget and the corresponding general fund increase of 10%. Representative Peck replied that there was a transfer to a proprietary account. He said this was an accounting practice suggested by the LFA. He explained that a proprietary account was the agency supplies a service and they get paid for it.
- Senator Keating asked about Page E-15, under distribution of schools the increase of 20% general fund and why there was that kind of growth. Representative Peck replied that the special education increase amounted to 6 million dollars. He said the subcommittee voted to more fully fund special education.
- Senator Himsl asked how much special education was federal. Representative Peck replied that special education is all general fund dollars. He pointed out the amount of federal is shown under handicapped on page E-15, which is the only federal money in the special education.
- Senator Keating asked if the \$2,254 per student was in addition to the cost to the school, since they are in the mainstream as well as special ed. Representative Peck replied that this money was distributed to the public schools and was not an additional amount. He said it was only those that spent more than half time or greater. Senator Keating asked if the money could be broke down to tell which students were drawing A & B plus getting special education funds. Representative Peck explained that it could not be broken down because the distribution of the funds go to the part time program students as well as the full time student programs. Senator Keating pointed out that this number was an average and did not tell the story.
- Superintendent Keenan (814) replied that each year in December a child count was done. She pointed out that the severity of the students vary. She said the time spent with each special ed child varied according to the severity and that is where the average was taken.

Senator Bengtson asked who else used the drivers education

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 3 of 20

mobile classroom. Representative Peck replied that the Highway Patrol and other law enforcement agencies. He said the Lewistown location was paid for by fees.

- Senator Jenkins asked about the McKinney Homeless Act. Representative Peck replied that it was a new federal program to identify the homeless and provide the opportunity for an education for basic literacy.
- Senator Devlin asked about the additional travel on page E-13 and what was the total of general fund. Representative Peck said most of the subcommittee felt the increase would come from federal funds and did not increase general funds.

SECTION F HB 100 - HIGHER EDUCATION

Representative Peck presented the higher education section of the budget (See book). (Tape 1-B) Questions from the Committee:

- Senator Bengtson (504) asked if the increase in tuition and fees was going in for support and instruction or being put in the general fund. Representative Peck said it was hard to separate out but that specific tuition for those programs with special tuition goes to the special schools.
- Senator Bengtson asked how much the special tuition increased per credit. Mr. Jack Noble replied that the increase was itemized per academic year for a full time student is \$183. General increase per credit hour is \$3 per guarter hour. (564)
- Senator Devlin asked if the Pharmacy School accreditation was still a problem. Representative Peck replied that after their probationary status was published the subcommittee needed to make a step last session. He said the subcommittee did make a step and gave them \$50,000 which in essence was recognition of the problem.
- Senator Devlin asked if the student faculty ratios included the administration. Representative Peck replied that there was no administration student ratio. He pointed out that the office space additions that were asked for were not fully funded and it was a problem because students were finding rental units more readily. He said that the office noted were faculty and not administration.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 4 of 20

- Senator Bengtson asked why the flat spot in tuition was eliminated. Representative Peck commented that the Board of Regents handled tuition. He explained that in the past when students didn't pay any additional money above 12 credits it caused to take more credits and increase the FTE. Now when they are charged for the addition of those credits it may have the opposite effect and discourage kids from taking more credits and decrease FTE.
- Senator Bengtson asked why the Regents made the decision to charge for those additional credits. Mr. Jack Noble replied that it was partly for the revenue and also to address the equity problem between the part time and the full time students. He said if the full time students had free credits above 12 credits then the part time students were paying too much.
- Senator Jergeson asked how the federal revenue was calculated for the Extension Service. Sandi Whitney replied that the numbers were projections of cost for federal requirements. Dr. Luft from the agency, explained that the Federal Extension Service did not have their budget yet since Congress was still in session. He said that estimates do provide lump sum monies for civil service retirement. New hires will be on the state system, however.

(Tape 2-A) Representative Peck continued reading the budget on page F-17.

Questions from the Committee:

- Senator Keating asked about the Vo-Tech bonding (080) and if general fund had been used or was it a zero growth. Keith Wolcott, LFA, explained that the bonding was funded with the Education Trust Fund in the 1989 biennium. He pointed out that to continue with the Education Trust Fund would require a separate bill.
- Representative Peck discussed the Fire Service Training and the language in the bill requiring the Vo-Tech Center to supply office, classroom and storage at no charge to the school. He said it was proposed to upgrade and increase the number of classes offered and be paid by fees and the proprietary fund.
- Senator Manning asked about the problem of doubling the fees would pose to some of the smaller volunteer fire stations. Representative Peck replied the local fire

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 5 of 20

department fees have just about doubled. Fund raising activities were put on to pay the fees and it was not an individual obligation. Senator Manning pointed out that there were some volunteer fire stations were very small and they could not raise funds for the purpose of paying the extra costs. By law, for these people to receive retirement benefits they have to get so many hours of training per year. He said these volunteer fire departments are very much needed in small communities. Representative Peck pointed out that they do not have to meet this expenditure, it is merely authority.

Representative Peck continued with the budget on the Community Colleges on page F-21. He pointed out that the appropriation provides 47% of total current unrestricted budgets for the community colleges, which budgets are approved the Board of Regents (See Book).

Questions from the Committee:

- Senator Jergeson asked if there was a 16% tuition increase in the vo-tech's, 18% increase in the universities, how much was the community colleges increased.
- Carroll Krause, Commissioner of Higher Education, replied that tuition increase was authorized by the board of trustees of the community colleges and it will be 17%.
- Senator Regan pointed out if the state increase support of community colleges they will have no incentive to seek community support.
- Senator Aklestad (495) asked about the contracted services on the student loan program on page F-1. He asked if this was being taken over and moved to Helena what will be the savings.
- Representative Peck (514) replied that the intent would be for savings. Carroll Krause replied that after this is fully implemented there will be a savings over a 4 year period of \$300,000. In addition, this will bring those jobs into Montana rather than being in Indianapolis where they presently are. He noted that there are currently about 100 million dollars of outstanding loans.
- Senator Aklestad asked why it took as many as 26.3 FTE's to administer the loan program when comparing to small banks.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 6 of 20

- Carroll Krause replied that the loan volume was the present amount. There will be more loans bought monthly and issue of loans monthly so there is a phase in to the program for this biennium. The loan portfolio will grow and will increase at the rate of 50-100 million a year, depending on the volume, he said.
- Senator Aklestad (624) asked about out-of-state tuition and if it cost the state to educate out-of-state students.
- Carroll Krause noted that the cost of facilities would not be equated to those figures but operating expenses do cost. He pointed out that there is an economic benefit to the state of bringing students because they do spend money in the economy.
- Senator Hammond (671) pointed out to Senator Aklestad referring to the number of people administering the student loan program, all the loans are small loans which makes a difference in the kind of loans that the banks have.
- Senator Keating asked why the vo-tech centers had a drop in enrollment but an increase in cost. He noted that a statement was made that the county millage is not there but this shows a 7% drop in mandatory millage so the counties are still paying a portion of the expense for the vo-techs. (790)
- Carroll Krause noted that the reason for the 41% increase was due to bond payments out of general fund issued from the education trust fund. The other difference is the replacement of the voted levy.
- Senator Keating asked why the administration of the vo-techs and the community colleges in the same location be merged.
- Carroll Krause replied that all centers are in the process of merging and anticipate achievement in that direction by the next biennium with interrelationship and mergers of various functions. (867)
- Senator Van Valkenburg asked Representative Peck for an explanation on page F-16 of the personal services of the Board of Regents being lower than the executive recommendation. Representative Peck replied that an amendment on the House floor specifically reduced the amount of money that the subcommittee put into the budget by 50%. He said the sponsor of that amendment said that she wanted to send the Board of Regents a message to reduce the time that they had to screw

things up.

- Senator Van Valkenburg asked about the discussion about filling the bucket up and how could funds be identified in regards to tuition money and the 6 mil levy. Last biennium there was a shortage in both the 6 mil and the tuition money. A supplemental ended up being in the budget due to the tuition shortage. He asked if it was the subcommittees intention that if levy and tuition did not materialize, it was ok to seek a supplemental for the shortage.
- Representative Peck (126) replied that the Legislature commonly provides supplementals to make up for shortfalls in revenue.

(Tape 2-B)

- Senator Van Valkenburg asked about the cost to the system for catch up salaries in the 6 units. Carroll Krause replied that it was approximately 9 million.
- Senator Van Valkenburg asked about the indication of percentages increases in the general fund for each of the six units.
- (124) Carroll Krause replied that there were primarily two factors involved in the adjustment:

 When adjusting to the new formula it caused some change in the student faculty ratios that were available.
 The base year of using the last two years actual, enrollment did catch Northern Montana College, University of Montana, Western in a low spot in terms

Senator Van Valkenburg asked how the enrollment at the six units compare to the two year average used in the formula.

of the number of students calculated out.

- Carroll Krause replied that all the enrollment had been higher with the possible exception of Northern. All the units have more students than are in the formula, he said.
- Senator Van Valkenburg asked if there was any disparity in respect to the actual enrollments. He asked if the University of Montana and Western would have to supply classes and services to a substantial number of students during the coming biennium which they are not funded. Carroll Krause replied that was correct.

- Representative Peck replied that those things fluctuate a great deal. He noted that all parties agreed to accept the formula and the university participated.
- Senator Van Valkenburg asked if the pay plan bill included funding for the 2.5 percent increase for non-faculty personnel. Carroll Krause said it definitely did not. There is approximately 1.9 million dollars that was not funded on the pay plan for a group of people.
- Sandi Whitney, LFA, distributed an exhibit showing the post secondary money in the budget (Exhibit #1).

The hearing was closed on this section.

HUMAN SERVICES - EXECUTIVE SESSION

- (324) Senator Himsl offered an amendment that provides for a bonding program for water and sewage development. He noted that \$39 million would be available to match 20%. He said the House requested that the administrative portion of the bill be subject to review. The amendment would change the figures to increase the amount. The other part of the bill would be a statutory appropriation. (Exhibit #2). He moved the amendment.
- Senator Aklestad asked if these were all federal funds. Senator Himsl said there would be a match when the bonds are issued and the beneficiary of that program would match 20%.

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

(401) Senator Keating offered an amendment that would fund 1.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the increased responsibilities of ground water monitoring as per HB 486. He moved the amendment. (Exhibit #3)

The question was called. The motion failed on a roll call vote of 8-9.

Senator Keating offered an amendment that would implement the provisions of House and Senate Workers' Compensation bills (Exhibit #4). He explained that this had to do with the establishment of a deductible program for employers. This would eventually result in premium reductions for employers who buy insurance with a higher deductible and will be a savings to the fund eventually as well as the employer (520). He moved the amendment.

- Senator Nathe asked why there would be higher cost for the inclusion of a family member.
- Mr. Bill Palmer, from the department, explained that the \$10,560 was to notify about 27,000 policy holders. This would include postage, forms, and small computer program, he said.
- Senator Nathe asked why item 3 was going to cost \$187,000. Mr. Palmer replied that this was to implement the program. More than one rate schedule will need to be developed. This will take computer programs and some actuarial input to put these things together. A multirate mechanism will allow employers to choose one of the deductible programs.

The question was called. The motion passed 11-7 on a roll call vote.

Senator Keating (769) offered an amendment for a one time funding for SRS for a change in the computerizing of payments (Exhibit #5). He pointed out that this affects several other bills besides SB 26, SB 773, SB 663, SB 452. All programs could be done for the same \$60,000. He moved the amendment.

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

- Senator Jenkins (901) offered an amendment that would eliminate 8.00 FTE from the Department of Health's Licensing and Certifications Bureau. He pointed out the department makes surprise inspections and stay in Havre and drive to Big Sandy for a weeks stay with five members to inspect two nursing homes and hospital. He said they should stay in the town they are inspecting. He moved the amendment.
- Senator Van Valkenburg asked for a response from the department. Mr. Opitz replied that the affect of the amendment would delay inspections and licensing and certification. There may be a potential medicaid medicare delay in reimbursements to the providers. This would mean one third of the licensing and certification bureau being cut out. He said that the problem in Big Sandy was a lack of telephones in the motel. (088)

Senator Story asked if this amendment was removing personnel due to do with the certificate of need decreases.

Representative Bradley commented that the subcommittee had added 4 FTE because there was 70% federal dollars for a medicaid match and a need was there because of an increased number of facilities that will need to be licensed and certified. It is directly related to the increased workload and part of that is due to the overmandates, she said. She said the certificate of need is unrelated to the amendment because it is a different bureau.

(Tape 3-A)

- John Huth, budget analyst with Department of Health, clarified that the certificate of need program was looking at major hospitals and that is not where the workload is. Major hospitals have the staff and resources to prepare everything real well.
- Senator Nathe asked why the new people were added. Mr. Opitz replied that they were added because of an increased workload in the certification area, specifically more personal care facilities to be licensed. He said there is an increased emphasis on evaluating the patients.
- Mr. Opitz noted that two years ago the department was 90 days behind in inspections and were jeopardizing medicare and medicaid to the hospitals and nursing homes. He said that this has to be done on time in order for SRS to reimburse the providers with money. He pointed out that there was even more federal regulations coming down.
- Senator Harding asked why it takes five days for inspections.
- Dale Taliaferro, from the department, replied that it was unusual to have a team take five days. He said that federal inspectors have followed up on a certain number of cases and spent twice as long. The problems mentioned of looking at too fine of detail are correct. The department has been behind in their work before they added extra help and are just now getting caught up. The deferred too much to federal authorities for training and advise when making decisions and this is being reorganized.
- Mr. Opitz commented that the department will give assistance to nursing homes and hospitals and personal care to come into compliance with the rules and regulations.

He said this is standard operating procedure.

Senator Jenkins pointed out that two years ago FTE were added because of their backlog. This year, even if the 8 are taken out, they are still adding 3 more FTE. He pointed out that 6 were added for OBRA and one more for OBRA related facilities and laboratories.

The question was called. The motion failed 6-10 on a roll call vote.

- Senator Aklestad moved to delete 4 FTE and adjust the figures accordingly. The motion passed 11-5 on a roll call vote. (#7)
- (369) Senator Aklestad offered an amendment that was a coordinating clause with federal mandates. (Exhibit #8)
- Julia Robinson said that Governor Stephens has taken the position that when there are two agencies currently running similar programs these type of amendments are needed in order to avoid duplication.

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

Senator Van Valkenburg offered an amendment that would insert language in HB 100 that would say it was the intent of the legislature that the department establish AFDC and General Assistance payment levels at 42 percent. (Exhibit #9)

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

- Senator Van Valkenburg offered an amendment that would fund one FTE in order to implement the medicaid waiver program to the city of Butte. (Exhibit #10) He explained that the Appropriations Committee also expanded the medicaid waiver program into a 7 county rural area centered out of Lewistown. The department said they would do both for the cost of one, he said (533). He moved the amendment.
- Representative Bradley said the subcommittee felt the program should be extended to Butte because it was the only metropolitan area left without a medicaid waiver program and there were a higher proportions of elderly citizens than the rest of the state.

The question was called. The motion passed with five Senators voting No.

An hour break was taken. The meeting continued at 1:00 p.m.

EXECUTIVE ACTION cont.

(Tape 3-A-647)

Senator Hofman offered an amendment that deals with SB 321 to fund implementation of the underground storage tank program. (Exhibit #11)

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

Senator Hofman (723) said the amendment removes \$50,000 out of Department of Health's Family Planning Program that is general fund. (Exhibit #12)

Senator Jacobson asked for an explanation for the amendment.

- Representative Bradley (765) said the program was cost effective and had an increasing demand for those services all over the state.
- Senator Jacobson said there was an unmet need for low income women. The program is cost effective and in the long run more money would be spent in the SRS budget if these services are cut.
- Bill Opitz, deputy director Department of Health, said the services that would be provided by the \$50,000 would allow for 526 additional visits to low income people family planning. It was #4 in the ranking for priorities for people by Governor Schwinden and Governor Stephens. He pointed out that it helped reduce neo-natal deaths by making people aware of WIC and child nutrition.
- Senator Regan pointed out that women should have access to health care or a greater price will be paid over the long run.
- Senator Hofman pointed out that this would cut out less than 1% of the money that was going to be spent in family planning. This is leaving in federal money and taking out general fund money.

The question was called. The motion failed 8-9 on a roll call vote.

- Senator Hofman offered an amendment that deals with 3 FTE at Job Service (Exhibit #13).
- Gary Curtis, Administrator of Job Service Division, explained that 3 FTE were designated for supported employment in vocational rehabilitation. The amendment did not raise or lower the FTE level or add funding but merely designated the 3 FTE in vocational rehabilitation. He said they work with handicapped people all the time but did not have the training to do the job. He pointed out that it there was some confusion to applicants to have this program operated both in vocational rehabilitation division and the job service division.

The question was called. The motion passed with one no vote by Senator Jenkins.

- Senator Hofman offered an amendment that would eliminate all costs associated with the development of a SSSO program during the 1991 biennium (Exhibit #14). He said it would save the general fund \$685,285.
- Senator Manning asked for an explanation. Senator Keating gave some ideas from the subcommittee. He said the executive budget provided for 3 intensive care homes for developmentally disabled. The SSSO is a substitute for a system of care for DD but it has more diverse services. The subcommittee opted for the SSSO rather than the 3 homes for the developmentally disabled. He pointed out that there are 409 unserved DD and another 7-800 underserved.
- Dennis Taylor, Administrator of Developmentally Disabled Division, explained that the SSSO and group home programs.
- Chris Volinkaty (188) commented on the usefulness of this type of program. She said that the subcommittee only looked at two alternatives in order to serve severely disabled people. These were the group homes and the SSSO model. She said that this is the only link missing in the system because it serves very severely disabled people. There is no alternative for these

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 14 of 20

people when they reach age 22, she said. The general group home service model is not intense enough and they cannot survive. 42 people are waiting for this service and if there is not something on line the only alternative is to go to Montana Developmental Center. This is not an option to the parents of these people. They want them normalized in a community.

- Senator Van Valkenburg explained that deinstitutionalization started about 20 years ago. The people that came out of those institutions are now maturing and there is an aging problem that must be addressed.
- Senator Nathe asked of the 6,000 nursing home patients, what percent were developmentally disabled that had to be removed to intermediate care facilities and would this help relieve the problem.
- Dennis Taylor commented that a precise answer could be given in the next biennium. An answer was pledged to the federal government through the requirement of OBRA through the next biennium that recommendation would be given for care for these individuals that cannot be served at a nursing home. He said there were a number of individuals in nursing homes that would be better served in an SSSO program. This would provide increased level of care and support services that would be more appropriate than a nursing home or ICFFR like intermediate care facility for the mentally retarded like Eastmont Training Center and Montana Developmental Center.
- Senator Bengtson asked if this was a pilot program. Representative Bradley replied that it was. She emphasized that extensive time was spent on this issue by the subcommittee. She said it was cost effective to have a living and day care arrangement for profoundly disabled individuals.
- Senator Harding pointed out that the SSSO was less money that the Montana Developmental Center and would be a good route to go since there were 42 people waiting for the service.
- Senator Hofman said that he thought programs such as Farmer in the Dell should be done at Boulder. He pointed out that these people are accepted in the town of Boulder. He said this amendment was a way to save about \$685,000 of general fund.

The question was called. The motion failed 2-16 on a roll

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 15 of 20

call vote.

- Senator Van Valkenburg offered 3 amendments that were technical, regarding the SSSO (Exhibit #15a, b, c).
- Dennis Taylor (423) explained that one amendment changed the allocation of the funding to allow for administration. The next amendment deletes approximately \$38,000 support funding that is already contained in Department of Family Services budget. The third amendment provides that should the department not be able to secure federal medicaid participation the department is authorized to create the specialized services support organization by constructing small facilities for DD individuals (459). He said that language would guarantee that the state get the waiver from the federal government.
- Peter Blouke, LFA, said he had looked at the amendments. He pointed out that the amendment that adds 3 FTE's would need adjusting to reflect action taken by the committee on vacancy savings. He said it was appropriate that the department seek funding if they can't get the waiver.

Lois Steinbeck, OBPP, said the budget office concur.

The question was called on #15a. The motion passed with one no by Senator Jenkins.

Senator Aklestad asked if the amount increased on amendment #1 correlates to the amount being decreased on line 13. Dennis Taylor replied that it was the same amount just correcting the allocation between benefits and administration so there is no increase. He noted that complex program of support needed additional support staff.

The question was called on amendment #15b. The motion passed unanimously.

The question was called on amendment #15c. The motion passed unanimously.

Senator Regan (729) asked the committee to reconsider action taken on vacancy savings and go back to the slots designated. She distributed a sheet that showed the vacancy savings in the agencies (Exhibit #16). She moved to reconsider action.

The question was called. The motion passed unanimously.

- Senator Regan moved to go back to the original language that was in the bill. This would strip vacancy savings and puts in the language that prevents transfer of funds.
- Senator Aklestad pointed out that if this motion is accepted then there would be savings achieved through vacancy savings. What money is allocated would stay in personal services but could not be transferred into operation.
- Senator Regan (834) pointed out that historically vacancy savings in many agencies far exceed 4%. If they are not allowed to use vacancy savings at the end of the biennium those vacancy savings would revert to the general fund. When the budget is constructed the LFA figured in the budget about 8 million dollars in vacancy savings.
- Judy Rippingale, LFA, explained that an additional 8 million was included in reversions that was less than 4% vacancy savings.
- Senator Keating pointed out that if this amendment was not accepted then the committee would have to go back and plug in empty slots in order to gain the federal money that would be lost be taking vacancy savings.
- Senator Aklestad (894) pointed out that this was vacancy savings actual with 1988. He said that most of the budgets were not put together with actual 1988 FTE's. They were put together with the executive or LFA figures and there were additional FTE's in each and every budget.
- Senator Keating said that in the subcommittee empty slots were taken out. Actual people were put in and provided for necessary full time employees where the statutes required that a job be done so there was adequate staffing. Vacancy savings was not taken. If vacancy savings was taken blanket out of the bill then vacancy savings must be taken out of the Highway Department which is state special revenue. If they are cut then they don't build highways. If vacancy savings was taken in the Labor Department then federal money would be forfeited that is used to pay those people. He stated that if general fund money is to be saved by

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 17 of 20

taking vacancy savings, then the budget must be gone through piece by piece, agency by agency basis, and cut the people that spend general fund money.

- Senator Van Valkenburg asked for clarification of how the budget office comes up with their figures.
- Judy Rippingale explained that 88 is called the base year. The budget office gets to make policy choices for the Governor so they can increase or decrease that base year according to their policy choices. The LFA takes the base year and describes for the Legislature the cost for the current services that happened that year. The LFA current level is very closely aligned with the 88 actual date. The bulk of the whole budget reflects closely FY 88 staffing patterns.
- Senator Aklestad pointed out that in most cases in the year 1990 the book is different in either the LFA or the budget office from what the actual FTE level is in 1988. 99% of the pages are that way. He stated that the budgets are based on whatever the executive or the LFA or a half way medium is and not the FTE level of 1988. The FTE level in almost every budget is higher.
- Senator Van Valkenburg pointed out that the important thing was the vacancy savings percentage numbers. For example, the Department of Labor, with 619 FTE has 11.86 vacancy savings rate.

(Tape 4-A) The question was called. The motion passed 13-6 on a roll call vote.

- Senator Manning offered 2 amendments that would fund a 1.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the solid waste fee requirements of HB 752. (Exhibit #17) He explained that the second amendment would fund a 2.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the infectious waste control program as per HB 676.
- Jim Liter, from the Solid Waste Management Bureau, explained that the bill would allow the department to assess fees to anyone that wanted to import solid waste into Montana. He said that as an example a private landfill operator in Superior that was working on a proposal to bring 175 tons of garbage a day from Idaho for disposal in Mineral County. This bill would allow the department to assess \$1 per ton fee for that and put into a special revenue account used to administer the enforcement of regulations for that kind of situation.

- Senator Aklestad pointed out that he questioned why the amendment was being put in if the bill has not even been heard yet.
- Senator Hammond noted that if this is passed the department can say the money is already provided so pass the bill.
- Mr. Opitz, from the department, (232) said that if the bill dies there wouldn't be the ability for the department to raise the fees. He pointed out that solid waste can come into the state right now completely unregulated.
- Jim Liter noted that currently the department was not able to do inspections in facilities in the state let alone handle any waste brought in quantity from out-of-state. Any funds raised from importation fees would be used to offset program costs. Also the federal government is anticipated to come up with new stringent regulations and the state needs to gear up for those.

The question was called on amendment #17. The motion passed with 3 no votes.

- Senator Manning moved #18. He said this sets up a regulatory system for infectious waste within the solid waste program plus fees generated from the regulated industry would go to a special revenue account and be used for implementation of the program in the event of passage of this bill.
- Senator Story asked why the same people could not run the program.
- Jim Liter replied that there were no people to do it. He said there was 1.50 people running the solid and hazardous waste program now. If this bill passes it would be an entirely new regulatory scheme. He said that HB 676 would set up a definition of infectious waste and would be regulated in a special way. He pointed out that the way HB 676 is written there is an exclusion for small quantity, such as doctor or dentist offices would be exempt from some of the reporting requirements.
- Senator Jenkins pointed out there was paperwork along with this. Jim Liter said it was designed for larger producers of infectious waste.

The question was called on #18. The motion failed 7-12 on a roll call vote.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 19 of 20

Senator Manning offered an amendment (490) (Exhibit #19).

- Peter Blouke explained that as a result of the welfare regulations there would be a very significant increase in the caseloads, particularly elderly people. It was suggested by SRS that a way of meeting the increased caseload would be to try to contract for eligibility determination in non-traditional settings such as senior citizen centers or the local HRDC's where these individuals would normally go anyway. He said the committee approved the request by the department to try this. The language that Senator Manning is requesting be amended into that would allow these individuals to also do eligibility determination for general relief caseloads. There is no monetary increases.
- Julia Robinson explained that SB 101 and SB 128 would change how general assistance is done in the state. She said that in the long term this will reduce general assistance caseloads because transients don't want to go to a worksite but just want the money. She said this would help manage the welfare system and was a pilot project so it would be reported back to the Legislature next time to tell how it worked. She said this was a no cost management tool.

The question was called. The motion passed with one no vote by Senator Stimatz.

- Senator Manning offered an amendment that would coordinate instructions if SB 128 passed.(Exhibit #20) Since there was a problem with dates he withdrew the amendment. (672)
- Senator Manning offered an amendment that would use the revenue generated from the monthly telephone charge of 10-cents to purchase specialized telecommunication equipment and services to the handicapped. He moved the amendment. (Exhibit #21)

Senator Jenkins asked the status of the bill. He noted that it had not been heard in committee.

He withdrew the amendment.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND CLAIMS APRIL 3, 1989 Page 20 of 20

1

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 2:40 p.m.

STORY, Chairman PETE

PS/dt

FCS4038A

DAILY ROLL CALL

 $\frac{\text{FINANCE AND CLAIMS}}{\text{DATE } -3-89}$

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Gary Aklestad			
Senator Loren Jenkins			
Senator Esther Bengtson			
Senator Matt_Himsl			
Senator Paul Boylan			
Senator Tom Keating			
Senator Judy Jacobson			
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond			
Senator Pat Regan	2		
Senator Larry Tveit	V		
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg	V		
Senator Dennis Nathe			
Senator Greg Jergeson			
Senator Gerry Devlin			
Senator Richard Manning			
Senator Sam Hofman	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Senator Lawrence Stimatz	1		
Senator Ethel Harding			
Senator Pete Story			

Form CS-30 Rev. 1985

		-	
General Fund	1991 Biennium		
	Appropriated	Schwinden	Increase
HB 100			
Six Units	\$157,753,740	\$147,061,624	\$10,692,116
Commissioner of Higher Ed	11,886,744	11,163,955	722,789
Community Colleges	6,389,962	6,094,305	295,657
Vo-Techs	13,811,368	11,776,787	2,034,581
Bureau of Mines	2,529,123	2,407,352	121,771
Agricultural Experiment Station	13,407,511	12,388,532	1,018,979
Extension Service	4,481,246	4,138,992	342,254
Forestry Experimant Station	1,321,708	1,270,017	51,691
Total HB 100	\$211,581,402	\$196,301,564	\$15,279,838
HB 786			
Six Units	\$2, 9 47,616		\$2,947,616
Vo-Techs	734,000		734,000
Total HB 786	\$3,681,616		\$3,681,616
HB 277			
HSU	\$100,000		\$100,000
HB 510	н. Т		
Post-secondary Education	\$78,000		\$78,000
Total General Fund	\$215,441,018	\$196,301,564	\$19,139,454

Tuition and Fees

\$8,420,950 Six Units \$61,849,608 \$53,428,658 Vo-Techs 3,519,476 356,664 3,876,140 Total Tuition And Fees \$65,725,748 \$56,948,134 \$8,777,614 Millage Six Units \$24,072,000 \$25,037,000 (\$965,000) Vo-Techs 1,583,000 1,583,000 \$26,620,000 Total Millage \$25,655,000 (\$965,000) Capital Projects Funds \$1,903,225 Six Units \$1,903,225 Vo-Techs 80,000 Total Capital Funds \$1,983,225 \$1,983,225 Grand Total \$308,804,991 \$279,869,698 \$28,935,293 ********** ---------SUTTIARY Six Units General Fund \$160,801,356 \$147,061,624 \$13,739,732 61,849,608 Tuition and Fees 53,428,658 8,420,950 24,072,000 25,037,000 Millage 1,903,225 0 Capital Projests \$248,626,189 \$225,527,282 Vo-Techs General Fund

(965,000) 1,903,225 \$23,098,907 \$2,768,581 \$14,545,368 \$11,776,787 3,519,476 3,876,140 356,664 1,583,000 1,583,000 0 Ð 80,000 80,000 \$3,205,245 \$20,084,508 \$16,879,263 \$40,094,294 \$2,631,141 \$37,463,153 \$308,804,991 \$279,869,698 \$28,935,293

\$44,756,000

#

ME NHO

SERATE CO

EABLICE

BILL NO_100

DATE

0

80,000

==================

\$44,756,000

Note: HB 785

General Fund

Grand Total

Tuition and Fees

Capital Projests

Millage

All Other

AND GLAIMS End on NO. BILL NO.

If HB 601 is passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor then the following amendment will be needed to House Bill 100 for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.

Amend House Bill 100

Page B-2 line 6

- Strike: 1,962,304 Federal Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 1,962,442 Federal Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991
- Insert: 2,057,665 Federal Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 2,059,483 Federal Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991

amend totals as appropriate

This amendment will fund 1.00 FTE and related operating expenses for administration of the new wastewater treatment works revolving loan program as per HB 601. The new program allows the state to apply for federal funds, matched by a 20% state share, to provide loan interest loans for water pollution control facilities. Over \$39,000,000 in federal funds could be available to the state for this program. The new program will be administered jointly by the Department and the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation utilizing existing staff whenever possible. Total costs:

\$95,361 fiscal year 1990 \$97,041 fiscal year 1991

SEHAT' EXHIBIT NO ... DATE BILL NO____

If HB 486 is passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor then the following amendment will be needed to House Bill 100 for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.

Amend House Bill 100

Page B-1 _ line 24

Strike: 111,588 General Fund Fiscal 1990 109,476 General Fund Fiscal 1991

Insert: 212,778 General Fund Fiscal 1990 210,666 General Fund Fiscal 1991

amend totals as appropriate

This amendment will fund 1.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the increased responsibilities of ground water monitoring as per HB 486. This amendment will require that groundwater be monitored at approximately 30 Montana landfills. Early detection of leakage will enable Montana communities to minimize the impact of landfill contamination and should result in reduced costs of cleaning up damage to groundwater. Total costs:

\$101,190 fiscal year 1990 \$101,190 fiscal year 1991

371.13 OF ATMS

DATE 4-3-89

by Senator Thomas Keating To Implement the Provisions of House & Sen**But No_10** Workers' Compensation Bills Passed or Likely to Pass the 51st Legislature

1. H.B. 21 (Marks) Family Member Exclusion Status-Signed by Governor <u>FY 1990</u> <u>FY 1991</u> Cost Program: State Insurance Fund Fund Name: State Special Revenue

SENATE AMENDMENTS HB 100

- 2. H.B. 347 (Smith) General Revisions to Workers' Compensation Act Status: Sent to Governor <u>FY 1990</u> <u>FY 1991</u> Cost Program: Administration Fund Name: State Special Revenue
- 3. S.B. 315 (Rapp-Svrcek) Insurers allow medical deductible provisions to employer coverage Status: House second reading 3/27 -Likely to pass FY 1990 FY 1991
 - Cost\$187,307\$83,047FTE Impact3.003.00Program:State Insurance FundFund Name:State Special Revenue
- S.B. 428 4. Reorganize Division of Workers' Compensation; separate State (Thayer) Insurance Fund Status: House second reading 3/27; FY 1990 FY 1991 likely to pass \$190,847 Cost \$150,847 Program: State Insurance Fund FTE Impact 2.00 2.00 Fund Name: State Special Revenue

Amend H.B. 100

Page B-4 Line 23 Strike \$2,493,627 Insert \$2,508,327 Page B-5 Line 6 Strike \$4,541,145 Insert \$4,929,859 Strike \$4,565,100 Insert \$4,798,994

#5

Seale NOE AND CLAIL 5 0.5 DATE <u>4-3-87</u> BILL NO. (00

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 100 (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

1. Page B-7, line 8. Strike: "1,113,864 2,040,169" Insert: "1,128,864 2,085,169" Adjust totals accordingly.

22

z

Rationale: Senate Bill No. 26 adds physician assistant services to the Montana Medicaid program. This service will not increase the costs of benefits but a one time additional cost of \$60,000 will be required in the funding for medical assistance operations. This amount will cover the cost required for a change in the computerized payment system in order to add physician assistant services.

#6

SELE FLARE AND JAMES (E Model NO.__ DATE L 100 BILL NO.

Amendments to House Bill No.100 Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Jenkins For the Committee on Senate Finance and Claims

> Prepared by LFA March 29, 1989

1. Page B-2, line 17. Strike: "435,735 1,228,789 432,617 1,205,918" Insert: "383,952 1,105,631 380,805 1,082,692"

This amendment eliminates 8.00 FTE from the Department of Health's Licensing and Certifications Bureau.

#8

Server Commission Star SLA:M e - 17 80 4-3-89 DATE___

Amendments to House Bill No. 100 Third Reading Copy

For the Senate Finance and Claims Committee BILL NO. , ou

Prepared by Tom Gomez April 3, 1989

1. Page B-5, line 16. Following: line 15 Insert: "In accordance with the provisions of 29 U.S.C. 1531 and 42 U.S.C. 683, the governor shall assure that programs administered under the Job Training Partnership Act are coordinated with any other relevant employment, training, education, or work program in the state, including the job opportunities and basics skills training (JOBS) program and the job search, training, and workfare program established in 53-3-304."

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS over or 9 DIE 4-3-BILL NO. 100

Amendments to House Bill No.100 Third Reading Copy

Requested by Sen. Van Valkenburg For the Committee on Senate Finance and Claims

Prepared by LFA March 29, 1989

1. Page B-10, line 10.

Following: line 10

Insert: "It is the intent of the legislature that the department establish AFDC and General Assistance payment levels at 42 percent of the federal poverty index each year of the 1991 biennium using the calendar year 1989 federal poverty index to establish the state fiscal year 1990 payment levels and the calendar year 1990 federal poverty index to establish the state fiscal year 1991 payment levels."

SENATE THOUSE AND CYNERT NC 10 March 30, 1989 100

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 100 (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

1. Page B-7, line 8.

Strike:	"1,113,864	2,040,169	1,108,505	2,033,457"
Insert:	"1,125,691	2,051,996	1,120,332	2,045,284"

Adjust totals accordingly.

Rationale: The House Appropriations Committee approved the expansion of the Medicaid Waiver program to the city of Butte. In order to implement the program in that city it is necessary to obtain funding for one full time equivalent employee.

THB/008

#1 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES 100 BILL NO. STAN STEPHENS, GOVERNOR COGSWELL BUILDING FAX # (406) 444-2806 FUNDING FOR SENATE BILL 321 HELENA, MONTANA 59620 AMENDMENTS TO THE HAZARDOUS WASTE ACT TO FUND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

Overview:

SB 321 has passed both houses of the Legislature. It provides a funding mechanism through a schedule of fees to help implement the underground storage tank program at the state and local levels. There are approximately 18,000 reported underground tanks at 9,000 locations in Montana.

Fiscal Impacts:

SB 321 does not impact the state general fund. It establishes a special revenue account for the collection and appropriation of funds generated through annual tank fees paid by owners of underground storage tanks regulated by state law. These fees will be utilized to assure that tank owners are in compliance with requirements designed to prevent and detect tank leaks before serious damage can occur. Funds will be used to investigate complaints, conduct compliance inspections, and educate tank owners on leak prevention management.

DHES estimates that 6.75 FTE's will be necessary to implement SB 321. Approximately one half of the revenue is planned to be distributed to local governments which provide assistance to DHES in the implementation of the underground tank program. DHES estimates that SB 321 will provide approximately \$520,000 in tank fees each year of the biennium.

3/30/89 fundsb.321

Ex- #11 4-3-89

If SB 321 is passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor then the following amendment will be needed to House Bill 100 for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.

Amend House Bill 100

Page B-1 line 24

.

Strike: 1,497,507 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 1,551,702 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991

Insert: 2,017,507 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 2,071,702 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991

amend totals as appropriate

This amendment will fund an 6.75 FTE and related operating expenses for the annual underground tank permit program as per SB 321. Appropriations from the account will be used for state and local costs to establish leak investigations, leak prevention and corrective actions required for the underground storage tank program. / Total costs:

\$520,000 fiscal year 1990 \$520,000 fiscal year 1991

	# D- ms	
•••	12	
Uni	4-3-89	
BILL NO.	100	

Amendments to House Bill No.100 Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Hoffman For the Committee on Senate Finance and Claims

> Prepared by LFA March 29, 1989

1. Page B-2, line 13. Strike: "50,000 50,000" Insert: " -0- -0-"

This amendment removes \$50,000 per year from the Department of Health's Family Planning program.

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS EXPIDIT NO. /3 DATE 4-3-97 BILL NO. 100

Amend the Blue version of HB 100/03 as follows: On Page B-5 strike lines 14 and 15.

#14

Amendments to House Bill No.100 Third Reading Copy

DATE 4-3-89

100

Requested by Senator Hoffman BILL NO. For the Committee on Senate Finance and Claims

Prepared by LFA March 29, 1989

1. Page B-9, line 13. Strike: "8,807,478" (General Fund 1991) "14,418,873" (Federal 1991) Insert: "8,122,193" (General Fund 1991) "13,367,083" (Federal 1991)

This amendment would eliminate all costs associated with the development of a Specialized Services Support Organization (SSSO) during the 1991 biennium.

ADOPT

1

#15a

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAI E-11-31 NO. <u>150</u> DATE <u>4-3-89</u> BILL NO. <u>100</u>

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 100 (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

1. Page B-9.

-----FISCAL YEAR 1991-----

			General Fund	Federal Special Revenue
Line	11:	Strike: Insert:	294,688 336,545	925,446 967,303
Line	13:	Strike: Insert:	8,807,478 8,765,621	14,418,873 14,377,016

Adjust totals accordingly.

#15h

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 100 (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS 156 4-3-89 BILL NO. 100

- Page B-12. Following: line 14 Insert: The department may pursue funding for the Specialized Services and Support Organization (SSSO) under the federal ICF/MR program should the federal government fail to approve Medicaid Waiver funding for SSSO services.
 - Rationale: This amendment provides direction to the department in the pursuit of substantial funding for the specialized services and support organization to provide services to persons who are developmentally disabled. The population to be served are appropriate for medicaid ICF/MR and home and community-based services.

#150

150 4-3`89

BILL NO 100

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 100 MINATE FRANCE AND CL (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

1. Page B-9.

.

· . .

-

.

-----FISCAL YEAR 1991-----

General Fund

Line 13: Strike: 8,807,478 Insert: 8,770,630

.

Recalculate: Subsequent totals.

FY88 ACTUAL VACANCY SAVINGS

Ⅲ.C.4. #//ç

Agency No.	Agency	Vacancy Savings	# FTE	Grade 16
1101	OLA	8.19	60	DATENA 4-3-89
1102	LFA	NA	17.50	BILL NO. 100
1104	Legal Council	7.43	44.7	NA
1111	EQC	1.79	6.25	NA
1112	Con. Council	0	4.25	NA
2110	Judiciary	2.33	91.5	NA
3101	Governor	10.52	60.4	13.6
3201	Sec. of State	6.05	27.5	7.7
3202	Pol. Practice	17.22	3	11
3401	Auditor	9.23	62.5	10.2
3501	OPI	7.52	121.9	12.4
4107	Crime Control	7.11	17	13.1
4108	Trafic Safety	1.52	8.5	13.7
4110	Justice	6.38	557.6	11.7
4201	PSC	7.87	46	12.8
5101	Public Ed.	8.19	5	11.5
5102	Comm. Higher Ed.	15.93	33.85	9.3
5113	Sch. Deaf & Blind	6.37	84.63	6.3
5114	MT Arts Council	1.84	8.97	12
5115	Library	5.57	30.3	11
5116	Voc. Ed. Adv.	4.97	2	14.5
5117	Hist. Society	7.97	50.38	11.6
5119	Fire Trng. Sch.	4.15	5	14
5201	FWP	7.21	498.16	12.1
5301	Health	6.26	249.35	13.1

. ...

#17

SETTER MORE AND CLAIMS 4-3-80 D iE 100 BILL NO.

If HB 752 is passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor then the following amendment will be needed to House Bill 100 for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.

Amend House Bill 100

Page B-1 line 24 .

- Strike: 1,497,507 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 1,551,702 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991
- Insert: 1,564,357 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 1,618,552 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991

amend totals as appropriate

This amendment will fund an 1.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the solid waste fee requirements of HB 752. The amendment will create a special revenue account to be used by the Department for insuring that Montana landfills meet new federal requirements currently proposed. Fees charged out-of-state users will allow the effective regulation of waste generated outside Montana but disposed in landfills within the state's borders. In addition, fees raised in the event of out-of-state wastes are imported will help offset the regulatory program costs for implementation of the state's solid waste management program. Total costs:

\$66,850 fiscal year 1990 \$66,850 fiscal year 1991

#18

BENATE FRANCE AND CLAIMS DATE 4-3-89 BILL NO. 100

If HB 676 is passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor then the following amendment will be needed to House Bill 100 for the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences.

Amend House Bill 100

Page B-1 line 24

Strike: 111,588 General Fund Fiscal 1990 1,497,507 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 109,476 General Fund Fiscal 1991 1,620,259 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990

Insert: 129,340 General Fund Fiscal 1990 1,602,507 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1990 121,978 General Fund Fiscal 1991 1,656,702 State Special Revenue Fund Fiscal 1991

amend totals as appropriate

This amendment will fund an 2.50 FTE and related operating expenses for the infectious waste control program as per HB 676. The infectious waste program will allow the Department to regulate the management of infectious waste separately from municipal solid waste. Regulation of these special wastes is necessary to reduce the risk of disease transmission through improper handling and disposal of infectious medical waste. Management of infectious waste separate from the municipal waste stream will encourage regional disposal alternatives and privatization of collection and disposal alternatives. Total costs:

\$122,752 fiscal year 1990 \$117,502 fiscal year 1991

at

SECTION OF NOE AND CLA 10. ŗ. DALE 00 BILL NO.

Amendments to House Bill No.100 Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Manning For the Committee on Senate Finance and Claims

> Prepared by LFA March 31, 1989

1. Page B-ll, line 12.
Following: "cases"
Insert: "and general relief caseloads"

10E AND CLAIMS 20 4-3-89 BILL NO. 100

Amendments to House Bill No. 742 Third Reading Copy

For the Senate Finance and Claims Committee

Prepared by Tom Gomez, Staff Researcher March 31, 1989

Page 8, line 9.
 Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 5. Coordination instructions. If this bill and Senate Bill No. 128 are both passed and approved, then:

 (1) [section 7, Senate Bill No. 128] is amended so language that refers to "aid to families with dependent children" will refer to "general relief"; and
 (2) [section 10, Senate Bill No. 128] is amended so language that reads "for the purpose of administering [this act]" will read "for the purpose of administering the program provided for in 53-3-304 and [this act]". Renumber: subsequent section

 Page 8, lines 9 and 10.

Strike: "Section" on line 9 Insert: "Sections" Following: "4" on line 10 Insert: ", 5,"

FZI

E AND CLAIMS L.L. BILL NO_ (OD

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 100 (General Appropriations Act) Third Reading Copy

i

- - Rationale: House Bill No. 614 provides for a 10-cent monthly charge on telephone customers. The revenue generated will be used to purchase specialized telecommunications equipment and services to the handicapped.

COMMITTEE ON

DATE <u>4-3-89</u> 10/4 Fingner + 5 la:ms

	VISITORS' REGISTER			
NAME	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Check Support	the second se
- In the second second		100	X	oppose
Alan Levia	" "(16.3)	100	\overline{X}	
Dwon Warren	(743)	100	X	
		,		
Executiv	e SCSSION	4	- 7	
HENE Pichen	PHES Whiten Quality Au			
Luce Hunterator	Montana Rietatec ance			
Dennis M. Taylor	DDD/SRS	100		
Bub Mullen	DFS			
hristy Konigsberg	DULI/C3D			
SAVE THORSEN	SRS			
- OD May	pic ters	100		
Marke HENSHOL	NEX CONT	150		
Chris Confirmann	11.7°C	100		
Ras Heighes	MILCA	100		
Les Steinspeck	OBPP	100		
- DAVE LEWES				
BOB KUCHENBOOD				
Jim Smith	HRAC / MAR	100	*	
Brend Nordlind	Mit Worner's Lolly	100	\mathcal{P}	
Karishanders mo	Montene councilor Mc (injel and chill Hestth	100	X	
- Laurie, Lamson	EPD/DOLI			
TONY WELLEVER	MT Hospital Assa	100	-	
_ Jim Abaens	My Haspilal Assu	100		
Ros Aagen	Labor de Tindustry	160		
Delo Talisform	DHES	100		
	1			

COMMITTEE ON FINGNER + Claims

VISITORS' REGISTER				
MAME 11	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Check	
An Akeller	Montana UND. Safan	100	Support	oppose
hog Journ	OPI	4		
hat tobians	OPI	۱۸		
Men Leavett	Western Mont College	100	X	
Ken Herter	Eastern Mout College	100	<u> </u>	
to Sul	MSU	100	K	
- Suc Shink	Men	105	X	
M. Vardemann	Mentana University System	HB 100	X	
Marilyn Miller	OBPP	100		
free Toolo	OFI	100		
Jon Upron	MSA	NO	У	
MaryEllesBaukeel	G.F. UTC	FIE 100	\times	
Willouth Wegum	GEUTE	tlains	<i>U</i>	
Ene Atron	BVTC	HBINO	<i>✓</i>	
Dove Fuencos	CHE	11	<u> </u>	
- Morely Disse	Dosu	HBION	<u> </u>	
- Janne Ferrid	Alondana Tech	ABICO	V	ļ
- De Roy Suft,	MS4-EXT. SERVICE	1		
Jamestillich	" AGEXP STR	1.	V	
Flerry Jonow	Alted of Teachers	11		
Cenned Terum	Mala Vo Tech Centro	14	6	
Cine Groelen	MONT Voc Ed ASSN	11		
Bill Syan	NMC	100		
- Prown	NMC	100		
Mi netz-	(Sozenian	100	~	

DATE 4-5-89

394

COMMITTEE ON Fivenice + (101ms

VISITORS' REGISTER Check One BILL # NAME REPRESENTING Support Oppose 10 Find FUCE Harr Dec ottner H. FLOWA HBICO . MILES CC SIN Usfalastary - Uty Hosar MSORY HM 112 en TOMM OF H. RD. HBilo nicht MCDB R100 We Service Gamer 1) eet HB100 Intona State 11 \mathcal{M} HBRO FARLIN XIM ASMSY ASMSN HA 100 STACY TARMER Shula Steams um HBIDO / Muienhurge +B107! IM V Bound of Public colucation BND V erler OBPP HBIOC OBM are Telens HBille MBIN OBPP Lois Stemberk OBPP BOB LICHENBEAN 0 HU100 JOHN HINTZ_ HB/WD MONTALD TERH John Balorinoe HBIUR LINC/SAY NORMAN 11 \smile 10 11 anni Neds U-System 43100 ICIC NOBLE HD100 11/ BD of KEGENTS Kins HB100 land 1 ά. MISSOULIAN () A

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)

COMMITTEE ON Senate Y Ein Comm HB-1

VISITORS' REGISTER Check One BILL # REPRESENTING NAME Support Oppose Bill Opitz DHES DHES au Hottman Tohn North OBPP V nne MacInkine Lebort Didnstry / Human Right his Vilentendy 100 DD Lobby 151 1 Donne SRS ~ Julie Robinson SRS 100 DFS Jone Malles 100 off Holingaist Sen Harding Hide Brian Wo Culloug Lobon + Industry GARY CURTIS Lorson & Industry JUDY CARLSON MT ASSN - SOCIAL WRERS 100 Mile main MOLT SUF K M. Ground DHES Mic Hadersen 150 DHES heiter harry Mitchell DHES 100 Pabyer Bissin J₽ 100 hirley Connick 2.L 100

4 4 4

100

(Diason lasta property clatomont of the C

ROLL CALL VOTE	ROLL	CALL	VOTE
----------------	------	------	------

	FINANCE AND CLA	AIMS	COMMITTEE	
DATE	4-3-89	BILL NO.	#B100	NUMBER $\frac{#3}{}$

Fail

1	1	
Senator Gary Aklestad		V
Senator Loren Jenkins		\sim
Senator Esther Bengtson		1-
Senator Matt Hims1		<u> </u>
Senator Paul Boylan		~
Senator Tom Keating	~	
Senator Judy Jacobson	c-	······································
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		<u> </u>
Senator Pat Regan	\checkmark	
Senator Larry Tveit		\sim
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		
Senator Dennis Nathe		\checkmark
Senator Greg Jergeson	~	
Senator Gerry Devlin		<u> </u>
Senator Richard Manning	V	
Senator Sam Hofman		L
Senator Lawrence Stimatz		
Senator Ethel Harding		
Senator Pete Story	V	
TALLY	¢	9

<u>Debbie Thompson - 319</u> Secretary <u>Pete Story</u> Chairman Motion: Keating moved - fund 1.5 FTE for ground water monttoring - Failed

ROLL	CALL	VOTE

Pass

FINANCE AND CLAIMS	COMMITTEE
DATE <u>4.1</u> BILL NO. <u>10</u>	0 NUMBER # 4
Kieat	July and the second sec
NAME	AYE NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad	V
Senator Loren Jenkins	L
Senator Esther Bengtson	<i>L</i>
Senator Matt Hims1	
Senator Paul Boylan	
Senator Tom Keating	L.
Senator Judy Jacobson	~
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond	۰ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
Senator Pat Regan	
Senator Larry Tyeit	
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg	
Senator Dennis Nathe	
Senator Greg Jergeson	
Senator Gerry Devlin	
Senator Richard Manning	
Senator Sam Hofman	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Senator Lawrence Stimatz	
Senator Ethel Harding	
Senator Pete Story	
TALLY	11 7
	(********************************
Debbie Thompson - 319	Pete Story
Secretary	Chairman
Motion: <u>Keating - implence</u>	nto Workers'
Motion: <u>Keating - implence</u> <u>Comp. bills - passe</u>	cl
V V	

.

•

y.

ROLL	CALL	VOTE
------	------	------

	COMMITTEE	r
ATE <u>4-3-89</u> BILL NO. <u>HB/C</u> Jerlinia		
NAME	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad	V	
Senator Loren Jenkins	~	
Senator Esther Bengtson		U
Senator Matt Hims1		V
Senator Paul Boylan		<u> </u>
Senator Tom Keating Senator Judy Jacobson		
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		
Senator Pat Regan		
Senator Larry Tveit	1	KID
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		V
Senator Dennis Nathe		1
Senator Greg Jergeson		L
Senator Gerry Devlin		1 i
Senator Richard Manning		<u> </u>
Senator Sam Hofman		
Senator Lawrence Stimatz		
Senator Ethel Harding		
Senator Pete Story		
ALLY	6	10
Secretary Chai	<u>story</u> rman	
otion: Jenkins motion.	eliminate	
8 FTE from Dept of	+ that the - fo	

Form CS-31 Rev. 1985 -**M**-

R	OLL	CALL	VOTE
---	-----	------	------

FINANCE AND CLAIMS	COMMITTEE	
DATE <u>4-3-89</u> BILL NO. <u>HB 100</u> AK	D NUMBER	#7
AK		
NAME	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad		
Senator Loren Jenkins		
Senator Esther Bengtson		
Senator Matt Hims1		
Senator Paul Boylan		
Senator Tom Keating		
Senator Judy Jacobson		5
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		
Senator Pat Regan		\sim
Senator Larry Tveit		
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		1-
Senator Dennis Nathe		
Senator Greg Jergeson		1/
Senator Gerry Devlin		
Senator Richard Manning		

TALLY

Senator Sam Hofman

Senator Pete Story

Senator Lawrence Stimatz

Senator Ethel Harding

Debbi Secret	<u>e Thompson - 3</u> ary	19	<u>Pete Story</u> Chairman	······································
Motion:	ARlestad	To delete	4 FTE	passed
<u></u>	<u>و</u> ب		·····	
<u> </u>			······	

1

-

11

5

ROLL	CALL	VOTE
	ببدلنده دب	

Fail

FINANCE AND CLAIMS COMMIT	TEE	10
ATE 4-3-89 BILL NO. HB 100	NUMBER	2 /2
Hofman		
NAME	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad	V	
Senator Loren Jenkins		
Senator Esther Bengtson		1
Senator Matt Hims1	1 c	
Senator Paul Boylan	bee	
Senator Tom Keating		
Senator Judy Jacobson		
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		
Senator Pat Regan		~
Senator Larry Tveit		
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		~
Senator Dennis Nathe		
Senator Greg Jergeson		L
Senator Gerry Devlin		
Senator Richard Manning		
Senator Sam Hofman		
Senator Lawrence Stimatz		10
Senator Ethel Harding		
Senator Pete Story	2	
ALLY	- A	
		ł
Debbie Thompson - 319Pete StorSecretaryChairman	Y	1
otion: <u>Hofman motion -</u>	cut	Shor
on Family Edanning - Eailed		

.

.

Fail

ROLL CALL VOTE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS	COMMITTEE #	
DATE 4-3-89 BILL NO. 1010	U U	• 1
Hollman		
NAME	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad		
Senator Loren Jenkins		\checkmark
Senator Esther Bengtson		\checkmark
Senator Matt Himsl		$\overline{\mathcal{V}}$
Senator Paul Boylan		
Senator Tom Keating		\checkmark
Senator Judy Jacobson		\checkmark
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		\checkmark
Senator Pat Regan		\checkmark
Senator Larry Tveit		\checkmark
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		\checkmark
Senator Dennis Nathe		<u> </u>
Senator Greg Jergeson		V
Senator Gerry Devlin		
Senator Richard Manning		2
Senator Sam Hofman		
Senator Lawrence Stimatz		~
Senator Ethel Harding		<i>`</i>
Senator Pete Story		
TALLY	2	16
Debbie Thompson - 319 Pete Secretary Chai	<u>e Story</u> Irman	
Motion: Hofman - eliming	He 5550	fuiled

.

.

Pass

ROLL CALL VOTE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS	COMMITTEE	
DATE 4-3/89 BILL NO. 100	NUMBER	#/16
DATE <u>4-3/89</u> BILL NO. 100 Reg leque Vierney Saving in	B:11	
NAME	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad		V
Senator Loren Jenkins	V	
Senator Esther Bengtson		
Senator Matt Himsl		
Senator Paul Boylan	V	
Senator Tom Keating	V	
Senator Judy Jacobson		··
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond		V.
Senator Pat Regan	~ ~	
Senator Larry Tveit		\checkmark
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg		
Senator Dennis Nathe		L
Senator Greg Jergeson	L	
Senator Gerry Devlin		V
Senator Richard Manning	V	
Senator Sam Hofman	~ ~ ~	
Senator Lawrence Stimatz	L	······
Senator Ethel Harding		<u> </u>
Senator Pete Story	4	
TALLY	13	_le
	e Story .rman	-
Motion: <u>Regan moved</u> -strip	> vaccence	

Form CS-31 Rev. 1985

.

خيەي

N

- passed

Fail

ROLL CALL VOTE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS	COMMIT	TTEE	
DATE 4/3/19 BILL NO.	40/00	NUMBER	# 18
nre	nni		
NAME	()	AYE	NAY
Senator Gary Aklestad	~ 		C
Senator Loren Jenkins			\checkmark
Senator Esther Bengtson		V	
Senator Matt Himsl			<u>`</u>
Senator Paul Boylan			V
Senator Tom Keating			\checkmark
Senator Judy Jacobson			
Senator H.W. "Swede" Hammond	·		V
Senator Pat Regan		V	
Senator Larry Tveit			\checkmark
Senator Fred Van Valkenburg			
Senator Dennis Nathe			~
Senator Greg Jergeson		V	
Senator Gerry Devlin			V
Senator Richard Manning	<u></u>		[
Senator Sam Hofman			L
Senator Lawrence Stimatz	·	V	
Senator Ethel Harding			~
Senator Pete Story	· · · · ·		
L			
TALLY			12
		- 7	

Debbie Th	ompson - 319	Pete Story	
Secretary		Chairman	
Motion:	nanningmoved	- funding	
set	بالمراجع المحم		for
•	indous maste	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·