#### MINUTES

## MONTANA SENATE 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

#### COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Call to Order: By Chairman Bruce Crippen, on January 10, 1989, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 325.

#### ROLL CALL

Members Present: Chairman Bruce Crippen, V. Chairman Al Bishop, Senators Mike Halligan, Bob Brown, Joe Mazurek, Loren Jenkins, R. J. "Dick" Pinsoneault, John Harp and Bill Yellowtail.

Members Excused: Tom Beck.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Valencia Lane, Staff Attorney and Rosemary Jacoby, Committee Secretary

Announcements/Discussion: None.

#### HEARING ON SENATE BILL 79

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Senator Mazurek of Helena, representing District #36, opened the hearing on Senate Bill 79 stating that it would allow members of a trade or professional organization to agree to submit future controversies between members to arbitration. He said it would put in place a new mechanism for arbitrating disputes. Subject matter for arbitration would have been pre-agreed upon. reviewed the bill. One part of the bill that renters might be concerned with was (c) on page 2, line 2. said that some kinds of problems definitely could not be submitted for arbitration. He distributed Exhibits 1 and 2 to committee members. Exhibit 1 is an application for membership form to be sent to a board of realtors. The other (Ex. 2) was a pamphlet entitled Professionalism in Real Estate Practice. Sometimes disputes arise over commissions. He thought architects might also wish to use this tool, though he had not been contacted by them. He said there were proponents and reserved the right to close.

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group they Represent:

Tom Hopgood, Montana Association of Realtors Michael Sherwood, Montana Trial Lawyers Association

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:

There were none.

#### Testimony:

Tom Hopgood said the bill would not force arbitration upon any trade group or association, but would be a voluntary tool at their disposal. It would not affect persons not in an association, or the seller. If two renters were having a dispute about splitting the rent, they would still be free to go to court if they didn't wish to arbitrate. Persons who are not as experienced would not be signing away their rights to go to court even if they arbitrate, he said.

Michael Sherwood said he didn't feel the bill would pre-empt the federal law. He supported the bill because he has worked on commission arbitration and it worked very well. He felt it could be an excellent tool.

Questions From Committee Members: Senator Halligan asked if this dealt specifically with written agreements. Senator Mazurek said he felt the written agreement requirement ought to stay in the bill.

Senator Crippen asked for the reason for the \$35,000 level in the bill. He commented he didn't think it was necessary. Mike Sherwood said that the people who might be using this probably wouldn't be sophisticated and might not read all the fine print. The \$35,000 was to protect the average consumer.

Closing by Sponsor: Senator Mazurek closed the hearing.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 79

Discussion: There was none.

Amendments and Votes: There were none.

Recommendation and Vote: Senator Brown MOVED that SENATE BILL 79 DO PASS. The MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

<u>Discussion:</u> Senator Halligan stated that, in the county attorney's office, he did a lot of child support work. He wondered if the fiscal note accurately reflected the cost. Senator Crippen said the cost had been reviewed by the revenue oversight committee.

Amendments and Votes: There were none.

Recommendation and Vote: Senator Jenkins MOVED that SENATE BILL 22 DO PASS. The MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

#### DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 55

Discussion: Senator Bishop asked how Senate Bill 55 would affect federal law. Senator Harp said the federal government has greater priorities as to the collection of the taxes. This bill will put Montana on an equal footing with the federal government.

Amendments and Votes: There were none.

Recommendation and vote: Senator Harp MOVED that Senate Bill 55 DO PASS. The MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 11 a.m.

SENATOR BRUCE D. CRIPPEN, Chairman

BDC/rj

minrj.110

## ROLL CALL

JUDICIARY	COMMITTEE		

51st LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1989 Date 1-10-89

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR CRIPPEN	V		
SENATOR BECK			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
SENATOR BISHOP	~		
SENATOR BROWN	/		
SENATOR HALLIGAN	V		
SENATOR HARP	V		
SENATOR JENKINS	V		
SENATOR MAZUREK	V		
SENATOR PINSONEAULT	. V		
SENATOR YELLOWTAIL	/		

Each day attach to minutes.

#### STANDING CONNITTEE REPORT

January 10, 1989

### MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Judiciary, having had under consideration SB 79 (first reading copy -- white), respectfully report that SE 79 do pass.

DO PASS

Signeds

Pruce D. Crippen, Chairm

#### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 10, 1989

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Judiciary, having had under consideration SB 22 (first reading copy - white), respectfully report that SB 22 do pass.

DO PASS

raned 2000 j

Bruce D. Crippen, Chairman

11.0 1850 m

persh22.116

#### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 16, 1989

### HR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Judiciary, having had under consideration SB 55 (first reading copy -- white), respectfully report that SB 55 do pass.

DO PASS

Signed Color Prince / Chalenson

Riuce B Crippen/Chairma

1.0 1. 110 5 110 5



SENATE JUDICIARY	
EXHIBIT NO.	**
DATE 1-10-89	
RIII NO. 5B 79	_

# APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP\* BILL NO

To:		(	10 M N		
ī		(A Board of REALTOF	(S <b>6</b> 0 )	b	arahu annlu fa
(REALTOR® REAL	TOR-ASSOCIATE®	Name of Applicant) P, Nonresident Membe	rship) in the sho	ve named Roard a	ereby apply for nd anclose my
check in the amount o	(A) 1 A A			urned to me in the	
accepted to membersh					
the indoctrination cour					
myself with the Code			-	_	-
business disputes in a					
=	•				
Bylaws, and Rules and					
and I further agree to Code, Constitutions, B	<del>-</del>	=	<b>\</b>		_
				-	
shall evidence my init		<b>\</b>	•		
Bylaws, Rules and Re					
authorize the Board, the	_	=			
about me from any M					
by any Member or oth		-		-	privileged and
not form the basis of a	iny action by me for	slander, libel, or defa	mation of character	<b>r.</b>	
NOTE: Applicant ack	nowledges that if a	ccepted as a Member	and he/she subsequ	uently resigns or is	expelled from
membership in the Boa	ard with an ethics co	mplaint or arbitration	request pending, th	e Board of Directors	may condition
renewal of membersh	ip upon applicant's	verification that he/s	she will submit to	the pending ethics	or arbitration
proceeding and will ab	oide by the decision	of the Hearing Panel;	or if applicant resig	ns or is expelled fro	m membership
without having compli	ied with an award i	n arbitration, the Boa	rd of Directors may	condition renewal	of membership
upon his/her payment	of the award, plus a	ny costs that have prev	viously been establis	shed as due and paya	able in relation
thereto, provided that	the award and such	n costs have not, in the	e interim, been othe	erwise satisfied.	
l hereby submi	t the following infor	mation for your conside	eration:		☐ Ms.
					Miss
Name as shown on license_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Mrs.
		(Please	Print)		☐ Mr.
License No.		[] (D1)	Office in Busine	ss 70002   Vas	
License No.		. (Broker) (Salesman)	Office in Busine		
		(Salesman)			
Office Address					
(Street)			(Suite or Other)		
(City)	(State)		(Zip Code)	(Area Code)	· (Phone)
(5,)	(0.10,0)		(21) COGE)	(Alles eddy)	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Does your office comply w	ith zoning requireme	ents for its location?	☐ Yes		
,	<b>-</b>		☐ No		
				. •	
Residence Address					
	(Street)			(Aparti	ment)
(City)	(State)		(Zip Code)	(Area Code)	(Phone)





and Standards of Practice of the (A Ready Reference to the Code of Ethics HON OF REALTOR

I his publication is based nics, Standards of Practice and Case

> nay have in the property. (Refer to Case Interpretation ilways ar agent, the best interests of your client mus ore any contemplated interest you

Article 14)

Un the event of a controversy between REALTORS iitigate the matter." the regulations of their Board or Boards rather than submit the dispute to arbitration in accordance wil relationship as REALTORS®, the REALTORS® sh associated with different firms, arising out of the

subagency compensation. Entitlement is determined amount to one party or the other. In certain cases, without interruption, to the successful transaction. bitrators determine that both parties contributed, amount may be divided between the parties if the arand if not precluded by state law, the disputed most instances, the decision awards the disputed on the basis of determining the "procuring cause." In between principal brokers of two different real estate tirms concerning entitlement to a commission or to Generally, arbitration is used to settle disputes

REALTORS® and REALTOR-ASSOCIATE®s to Boards can only offer arbitration and cannot require nize binding arbitration at all. In the latter case, but only recognizes agreements to arbitrate made afarbitrate future disputes; or (2) if the law does not whether (1) state law authorizes prior agreements to vises Boards and State Associations to determine ter a dispute arises; or (3) if state law does not recogrecognize and enforce prior agreements to arbitrate, participate in it. The Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual ad-

REALTORS® must submit to arbitration. specifies three circumstances under The Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual also

- REALTOR® or nonresident principals of different (1) Arbitration of a controversy between
- vided the REALTOR® or nonresident principals join REALTOR-ASSOCIATE®s in different firms, prononresident members (other than principals) or in the arbitration; (2) Arbitration between REALTORS®
- vokes the arbitration and agrees to be bound by the decision. resident members and their clients when the client in-(3) Arbitration between REALTORS® or non-

bitration is voluntary: under which the REALTOR®'s participation in ar-The Manual also specifies three circumstances

5 gor were, affiliated with the same firm, irrespective of ty voluntarily agrees to the arbitration in writing bers affiliated with the same firm, provided each par REALTOR-ASSOCIATE®s and Nonresident Mem the time the request is made for such arbitration. his applies to disputes arising when the parties are (2) Arbitration between a REALTOR® or a (1) Arbitration between REALTORS

a nonmember broker, provided each party agrees in facilities of a Board of REALTORS®. broker is not entitled to invoke the arbitration tion with a nonmember broker. A nonmember Member's choice whether he will submit to arbitrawriting to be bound by the decision. However, it is the nonresident member who is a principal broker with

contractual relationship has been created by the in writing to arbitrate the dispute. customer and a client, and provided each party agrees REALTOR® or a nonresident member between a nonresident member and his customer if a written (3) Arbitration between a REALTOR® or a

Ethics, and the Professional Standards Training tion Manual, the Interpretations of the Code of procedures, refer to the Code of Ethics and Arbitra-For more detailed information on arbitration

ciplinary action. (Refer to Standard of Practice 14-1 and and refuse to withdraw the suit and participate in arbitration when requested, you may be subject to disto arbitrate their disputes. If you file suit against a Case Interpretation #14-2) REALTOR® when a properly arbitrable matter exists Remember that Article 14 requires REALTORS

disputes with clients, if the client requests the arbitra-Standard of Practice 14-2) tion and agrees to be bound by the decision. (Refer to Article 14 requires a REALTOR® to arbitrate

of their decision. (Refer to Standard of Practice #14-3) without becoming subject to a charge of violating agree not to use the Board's arbitration facility Article 14 provided they advise the Board in writing Parties to an otherwise arbitrable matter may

Case Interpretation #14-3: Deleted-May, 1988 Case Interpretation #14-1: Deleted-May, 1988

Case Interpretation #14-4: Deleted-May, 1988

		•	. (	EXHIBIT NO. 3	
NAME •	Michael	Shevwood	/	DAPIL NO. MANOR	
VAPIC .	· · ·	<u>OKEI GOO.</u>			
address:	401 2	! Washing +	on, 1514	, 11	<del></del>
PHONE:	721-2	729			
REPRESENTING	WHOM?	MTLA			
APPEARING ON	WHICH PROPOS	SAL: 79			
DO YOU: SU	JPPORT?	AMEND?_		OPPOSE?	
				conces 15	
•	<i>V</i>			SU consen	
			•	oy:	
	their trade	•		owledgeable .	
		·		recue by	
	•			geoble than	
		V		: specific	
	custom o	end usage	in the	profession	or tuade
Į	Arbitration	per w. Hed	by + 415	section of lo	w
6220	ms to be	working	well in	the comme	ercial
and	1 profession	al fields	among sox	histicated y	a, ties.

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

COMMITTEE ON Gudiciary

DATE Quan. 10, 1989

( VISITORS' REGISTER						
	DENDEGENATIVE	BILL #	Check One			
NAME	REPRESENTING	DILL #	Support	Oppose		
WALLY JEWELL	MT. MAGISTRATES ASSOC	XDE/SE				
Tom Hopgood SURRIN CLEARY Michael Sherwood	MT. MAGISTRATES ASSOC Mont. Assoc. Ren Hors	53 79				
STUTZEN CLETERY	n	n	7			
Michael Sherwood	NTLA	79	ν			
		<del> </del>				
		<del> </del>				
		<b> </b>				
<u> </u>						
å.						
i						