MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Call to Order: By Chairman Bardanouve, on February 18, 1989, at 7:03 a.m.

ROLL CALL

- Members Present: 19
- Members Excused: None
- Members Absent: Representative Menahan
- Staff Present: Judy Rippingale, LFA
- Announcements/Discussion: Representative Bardanouve said they needed to discuss the indirect cost pool, and decide whether or not to reconsider a previous committee decision on vacancy savings.

INDIRECT COST POOL

- Curt Nichols reported on the results of the work done on the Indirect Cost Pool. He had worked with the Agencies as directed by the Committee, and said this came about as a result of Senate Bill 253 which asked the Department of Administration to look over the accounting entities and see if they were properly classified by the right funds. The result was they found the accounting was inconsistent and made the recommendations the committee had looked at earlier this session. He said he had met with most of the agencies, the Legislative Auditor, and the Accounting Division, and the conclusion was there is no reason these accounts cannot be in a common entity. The agreement both of the group of centralized services directors was, if necessary it go to one fund the proprietary fund would be the best one. He said this is supported by comments of the Legislative Auditor and the Accounting Division. Mr. Nichols said, as a result, he would recommend the Proprietary account be the one used. (022)
- Kathy Fabiano said she had worked with the Department of Administration at the time this was recommended, and said the inconsistency was in the manner in which they budget amend the funds. She said there are inconsistencies in the accounting entities, and felt the Legislature should look at the source of money, rather than the type of fund the money was being accounted in when looking to see if a budget amendment was appropriated. Ms. Fabiano said the Proprietary fund would not be as good for them in the OPI

and would prefer the accounts be in the general fund. She recounted the inventory, space, etc. that would have to be done to meet the requirements for the funds in OPI. She said the OPI was unique in it's funding in this respect, but could live with it. (074)

- Brian McCullough, Department of Labor and Industry said his Department supports Mr. Nichol's proposal.
- Ray Hoffman, Centralized Services, DHES, said they support the proposal.
- Carolyn Doering, Department of Commerce said they support the proposal.
- Questions from the committee: Representative Peck asked Ms. Fabiano, if you have to go to the proprietary account, how much difficulty it would cause, and Ms. Fabiano answered (112) it would involve inventory, etc. Representative Bardanouve asked if she did it once and established the formula the extra work was finished, and the accounting could be done without difficulty, and was told, yes.
- Ms. Rippingale complimented Mr. Nichols on the task, and told the committee he had done a good job.
- Motion: Motion by Representative Thoft to support the recommendations of the LFA.
- Recommendation and Vote: Voted, passed, unanimous of those present.

VACANCY SAVINGS

- Representative Bardanouve said he had been in favor of Vacancy Savings, and had voted before to take them. He noted there was a difference between taking vacancy savings and not doing so between the Executive and the Appropriations Committee, and explained the work involved if a change would be made at a later, date, in pulling out and changing all the funding. He indicated he would like the committee to reaffirm or overturn their previous decision.
- Motion: by Representative Thoft to reconsider the previous action taken on no vacancy savings.
- Some discussion was held on what would have to be done if this were changed, assumptions these figures were based on, positions being filled by a subcommittee that had been vacant for 2 years, scrutinizing these positions by the subcommittees, and agencies having to justify them.
- Recommendation and Vote: The committee voted on the Amendment for reconsideration by Rep. Thoft. Voted, roll call vote, 9

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS February 18, 1989 Page 3 of 3

voting aye, ll noting nay. (Representative Menahan had left his vote with the committee) Motion failed.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 7:35 a.m.

REP. FRANCIS BARDANOUVE, Chairman

FB/sk

4202.min

DAILY ROLL CALL

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

51st LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1989

Date 2/18/89

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REPRESENTATIVE BARDANOUVE			
REPRESENTATIVE SPAETH	V		
REPRESENTATIVE PECK	V		
REPRESENTATIVE IVERSON	v		
REPRESENTATIVE SWIFT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REPRESENTATIVE QUILICI	~		
REPRESENTATIVE BRADLEY	V		
REPRESENTATIVE PETERSON			
REPRESENTATIVE MARKS	V		
REPRESENTATIVE CONNELLY	~		
REPRESENTATIVE MENAHAN		V	
REPRESENTATIVE THOFT			
REPRESENTATIVE KADAS			
REPRESENTATIVE SWYSGOOD			
REPRESENTATIVE KIMBERLEY	V		
REPRESENTATIVE NISBET			
REPRESENTATIVE COBB			
REPRESENTATIVE GRINDE	V		
REPRESENTATIVE CODY	V		
REPRESENTATIVE GRADY			

ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE APPR	OPRIATIONS /	COMMITTEE
DATE 2/18/89	BILL NO. Vecary Janung	NUMBER

NAME	AYE	NAY
REPRESENTATIVE SPAETH		~
REPRESENTATIVE PECK		V
REPRESENTATIVE IVERSON		
REPRESENTATIVE SWIFT		
REPRESENTATIVE OUILICI		
REPRESENTATIVE BRADLEY		V
REPRESENTATIVE PETERSON		
REPRESENTATIVE MARKS		
REPRESENTATIVE CONNELLY		1
REPRESENTATIVE MENAHAN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REPRESENTATIVE THOFT		
REPRESENTATIVE KADAS		\checkmark
REPRESENTATIVE SWYSGOOD	· · ·	
REPRESENTATIVE KIMBERLEY		
REPRESENTATIVE NISBET		1
REPRESENTATIVE COBB		-
REPRESENTATIVE GRINDE		
REPRESENTATIVE CODY		1
REPRESENTATIVE GRADY		
REPRESENTATIVE BARDANOUVE		L
		<u> </u>
		+

TALLY

Sylvia Kinsey Secretary

9_11_

<u>Representative Bardanouve</u> Chairman Motion to reconsider acancy MOTION: e a

failed

Rep. mensher left his vote

Form CS-31 Rev. 1985