#### MINUTES

#### MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

#### COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Call to Order: By Chairman Darko, on February 14, 1989, at 3:05 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: All except:

Members Excused: Rep. Dave Brown

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Lee Heiman, Legislative Council

Announcements/Discussion: None

#### HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 630

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Rep. Francis
Koehnke, District 32, stated that this bill would rectify a
problem that trailer court owners have with being charged
for waste disposal on unoccupied spaces.

#### Testifying Proponents and Who They Represent:

Lyndale Saltzman, Fireside Trailer Court Lanny White, Mountain View Acres

#### Proponent Testimony:

Lynn Saltzman stated that the solid waste tax is being levied unfairly. They are required to pay \$55 per trailer space for waste disposal. The owner of the trailer court is presently required to pay the tax and he felt that it should be levied against the owner of the trailer. The county has a built-in mechanism to collect such tax. The county uses a vacancy percentage of 15% and they are seldom at or below that 15% rate. He also presented testimony from Jerry and Muriel Reller of Goose Bay Marina. Exhibit 1

Lanny White stood in support of this bill. Exhibit 2

Testifying Opponents and Who They Represent: None

# Opponent Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members: Rep. Good asked Rep. Koehnke if the disposal rate varied, would the county have trouble

- projecting income. Rep. Koehnke stated that might was true but the county is not having to provide any service for that fee being collected.
- Rep. Hoffman asked Rep. Koehnke who is responsible for keeping track of the number of occupied spaces. Rep. Koehnke was unsure but the county clerk said that with the passage of this bill she would be responsible.
- Rep. Wyatt asked Mr. Saltzman if there is any way to notify the county that those spaces are empty before the county truck gets to the trailer court. Mr. Saltzman stated that there is no need to notify the county since Mr. Saltzman does the hauling of the waste and not the county. They are paying a fee for using the dump not for the collecting of it.
- Closing by Sponsor: Rep. Koehnke stated that the trailer court owner shouldn't be a tax collector. Trailers are required to get a sticker form the county before it can be moved so there is a built-in mechanism for collecting these taxes.

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 630

Motion: Rep. Wallin moved to TABLE HB 630. Rep. McDonough seconded.

Discussion: None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: None

Recommendation and Vote: The motion CARRIED with Rep. Hansen opposing.

### HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 656

#### Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Rep. Norm Wallin, District 78, explained that this bill would make city water charges collected the same way that the city sewer charges are now collected.

#### Testifying Proponents and Who They Represent:

Earl W. Bennett, Administrative Assistant, Flathead County Gordon Morris, Montana Association of Counties Alec Hansen, Montana League of Cities and Towns

#### Proponent Testimony:

Earl Bennett was in support of this bill and felt that it would be an asset to local governments especially when reissuing SID and RID bonds.

- Gordon Morris stated that this bill warrants the committee's consideration because it would make the counties eligible for issuing refunding bonds. It is a second mortgage so to speak and it should not be longer than 30 years. He also asked that the counties be included in the waiver that is available to cities.
- Alec Hansen stated that delinquent SID's are a serious problem throughout the state and this bill would help the cities and towns deal with it. He asked that Executive Action be delayed until Thursday afternoon.

### Testifying Opponents and Who They Represent: None

#### Opponent Testimony: None

- Questions From Committee Members: Rep. Gould asked Rep. Wallin if he had talked to D. A. Davidson and other bonding people about the effect of this bill. Rep. Wallin stated that he has and that the new bond issue would have the same requirements as the first issue so they had no problem with it.
- Closing by Sponsor: It is a mechanism to reduce payments and permits the county and city to accelerate the loan.

  Presently, foreclosure is the only option in collecting delinquent SID's and this gives them another option refinancing with restrictions. He too asked the committee to defer Executive Action until Thursday afternoon.

#### HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 667

## Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Rep. Susan Good, District 36, presented this bill on behalf of the City of Great Falls even though there are many cities and towns across the state who would benefit from it. The bill would change the procedures for creating a Business Improvement District. The financing would no longer be based on the square footage of the ground but on the square footage of the buildings.

## Testifying Proponents and Who They Represent:

Alec Hansen, Montana League of Cities and Towns

#### Proponent Testimony:

Alec Hansen stated that his organization supports this bill because if expands the options available when creating a Business Improvement District. Square footage above the first floor is important income producing property and it makes sense to include that income when creating the BID.

Testifying Opponents and Who They Represent: None

### Opponent Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members: Rep. Hoffman asked Mr. Hansen if the bill was trying to set the market value of the property in the BID. Mr. Hansen responded that several sessions ago the Legislature authorized the creation of BID's and it is set up as a separate district within the community and the cost of operation is divided within that district. Helena has one and it is used to promote the downtown area. The cost of operation is assessed among those involved and sometimes it is assessed by linear footage and that is not always the fairest way. This bill gives another option for allocating the total cost of operating that BID.

Closing by Sponsor: Rep. Good closed.

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 667

Motion: Rep. Good moved HB 667 DO PASS. Rep. Wyatt seconded.

Discussion: None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: None

Recommendation and Vote: The motion DO PASS CARRIED with Rep. Hoffman opposing.

#### EXECUTIVE ACTION

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 485

Hearing Date: February 7, 1989

Motion: Rep. Gould moved DO NOT PASS. Rep. Hoffman seconded.

- Discussion: Chairman Darko explained some of the deletions of the bill and Lee explained the amendments. Basically all that is left is section 2. The motor vehicle facility must comply with the zoning ordinance and must document that it has complied. Rep. Hoffman asked if they had to do that now. Rep Stickney responded affirmatively.
- With the permission of the committee, John Geach, Department of Health, said that presently the facilities must comply with any applicable zoning requirements and this bill would now require them to be in compliance with county master plans.
- Rep. Stickney asked what was the intent of the bill. Lee responded that the intent was licensing requirements.
- Rep. Wallin stated that this bill doesn't address the problems of wrecking facilities but just collects a fee.

#### Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

Recommendation and Vote: Rep. Gould made a substitute motion to TABLE the bill. Rep. Hoffman seconded. The vote CARRIED with Reps. Wyatt, Hansen and Darko opposing.

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 562

Hearing Date: February 9, 1989

Motion: Rep. Wyatt moved to TABLE HB 562. Rep. Johnson seconded.

Discussion: None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: None

Recommendation and Vote: The vote to TABLE HB 562 CARRIED unanimously.

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 482

Hearing Date: February 9, 1989

Motion: Rep. Wyatt moved HB 482 DO PASS. Rep. Johnson seconded.

- Discussion: Lee explained that HB 482 and HB 562 were combined in subcommittee because they are very similar bills. Rep. Hansen asked Lee if the amendments would allow anyone with the local government to do the assessment. Lee responded that that was true but it had to go to a division of local government that had the expertise which would probably be the health department.
- Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: Rep. Wyatt moved the amendments on HB 482. Rep. Johnson seconded. The vote CARRIED unanimously.
- Rep. Hansen moved that the bill be amended further to designate the Health Department within the county as the place that would be responsible for this review because it could go to any department within the county not necessarily the department with the expertise. It was seconded by Rep. Johnson.
- Rep. Wyatt stated that the STATEMENT OF INTENT takes care of Rep. Hansen's concerns. The motion to further amend failed on a voice vote.
- Recommendation and Vote: Rep. Wyatt moved HB 482 DO PASS AS

  AMENDED. Rep. Gould seconded. The motion CARRIED on a voice vote with Rep. Good opposing.

#### DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 501

Hearing Date: February 9, 1989

Motion: Rep. Stickney moved HB 501 DO PASS. Rep. McDonough seconded.

Discussion: None

- Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: Rep. Stickney moved to amend the bill according to the amendment requested when the bill was presented. This was intended to be included but was not. There are three different ways the county funds the Department of Family Services and this is the third way. It was seconded by Rep. Wyatt.
- Rep. Gould asked Rep. Stickney about the fiscal note and if it was still correct with the amendments. Rep. Stickney stated that she believed the fiscal note did include all three methods.
- Rep. McDonough stated that she had some initial reservations about this bill but now feels it should be sent to appropriations after talking to people from the counties. The problem needs to be dealt with.
- Rep. Wallin asked who pays the full tab for these youths that are sent to institutions. Rep. Stickney said the federal government pays one-half and the remainder is split between the state and county. The question is not who pays but the amount that is paid and in what way it gets paid.
- Rep. Good stated that this problem stems from the frustration within the counties when dealing with DFS. With the new director and change of direction she felt that perhaps the bill is jumping the gun and DFS should be given a chance to clean up its' act. Mr. Mullin from DFS has given her his assurance that the lines of communication will be open to the counties.
- With the permission of the committee Rep. Guthrie asked Gordon Morris what he thought about the bill. Mr. Morris responded that the three components of the bill (foster care, administrative costs and salaries) are the issue and it needs to be addressed even with a new director and change in administration. HB 325 passed in 1987 proposed to cap the amount of county contributions. The spirit of the cap that was in that bill was not adhered to by DFS and this bill is the result of the accumulated frustration suffered in the interim. The counties have been forced to bear additional costs relative to family service funding while DFS has used vacancy savings to make ends meet. The bill is

- equitable and fair and should be funded by the broadest tax base available and that's the general fund.
- Rep. Guthrie asked Mr. Morris about the promise of no cost to the county that was mentioned at the hearing on this bill.

  Mr. Morris said that the law clearly proposed to cap the county contribution at \$87 or current cost whichever was less so the intent was clear.
- Rep. McDonough stated that Rep. Good makes a good point but the new director is not going to be able to change the way it is set up and the Legislature needs to send DFS that message and change the law. Rep. Good responded that she feels the problem is with communication. The dictation of rules to the county is policy decision and not part of the law.
- Rep. Stickney stated that she did not think the counties would be willing to pay if they are treated better. The intent is that the counties pay something but not what they are currently required to pay. Rep. Good reminded the committee that the state's financial condition has to be considered as well as the counties.
- Rep. Wallin pointed out that the federal government pays one half of the costs of youths in institutions and the state and county split the remainder.
- Rep. Stickney said the intent was to raise the issue and let it go through the appropriations process because at the counties "cannot, do not or will not" pay DFS what they are currently, that money is going to have to be found and the sooner the process starts the better.
- Rep. Brown asked Rep. Stickney about the equity of the amendments on state-assumed counties. With the permission of the committee Mr. Morris stated that this is a non-assumed counties issue only. State-assumed counties levy 12 mils and that goes to the state general fund to pay for all associated costs. Rep. Brown pointed out that some of that money goes for the same costs so there is a financial equity question.
- Rep. Brown commented that this committee is making a policy judgment and sending it to the floor. The appropriation committee must decide about funding but it will at least be a start in that direction. He is concerned about the financial equity question to state assumed counties.
- Recommendation and Vote: Roll call vote was taken. HB 501 will be recommended DO PASS as amended.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT February 14, 1989 Page 8 of 8

#### ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 4:20 p.m.

Aula Golacko
REP. PAULA DARKO, Chairman

PD/td

3811

# DAILY ROLL CALL

	LOCAL GOVERNMENT	COMMITTEE
DATE	2/14/89	

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
BROOKE, VIVIAN	X		
BROWI, DAVE	/		X
BROWN, JAN	X		
DARKO, PAULA	X		
GOOD, SUSAN	X		
GOULD, BUDD	X		
GUTHRIE, BERT	X		
HANSEN, STELLA JEAN	X		
HOFFMAN, ROBERT	X		
JOHNSON, JOHN	l. X		
McDONOUGH, MARY	X		
NELSON, THOMAS	X		
REHBERG, DENNIS			
STICKNEY, JESSICA	X		
WALLIN, NORM	X		·
WYATT, DIANA	X		
,			
: /	·		
,			
/			

Form CS-30A Rev. 1985

# ROLL CALL VOTE

LOCAL GOVERNM	ENT COMMITT	EE	
DATE 3/14/89 BILL 1	10. <u>HB 50/</u>	NUMBE	R
NAME		AYE	NAY
GOOD, SUSAN			X
GOULD, BUDD		·	
GUTHRIE, BERT		,	1/
HOFFMAN, ROBERT		X	
NELSON, THOMAS REHBERG, DENNIS			X
WALLIN, NORM		X	
BROOKE, VIVIAN	1. A. A. C.	X	
BROWN, DAVE		X	
BROWN, JAN		X	
HANSEN, STELLA JEAN		X	
JOHNSON, JOHN		X	
McDONOUGH, MARY		X	
STICKNEY, JESSICA		X	
WYATT, DIANA		X	
DARKO, PAULA		X	
TALLY	<u> </u>	11	4
Terri Dore	_ Panl	a a. K	lacks
Secretary	Chairman		
Motion: Rep. Stickney mov	ved DO PASS. Rep. M	cDonough	seconded.
The motion CARRIED 11 to 4.			
in a model of the state of the			
/			

Form CS-31 Rev. 1985

#### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 14, 1989 Page 1 of  $\mathbf{Z}3$ 

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Local Government report that HOUSE BILL 482 (first reading copy -- white), with statement of intent attached, do pass as amended .

And, that such amendments read:

1. Title, line 6.

Strike: "DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH" Insert: "GOVERNMENTS"

2. Title, line 7.

Following: "PROJECTS;"

Insert: "TO ALLOW THE BOARD OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES TO ADOPT RULES RELATING TO THE DELEGATION OF REVIEW;"

3. Title, line 7. Strike: "SECTIONS" Insert: "SECTION"

4. Title, line 8.

Strike: "AND 75-6-112"

5. Page 1.

Following: line 8

Insert: STATEMENT OF INTENT

> A statement of intent is required for [this act] because section 1(2) grants rulemaking authority to the board of health and environmental sciences. The board would adopt rules governing the delegation to local governments of review of small public water systems and extensions or alterations of existing public water and sewer systems.

> The rules are intended to establish criteria that would be used to determine whether a division of a local government has a review program suitable for delegation of review. The rules would specify the acceptable level of expertise and other factors necessary for local review and, if review authority has been granted, the circumstances

February 14, 1989 Page 2 of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

under which the authority should be terminated or reviewed. The rules are also intended to address the size of public water and sewer systems that would be subject to local review."

6. Page 1, line 11.

Strike: "Submission and approval" Insert: "Delegation of review"

7. Page 1, line 12. Following: line 11 Insert: "small"

8. Page 1, lines 12 through 22.

Strike: "A" on line 12 through "(2)" on line 22

9. Page 1, line 22.

Strike: "department of health"
Insert: "government requests a delegation and the appropriate division of the local government"

10. Page 1, line 24. Following: "the"

Insert: "division of"
Strike: "department of health"

Insert: "government"

11. Page 1, line 25. Following: "(a)"

Insert: "small"

Following: "water"

Insert: "and sewer"

Following: "systems"

Strike: "that have less than 10"

12. Page 2, line 1.

Strike: "service connections to year-around residents"

13. Page 2, lines 2 through 4.

Following: "(b)"

Strike: the remainer of subsection (b)

Insert: "extensions or alterations of existing public water and sewer systems that involve 50 or fewer connections"

14. Page 2.

Following: line 4

Insert: "(2) The board may adopt rules regarding the delegation of review authority to divisions of local government."

15. Page 4, line 20 through page 5, line 23. Strike: Section 3 in its entirety ReBumber: subsequent sections

8125m

#### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 14, 1989
Page 1 of 3

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on <u>Local Government</u> report that <u>HOUSE BILL 501</u> (first reading copy -- white) <u>do pass as</u> amended.

Signed:

Paula Darko, Chairmar

aula dlarko

#### And, that such amendments read:

1. Title, line 6.
Strike: "SECTION"
Insert: "SECTIONS"
Following: "41-3-1122"
Insert: "AND 53-2-322"

2. Page 2.

Following: "line 16"

Insert: "Section 2. Section 53-2-322, MCA, is amended to read:

"53-2-322. County to levy taxes, budget, and make
expenditures for public assistance activities. (1) The board
of county commissioners in each county shall levy 13.5 mills
for the county poor fund as provided by law or so much
thereof as may be necessary. Counties transferring public
assistance and protective services responsibilities to the
state under part 8 of this chapter may not levy more than
the difference between 13.5 mills and the state levy
pursuant to 53-2-813.

(2) The board shall budget and expend so much of the funds in the county poor fund for public assistance and protective services purposes as will enable the county welfare department to pay the general relief activities of the county and to reimburse the department of social and rehabilitation services and the department of family services for the county's proportionate share of the administrative costs of the county welfare department and of all public assistance and protective services and its proportionate share of any other public assistance activity that may be carried on jointly by the state and the county.

(3) The amounts set up in the budget for the reimbursements to the department of social and



2.14-89 8:25 2.0

February 14, 1989 Page 2 of 3

rehabilitation services and the department of family services shall be sufficient to make all of these reimbursements in full. The budget shall make separate provision for each one of these public assistance and protective services activities, and proper accounts shall be established for the funds for all such activities.

- (4) The department of social and rehabilitation services shall submit to the counties, no later than May 10, the most current county participation percentages that are necessary to establish preliminary county budgets. As soon as the county proposed budget provided for in 7-6-2315 has been agreed upon, a copy thereof shall without delay be mailed to the department of social and rehabilitation services, and at any time before the final adoption of the budget, the department shall make such recommendations with regard to changes in any part of the budget relating to the county poor fund as considered necessary in order to enable the county to discharge its obligations under the public assistance laws.
- (5) The department of social and rehabilitation services shall promptly examine the county proposed budget in order to ascertain if the amounts provided for reimbursements to the department are likely to be sufficient and shall notify the county clerk of its findings. The board shall make such changes in the amounts provided for reimbursements, if any are required, in order that the county will be able to make the reimbursements in full.
- (6) The board of county commissioners may not make any transfer from the amounts budgeted for reimbursing the department of social and rehabilitation services without having first obtained a statement in writing from the department to the effect that the amount to be transferred will not be required during the fiscal year for the purposes for which the amounts were provided in the budget.
- (7) No part of the county poor fund, irrespective of the source of any part thereof, may be used directly or indirectly for the erection or improvement of any county building so long as the fund is needed for general relief expenditures by the county or is needed for paying the county's proportionate share of public assistance and protective services or its proportionate share of any other public assistance activity that may be carried on jointly by the state and the county. Expenditures for improvement of any county buildings used directly for care of the poor, except a county hospital or county nursing home, may be made out of any moneys in the county poor fund, whether such moneys are produced by the 13.5-mill levy provided for in subsection (1) of this section or from any additional levy authorized or to be authorized by law. Such expenditure

8:25%

February 14, 1989 Page 3 of 3

shall be authorized only when any county building used for the care of the poor must be improved in order to meet legal standards required for such buildings by the department of health and environmental sciences and when such expenditure has been approved by the department of social and rehabilitation services and the department of family services.""

Renumber: subsequent sections

### STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 14, 1989 Page 1 of 1

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on <u>Local Government</u> report that <u>HOUSE BILL 667</u> (first reading copy -- white) <u>do pass</u>.

Signed: Paula Darko, Chairman



# The Big Sky Country

# MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 14, 1989

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Local Government report that House Bill 485 was tabled on this date.

Rep. Paula Darko, Chairman

1



# The Big Sky Country

# MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 14, 1989

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Local Government report that House Bill 562 was tabled on this date.

Rep. Paula Darko, Chairman



# The Big Sky Country

# MONTANA MOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 14. 1989

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Local Government report that House Bill 630 was tabled on this date.

Rep. Paula Darko, Chairman

GOOSE BAY MARINA

ON EAST SHORE OF CANYON FERRY

TOWNSEND, MONTANA

2/14/89

We have a marina on Canyon Ferry Lake which gives us about a five month season at best.

We have 30 trailer spots and 60 R.V. spots. The county this year has set up up to pay roughly \$1900.00 for solid waste, they are taxing us for every spot we have, even though they are used mostly on weekends only and at least half of the R.V. Spots aren't used except on the longer holiday weekends, so we feel we are being taxed unfairly for solid waste. We haul our garbage on a pickup with a rack and we make about 30 loads of garbage a year with it, so we feel the cost doesn't justify the useage. We don't feel we should have to pay on the R.V. spots anyway, as we already are paying as a business.

We think this tax should be put back on the owners of the R.V.'s and trailer houses and we should not have to be a tax collector. All of these owners are already paying solid waste tax somewhere at their place of residence. The small businesses in Montana are having a hard enough time keeping in business without this added to it.

Jerry & Muriel Reller Goose Bay Marina February 14, 1989

2/14/89

TESTIMONY ON HB630 PRESENTED BY LANNY WHITE, OWNER OF MOUNTAIN VIEW ACRES TRAILER PARK, TOWNSEND, MONTANA BEFORE THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

ON MARCH 2, 1984, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL GAVE HIS OPIONION REGARDING REFUSE DISPOSAL DISTRICT FEES UNDER SECTIONS 7-13-231

THROUGH 7-13-232 MCA, THAT MOBILE HOME PARK OWNERS NOT THE INDUIVIDUAL LESSEE OF THE TRAILER SPACE SHALL PAY THE FEE.

IT IS OUR FEELING THAT THE LESSEE PAY FOR HIS/HER OWN REFUSE
DISPOSAL NOT THE MOBILE HOME PARK OWNER. AT THIS TIME THE PARK
OWNER IS REQUIRED TO PAY THE REFUSE FEE WHETHER THE TRAILER SPACE
IS RENTED OR NOT. FOR EXAMPLE, IN OUR CASE, WE HAVE 38 SPACES
BUT ARE ONLY ABLE TO KEEP AROUND 20 RENTED. WE MUST PAY NOT ONLY
FOR THESE THAT ARE RENTED BUT ALSO THE \$55.00 YEARLY FEE PER SPACE FOR
THE 18 SPACES THAT AREN'T EVEN OCCUPIED. THIS COSTS US 990 EXTRA
DOLLARS PER YEAR FOR ABSOLUTELY NO ACCUMULATED GARBAGE.

THEREFORE, IF THE LESSEE PAID FOR THEIR OWN REFUSE DISPOSAL, ACTUAL ACCUMULATION WOULD BE PAID FOR INSTEAD OF PAYING THE TAX ON EMPTY SPACES. I MIGHT ADD THAT THIS COST IS JUST FOR THE LANDFILL DUMP; DOES NOT INCLUDE GARBAGE PICKUP, WHICH WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR.

I URGE THE MEMBERS OF THIS COMMITTEE TO VOTE "DO PASS" ON HB630.

TREASURER'S OFFICE
P.O. BOX 489
TOWNSEND, MONTANA 59644
PHONE 266-3445 OR 266-3404

10/19/87

1987 REAL PROPERTY TAX NOTICE

### PROPERTY DESCRIPTION/LOCATION

7000532

39.437 A TRACT IN S2

29 7N 2E

TAX ITEM	TAXABLE VALUE	MILL LEVY	TOTAL TAX	1ST HALF	2ND HALF
RURAL 7 HOSPITAL DEBT SERVICE HOSPITAL DISTRICT SOIL CONSERVATION SOLID WASTE	4765 51224 4765 51224 4765 51224 4765 51224	205.94 23,21 0.96 1.50	981.31 110.60 1:075.63 110.60 1:25 4.57 5.62 7.15 7.64 680.00	490.66 537.92 55.3660.63 3.29 2.51 3.51 3.92 340.00	490.5 537.71 5530 <del>50.62</del> 2382.51 3.575.62 340.00

TOTAL ALL TAXES

TEREST COMPUTED TO 12/04/87

EAR AMOUNT 515.80

THE FIRST PAYMENT IS DUE NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER SOTH OF EACH YEAR. THE SECOND PAYMENT IS DUE NO LATER THAN MAY 31ST OF EACH YEAR.

IF THIS TAX BECOMES DELINQUENT, IT IS SUBJECT INTEREST OF 10% PER YEAR AND A PENALTY OF 2%.

Reduced 11/17/87 7B

FIRST INDIALLMENT

NOV 2 0 1987

BROADWAILR COUNTY

Treasurer

\_Deputs

P.O. BOX 489
TOWNSEND, MONTANA 59644
PHONE 266-3445 OR 266-3404

10/28/88

1988 REAL PROPERTY TAX NOTICE

#### PROPERTY DESCRIPTION/LOCATION

7000532

39.437 A TRACT IN S2 43-1591-29-3-03-01

29 7N 2E

TAX ITEM	TAXABLE VALUE	MILL LEVY	TOTAL TAX	1ST HALF	2ND HALF
WIRAL 7 SOIL CONSERVATION SOLID WASTE	4,765 4,765	227.12 1.50	1,082.23 7.15 1,870.00	541.12 3.58 935.00	541.11 3.57 935.00
TOTAL ALL TAXES			2,959.38	1,479,70	1,479.68

NTEREST COMPUTED TO 12/05/88

DELINQUENT TAXES YEAR AMOUNT THE FIRST PAYMENT IS DUE NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER SOTH OF EACH YEAR. THE SECOND PAYMENT IS DUE NO LATER THAN MAY 31ST OF EACH YEAR.

IF THIS TAX BECOMES DELINQUENT, IT IS SUBJECT TINTEREST OF 10% PER YEAR AND A PENALTY OF 2%.

# VISITORS' REGISTER

# Local Lovernment COMMITTEE

BILL NO. 630 SPONSOR Kochake	DATE 2/14/89	·	
		·	†======
NAME (please print)	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Lanny White	MT. View Acres	1	
BARBARA White	U - C	1	
	Fireside TR. Court	1	
Mary Saltman	Fire. Ja TR. Court		
0			
	·		
		<del> </del>	<del> </del>

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITORS' REGISTER

Local Soverney COMMITTEE

BILL NO.	656	DATE 2/14/89	
SPONSOR _	Wallin	( '( '	

			+
NAME (please print)	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Carl Jr. Bennet	Flathal County	X.	
Hand W Here	1/ 1	7	
Gordon Moris	MACs.	*	·
AL KASCHUDE	Rooscrett Co.	*	
Dec Hum	MLCT	1	
			ļ
			<u> </u>
			ļ
			-
<u>.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			ļ
			-
			ļ.
			-

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM. PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITORS' REGISTER

Local Lover	nnes	COMMITTEE	
617	) DAME	-1.1/09	,

SPONSOR Lood

NAME (please print)	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Dere Home	mict	1	
			,
	· ·		
·			
		·	
·			
			ļ

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.