MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND HIGHWAYS

Call to Order: By Chairman Quilici, on January 24, 1989, at 8:07 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: All members were present.

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Clayton Schenck, LFA

Flo Smith, OBPP

Mary Liedle, secretary

Announcements/Discussion: Rep. Quilici announced that today's hearing would be on the Department of Justice. Rep. Quilici asked Clayton Schenck to give an overview of the entire agency and then the hearing would proceed program by program.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: LEGAL SERVICES DIVISION
Tape No. 29A

- Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck provided the committee with a breakdown of the differences between the LFA and executive budgets. (See exhibit 16)
- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (29A 14.59) Marc Racicot, Attorney
 General, said the department agrees with the LFA with
 some requested increases. (See exhibit 1) Mr. Racicot
 explained the need for the requested increases and

prioritized them for the committee. The agency is also requesting a modification for one water rights attorney.

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (29A 26.41) Sen.

Regan: In terms of case travel, what's your current budget and how does that compare with the last three years?

Mr. Racicot said the agency spent \$6500 in 1988. Clayton Schenck said the LFA includes \$6500 for the coming biennium. The appropriation for FY88 and FY89 was \$9500 each year.

(29A 28.19) Sen. Regan: I recognize that attorneys are compelled by the court to have continuing education, but so are teachers. Why should we be paying this?

Marc Racicot said Sen. Regan had a good point that he could not refute. However, the category is not designed only for that.

(29A 30.33) Sen. Regan: Have you used interns before and how successful have they been?

Mr. Racicot responded that they have been used in the past with a high degree of success. Since they were not used in 1988, they were removed from the current level budget.

(29A 31.06) Rep. Swysgood: On the water rights attorney operating expense of \$4500, what is that?

Mr. Racicot said that would be normal travel and support kinds of services.

(29A 31.49) Rep. Swysgood: Wouldn't the case related travel request cover the operating expenses for this lawyer fee?

Mr. Racicot said they did not include that in the estimate.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: INDIAN LEGAL JURISDICTION Tape No. 29A

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck presented the differences between the LFA and executive budgets. (See exhibit 17)

			LEGISLATIVE ACTION	3			м 11:15 М	23-Jan-89
AGENCY: DEPARTHENT OF JUSTICE						PROGRAMIA	PROGRAMI REGISTRAR OF MOTOR VEHICLES	OR VENTCLES
BUDGET ITEM	FY 1988 Actum1	Exacutive	Fiscal 1990 LFA Curr Lv1	Difference	Exacutive	Exacutive LFA Curp. LVI	bifferens	" FY 86-90 X Charge
	70.00	73.00	73.00	0.0	73.00	73.00	0.00	4.29%
Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	\$1,255,645 738,028 37,978	\$1,332,154 821,269 21,212	\$1,304,020 732,647 31,962	\$28,134 88,622 (10,750)	•1,334,424 •1,632 •19,612	\$1,306,244 728,928 7,362	\$28,180 89,604 12,250	3.85% -0.73% -15.84%
TOTAL EXPENSES	62,031,651 ERRERERER	\$2,174,635 nessensedure	\$2,068,629 **=====	\$106,006 nessessess	\$2.172.568 ********	62,042,534 eseccessor	#130,034	7. 1. 82%
FUNDING State Special Rev Federal Revenue	\$1,962,056 69,595	\$2,174,635 0	\$2,06 8,6 29	\$106,006 0	62,172,568 0	\$2,042,534 0	** \$130,034	5.43%
TOTAL FUNDING	\$2,031,651 ************************************	\$2,174,635	\$2,068,629 *********	\$106,006	#2,172,568 #========	\$2,042,534	\$130,034	1.82%

9

DATE

FY 90. 	638,101
7	٦, ٦
812	
_ 40	
C in	
1 10	
	- :
	'' 보니라 '' 그렇게 되어 다른 보다 하는 것이다.
- 7	Add Augilia
_	•
Ä	₹ £
*	N E
•	שַׁרָּגָּ טֹ
Ī	
3	E F
•	-長一温
ŭ,	₹ . ₹
	ુ તે <u>તે</u> દૂ
	. ¥ÿb
- 8	
4	2 2
\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
73	2 is
, ğ	4 > 0
ē	NO F
Ī	_ ₹ ² ₹
. 8	48 p
46	°
4	8 5
8	303
Ξ	2
i i	9 5 5
>	# 25 %
Ę	3 > 8
. 8	000
Ţ	ž T L
<u>.</u>	
ં સ	₹ % #
7	
	5
<u> </u>	ちませ
Ä	E S B
	254
	282
- 5	4 6
Ď	
×	છું ‡
- 4	e 🧃 ŭ
	N 22
છ	5 6 4
7	F.º 덕
. ≥	T
ũ	N T 5
1. VACANCY SAVINGS - Executive applied 2% vacancy savings as compared to 4% in LFA current level.	2. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs charged to this program for services provided by Cantral Services Division (CSD). LFA current level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund.
3	_ ₹₹
5	5238
*	5 5 -4
	£ \$
ä	% 6 ₽

ISSUES:

VEHICLE VALUATION DATABASE - The Executive includes estimated 10% price increases arrunally for the purchase of the NADA database, used to determine the taxable value of vehicles. LFA current level allows the fiscal 1989 price, \$35,300.

slightly above fiscal 1988 base levels, but are over \$40,000 above fiscal 1988 appropriated lavels and are over \$50,000 over the amount expended in any prior year. LFA current level allows the fiscal 1988 appropriated amount plus OBPP inflation. A change to a locally printed form for vehicle registration would appear to lower the cost of printing. PRINTING COSTS - The Executive includes increases for printing costs that are only

5. EQUIPHENT - The Executive allows funding for the same equipment as LFA current lavel, but shifts part of the expanditure from FY 1990 to FY 1991. LFA current lavel reduces the amount for typewriter purchases to the Dept. Admin. price. estimates.

TOTAL ISSUES

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

The budget increase for the Executive and LFA current level are shown at right. A. The Committee approved a no vacancy savings policy, with personal services to be line-itemed.

	r.#.;	+ +			*	. ;			
•						\$43,738 \$3.061 Inflati			
A		S	\$35,353	\$7,413		,738	#10 0 PE	\$129,048	
	7	\$\$\$\$	\$35	\$		\$43 843		\$129	
						7-104 17-104 17-104		\$105,884 \$129,048	
SER	8 2		\$38,101	\$3,53 0	Ž.	\$46,199	\$10.750.1	\$105,884	
EXEC	21		8			25.	•	\$10	
i			**************************************					1	

DATE 1-24-89

Agency: 4110 Program: 12

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Driver Services Bureau

<u>Driver Services Bureau</u>		
	FY90	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Per LFA 1. Increase to Department request	85.20 1.85	85.20 1.85
	87.05	87.05
Personal Services - Per LFA Increase per requested increases in FTE	1,743,939 29,718	
·	1,773,657	1,776,731
Operating Expenses - Agree with LFA	604,035	597,163
Equipment - Per LFA Requested Increase:	54,821	56,856
2. Additional vehicle replacement	19,704	20,400
NODITITO MICHAEL	74,525	77,256
MODIFICATIONS:		
1. Temporary (summertime) Driver Examiners FTE	2.50	2.50
Personal Services Operating Expense	46,900 -0-	46,900 -0-
Equipment	<u>-0-</u>	
m 1'	46,900	46,900
Funding: General Fund	27 500	27 500
Motor Vehicle Account	37,500 9,400	37,500 9,400
	46,900	46,900
2. 100% Federal Grant to install the Commercial		,
Driver License Information System phase of the commercial driver's license program.		
FTE's	-0-	-0-
Personal Services Operating Expenses	5,784 20,347	5,784 16,140
Equipment	77,000	60,500
	103,131	82,424
Funding sources for this program: General Fund	71 29	
Motor Vehicle Fund	74.3% 18.5%	
Other fees and grants	7.2%	

100.0%

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

- TOPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

 The Committee approved a no vacancy savings policy, with personal services to be eitemed. The budget increase for the Executive and LFA current lavel are shown at right

7.7	1112.3
no dis	V 19434
SPACE.	
	1000
4 4 4 4	13.34
7	266X42
1.1	18.6
48 M. X	Mark 12
EX 6318	3 M A
54 TOK	100
Contract to	The state of
37	and the
476 44	
1 1 2	4.5
Vite L	100
(\$14,123)	
	1.572
	750.0
7	7.14
200	1550
	1.0
	2.0
. T	250.5
0.8	1000
W1	2.27
	2.14 Mer.
2.0	MUSE 2
1 2	34.50
12.2	September 2
100	B. 100
100	# 125kg
	100
100	
	32.290
2.2	1644
	1.54
1.14	36036
4	14.7000
100	A4 (312)
10.4	3633
	(713E)
1000	2,000,00
	1
1	10
1	
1	
1	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
Š	

410)	
(11)	

DATE 1-24-89

4110 12								23-Jan-89
AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			LEGISLATIVE ACTION	3		PROGRÁM: DRJ	PROGRAM: DRIVER SERVICES	BUREAU
BUDGET ITEM	FY 1988 Actual	Executive	Fiscal 1990 LFA Curr LVI	Difference	**************************************	Executive LFA Curr [V]	Difference	FV 88-90 2 Cherse
	87.70	86.05	85.20		96.05	65.20	38.0	-2:657
Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	\$1,728,632 591,078 111,635	\$1,775,810 659,467 7,000	\$1,743,939 604,035 54,821	\$31,871 55,432 (47,821)	\$1,778,763 \$49,600 7,000	\$1,747,013 597,163 56,856	*31,750 52,437 (49,856)	0.89% 2.19% 56.89%
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$2,431,345 susessesses	\$2,442,277 *********	\$2,402,795 ========	639,482	\$2,435,363 *********	62,401,032 *	#1 #34,331 ###############	7117
FUNDING						e de la companya de l		
General Fund State Special Rev Federal Revenue	\$1,814,601 428,942 187,802	\$1,898,577 443,700 100,000	#1,786,795 516,000 100,000	\$111,782 (72,300) 0	\$1,848,663 486,700 100,000	61,785,032 516,000 100,000	\$63,631 (29,300)	20.30% 20.30%
TOTAL FUNDING	\$2,431,345 ====================================	\$2,442,277	\$2,402,795 =======	#39,482 ####################################	#2,435,363	\$2,401,032 ====================================	\$34,331 PRESSERVE CEST	771.T
ISSUES						EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA	DER) LFA	
			魔物 经营业 医骨髓		これを は は は は は ない でき	はないないのは、日本日本日本の大	200日 年の一日 日本人	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

**
S
ш
_
~
ฑ
Ø

- 642,790 \$339,404 VACANCY SAVINGS - Executive applied 2% vacancy savings as compared to 4% in LFA current lavel. 2. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA current level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund.
 - OVERTIME The Executive includes an allowance for overtime at the fiscal 1988 base level. LFA current level reduces the allowance to the level appropriated by the 1987. legislature. Overtime is for driver examination field personnel.
- current level removed more FTE, the Executive is lower due to elimination of higher peid positions. operator's license program. LFA eliminated 2.85 FTE, including 1.0 related to the comm'l license program and 1.85 rlated to the drivers' license reinstatement program. Although LFA 4. FTE REDUCTIONS - The Executive eliminated 2.0 FTE related to the new comm'l vehicle
- 5. The Executive allowed an increase for rent of field driver's licensing stations. Agency has recently moved to larger spaces in Missoula/Great Falls, and expects rent increases in other locations. LFA current level is at the fiscal 1988 base.
- funds for office equipment only. LFA current level includes funds for the replacement of 4 6. EQUIPHENT - The Executive allows less for equipment than LFA current level, allowing autos per year, replacement of 4 computer terminals per year, and office equipment.

\$38,840

TOTAL ISSUES

Agency: 4110 Program: 06

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Agency Legal Services

	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Agree with LFA	10.00	10.00
Operating Expenses - Agree with LFA	89,755	92,423
MODIFICATIONS: 1. FY90 - 1 Attorney, .5 Secretary FY91 - 1 Attorney 1 Secretary	1.50	3.50
FY91 - 1 Attorney, 1 Secretary Personal Services Operating Expenses	44,144 8,943 53,087	
2. Equipment - Computer requests	18,216	4,725

The funding source for this program is a Proprietary Fund (Agency Legal Services).

2 1988					PROGRAMY AGENCY LEGAL SERVICES	Y LEGAL SERV	rces, 🛴
BUDGET ITEM Actual	38 11 Executive	- Fiscal 1990 LFA Curr LVI	Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1991	Difference	FY 88-90 7 Change
00.00 PTE 10.000	10,00	10.00	0.0	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00%
Personal Services \$351,675 Operating Expenses 82,079 Equipment 7,084	\$5 \$387,894 103,788 94 18,216	\$350,765 89,755	\$37,129 14,033 18,216	\$438,889 113,608 3,605	\$350,974 91,423	\$87,915 22,185 3,605	-0.26% 9.35% -100.00%
TOTAL EXPENSES	4509,898	***************************************	\$69,378 ==========	\$556,102 ************************************	***************************************	<u> </u>	-0.07/
FUNDING Other Revenue \$440,838	68 4509,898	\$440,520	\$69,378	\$556,102	\$442,397	\$113,705	-0.07%
TOTAL FUNDING \$440,838	6509,898	\$440,520	\$69,378	\$556,102 	8442,397 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	\$ \$113,705	-0.07%

٠	ி		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*
2	F 90	1				
ğ						, r
3			1		1	
~			٩.	***		\$
핗			2	12	Ť.	3
ð	2	1 1	*193			2
ü	-	1			75	
X	Į.			8		
1		1	15		1	Ž.
	14.					
20	50 cs 150 cs			view L		
						4
1		4.5				
			•	45. 6		
					N	
		4	1		ξ	417
	Av G		2	Ť	ŗ	
			•	8	Ž	ġ
0.			ξ.	÷	_	2
	~).: 	1	5 : .	- 2	Ξ	_
		1	€ :	1	Ξ.	£
2	j.	: . .	3	\$	<u>:</u>	Ž.
			5	္မွ	S	8
į.			•	<u></u>	ű	
ŝ		•)	2	Ç	٤
		4	3	• •	ä	+ :
		- 3	2	ğ	Ę	ŭ
: •	20		<u> </u>	Ξ.	ž	7
	Ğ.			ž	-	as it continues funding for CSD from general fund.
į.			3	\$	8	D
			,	46	Š	ב
			•	-8	F	7
			Ž	콬	ທ	₽,
	J.		.	Ę	7	¥
					둧	Ž.
			- -	.∑	3	₹.
			2	*	>	8
			3	8	Δ	O
		- 1	\$	ũ	3	-
		:	S	9	ij	9
			3	F	Ę	~
				. 1	₫	٤
j		7	<u> </u>	중	8	Ť.
				E	ż	8
	9.0	•	•	Ş	Ĭ	3
			3	3	ŝ	7
		7	3	₹	5	S
				당	Ŧ.	\$
			Ü	ğ	Ē	•
	Ċ			Ø	Ď	3
		ž	3	3	Š	Ź
		É	ŧ	2	4	. ≒
			Í	H	Ę	ž
			_	رب د.	‡	Ž
		Š	. VACANCE SAVINGS - EXECUTIVE application	CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs	charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA current	8
•	132	្ទ	3	E	T	ş
S		7			8	ب
ह्र				_	6	Ş
H			÷	4	ક	7

^{3.} TRAVEL - The Executive allows for out-of-state travel at the fiscal 1988 base level. LFA current level allows an increase, but the smount is still well below the FY 1988 appropriation.

复生	3.22
	, 15 h
37	
111	
200	
1.5	
••	
40	
F	
*	
₹	
E	100
1	7.1
Ŧ	1.5
8	1.1
	7.
-	- 11
¥	
3	
7	
Ă	
-	
ĕ	
:=	쁘
<u></u>	ᄄ
ጆ	10
ž	-;
^	М
Ĭ	1
4	-
49	÷
묶	٥.
3	in .
겼	
Ž	ĕ:
	<u>.u</u> _
	57
.≥	L .
Ŧ	v (
7	6
ĕ	d -
×	짱
w	۲,
· 9	_:
F	76 "
•	Ç S
٠.	. X 4
Ñ	: ب
	÷ 1
Ħ	5 (
۳	ح ر
Ö	
₽	i.
_	7 4
4	a. Additional Legal Services Staff - 3.5 FTE

TANK THE	2500		
TOTAL	5	٠	

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

	161
A W C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	IND
	1 2 4
	121
**************************************	\$69,331 ::114,507
] 4 []
A 15 Charles of the Control of the C	
	4 . 3
	1 4
(67,015) (67,015) (7,604 (62,641) (82,641) \$18,216	
(62,641)	1 - 1
	i wii
DIP V V M	104
	1 0 H
 1 2 200 (200 (200 (200 (200 (200 (200 (2	4 7 4
	i ii
不可是一位的数据的数据4.20mm。	1
	1 4
	, i ii
	1000
	rod,

A. The Committee approved a no vacancy savings policy, with personal services to be line-itemed. The budget increase for the Executive and LFA current level are shown at right.

EXHIBIT.	3
BATE	1-24-89
HB	

Agency: 4110 Program: 03

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

County Prosecutor Services

	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Agree with LFA	3.00	3.00
Operating Expenses - Per LFA	20,360	20,420
Requested Increase: 1. Travel (case related)	2,300	2,300
•	22,660	22,720

EXHIBIT.	Q.
DATE	1-24-89
110	

Agency: Program: 4110

02

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Indian Legal Jurisdiction

	FY90	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Agree with LFA	2.00	2.00
Operating Expenses - Per LFA Requested Increase:	104,565	4,573
1. Case related travel	1,400	1,400
	105,965	5,973

EXHIBIT 1-24-89
HB

Agency: 4110 Program: 01

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Legal Services Division

	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Agree with LFA	19.50	19.50
Operating Expenses - Per LFA Requested Increases:	139,458	127,248
 Contract services - 2 Interns Contract services - Westlaw Case related travel (line item) Travel 	6,000 1,200 3,000 3,500	6,000 1,200 3,000 3,500
5. Repairs and maintenance	4,000 157,158	4,000
Equipment - Per LFA Requested Increase:	6,450	13,000
6. Word processing system	13,000 19,450	<u>-0-</u> 13,000
MODIFICATION:		
 Water Rights Attorney FTE 	1.00	1.00
Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	42,000 3,500 -0-	42,000 3,500 -0-
·	45,500	45,500

DAILY ROLL CALL

GENE	RAL	GOVER	NMENT	AND	HIGHW.	AYS	SUBCOMMITTEE
DATE		1485					

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Representative Joe Quilici, Chair	/		
Representative Jerry Nisbet			
Representative Chuck Swysgood			
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Senator Larry Tveit, Vice Chair	/		
	<u> </u>		
Senator Pat Regan			
	 		
Senator Lawrence Stimatz	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
	-		

Form CS-30A Rev. 1985

- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (29A 34.02) Marc Racicot said the agency concurred with the LFA but would request an increase in case related travel. (See exhibit 2)
 - HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: COUNTY PROSECUTOR SERVICES

Tape No. 29A

- Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck
 presented the committee with a comparison between the
 LFA and executive budgets. (See exhibit 18)
- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (29A 37.51) Marc Racicot presented the agency budget. This program is funded through general fund monies. The agency agrees with the LFA but would request an increase of \$2300 each year of the biennium for travel. (See exhibit 3)
- Questions From Subcommittee Members: (29A 42.11) Rep.
 Quilici: Do you think there's a reluctance on the part of the counties to call you in, especially in major cases because of the \$30/hr you have to charge?

Marc Racicot said he knows that to be a fact.

(29A 42.37) Rep. Swysgood: If we would decide that you wouldn't need to charge the counties \$30, then your case related travel would probably increase substantially, wouldn't it?

Marc Racicot said the agency would have to implement a screening system because there would probably be some requests just to pass on a problem. However, the agency has to do that with drug cases now and it's not

an extraordinary task, it can be accomplished rather easily. So, the case travel would not increase dramatically.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: AGENCY LEGAL SERVICES
Tape No. 29B

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck
discussed the differences between the LFA and executive
budgets and the resulting issues. (See exhibit 4)

Flo Smith explained the modifications the executive is recommending. The 3.5 FTE recommended is over the course of the biennium with 1 attorney and .5 secretary in FY90 and 1 attorney and 1 secretary in FY91. This would help the tort claims division of the Department of Administration. The second recommended modification is for a computer request made by the agency. This would amount to \$18,000 in FY90 and \$5000 in FY91 for computer equipment and a pilot project started in 1986.

- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (29B 3.31) Marc Racicot presented the program budget and addressed the need for the requested modifications. (See exhibit 5)
- Questions From Subcommittee Members: (29B 1.01) Sen. Regan:

 If we give you the extra attorneys, what assurance do
 we have that you won't raise the \$48/hr to other
 agencies in order to help you pay this off?

Marc Racicot said the agency is absolutely sure they will be busy enough to keep the attorneys busy and keep the rates at \$48/hr.

- HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: DRIVER SERVICES BUREAU Tape No. 29B
- Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck gave an overview of the program. This program is

responsible for implementing and administrating the laws related to the examination, issuance, cancellation, suspension and revocation of drivers' licenses. Clayton discussed the issues resulting from the differences between the LFA and executive budgets. (See exhibit 6)

- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (29B 14.37) Marc Racicot, Department of Justice, explained in FY89 a program was begun at the direction of the legislature concerning reinstatement fees of those people convicted of DUI. That consumes 1.85 FTE which are not reflected in the 1988 base year and as a consequence are not included in the budget.

Mr. Racicot also discussed the issue of equipment. There are presently 28 vehicles within the Driver Services Program which the examiners utilize to travel all over the state and service their satellite jurisdiction. Twelve of the vehicles have between 90,000 and 195,000 miles on them. The agency would like to purchase five vehicles each year to replace ten of those twelve vehicles.

Mr. Racicot addressed the program's modified requests for 2.5 FTE for temporary summer driver examiners and 100% federal grant to install the commercial driver license information system phase of the commercial driver's license program.

(See exhibit 7)

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (29B 16.36) Rep.

Quilici: Marc, are they still using some old highway patrol cars in this position?

Marc Racicot said that was correct.

(29B 21.38) Rep. Swysgood: As I understand it, this grant is specifically to continue this program on from the aspect of the informational system of the papers that we get out and the testing and whatever goes on with the driver's examiner. My question is on the enforcement part of this where the patrol checks at the scales. Is the federal government supplying any money

for the enforcement of this program?

Marc Racicot said no, there is no federal money for the program.

(29B 22.29) Rep. Swysgood: It's my understanding that in Idaho, where this program is also being implemented, that patrolmen who check at the port of entries are off duty patrolmen who are funded by the federal government to pull these checks and to see whether there's conformance with the law, whether there's more than one driver's license. I'm wondering why we can't partake of the same system.

Mr. Racicot said he didn't know. Bob Robinson said he's not sure what is being done in Idaho but this grant here will provide the mechanism for us to communicate directly with Texas and whether you do that from the highway patrol vehicle or the driver's license examination station, when you get somebody's license it can be called through to Texas to check and see if the person is licensed in Missouri or Arkansas or somewhere else and insure that there is only one license for the person and that he is properly authorized.

(29B 26.02) Sen. Regan: If you have vacancy savings, why are you paying overtime? I don't like to see overtime because it's an expensive way of dealing with employees and in some ways it's unfair to the employee. How much are you paying for overtime and what is this overtime?

Marc Racicot stated it is generally for personnel involved with Driver's Services examiners that are making long trips to places and waiting until the close of business and returning to their own jurisdiction.

(29B 27.59) Sen. Stimatz: How is the state of Montana complying with the 40 hour federal wage an hour act that we've been in violation of for many years?

Marc Racicot said the state is making every effort to comply with the fair labor standards act and also the Garcia decision that put it in the forefront. We are complying within the highway patrol and within all of the negotiated, union represented units. In our professional staff, we do not even talk about paying overtime, not only exempt but also all of the lawyers and other staff. We just simply don't engender any comp time except in the lawyer area and we just expect them to do that.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: MOTOR VEHICLE REGISTRAR PROGRAM

Tape No. 29B

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck gave an overview of the program. The motor vehicle registrar's bureau provides a system of motor vehicle registration, a certificate of ownership, lien filing and licensing of automobile dealers and manufacturers. This program also processes the registrations for boats and snowmobiles. The program is funded by the motor vehicle state special revenue account. Clayton discussed the differences between the LFA and executive budgets and the resultant issues. These issues include central services cost allocation, vehicle valuation database, printing costs and equipment. (See exhibit 8)

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Marc Racicot, Department of Justice

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
None.

Proponent Testimony: (29B 41.29) Marc Racicot told the committee the agency agrees with the LFA's allocation of FTE. They wanted to point out that in the area of operating expenses the computer system was just begun January 3 of this year in twelve major population centers across the state and was expected to be operational on July 1, 1988. As a consequence, all of the forms that are used were bought in 1987. purchase of those forms was not reflected in base year That system is now up and operating and the 1988. purchase of those services and forms which is reflected in contract services in the amount of \$46,000 is going to be a constant expense that cannot be avoided. Racicot also addressed the need for increased postage. The bureau currently spends \$100,000 a year sending out re-registration notices. Marc said that is a nice service but he believes the Department of Justice is responsible for more important things. However, the committee noted this is mandated by statute.

(See exhibit 9)

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (30A 2.31) Sen. Regan: Is there any contemplation that you use the good old

tried and true green and blue that we're all so used to and cost less?

Marc Racicot said they don't fit with the current system. He also stated that this system was put on line by the previous administration and was contracted and developed and although we're happy with it we didn't make all the decisions that bear on the issue.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: DATA PROCESSING PROGRAM
Tape No. 30A

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck presented an overview of the program. The data processing division provides a full range of automated processing and telecommunications services for the Department of Justice. These services include system development and maintenance of the motor vehicle registration system, driver history system, criminal history record information system, and the Montana Uniform Crime Reporting System; computer operator support for the Armory computer center and system development and support for the Criminal Justice Information Network (CJIN). CJIN links law enforcement/criminal justice agencies with information sources at local, state and national levels by interfacing with the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System, the National Crime Information Center, and numerous State of Montana files. Clayton discussed the differences between the LFA and executive budgets. These include central services cost allocation, CJIN cost increases, microcomputer support fees, CJIN equipment and funding. (See exhibit 10)

Flo Smith said that the replacement of CJIN equipment the executive budget recommends is at 25 sites, this represents approximately 1/3 of the total sites.

- List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

 Marc Racicot, Department of Justice
- List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
 None.
- Proponent Testimony: (30A 18.33) Marc Racicot said the agency agrees with the LFA's allocation of FTE. He said there are three requests the agency would like the

committee to consider in the area of operating expenses. First, in the area of contracted services, there are a number of agencies requesting to be hooked up to CJIN as a new user. That entails \$150 per month line charge and a \$35 per month maintenance charge. Those agencies have already had their requests submitted for a period of time. The agency also requests a user fee increase for the National Law Enforcement Teletype System. Finally, the agency requests an increase in new user maintenance for the \$35 per month fee for 5 new CJIN users the first year and 2 the second year.

Marc Racicot said the agency is requesting 7 terminals and printers for new users and replacement of 10 terminals and printers each year.

Mr. Racicot discussed the program's modified request for micro support personnel to coordinate microcomputer acquisition, training and use.

(See exhibit 11)

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (30A 19.50) Rep.

Quilici: Marc, can you explain the National Center for the committee please?

Marc said the National Center is hooked up to law enforcement agencies throughout the entire nation. In essence it operates in conjunction with all the services provided by the FBI doing everything from record checks to funnelling information pertaining to law enforcement situations as they evolve and present themselves. You can communicate through this system with virtually any other law enforcement agency that is hooked up to the same system. It will query everybody's record systems from the FBI to state record systems pertaining to past criminal charges and criminal records.

(30A 23.23) Sen. Stimatz: Where does CJIN fit into the NCIC?

Marc Racicot responded it is integrated into it and capable of querying anything within the National Crime Information Center.

Tape No. 30A

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck gave an overview of the program. LFA current level allows for 19.5 FTE. This program allows for the payment of 1/2the salary and benefits of the 56 county attorneys and that comes from the state general fund and is required by statute. Current level provides for a 1.4% increase for the 1991 biennium and that's due to the addition of .45 FTE in the biennium. This is because 8 counties adjusted the percent of time paid to county attorneys. These county attorneys work anywhere from .20 time to full time. By statute the county commissioners can give salary increases equal to 70 percent of the last previous calendar year's consumer price index for all urban consumers. The Department of Justice has requested a 3.5 percent salary increase in each year of the 1991 biennium. The law also gives the county commissioners power to freeze salaries. Seventeen of the salaries are frozen in FY89. Clayton presented two options with regard to this issue. Option A: Appropriate funds for the maximum potential county attorneys' salary raises, at an additional general fund cost of \$123,107 for the biennium. Option B: Do not appropriate funds for county attorneys' salary raises and freeze salaries at the FY89 level as included in current level. Clayton noted the issue of central services cost allocation resulting from the difference between the LFA and executive budgets. (See exhibit 12)

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Marc Racicot, Department of Justice

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
None.

Proponent Testimony: (30A 35.44) Marc Racicot said he thinks the county attorneys will probably stay at the same rate, he doesn't think many more will increase to full time. The salaries are set by classification of county. The agency requests an increase in FTE allocation by .20 in FY90 which would equate with \$55,000 which would reflect what is presently taking place in FY89. There is a request for an additional .4 FTE in FY91 which is a projection as to what the agency thinks will occur. (See exhibit 13)

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (30A 34.03) Sen.

Regan: Because they are state employees and state

employees salaries are frozen, we could argue that our half is frozen and they can raise their half if they want to. Does the law specifically say we have to give them half?

Marc Racicot said yes, half to be paid by warrant drawn upon the state treasury and half to be paid by the county treasury and then it gives the power to set the salary to the county commissioner.

(30A 37.42) Sen. Tweit: Do all counties have county attorneys?

Mr. Racicot said yes, there's only one that has a county attorney that is not in residence and that's in Petroleum county. It is by law, that each county have one, it's a constitutional office.

HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: EXTRADITION AND TRANSPORTATION OF PRISONERS

Tape No. 30A

Presentation and Opening Statement: Clayton Schenck gave an overview of the program. There are no FTE related to this program, it is strictly operating expenses. The program is funded by the general fund. The extradition and transportation of prisoners program pays claims to sheriffs for expenses of transporting certain prisoners to state prison and for claims of any agent employed by the state to return a fugitive from justice to the state by order of the Governor. Current level is at actual FY88 base levels with the OBPP inflation factors. There were no differences of any significance between the LFA and executive budgets other than central services cost allocation. (See exhibit 14)

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Marc Racicot, Department of Justice

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:
None.

Proponent Testimony: (30A 41.03) Marc Racicot said the agency is requesting an increase in operating expenses of \$60,000 each year of the biennium. The department has no control over this program. The Governor makes the final determinations. (See exhibit 15)

HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND HIGHWAYS

January 24, 1989

Page 12 of 12

Questions From Subcommittee Members: (30A 41.56) Sen.

Regan: Could you say you're authorized to send two people down for three days and if you can't do it in that stay home or you don't get paid extra or whatever?

Marc Racicot said the Governor's office reviews all arrangements that are made. The review policy is filled with scrutiny. Misdemeanors are presumed not to be extraditable expenses.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 10:56 a.m.

REP. JOE QUILICI, Chairman

JQ/ml

2022.min

Agency: 4110 Program: 17

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Registrar's Bureau

	FY90	FY91
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Agree with LFA	73.00	73.00
Operating Expenses - Per LFA Requested Increase:	732,647	728,928
1. Contract Services - printing 2. Postage	46,199 20,000	43,738 20,000
·	798,846	791,666
Equipment - Agree with LFA	31,962	7,362

The funding source for this program is the Motor Vehicle Fund.

2.	.b. 7		1
£ 1		- 4	4.0
£ 1			11.0
	_		1.0
14			\
, 1	··		} :
		3	200
		3)
		. 6	.57
			45.7
	- 4	•	300
	•		. 14
	-	_	10.
150	7		ж.
		3	
		-	
1	. • :		116
	1. 24.00	V	
	175	7	9.09
			79.
	_,,	■ .	35
1 4			× 1
		•	٦.,
	Y		
	A	3	2.30
223.0	0 -	ı	30
	16		
-		= -	Se . 1
-		_	1
			- 61
		•	
3 . 1		•	7
1	1	_	9
1	9 .	- 4.5	14%
1		100	2.3
	9"	:0	

AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	INT OF JUSTICE			LEGISLATIVE ACTION	5		PROCESSIVE	PROCESSINE PROCESSINE PROCESSIN	PROGRAM
		FY 1988		- Fiscal 1990			- Fiecal 1991 -		FY 88-90
BUDGET ITEM		Actum!	Exacutive	LFA Curr LVI	Difference	* Executive	Executive LFA Curr Lv1	. Difference	Bosto X
.		14.00	15.00		0.0		15.00	0.00	7.167
Parsonal Operating Equipment	Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	\$409,663 455,290 12,307	\$416,083 492,874 70,000	\$407,591 458,362 0	\$8,492 34,512 70,000	#16,916 494,915 0	400,408 459,439	\$8,508 35,476	700'001. -100'001.
TOTAL EXPENSES	Š	\$877,260 **********	\$978,957 mm=#mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=mm=	\$465,953	\$113,004 ###################################	#911,831	\$867,847	### ### ##############################	71.29%
FUNDING									
State Sp	State Special Rev	\$877,260	\$978,957	\$865,953	\$113,004	6911,831		443,984	1.29%
TOTAL FUNDING	2	\$877,260	\$978,957	\$865,953	\$113,004	\$911,831	\$867,847	443,984	-1.29%
ISSUES:							EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA-	NOER) LFA	

	7	4
の情報が終めるが、現代のでは、このでは、それでは、このでは、このでは、このでは、このでは、このでは、このでは、このでは、この		3
		ì
0.7	1.	
. 10		े
1		1
10.00		
		į,
	1.1	1
		1
	-	
100	9	
	2	
	-	
3.5		
44.7	Ŧ	
· .	ð	
4.1	Ļ	
	5	
	ō	
1947 - P	_	
	⇄	
2	_	
100	,	
400	.7	
13	Ė	d
9. J.	N	÷
46	a	
	0	
. 17	+	
77	73	
	ĕ	
4.1	Ē	
	X	
11.14	Ħ	•
	Q	
	U	
	40	
	4	
	-	
	ō)
	.5	
	3	
	ġ.	
1.	•	
	>	
	- 9	
	2	
	Q	
	₹	
	×	
	es.	
	v	
	Ξ	
	ò	
	9	•
	•	
	.>	
	Ξ	
	Þ	
	Q	
	2	
	ũ	
	•	
	Ø	
	4	
	≦	
	>	
	5	
	٧,	
	>	
	y	
	3	
	Ų	
	2	
	نے	
	•	

- charged to this program for services provided by Cantral Services Division (CSD). LFA current level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs
- Criminal Justice Information Nathork. LFA current laval does not include any increases for CJIN, since user fees do not increase commensurate with cost increases. See the discussion CJIN COST INCREASES - The Executive includes increases for additional users of the on page A-111 of the LFA Budget Analysis Book.
- 4. MICROCOMPUTER SUPPORT FEES The Executive includes an increase for subscription fees to be paid to the Microcomputer Support Center (Dept. Admin.) in support of additional computer equipment. LFA current lavel is at fiscal 1988 base levels.
- 5. CJIN EQUIPMENT The Executive includes funding for the replacement of equipment (computer printers/terminals) at 25 sites in fiscal 1990. LFA current level does not include any CJIN cost increases
- 6. FUNDING The Executive utilizes \$25,000 less per year from the CJIN user fees state special revenue account than LFA current level. The difference occurs because LFA current level utilizes an existing fund balance in the user fee account in place of motor vehicle state special revenue funds

TOTAL ISSUES

Agency: 4110 Program: 29

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Data Processing Division

	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>
CURRENT LEVEL:		
FTE's - Agree with LFA	15.00	15.00
Operating Expenses - Per LFA Requested Increases: 1. Contract Services - New user	458,362	459,439
line charge 2. Communications - User fee	9,000	12,600
increase (NLETS) 3. Maintenance - New user maintenance	2,400 2,285 472,047	2,400 3,199 477,638
Equipment - Per LFA 4. 7 terminal and printers for new users 5. Replace 10 terminals & printers each year.	-0- 14,075 28,150 42,225	-0- 5,630 28,150 33,780
MODIFICATION:		
1 Niews Commont Downsons 1 to secudinate		
 Micro Support Personnel - to coordinate microcomputer acquisition, training and use. FTE 	1.0	1.0
Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	29,272 1,445 1,169 31,886	29,282 1,445 30,727
The funding source for this program:		
Motor Vehicle Fund CJIN User Fees	24.6% 75.4% 100.0%	

DATE_1-24.87

BUDGET ITEM FY 1988 Actual FY 1988 Executive FR curr LVI Difference Executive LR Curr LVI Difference Executive LR Curr LVI Difference FTE 18.60 19.25 19.05 0.20 19.25 19.05 0.20 Personal Services \$960,862 \$952,316 \$952,316 \$952,316 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$952,672 \$950,672	AGENCY:	4110 19 AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			LEGISLATIVE ACTION	Z		23-Ja Program: COUNTY ATTORNEY PAYROLL	ATTORNEY PA	23-Jan-89 YROLL
Personal Sarvices \$940,862 \$952,316 \$952,316 \$0 8952,672 \$952,672 \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BUDGET I	TEM (TEM	FY 1988 Actual	Executive	_	Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1991 LFA Curr LV1	900904	FY 88-90 % Change
Personal Services \$940,862 \$952,316 \$952,516 \$952,672 <td>당성</td> <td>E</td> <td>18.60</td> <td>19.25</td> <td></td> <td>0.20</td> <td>19.25</td> <td>19.05</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>2.42%</td>	당성	E	18.60	19.25		0.20	19.25	19.05	0.20	2.42%
TAL EXPENSES \$ \$940,862	9 8 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Personal Services Operating Expenses	\$940,862 0	\$952,316 16,983	\$952,316	60 16,983	\$952,672 15,759		\$0 15,759	1.22%
General Fund \$940,862 \$969,299 \$952,316 \$16,983 \$968,431 \$952,672 TAL FUNDING \$940,862 \$969,299 \$952,316 \$16,983 \$968,431 \$952,672 \$ ***********************************	Ē	TAL EXPENSES	\$940,862 ************************************	\$969,299 ********		\$16,983	\$968,431 seeneseeee		15,759	1.22%
und \$940,862 \$969,299 \$952,316 \$16,983 \$968,431 \$952,672 \$ \$940,862 \$969,299 \$952,316 \$16,983 \$968,431 \$952,672 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	FUNDING									
\$940,862 \$969,299 \$952,316 \$16,983 \$968,431 \$952,672 ************************************		General Fund	\$940,862	\$969,299	\$952,316	\$16,983	\$968,431		15,759	1.22%
	TOT	TAL FUNDING	\$940,862 ====================================	#969,299 RESERVEDER		\$16,983 *****	######################################		15,759	1.22%

1. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. cost charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA currenel does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund.

\$15,759

TOTAL ISSUES

ISSUES:

Agency: 4110 Program: 19

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

County Attorney Payroll

	FY90	FY91
CURRENT LEVEL: FTE - Per LFA 1. Request an increase in FTE funding	$\frac{19.05}{.20}$ 19.25	19.05 .40 19.45
Personal Services - Per LFA - Amount for FTE increase above	952,316 55,015 1,007,331	952,672 100,562 1,053,234

This program is funded by the General Fund.

4110 30								23-Jan-89
AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				5		PROGRAM: EXTRADITION AND TRANS OF PRI	OTTION AND '	TRANS OF PRI
BUDGET ITEM	FY 1988 Actual	Executive	- Fiscal 1990 LFA Curr LV1	Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1991 LFA Curr Lvl	Difference	FV 86-90 7. Change
E	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	90.0	00.00	0.00	0.00%
Operating Expenses	\$146,855	\$149,621	\$146,869	\$2,752	6149,432	£ \$146,875	\$2,557	0.02
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$146,855	\$149,621 sessesses	\$146,869 ***********	\$2,752 ********	\$149,432 ********	75	\$2,557 ***	0.01%
FLADING								
General Fund	\$146,855	\$149,621	\$146,869	\$2,752	6149,432	\$146,875	\$2,557	0.0%
TOTAL FUNDING	\$146,855	6149,621	\$146,869	\$2,752 *******	\$149,432	\$146,875 % see	\$2,557 ******	0.01%
					The state of the s			
ISSUES:			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA) LFA	
					新子子 1. W 1. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		こうしい いんしょうない

1. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. cost charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA curre level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general f

TOTAL ISSUES

\$2,432 \$2,432 \$2,621

Agency: 4110 Program: 30

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Extradition and Transportation of Prisoners

	<u>FY90</u>	FY91
CURRENT LEVEL:		
Operating Expenses - Per LFA 1. Requested Increase	146,869 60,000 206,869	146,875 60,000 206,875

Total costs estimated for FY89 could approach \$240,000 if the present trend in prisoner transportation and extraditions continues. We are projecting total costs of \$206,000 for FY89 and have requested a supplemental appropriation to cover the difference between FY89 Appropriated and FY89 Estimated.

4110 01								18-Jan-89
AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				5		PROGRAM: LE	PROGRAM: LEGAL SERVICES DIVISION	IVISION
BUDGET ITEM	FY 1988 Actual	Executive	Fiscal 1990	Difference	Exacutive	Fiedal 1991 LFA COFF LVI	Difference	FY 88-90 7. Change
	19.00	19.50	19.50	0.0	19.50	19.50	0.00	2,63%
Personal Services Operating Expenses Equipment	\$668,859 133,851 1,149	\$694,124 158,720 0	\$681,061 139,458 6,450	\$13,063 19,262 (6,450)	*695,608 147,204	6682,516 127,248 13,000	\$13,092 19,956 (13,000)	1.82% 4.19% 4.19%
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$803,859 Engagement	\$852,844	\$826,969 mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm	\$25,875	\$842,812 ********	68-22,764 68-28-48	#20,048	2.87%
FLYDING								
General Fund State Special Rev	\$781,823 22,036	\$828,925 23,919	\$803,469 23,500	\$25,456 419	\$818,923 23,889	\$799,264 23,500	\$19,659 389	2.777
TOTAL FUNDING	\$803,859	\$852,844	*826,969	\$25,875	\$842,812	\$822,764	\$20,048	2.87%

•	į	′	ì	
1	١	į	į	
	į	Į		
1	١			ļ

- VACANCY SAVINGS Executive applied 2% vacancy savings as compared to 4% in LFA current lavel
- changed to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA current level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs
- NAAG INCREASES The Executive allows a 43% increase over fiscal 1988 base for NAAG 3. MESTLAM - The Executive includes an increase for Mestlaw database research, whereas LFA current level is at the fiscal 1988 base level.
- 5. EQUIPMENT The Executive provides no allowance for equipment. LFA current lavel allows \$4,850 for computers and \$1,600 for office eqpt. in FY 90, and \$13,000 in FY 91 for the replacement of the 5520 word processing system (1st pmt of 5 yrs of pmts).

publications and a 36% increase for NAAG dues. LFA current level allows a 10% increase.

TOTAL ISSUES

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

A. The Committee approved a no vacancy savings policy, with personal services to be line-itemed. The budget increase for the Executive and LFA current level are shown at right.

					- 1 3 1	()
	*	90.74	West.		689	
191 191	SIP. AKE	4			(\$13,000) \$18,689	
						4
5,,,,,						
Ä		Č			,450)	**
0 8 1 E	#13.09E	\$7.040			(66,45 0) \$26,37 0	
EXEC OVER (UNDER) LFA				interior	(*6,450) (*13,000) *26,370	野田
1						

	ANTE .	å
	~=	3
	179	3
- · · ·	VE	1
. 5		í
	(YT	å
.	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	
- 8	-24-89	ľ
_ 2		
N 3		
ľ		
	74	
T		
7	a	
~	` B	į,
2.8 25	100	į
	1 1	Ì
	1 1	ì
		à
100	1	i,
	Service 12.0	á
		ŝ
		ř
	100 AS	ŕ
· 673		ò
	148	ż
EXHBIT.	100	1
	410	ŝ
·	100	ı
~		i
iri .	8	į
•	نو کر است	ľ
	0.000	Š
4.1	122. 4	í
	三洲状 周	١
1.5	1 9/1/65	i

	4110 02 AGENCY: DEPARTHENT OF JUSTICE	BUDGET ITEM	Personal Services Operating Expenses	TOTAL EXPENSES		TOTAL FUNDING	
		FY 1988 Actual 2.00	\$60,256 66,119	\$126,375	\$126,375	\$126,375	
		Executive LI 2.00	\$60,340 105,815	\$166,155 ===================================	6166.155	55	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	Fiscal 1990 IVE LFA Curr LV1 00 2.00	\$59,108 104,565	\$163,673	\$163,673		
	2	Difference 0.00	\$1,232 1,250	\$2,482	789: 24	\$2,482	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
		Exactitive 2.00	\$60,368 5,736	\$66,10¢	\$66,104	\$66,104	
HE	18-Jan Program indian legal jurisdiction	Executive LFA Curr LV1	659,136 77 (4,573	663,709	100 miles		
	DIAN LEGAL JU	Difference 0.00	#1,232 1,163	\$2,395 exemberedate	\$2,395	\$2,395	
	18-Jan-89 RisbicTioN	FY 86-90 7. Change 0.007	11.91% 18.15%	29.51%	29.517	29.51%	

	5	
	E	
	7	
	_	
ાં	Ę	
٠.	_	
٠,	٠	
	Ť	
. 1	9	
	_	
7	8	
5	ì	
	Ř	
Ž.	_	
	ï	
	0	
	2	
	5	
3	7	
\$ ·		
	Ē.	
	ì	
	ĕ	
4:	>	
ì	ï	
7	0	
. •	9	
•	7	
	₫	
!	-	
- :	\$	
4	5	
	7	
	â	
	û	
	•	
÷.	n	
	ž	
į	3	
•	7	
Š	5	
	ŧ	
	1	
	>	
M.,		
4.1	3	

ISSUES:

			4.1	1.440
	100	200	*	
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	ROTE TO	fricts at a	\$2.357	41 3
A A MARIA	1		1 1	. 11
1	als II		1 4	41.85
1 6	J 🐪 📜		I M	11 7
	■ 持續器	1 T		11
느 선생은	1 300	•	110	1 41
70 i 4		A. 49	1 40	. H
1000	ay and deal	Sept 14		
	10 to 10 1	9	25 6 5 6	100
	" st die	14.5		
				4 4
7		100		
S WELLAND		19 0 15	2	41 7 8
	10.15	7.2	6.3	1 3 1 4
TO A	1	N	1 4	JI 7
Z www. N	1 188		1 4	11
u	4	. N	1	
	60.65	4.70		. 8
7917	10 10	1.3	1 6	W 7.
200	260		1.0	
(100 miles	100	HISVED.	V 952	27130
	- 17	questionel.	1	
	17.2			
1 ALC: 100		721		1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	1 1		4
	100	37		41
300 1.00	TO A LO	7.5	62.444	5 , 5 (6)
W. T. St. Lat. 1	7 14 34	100	1 Sant	- 170.4
1.0	No. 122 9	14 PM	30 1 7 F.C.	62 677

^{2.} CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION - The Executive includes funds for indirect/admin. costs charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). LFA current lawel does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general fund.

TOTAL ISSUES

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE POLICY ISSUES

A. The Committee approved a no vacancy savings policy, with personal services to be line-itemed. The budget increase for the Executive and LFA current level are shown at right.

מאור ביליותה

4110 US 00000			I FETS! ATTVE ACTION	NOL				18-Jan-89
AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			数。 対 12 3 22 3 3 4 3 4 4 4 7 7 8 7 7 8			PROGRAM: COUNTY PROSECUTOR SERVICE	NTY PROSECUT	OR SERVICE
BUDGET ITEM	FY 1988 Actual	Executive	Fiscal 1990 LFA Curr Lvl	Difference	Executive	Fiscal 1991	Difference	FY 88-90 X Change
	3.00	3.00		0.00	3.00		0.00	0.00%
Personal Services Operating Expenses	\$117,245 19,956	\$117,020	\$117,020 20,360	605,2	\$117,185 22,642	\$117,185 20,420	\$0 2,222	-0.19% 年
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$137,201	\$139,779	\$137,380	62,399	\$139,827	\$137,605	\$2,222 =================================	0.13%
FUNDING								in many
General Fund	\$137,201	\$139,779	\$137,380	\$2,399	\$139,827	\$137,605	\$2,222	0.13%
TOTAL FUNDING	\$137,201	\$139,779	\$137,380	\$2,399	\$139,827 ====================================	*137,605	\$2,22 <u>2</u>	0,13%

ISSUES:

charged to this program for services provided by Central Services Division (CSD). L level does not include this allocation, as it continues funding for CSD from general 1. CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION

TOTAL ISSUES

VISITOR'S REGISTER

AGENCY (S)	tighways	_ SUBCOMMIT	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	۲9
DEPARTMENT <u>Austic</u>		·		
NAME	REPRESENTING		SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
Mick Rubinson	Justice		X	
Mick Robinson Fredy Forowning Mile LAVIN	Justice		λ	
Mike LAVIN	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X	
Mare Pacient	/ /	**************************************	X	
			ļ	
		·		
	1			
			<u> </u>	
		,		
		~ ~		
			ļ	
			<u> </u>	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMEN! IF YOU HAVE WRITTEN COMMENTS, PLEASE GIVE A COPY TO THE SECRETARY.