MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 51st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE ON FISH AND GAME

Call to Order: By Chairman Bob Ream, on January 19th 1989, at 3:05 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: All present

Members Excused: none

Members Absent: none

Staff Present: Doug Sternberg, Legislative Council and

Maureen Cleary, Committee Secretary

Announcements/Discussion: none

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 174

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. GRINDE: At times in the job of Legislator we fail to look into the future. This bill will simplify the registration for the blind in regards to conservation and fishing licenses. This bill will affect only the blind and no other disabled persons. It will also eliminate alot of red tape for the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks. (See Exhibits #1 and 2)

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Mr. Robert VanDeVeer/ Helena, private citizen

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:

none

Testimony:

Mr. VanDeVeer: I am in support of this bill.

Questions From Committee Members:

REP. EUDAILY: Is there presently any information within the bill to define the word "blind"? REP. GRINDE: There is none within the bill. REP. EUDAILY: I wonder if our researcher could find some information on the term and report back to the Committee. Perhaps an amendment would be called for in this case.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. GRINDE: Closed briefly to the Committee.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 186

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. BOB REAM: District #54, Missoula County. This bill addresses the question of where bond monies are placed. This bill was directed by the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Mr. Ron Marcoux/ Dept. of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Helena

Mr. Stan Bradshaw/ Trout Unlimited, Helena

Ms. Janet Ellis/ MT. Audubon Legislative Fund, Helena

Mr. Don Chance/ MT. Wildlife Federation, Helena

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:

none

Testimony:

Mr. Marcoux: (See Exhibit #3)

Ms. Ellis: (See Exhibit #4 and #5)

Mr. Bradshaw: I am in support of this bill.

Mr. Chance: I am also in support of this legislation.

Questions From Committee Members:

- REP. PHILLIPS: Where does the money go from the fines presently? MR. MARCOUX: The money would go to the Justice of the Peace.
- REP. DEMARS: What is the amount of the fines and how are they established? MR. MARCOUX: The information is in Section 2. These are 1987 figures.
- REP. KELLER: What would happen in the case where a person does not have the money on him/her to post bond immediately? MR. MARCOUX: The Dept. would require that the person be taken in until the money could be posted.

 REP. KELLER: What about the case where a person is protecting his own property? MR. MARCOUX: That is a good question. I am unaware of any present policy in that regard.
- REP. KASTEN: Shouldn't the bond money go to the county? MR.

 MARCOUX: The bond and fine are distributed back, with a percentage to the county and to the Dept. These figures are established on a statewide basis. REP.

 KASTEN: Who establishes these figures? MR. MARCOUX: The state law does clarify these.
- REP. BLOTKAMP: Will the bond will cover a person until s/he appears in court, and would the forfeiture of the bond be a conviction? MR. MARCOUX: Those fees do not imply guilt. They are reimbursed if the person is found not quilty.
- REP. PHILLIPS: I question the bonds and there purpose. MR. BRADSHAW: A bond simply insures your appearance in court, as in a civil suit.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. REAM: Restitution in this bill is the same as if we were dealing with a civil penalty. The fees could be at the judges discretion.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 187

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. REAM: District 54, Missoula County.

List of Testifying Proponents and What Group They Represent:

Mr. Ron Marcoux/ Dept. Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Helena

- Mr. Rick Gratz/ MT. Magazine and American Geographic Publishing, Helena
- Mr. Bill Schneider/ Falcon Press Publishing, Helena

List of Testifying Opponents and What Group They Represent:

none

Testimony:

- Mr. Marcoux: (See Exhibit #5)
- Mr. Schneider: I am in support of the bill. It presents a good opportunity to work with the private sector and help small businesses in the state.
- Mr. Gratz: For the same reasons as testified earlier. I am also in support of this legislation. I feel that this will promote business within the state.

Questions From Committee Members:

- REP. KASTEN: What would the charges per 1000 names be? MR.

 MARCOUX: For most lists it would run about \$45.00 to \$60.00 per thousand, some lists are more desirable than others.
- REP. DEBRUYCKER: How does this bill protect the Dept. from anyone going out and getting the list before you do?

 MR. MARCOUX: The Dept. would establish rules for administering and distribution of these. We would review and decide what would be a fair value.
- Per BLOTKAMP: Does this bill make provisions to protect persons that do not want to be listed? MR. MARCOUX: directed the question to researcher Mr. Sternberg, he noted that presently these provisions are covered.
- REP. PHILLIPS: Is there is any statement of intent written on renewal cards or lists that will protect the public from retrieving these lists? MR. MARCOUX: These lists are presently available to anyone wishing to come to the Dept. and write the names and addresses, etc. down and walk out with them.
- REP. EUDAILY: Would a statement of intent be required on this bill? MR. STERNBERG: Yes, a statement of intent would be required.

- REP. KASTEN: Will this bill will allow other departments to sell their lists? MR. MARCOUX: This bill covers only the Dept. of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.
- REP. EUDAILY: Could you, in turn, re-sell your lists to others? MR. SCHNEIDER: I could, but I would have to include my costs in order to come to a fair price.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. REAM: This bill would provide the original records to the public for sale in a more orderly arrangement and create less chaos within the Dept. of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 4:20 p.m.

REP. BOB REAM, Chairman

BR/mc

1605.min

DAILY ROLL CALL

FISH	AND	GAME	COMMITTEE
------	-----	------	-----------

51st Session - Legislative Council

Date JANUARY 19th

NAME ·	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Bob Ream, Chairman	~		
Jim Elliott, Vice-Chair	V		
Robert Blotkamp			
Ben Cohen	V		·
Fritz Daily			-
Gene DeMars			
Bob Pavlovich Strizich			
Bob Raney			
Bill Strizich Bub Gervaus	/		
Roger DeBruycker			
Orval Ellison			
Ralph Eudaily			
Marian Hanson			
Betty Lou Kasten			
Vernon Keller	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
John Phillips	<u></u>		
	·		

Montana Department HB____ of Fish ,Wildlife & Parks

Helena, MT 59620 November 22, 1988

Representative Larry Grinde Route 3 Lewistown, MT 59457

Dear Representative Grinde:

I am enclosing a progress report with respect to mountain lions in Region 4. It appears that during the 87-88 season, a total of 17 lions was harvested, with 8 of them being males and 9 females. This harvest appears to be in step with the past five year average of 17.

DATE Jan 19

In addition, for Region 4 during the same time period we registered the harvest of 182 bobcats.

With respect to the disabled license, I find that some of the information I gave you was not correct. It had been my understanding that we accepted disability certificates from sources other than a physician. I find this is not true, and is the primary reason we established the blue card system.

The issuance of the blue card is an attempt to prevent a disabled person from having to visit a physician every year, but to date the department has not treated the blue card as a permanent disability certificate.

Given the tenor of our conversation, I have an alternative to suggest which you might consider with this subject. The department could administratively establish another certificate - say, for example, a red card, and this card could be issued on a one-time only basis to someone such as your constituent who is obviously permanently disabled and will not be cured of the disability in his lifetime.

This would allow us to still use the blue card in the appropriate circumstances where we may wish to review the person's condition on a periodic basis and at the same time allow constituents such as yours who obviously are permanently disabled to only have to have a physician certify that disability one time.

November 22, 1988

Representative Larry Grinde

2

If this alternative has any merit from your viewpoint, please do not hesitate to let me know and we can review it from our viewpoint in detail.

l am enclosing a copy of the application form which we use and the eligibility requirements that qualify for the license.

Sincerely,

James W. Flynn

Director

JWF/sa

Encs



Return Application To: 1420 East Sixth Avenue

20	Ea	st	Sixth	Avenue	400
1 e n	а	MT	59620	Avenue EXHIBIT_	TO 2

		Helena MI 39020	LYUIDII . O
			DATE JAN. 19
YOU HUST CHECK	WHICH LICENSE/PERMIT	OU WISH TO APPLY F	PR: HB 174
[] Conservation	n License Cost is \$		
[] Camping Fee	Waiver []	Permit to Hunt Fi	rom A Vehicle
Section 1	This section must be o	completed by the Ap	pplicant
NAME:		HOME PHONE	
HOME ADDRESS		CITY	ZIP CODE
,	, ,	1	, /
SEX EYE	COLOR WEIGHT HEIG	GHT HAIR COLOR	BIRTHDATE
MONTANA DRIVER	'S LICENSE NUMBER	·E	EXPIRES
OTHER IDENTIFIC **Required if	CATION** you do not have a driv	ver's license	
I certify that period of six the informatio more than one My signature be	I have been a legal months immediately pring on this application application for the legow is written consentations residencey and consentations.	resident of the Si lor to making this is true and corre icense/permit for t to the use of thi	tate of Montana for a application and that ect. I have not made which I am applying.
APPLICANT'S WR	ITTEN SIGNATURE		DATE
Section 2 After reading this application	This section must be of the eligibility requir on, I certify the above	rements listed on	the reverse side of
Conservation Camping Fee Permit To He			nn must initial each applicable
Check one or mare certifying	ore of the boxes belo the above applicant.	w to indicate dis	ability for which you
[] amputation [] blindness [] cancer [] deafness [] stroke [] epilepsy [] hemiplegia	[] heart disease [] cerebral palsy [] cystic fibrosi [] muscular dysti [] multiple sclei [] mental retarda [] mental illness	y [] pulmona is [] neurolo rophy [] nonambu rosis [] paraple ation [] quadrip	egia
[] other (must	be clearly explained i	in lav person terms	1)
Is this a perma	anent disability	yes no	
Is it possible	this disability may be	corrected	yesno
I hereby certified the best of my	fy that the informatio knowledge and belief.	n provided above i	s true and correct to
Physician's Sig	gnature (Do Not Print)	Physician'	s License Number

Physician's Name and Address (Please Print)

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

A. DISABLED RESIDENT CONSERVATION LICENSE AND/OR CAMPING FEE WAIVER

To qualify for a Disabled Resident Conservation License, the applicant must be a legal resident of Montana and must be certified by a licensed physician as being disabled according to the following definitions:

- (i) A person suffering from a condition medically determined to be permanent and/or substantial, and resulting in significant impairment of the person's functional ability and specifically includes amputation, blindness, cancer, cerebral palsy, cystic fibrosis, deafness, heart disease, hemiplegia, respiratory or pulmonary dysfunction, developmental disability, mental illness, multiple sclerosis, muscular dystrophy, neurological disorders (including stroke and epilepsy), paraplegia, quadriplegia, other spinal cord conditions and renal failure; or
- (ii) A person who is receiving sheltered employment or work activities/services in a protective setting; or
- (iii) A person who, in a physician's judgement, is suffering from a disease or condition not listed above, but which would otherwise qualify that person for a Disabled Resident Conservation License or Disabled Resident Camping Fee Waiver (must be explained in lay person terms under "other" in Section 2).

B. PERMIT TO HUNT FROM A VEHICLE

To qualify for this permit, the applicant must be a legal resident of Montana and certified by a licensed physician as having a permanent physical handicap and is nonambulatory or mobility is substantially impaired.

"Nonambulatory" means permanently physically reliant on a wheelchair or similar remedial appliance or device for mobility.

"Substantially Impaired Mobility" means virtual inability to move on foot due to permanent physical reliance on crutches, canes, prosthetic appliances or similar remedial appliance or device.

The disabilities listed on the reverse side that are commonly recognized as being elibible for the "Permit To Hunt From A Vehicle" are: (1) amputation (if below the waist), (2) paraplegia (if below the waist), (3) quadriplegia, and (4) nonambulatory. Any other disability certified as being eligibile for the "Permit To Hunt From A Vehicle" must be clearly explained why the applicant is eligible under "other".

EXHIBI	T#03	
DATE	Jan 19th	
HB	186	_

HB 186 January 19, 1989

Testimony presented by Ron Marcoux, Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks.

The Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks supports HB 186, which would provide for uniform application of the laws requiring civil restitution for certain unlawfully taken wildlife (Sec.87-1-111 through 113). This law appears to be working well and has been applied by the courts since passage in 1987.

The one major flaw in the procedure occurs when violators, either resident or nonresident, post a cash bail bond. The defendant then forfeits the bond rather than appear in court. This is sometimes a matter of convenience, but often the bond is forfeited to avoid license revocation and the finding of knowingly and purposefully violating the laws of Montana. A bond forfeiture is not a conviction.

The proposed amendments in this bill will allow the courts to authorize officers in the field to collect the civil penalty in addition to cash bail for appearance.

As an example, if a resident of western Montana were apprehended in Glendive with an excessive number of paddlefish on Saturday or Sunday, he could post \$50 bail for the offense plus \$100 restitution for each fish over the possession limit. This would enable him to return to his home and place of employment and still meet all statutes as to penalties and reimbursement without the hassle of arrest and incarceration.

This procedure would also work well for a nonresident party checked leaving the state with an overlimit or unlawfully taken species. All applicable penalties could be collected and the entire party not detained nor the defendant incarcerated for later appearance.

It would also allow judges to set bail on certain offenses wherein the reimbursement appears applicable, but the defendant chooses not to plead guilty to avoid reimbursement and/or loss of privileges.

The defendant in all cases still has all guaranteed rights as to appearance and trial by judge or jury.

Montana – Audubon Legislative Fund





Testimony on HB 186
House Fish and Game Committee
January 19, 1989

EXHIBIT	#04
DATE	JAn 19
HB	186

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee,

My name is Janet Ellis and I'm here today representing the Montana Audubon Legisaltive Fund. The Audubon Fund represents 9 Chapters of the National Audubon Society and over 2500 members statewide.

The Audubon Fund wants to go on record in support of HR 186. This legislation will close a loop-hole in the current restitution law that prevents collection of these penalties when a defendent chooses to forfeit a cash bail bond. By closing this loop-hole, the resource will ultimately benefit.

Restitution penalties allow Montanan's to get some of the value of lost wildlife back from a person who "knowingly or purposely" took that animal. In the case of many defendents from out-of-state, the individual will post a bond in the field and choose to forfeit that bond in order to return home. In such cases, no restitution penalty can be collected. If HB 186 passes, a "restitution bond" could be posted in the field. If the defendent went to court and it was not shown the s/he "knowingly or purposely" took an animal, then the individual would not have to pay a restitution penalty. If the individual decided to forfeit their cash bail bond, the state would get reimbursed for the loss of its wildlife.

Resitution penalties and other laws aimed at discouraging the illegal taking of Montana's wildlife are particularly important today because of the increase in commercial poaching operations in Montana. Higher fines make poaching less lucrative. More and more states are adopting resitution legislation as a way to regain at least a portion of the value of the wildlife lost by illegal means.

We urge that you vote"DO PASS" on this important measure.

Warden nabsing greedy anglers

DILLON (AP) — A pair of California fishermen had good luck fishing the Big Hole River and other southwestern Montana trout streams last week — a little too good, state wildlife officials said.

The two men were cited for having too many trout in their possession after being found with 187 trout during a random check by a game warden.

The men, Frank Sanchez, 52, of Sunvale, Calif., and Frank Benassi, 51, of San Jose, each posted \$500 bond and returned to California late last week.

State Warden Sarge Hoehm said he stopped to check the men's licenses last Thursday and became suspicious when the men told him they had caught 30 or 40 fish.

He asked to check their cooler found it was full of food, but a refrigerator in their motor home was "stuffed full of fish."

"That was the most fish I ever spotted by far," Hoehin told the Dillon Tribune-Examiner. "I once got 38 fish on an undercover operation. I just could not believe it."

Hochm alleged the men had in their possession 97- brown, rainbow or cutthroat trout and 90 brook trout. They had been fishing in southwestern Montana, induding trout streams such as the Big Hole and Beaverhead rivers, for a week,

The warden cited them for possession of unlawfully taken game fish and violation of possession limits.

Californians pay \$1,000 for 187 illegal fish _ rage 5

Californians nabbed with 187 fish

DILLON — A local game warden's random fishing license check of two California men led to the discovery of a cache of 187 illegal fish and helty fines for the pair.

Frank Benassi of San Jose and Frank Sanchez of Sunnyvale, each forfeited \$500 bonds in Justice of the Peace Dick Later's court on misdemeanor charges of possession of unlawfully taken fish and taking over the limit of game fish.

State Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks warden Sarge Hoem said the men had more than 100 brook trout in their possession fine limit is 20 fish each, he said.

They also had nearly 30 rainbows, cutthroats and brown trout in their possession. The limit on those fish is five fish per man with only one fish over 13 inches allowed.

The majority of the catch "were good, pan fish" size, Hoem said, "They didn't have any trophy fish."

-- The Californians had been fishing Sheep and Red Rock Creeks south of Dillon; the Big Hole River and Governor Creek, northwest of Dillon; and the Ruby River in Madison County. They arrived in the area for their fishing excursion Sept. 25 and before their arrest Oct. 1, planned to leave the next day.

The fish will be sold at public auction Thursday at 5:30 in the Beaverhead County courthouse back parking lot. The sale will also include confiscated elk and deer meat.

DATE JAN 19
HB 186

EXHIBIT #06
DATE JAN 19
HB 187

HB 187 January 19, 1989

Testimony presented by Ron Marcoux, Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks

This bill would amend present law (2-6-109 and 87-1-601, MCA) to permit the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks to rent or sell lists of license purchasers, lists of special license applicants and its <u>Montana Outdoors</u> subscribers.

Department listings, as described above, are considered information in the public domain, and thus are a matter of public record and open to public inspection. Although current state law prohibits copying or reproducing these lists by mechanical means, they may be transcribed by hand on the department's premises. We are appreciative of the privacy issue, but recognize these lists are now available through the process indicated.

Each year, several private individuals or other parties visit the department to obtain lists of nonresident combination license buyers and special license applicants and copy them by hand for advertising or marketing purposes.

This is inconvenient and time-consuming for the parties copying the lists and requires that the department provide office space or off-site facilities for this purpose. Most of these visitors later sell the listings they have compiled.

Through selling its magazine and license buyer lists, the department could eliminate the inconvenience to parties wishing to obtain these lists, and generate an estimated \$26,000 per year. There are other state fish and wildlife agencies that sell the lists to interested parties.

We support amending current state statutes (2-6-109 and 87-1-601, MCA) to permit the Department to sell these lists. For this reason, we support HB 187.

Amendments to House Bill No. 187 Introduced Reading Copy

For the House Committee on Fish and Game

Prepared by Doug Sternberg January 19, 1989

1. Page 1, line 18.
Following: line 17.
Insert: "(2) The department shall provide the mailing lists
 described in subsection (1) at cost to Montana residents."
Renumber: subsequent subsection

WILDLIFE HABITAT PROTECTION AN INTERIM REPORT



Prepared by:

Montana Department of
Fish, Wildlife & Parks

Prepared for:

House and Senate Fish and Game Committees 1989 Montana State Legislature

VISITORS' REGISTER

FISH ADD GAME COMMITTEE

BILL NO. 186	DATE ANDOR	1 1911	
sponsor Ream	-	,	
NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Ron Marcan		~	
Janet Ellig			
Stan Brakehan	Trout Unlimited	V	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			ļ

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITORS' REGISTER

FISH AND GAME COMMITTEE

BILL NO. 174	DATE A	1 paace	(202
SPONSOR LAMY HAL Gri	noe)	
NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Roberton Gerther		~	
Roberton Gerther	Telena		
Stun Bradstraw	Timot Chillen Top		
<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		
			_
	7		

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.