MINUTES OF THE MEETING NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE 50TH LEGISLATIVE SESSION HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The meeting of the Natural Resources subcommittee was called to order by Chairman Swift on January 13, 1987 at 8:15 a.m. in Room 317 of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present. Also present were Carl Schweitzer, Senior Fiscal Analyst, from the Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst (LFA) and Karen Vollstedt, Budget Analyst, from the Office of Budget & Program Planning (OBPP).

Tape 9A:000

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:

10 Percent General Fund Cut:

Mr. Keith Kelly, Director, Department of Agriculture, responded to a committee motion on January 12 that he determine the effect on his department of a 10 percent cut in general fund (EXHIBIT 1). He presented two options.

Discussion:

Chairman Swift asked if Option 1 suggests the abolishment of the position of <u>Director</u>. Mr. Kelly said yes. Mr. Kelly said Option 1 would abolish all administrative duties and transfer department duties to another state department. Chairman Swift said the committee can take an approach other than an across-the-board cut. Mr. Kelly said the department does not support either option, but rather supports the Executive budget.

Sen. Smith said <u>pesticide funding</u> was cut last session due to lack of federal funding, but the state cannot continue to supplant the loss of federal funding.

There was brief committee discussion on the use of and need for pesticide training. Also existing state and federal laws are factors to be considered.

Chairman Swift said the funding ratio is approximately \$200,000 federal funds to \$800,000 general fund and state special revenue.

In response to a question from Sen. Smith, Mr. Kelly said

the Environmental Management Program had 32 positions in FY85 and 26.5 positions in FY86. In response to a question from Sen. Story, Mr. Kelly said pesticide training for private applicators is contracted out, but the department trains commercial applicators. The law requires that certification of commercial and government applicators be renewed annually. Sen. Smith said it is important that applicators be up-dated on the use of new chemicals because they are dangerous.

In response to a question from Sen. Story, Mr. Kelly said the department recommends not funding the <u>Beginning Farm</u> <u>Loan Program</u>, but recommends leaving the statutes on the books. He said there are 4 people on the staff doing agricultural statistics work (augmenting 13 people on the staff of the Agricultural Statistics Service); 3 positions on the Agricultural Assistance and Counseling Program; 1 marketing position; and 1 rural development position. He said the federal-use agreement on loans to rural youth and agricultural people limits the program to about 1.5 million dollars. In total, there are about 10 positions in this Division.

In response to a question from Sen. Story, Carl said the Agricultural Development Program funding has about \$94,000 to \$100,000 general fund.

In response to a question from Sen. Smith, Mr. Kelly said the Rural Rehabilitation Program came out of the '30's depression. The assets of this program are about 1.8 or 1.9 million dollars, which is used in a revolving loan program. The department makes \$7,500 loans to youth for livestock or production agricultural programs to help earn money for college. The department (by rule) also implemented \$25,000 loans to assist people who are just getting started in agricultural activity. The loan interest rate this year is 8 percent; the rate is adjusted annually. The federal government has stipulated that administrative costs cannot exceed 3 percent. There have been some loan losses, but the program has the support of the agricultural community.

Rep. Devlin asked how much of the <u>Deputy Director's</u> salary (approximately \$45,000) is general fund. Mr. Kelly said about 80 percent (\$36,000).

Sen. Story (498) said another option is to take all general fund out of the <u>Agricultural Development Program</u> budget for both fiscal years and remove the <u>Deputy Director</u> position

for both years, which is the approximate dollar amount of Option 1. The remainder of cutting could be done by reducing travel, for instance.

Chairman Swift said the Executive modifications on the Counseling & Mediation program will have to be addressed.

Sen. Smith asked who would perform the follow-through functions on loans already made. Sen. Story said if this option were exercised, more loans would probably not be made and probably the Department of Revenue would assume responsibility of servicing existing loans.

Mr. Kelly said there is very strong support for the Counseling & Mediation program - from both counseling recipients and financial institutions. In addition, he said, if personnel cooperating with the work being done by the Agricultural Statistics Service are removed, the Cooperative Agreement might be dissolved.

Tape 9B:000

In response to a question from Rep. Spaeth, Sen. Story said <u>Marketing</u> and <u>Crop Reporting</u> could be cut. Mr. Kelly said these are important services to the agricultural industry. He said the U.S. Department of Agriculture has cut back on reporting, but the reports are the official source of production statistics; they provide an annual production summary by counties and by crops. Sen. Smith said these reports are used to set production quotas. He elaborated on the utilization of the reports.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Rep. Devlin made a motion to reject Option 1 (eliminating administrative costs and combining the department with another state agency). A voice vote was taken and the motion-PASSED, with Sen. Story voting no.

Rep. Manuel made a motion to reject Option 2 (eliminate the Environmental Management Division and transfer all pesticide enforcement, registration, training, and laboratory responsibilities to the Environmental Protection Agency). A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Sen. Story voting no.

Rep. Devlin (183) made a motion to eliminate the position of Deputy Director by not funding the position.

Discussion:

Mr. Kelly said this is not a new position. Sen. Smith asked how many years the department has operated without a Deputy Director. Sandra Luchau, Administrator, Centralized Services Division, said she thinks two.

In response to a question from Rep. Spaeth, Mr. Kelly said the utilization of the Deputy Director is very heavy. As an example, he said just keeping track of earmarked revenue and proprietary accounts generates a lot of paper work. He said the Governor's department on management looked at the department and it very strongly suggested that the Deputy Director is instrumental in assisting the Director.

A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Reps. Manuel and Spaeth voting no.

Environmental Management Program: (318) In response to a comment by Rep. Devlin that the <u>Chemist Supervisor</u> position has been vacant for nine months, Mr. Kelly said the salary for this position is not competative; however, two or three in-house people are candidates to fill this position. The in-house person selected to fill this position will continue to perform work now being done and, in addition, assume supervisory functions.

Rep. Spaeth said the salary for a Chemist Supervisor should either be made competitive or the position eliminated.

Tape 11A:000

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Hail Insurance Unit: (081)

Rep. Manuel made a motion to accept the Executive budget for the Hail Insurance Unit. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Wheat Research & Marketing Program:

Rep. Spaeth made a motion to accept the Executive budget for Board Per Diem and Miscellaneous.

Rep. Spaeth questions the amount in the <u>Travel</u> budget and Sen. Smith said he thinks this could be cut.

Rep. Spaeth made a motion to accept one-half the difference between the LFA and Executive budgets for FY88 and FY89. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Environmental Management Program:

Carl reviewed the differences between the LFA budget and the Executive budget item-by-item. The differences are:

Under Travel (Marketing), \$5,000 in FY88 and FY89 should be in the Agricultural Development Program.

The LFA used current level for <u>Lab Equipment Maintenance</u>, but the department said maintenance costs on some older equipment have increased.

Computer Equipment is included in the LFA budget, but not in the Executive budget.

The LFA budget includes some <u>Contracted Services</u> not included in the Executive budget.

There were a number of <u>Miscellaneous</u> items which, when added together, amount to a larger amount.

There was a brief committee discussion on raising fees on pesticide products registration. The current fee to register a product is \$50; and registration fees collected in 1986 were \$225,610.

Sen. Smith said raising these fees would be anti-business.

Rep. Spaeth said Montana must be competitive and there must be more study before this is done.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget on Lab Equipment Maintenance. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget for <u>Health Insurance</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Sen. Smith made a motion to delete \$5,000 Travel (Marketing) in FY88 and FY89 because it should be in the Agricultural Development Program. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

In response to a question from Rep. Devlin, Mr. Kelly said the request for an increase in <u>Travel (Increased Travel)</u> is to meet vacancy savings requirements and travel requirements of the Chemist Supervisor to attend peer chemists'

meetings on analytical procedures. He said because of the FY86 vacancy savings, this will return the department to current level.

Tape 11B:000

Rep. Manuel made a motion to accept the LFA budget on Travel (Increased Travel). A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Mr. Kelly said <u>Computer Equipment</u> is in the state-wide computer system plan, but the department cannot afford this now. The item is not in the Executive budget.

Rep. Spaeth made a motion to accept the Executive budget on <u>Computer Equipment</u>, <u>Contracted Services</u> and <u>Miscellaneous</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Funding:

Sen. Story made a motion to reduce general fund by \$50,000 in FY88 and \$50,000 in FY89; and that the department be given flexibility to raise fees to make up a portion of this, or leave vacancies, or reduce work hours.

Discussion:

Carl said income from fees is placed in general fund, so fees should go into a special revenue account which then would finance this program.

Rep. Manuel said special revenue measures are not popular with the legislature.

Sen. Smith said he will resist the motion.

Chairman Swift said if Sen. Story's motion is successful, legislation will be required regarding fee increases and department rule-making authority. Sen. Story said he is willing to carry this bill.

A voice vote was taken and the motion FAILED, with Reps. Spaeth, Manuel, Devlin and Sen. Smith voting no; Sen. Boylan was absent.

Environmental Management Program:

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Rep. Devlin (260) made a motion to reduce laboratory FTE's by 1 position - the Chemist Supervisor position.

Discussion:

Mr. Kelly said the work is being done by an individual with a chemistry background and because of the work load, laboratory personnel have grievance problems.

Rep. Spaeth said he does not think vacancy savings should be used as an excuse for eliminating positions.

A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Reps. Manuel and Spaeth voting no.

Plant Industry Program:

Carl reviewed the differences between the LFA budget and the Executive budget item-by-item. The differences are:

Travel Increase is the same argument as before (to meet vacancy savings requirements).

Fix Lab Garage Door is to repair a door at the grain lab.

Apple Inspector and Agricultural Feed Contaminents are modifications in the Executive budget; it is his understanding that neither is general fund.

Equipment (Van) is in the Executive budget, but is not needed in the next biennium because it will be purchased this spring.

Equipment (Computer Equipment) is in the Executive budget, but not in the LFA budget.

In addition, Carl said the LFA budget lists the various fees charged, date of last fee increase and current amount of dollars charged for each fee. There is also a table showing potential 25, 50 and 100 percent fee increases.

In addition, Carl said there is one other <u>modification</u> requested: 3 FTE in the <u>grain lab</u>. This <u>modification</u> is not in the Executive budget, but the Director requests it.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Rep. Manuel made a motion to recommend a <u>modification</u> to the Appropriations Committee to authorize an additional 3 FTE at the grain laboratory. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Devlin voting no.

Fees:

There was a general discussion on fees.

Tape 12A:000

Rep. Manuel suggested delaying committee action on fee increases.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Sen. Story made a motion to take 1/2 of LFA Option A (12 1/2 percent, the same being 1/2 of \$55,669); present a bill to statutorily increase fees; and direct the department to increase fees by 1/2 on those fees which are established by rule.

Discussion:

Rep. Spaeth asked how Montana's fees compare with other states. Carl said he does not know. Mr. Kelly said he does not know either, but feels confident about the Public Warehouseman License fee and the Commodity Dealers License fee.

Chairman Swift asked the Director to report to the committee on the level of rates and where Montana stands in relation to other states.

Rep. Spaeth asked the Director to also include whether or not all inspections are needed. Mr. Kelly said these are termed "nuisance licenses" and there is not time to do the research on this item.

Sen. Story agreed to delay action on his motion.

Rep. Spaeth made a motion to accept the Executive budget on the following differences, except when general fund is involved, and under those instances, accept the LFA budget: Travel Increase, Fix Lab Garage Door, Apple Inspector, Agricultural Feed Contaminents, Equipment (Van & Computer Equipment) and Miscellaneous. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Agricultural Development Program:

Carl reviewed the differences between the LFA budget and the Executive Budget item-by-item. The differences are:

Ag Counseling & Mediation is a modification included in in the Executive budget. It is all general fund for both fiscal years.

On <u>Crop Reporting Postage</u>, Carl said he thinks he took this from general fund. Mr. Kelly said the federal government reimburses the state for this item. Carl said he needs to correct this item.

Increase for Statistical Data is not general fund.

Work Study Contract Increase (Rural Development) is not general fund.

Travel Increase of \$2,501 and \$2,983 is general fund; and \$5,000 was removed from the Environmental Management Program, but the request is to put it into the Agricultural Development Program.

Equipment in the LFA budget is \$1,900 more for the biennium than the Executive budget.

In addition, there is no dollar impact in the budget books for the <u>Beginning Farm Loan Program</u>, but the Executive has proposed language in the appropriation bill which, basically, forgives the general fund loan made to this program. Carl said this might become "Item 8" for subcommittee action. Mr. Kelly said the Executive proposal is to shut off all funding, but leave the statute on the books. This is not in either the LFA or Executive budget except as a notation - no dollars are involved, he said.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Rep. Devlin made a motion to accept the recommendation of the LFA and Executive that the <u>Beginning Farm Loan Program</u> not be funded. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Sen. Story voting no.

In response to committee discussion on <u>Travel</u> for promoting Montana products, Mr. Kelly said one agency should become the lead agency. Chairman Swift said there may be a work duplication and the committee will look at the Department of Commerce budget. Mr. Kelly said \$5,000 each year is requested.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Rep. Manuel (483) made a motion to defer <u>Travel Increase</u> until the committee hears the Department of Commerce budget. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Rep. Manuel made a motion to defer <u>Ag Counseling & Media-</u> tion until the committee determines how proposed legislation progresses through the House and Senate. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Rep. Devlin made a motion to accept the Executive budget on Equipment. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Rep. Devlin made a motion to accept the LFA budget on <u>Miscellaneous</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion <u>PASSED</u> unanimously.

Sen. Smith said these are not general fund, so he made a motion to have the LFA put <u>Crop Reporting Postage</u>, <u>Increase for Statistical Data</u>, and <u>Work Study Contract</u> <u>Increase</u> back in the budget. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED unanimously.

Centralized Services Program:

Carl reviewed the differences between the LFA budget and the Executive budget item-by-item. The differences are:

Photo Copying Costs is an error because they are expenses he did not see and they should be put back in the budget. Mr. Kelly said these are costs associated with private and some registration fees.

<u>Travel</u> is the difference between current level in FY86 and a little increase requested by the department.

<u>Computer Equipment</u> is part of the data processing plan; the department has withdrawn the request.

Noxious Weed Operations was an over appropriation by the LFA. This does not affect general fund, it just reduces the Noxious Weed Account.

In addition, Carl said committee members may want to consider how they approach the financing of Centralized Services.

The LFA approach was to look at the budgets for personal services in ALL programs and then determine how those personal services were financed (how much general fund, federal, state special, etc.). Then those TOTAL program ratios were applied to the Centralized Services budget. For example, if 50 percent of the budgets are financed with general fund in the rest of the programs, then 50 percent of Centralized Services was financed with general fund. Problems do develop with the small programs, such as Rural Development. He said he thinks the department proposal is to finance these small programs with general fund and forgive the other funds. Carl said apparently those funds are not paying into Centralized Services. He said the difference in these two approaches is about \$10,000.

EXECUTIVE ACTION:

Sen. Story made a motion to reduce the Lawyer III position from 1 FTE to .66 FTE and remove \$10,000 from the budget.

Rep. Spaeth made a substitute motion to eliminate the position of department lawyer. After a brief discussion, Rep. Spaeth withdrew his substitute motion.

A voice vote was taken on Sen. Story's motion and the motion PASSED, with Reps. Manuel and Spaeth voting no.

Sen. Story made a motion to <u>remove \$30,000 for FY88 and</u> \$30,000 for FY89 from Centralized Services Program; and reduce FTE's on a proportional basis.

Discussion:

Sen. Smith opposed the motion.

There was a brief committee discussion.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion FAILED, with Sen. Smith and Reps. Devlin, Spaeth voting no and Rep. Manuel (by proxy) voting no.

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget on Photocopy Costs. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel and Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

Rep. Devlin made a motion to accept the Executive budget on Western States Ag Department Conference. The motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel, Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget on <u>Computer Equipment</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel, Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget on <u>Over Appropriation of Noxious Weed Operations</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel, Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

Sen. Smith made a motion to accept the Executive budget on <u>Travel</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel, Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

Rep. Devlin made a motion to accept the Executive budget on <u>Miscellaneous</u>. A voice vote was taken and the motion PASSED, with Rep. Manuel, Sens. Story and Boylan absent.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

BERNIE SWIFT, Chairman

DAILY ROLL CALL

NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE

.

DATE January 13, 1987

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Boylan	X		
Representative Devlin	х		
Representative Manuel	Х		
Senator Smith	x		
Representative Spaeth	х		
Senator Story	X		-
Representative Swift	X		
۰ ۰			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Form CS-30A Rev. 1985

-

ROLL CALL VOTE

NATURAL RESOURCES

SUB- CO'MITTEE

DATE 1/13/87 BILL NO. NUMBER

NAME AYE NAY Senator Boylan X Representative Devlin Х X Proxy Representative Manuel Senator Smith Х Representative Spaeth x X Senator Story X Representative Swift

TALLY

3____4___

÷.

.

Jean Carroll Secretary Bernie Swift Chairman

MOTION: Senator Story: Motion to remove \$30,000 for FY88 and \$30,000 for FY89 from Centralized Services Program; and reduce FTE's on a proportional basis.

Form CS-31 Rev. 1985

EXHIBIT 1 Dept. of Agriculture January 13, 1987



TED SCHWINDEN

GOVERNOR

STATE OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR AGRICULTURE/LIVESTOCK BLDG. CAPITOL STATION HELENA, MONTANA 59620-0201 TELEPHONE: AREA CODE 406 444-3144

KEITH KELLY DIRECTOR

Testimony of The Montana Department of Agriculture for the Natural Resources Joint Subcommittee of the Appropriations - Finance and Claims Committees Room 317, 8:00 a.m. Tuesday, January 13, 1987 Helena, Montana

Chairman Swift, members of the committee, as discussed in previous hearings, we support the budget request as outlined in the Governor's Executive Budget. The budget presented to you is very lean and responsible. Since late 1985 the department budget took a 2% cut (effectively 4%) at the Executive request, a 5% cut during the special session, and a 3.2% cut in personal services during the special session, and another 2% cut for the FY'87 Additionally, the budget developed for the FY'88 - '89 period. biennium reflects a level of government commensurate with FY'79 employment levels, with some modifications of priorities reflecting our constantly changing and troubled industry. I feel the Department of Agriculture should and must continue to provide certain minimal basic services as outlined in Section 80, MCA, and we should not reduce the priority of agriculture, or Montana's ability to address agriculture's needs.

I am fully aware, personally and professionally that farmers and ranchers, machinery dealers, feed and fertilizer stores, auction markets, banks and nearly all main street businesses are suffering with agriculture some very severe economic times. The Department of Agriculture budget reflects that. In good conscience I can not support reducing the priority of the agency charged by statute of promoting the interests of Agriculture any further.

As per your request and direction, I present the following options to reduce the Montana Department of Agriculture's budget by 10% and to look at the elimination of programs that were discussed.

Option 1

Combine the Montana Department of Agriculture with the Department Natural Resources and Conservation, State Lands or Livestock in a friendly take over. The State of Montana would save the following administrative costs although administrative clerical support would have to be assumed by the new department.

I will agree that the duties and services provided by the Department continue to be provided in total by any new consolidated agency. (See attached Option 1)

Option 2

As per your direction, we have developed Option 2 to eliminate the Environmental Management Division and transfer all pesticide enforcement, registration, training, and laboratory responsibilities to the EPA. This will require an act to repeal the Montana Pesticides Act, Title 80, Chapter 8 and eliminate the Montana Department of Agriculture's input in pesticide issues and all related administrative responsibility in that regard. (See attached Option 2)

Department of Agriculture 10% Reduction

OPTION I

	<u>Salaries a</u>	nd Benefits
	FY '88	FY '89
Director	58,162	58,487
Administrative Secretary I	21,200	21,160
Central Services Manager III	36,269	36,201
Lawyer III	33,959	33,895
Word Processing Operator III	17,386	17,354
	\$166,976	\$167,097

NOTE: FY '88 - 10% REQUIRED - \$143,526

FY '89 - 10% REQUIRED - \$141,925

Mr. Chairman, these options have been prepared in response to your request. I would like to state that we do not support either option and concur with the Governor's budget.

In summary, Mr. Chairman, I feel that I am in the same position with the Department that many farmers and ranchers are experiencing when they face their lender to renegotiate their operating loan, if possible.

In size and responsibilities, I feel we're like a 640 acre homestead owner trying to feed a family of 8, and during the last 2 years of budget cutbacks and crunches the bank has taken the livestock. Now we're negotiating as the bank in proposing to take the workable machinery (in this 10% proposal) and probably the south 80 acres. Yet the crop needs to be harvested and the family fed.

At some point, the realization sinks in that this ain't going to work.

It's time to seek out a neighbor who has the equipment to harvest the crop and strike the best deal I can to cash out and move to town.

As many of you have stated many times in the newspapers, "This is not going to be an easy session."

Thank you for your consideration as you make some very difficult and necessary choices.

OPTION 2

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION FISCAL YEAR 1988

PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

PEST AND RELATED PROGRAMS

3.20 \$ 75,737		A*	Laboratory	Subtotal	Rođent	Insect	Rodent Insect B* MEPA	Subtotal	TOTAL
	6.75 \$168,105 19,548 -0-	3.55 \$107,459 26,268 -0-	3.25 \$ 88,301 16,699 -0-	16.75 \$439,602 89,334 -0-	2.0 \$59,985 8,128 -0-	1.0 \$26,939 4,550 -0-	0.5 \$13,203 800 -0-	3.5 \$100,127 13,478 -0-	20.25 \$539,729 102,812 -0-
TOTAL \$102,556	\$187 , 653	\$133 , 727	\$105,000	\$528 , 936	\$68,113	\$68 , 113 \$31 ,4 89	\$14,003	\$113,605	\$642,541
Revenues \$319,000 General Fund Obligation			60 % 40 %	\$319,000 209,936				50 8 508	\$319,000 323,541

A* - Special Pesticide Registrations and Problems Training for Certification and Licensing B* - Montana Environmental Policy Act

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION FISCAL YEAR 1989

PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

PEST AND RELATED PROGRAMS

Item	Licensing Registrations	Enforcement	A*	Laboratory	Subtotal	Rođent	Insect	Insect B* MEPA Subtotal	Subtotal	TOTAL
F.T.E. Personnel	3.20 \$ 75,581	6.75 \$168,783	3.55 \$107,447	3.25 \$ 88,434	16.75 \$440,245		1.0 \$27,197	0.5 \$13,820	3.5 \$101,324	20. 25 \$5 4 1,569
Operat ions Equipment	26,036 -0-	19,290 -0-	25,984 -0-	18,587 -0-	89,897 -0-		4,430 -0-		13, 326 -0-	103,223 -0-
TOTAL	\$101 ,6 17	\$188 , 073	\$133,431	\$107,021	\$530 , 142	\$68,403	\$68 ,4 03 \$31,627	\$14,620	\$114,650	\$644 ,792
Revenues \$319,000 General Fund Obligation	\$319,000 Obligation			60 % 40\$	\$319,000 \$211,142				49 8 518	\$319,000 \$325,792

Crop Insect Detection and Management Title 80, Chapter 7, Part 5 Vertebrate Pest Management Title 80, Chapter 7, Part 11 Montana Pesticides Act Title 80, Chapter 8

VISITOR'S REGISTER

	NATURAL	RESOURCES	SUBCOMM	IITTEE
AGENCY (S)		of Agriculture	DATE	1/13/87

DEPARTMENT

NAME	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- Pose
Sandra Luchau Keith Killy	OBPP Agriculture		
Sandra Luchau	Agriculture		
Keith Killy	J ,,		
	•		
			· .
		<u> </u>	ļ
			ļ
			ļ
			ļ
,			

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT IF YOU HAVE WRITTEN COMMENTS, PLEASE GIVE A COPY TO THE SECRETARY

FORM CS-33A Rev. 1985

de.