

MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE  
50TH LEGISLATIVE SESSION  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The thirteenth meeting of the House Education and Cultural Resources Committee was called to order by the Chairman Jack Sands, on February 11, 1987 at 1:00 P.M. in Room 312-D of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present except Reps. Glaser and Kennerly who were absent.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 595:

CHAIRMAN SANDS announced the REP. WINSLOW had requested that HB # 595 which he had sponsored be tabled. He requested that any witnesses who had come to testify on HB # 595 leave their name on the visitor's register and if any one wanted to make a brief statement he would accept that testimony, but if anyone was in opposition to the bill, it would be tabled.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 11:

REP. RON MILLER, House District NO. 34, sponsor of the resolution stated that the resolution would authorize the permanent display of a memorial painting in the lobby of the Montana Justice - State Library Building honoring Mr. Barry Rowe, principal architect of the building. He stated the proposed painting would be created by Mr. Charles Rowe, Barry's uncle who is a professor of art at the University of Delaware and a recognized artist. The painting would be produced at no cost to the state, with friends, relatives and associates of Barry Rowe contributing funds to cover the expense of producing the art work. Mr. Rowe's proposal is to create a painting which symbolizes the building, it will not be a portrait of Barry Rowe.

PROPOSERS:

SUSAN HANSEN, Administrative Officer for the Attorney General, read her prepared statement in support of the resolution. See EXHIBIT # 1. She also submitted a resume of Mr. Charles Rowe's accomplishments. See EXHIBIT # 2.

SARA PARKER, State Librarian, stated that Barry Rowe was a great builder of libraries and she would be please to have a memorial to him in the state library building.

JIM OPPENDAHL, Administrator for the Supreme Court, stated the court believed that it would be an appropriate memorial and supports the resolution.

OPPOSERS: None.

Education and Cultural  
Resources Committee  
February 11, 1987  
Page Two

QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE:

REP. MERCER expressed concern about authorizing a piece of art not knowing what it was going to look like.

REP. MILLER closed.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 16:

REP. RAY PECK, House District No. 15, sponsor of the resolution, stated the resolution is somewhat of a legislative finance committee bill. It does four things; 1) requests the appropriate legislative committees and and board of public education to work together to establish a definition of basic education, 2) asks that those two agencies, legislative committees, and the board of public education work to determine the cost of providing a basic education, 3) develop a fiscal note procedure that links education policies to the state budget process, 4) requires a report of the findings of the study to the 51st legislative session. He then reviewed the bill in detail.

PROPOSERS:

REP. GENE DONALDSON, House District No. 43, stated he was standing as a proponent of HJR # 16. He said he had also served on the interim study committee that was formed by the legislative finance committee. He stated he was excited when he saw the article in the newspaper on the evaluation of institutions of K-12 in the State of Montana. However, there are some problems with education in the State of Montana, that have to be addressed. He said there first is an equalization problem and the fact that there is a suit filed in a number of districts in the State of Montana clearly indicates that problem. Secondly, there is a problem with accountability in education. He stated that the fact at the last election some 45% of the people voted for CI 27, and a lot of the discussion centered around the fact they felt they could cut the cost of education 20% without hurting the quality. He said he does not believe that but a certain number of people do. He noted that Montana has a fiscal problem, which is reflected in the education budget, which is about 43% of the general fund.

REP. DONALDSON said a lot can be done in bringing the legislature, local school districts, OPI and the board of public education into a partnership to provide quality education. This study would be a great step forward, and he urged the committee to give the resolution a favorable vote.

Education and Cultural  
Resources Committee  
February 11, 1987  
Page Three

CLAUDETTE MORTON, Executive Secretary of the Board of Public Education, read her prepared statement, see EXHIBIT # 3, in support of HJR # 16.

BOB ANDERSON, representing the Montana School Boards Association rose in support of HJR # 16. He stated the board is optimistic that something in the study will benefit schools.

DON WALDRON, representing the School Administrators of Montana, said the school administrators would be happy to be involved in this type of a study. He noted he did not agree with all of the 'Whereas' that were presented but he did support the basic resolution and wished to be involved in the study.

RAY SHACKLEFORD, representing the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, stated he supported the resolution. He noted the OPI has been working with the subcommittee and would further commit some of their resources to work with the committee.

ERIC FEAVER, President, Montana Education Association, rose in support of HJR # 16. He stated it may be a compromise between those who want the legislature to define basic quality education and those who would have the board of public education do it. He expressed concern that the accreditation standards can serve as a definition of basic quality education. He further stated that the board of public education and the two committees of the legislature must address what the foundation program is supposed to do and not continue to try and piecemeal standards into some sort of funding situation.

SANDRA WHITNEY, representing the Montana Taxpayers Association, expressed her support of the resolution.

TERRY MINNOW, Montana Federation of Teachers, rose in support of the study called for in HJR # 16.

#### QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE:

A question and answer period followed concerning the funding for the study and the number of members there would be on the study committee.

REP. PECK closed by saying that he felt the time is now to define what the constitution says and what the term basic education means.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 524:

REP. JACK SANDS, House District No. 90, sponsor of the bill, stated that HB # 524 is a bill which would reduce the school foundation program by 1% in FY 87/88 and hold it at that level for the next year of the biennium. He noted this would save approximately \$5.7 million dollars over the biennium, over the current level of funding of the foundation program.

REP. SANDS said he thinks this bill simply reflects the financial circumstances that the State of Montana is in today and reflects the situation of the private economy in the state. He reviewed some of the trends that have brought the state to the fiscal condition it is in today. He started in FY 1975 the general fund budget of the State of Montana was about \$146.3 million dollars, by 1985 that had grown to \$380.4 million dollars, an increase of about 161% at a time when the consumer price index was rising at 105%, so the spending for general state government was rising at about 50% more than inflation during that ten year period of time.

He noted an interesting side affect was between 1980 and 1985, the general funding of government rose at a larger percentage than it did during the 1975 to 1980 time period. So while during the time of relative disinflation, low inflation rates, Montana's government was growing during that five years at about 67%. He said the school foundation program roughly parallels what has happened in general government spending in the State of Montana. In 1975 the school foundation program was about \$186.4 million, but by 1985, that had risen to \$475.4 million, or an increase of 155%. He said when that is adjusted to a per pupil cost, during that same period of time, the ANB was reduced substantially, the cost per student rose at 198%. He noted the funding per pupil for the school foundation program rose just under twice the inflation rate. If you adjust these terms into constant dollars 1975-1985, Montana's spending on the school foundation program rose at about a 25% level, and that is adjusted for inflation. During the same period of time, Montana's personal income rose at a 6% level, so the funding for government and the funding for the school foundation program rose at 4 times the level of Montana's personal income.

REP. SANDS concluded by saying he didn't think the private economy in Montana can stand a large tax increase, and that the choice is simple, there are either some cuts made in the big ticket items or else there is a large tax increase.

PROPONENTS:

SANDRA WHITNEY, representing the Montana Taxpayer's Association. Ms. Whitney stated that the figures she had were substantially the same as the ones that Rep. Sands had given to the committee. She said the MTA is among those who felt that education had been treated quite well over the past ten years, and would ask the committee's favorable consideration of the bill.

OPPONENTS:

ERIC FEAVER, President, Montana Education Association, handed out copies of information sheets. EXHIBIT # 4, is Montana Public School General Fund Budgets, and EXHIBIT # 5, is Projection Of Montana Public School General Fund Budgets. EXHIBIT # 6 projects what the cost would be in order to increase the foundation program above the proposed 0-0 the governor has contemplated. EXHIBIT # 7 is the average classroom teacher salary nationwide. Mr. Feaver reviewed the tables in depth. He concluded his testimony by saying a reduction in the foundation program of even one percent, or even 0-0 foundation program schedule is just simply not appropriate in order to maintain quality public schools. He urged the committee to defeat the bill.

DON WALDRON, representing the School Administrators of Montana, rose in opposition to the bill. He stated the teachers of Montana have been asked to take a 4% cut in their salaries. He noted that two years ago they had received a 4% increase, and then in the special session the legislature took 3% back, and now they are asking for the other 1% back. He said the teachers contracts have gone out and can not be rolled back.

RAY SHACKLEFORD, representing the State Superintendent of Public Instruction's Office. He stated the OPI must oppose any reduction to the foundation support for schools. He said he appreciates and understands the fiscal situation that is facing Montana and the superintendent has already gone on record in support of 0-0 funding level in the foundation program. He said a percentage reduction would impact more severely those districts that already have substantially reduced costs.

BOB ANDERSON, representing the School Boards Association, handed out EXHIBIT # 8, an article from the Independent Record with the headline "Montana's Schools beat U. S. Average". Mr. Anderson stated that Montana spends less than 13 other states per student, it pays less than 30 other states,

and it ranks 7-8 in the nation according to the major indicators of success. He said he hoped as the great State of Montana enters into its centennial year and celebrates 100 years of success as a state, that the members of the 50th legislative assembly pass onto our future citizens an opportunity that comes with a commitment to their future and their education. He asked the committee to vote no on this legislation and not to table it for further consideration.

TERRY MINNOW, representing the Montana Federation of Teachers, rose in strong opposition to HB # 524. She said a cut would affect different school districts differently. She noted that Anaconda with a decline of approximately 50% in its taxable valuation over the last two years would be very seriously affected by a cut, and those districts with declining enrollments would also be hurt more. She said she believes a strong educational system is necessary for a positive future in Montana.

BILL POSTEN, Superintendent of schools, Billings School District. Mr. Posten noted that one out of every ten children in Montana who attend school, attend school in the Billings School District. He stated even without HB # 524, or without any reduction in the foundation program, Billings is still facing a 8.7 percent revenue reduction for next year. He opposed the bill.

KAREN DOOLEN, Chairman of the Billings School Board, reviewed in detail some of the cost saving measures the Billings School District had implemented. She stated as the State of Montana it is important to continue to provide the quality education for the young people as we approach the 21st Century that will encourage and enhance their potential and ability to be good solid citizens in their community and their country. She encouraged the committee to support education.

VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON then inquired how many more opponents there were to the bill. He asked them to try and avoid repetition and keep their remarks brief as the committee had to join a floor session at 3:00 p.m.

PAUL STAHL, Chairman of School District No. 1, Helena, Board of Trustees. Mr. Stahl stated that the Helena School Board had cut \$292 thousand dollars out of their elementary budget and \$123 thousand dollars out of the special education budget. He stated if this legislation passes, it will take 15 years to get back to where the school district is

Education and Cultural  
Resources Committee  
February 11, 1987  
Page Seven

today. He urged the committee to vote against the bill.

HARRY ERICKSON, Superintendent of Schools, Belgrade, rose in opposition to the bill.

BILL DONOHUE, Superintendent of Schools, Superior, stated a 1% reduction would mean that 3 teachers and 3 programs would have to be cut. He urged the committee to oppose the bill.

TONY TOGNETTI, Superintendent of Schools, Stevensville, explained the effects the bill would have on the Stevensville schools. He said for every percent cut to the foundation program his school district would be affected to the tune of \$20,000 in addition to other losses due to a smaller ANB.

FRED RANNEY, elementary principal in Belgrade, stated that education is a valuable component of attracting business to the State of Montana, and more business will help the economic growth of Montana.

JAKE KETTERLING, Superintendent of Schools at Choteau, rose in opposition to HB # 524. He said cutting the foundation program erodes the equalization concept, and decreases education opportunities when budget cuts are made as a result.

VICE CHAIRMAN NELSON asked further proponents to approach the microphone and give their name and residence because of the time constraints.

JOE MC CRACKEN, rose in opposition to HB # 524, superintendent of Lockwood Schools in Billings.

HANK EUSENIC, President of the Lockwood PTA, opposed HB # 524.

KITTY ROUTSON, mother of two children who chose to use the public school system, opposed the bill.

JUDY JOHNSON, Trustee of Lockwood School District, Billings also opposed the bill.

ELINOR COLLINS, representing the Montana Association of County School Superintendents, asked the committee to oppose the bill.

JIM ANDERSON, Superintendent of Schools, Circle, rose in opposition to HB # 524.

Education and Cultural  
Resources Committee  
February 11, 1987  
Page Eight

B.J. WOOD, representing the American Association of University Women, rose in opposition to the bill.

WALT PIIPPO, Superintendent of Schools, Drummond, stated he was opposed to the bill.

QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE: None.

REP. JACK SANDS closed by saying several of the witnesses had said that he wanted the bill. He said he did not want the bill, that he was proud of the State of Montana education, the fact that Montana ranks high in the nation, that it is one of the highest states in the nation in percentage of its per capita income that it uses to support education, that he was proud of the accomplishments of education and he recognizes the enormous benefits that it has for the young people and to the State of Montana. He stated that the bill simply says that all segments of public funding including the school foundation program are going to have to be asked to bear a portion of the burden in order to get the state out of the financial situation it is in.

REP. SANDS further stated that the critical thing for the 50th legislature to do would be to reform the tax and regulatory system of the state in order to prepare the way for a prosperous Montana economy in the 1990's and the year 2000. If that is done, a growing economy will provide more money, more benefits for all of Montana's public institutions, including the school foundation program.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

ACTION ON HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 11:

REP. THOMAS moved that HJR # 11 DO PASS, the motion CARRIED unanimously.

ACTION ON HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 16:

REP. SWYSGOOD moved that HJR # 16 DO PASS. A discussion was held on whether the resolution should be put into an interim study or a select committee. The question was called, the motion CARRIED unanimously.

ACTION ON HOUSE BILLS NO. 258, 341, 342, 390, 479 and 294:

CHAIRMAN SANDS asked REP. PHILLIPS, Chairman of the subcommittee to give his report back to the full committee on the bills; 258, 341, 342, 390, 479 and 294.



Education and Cultural  
Resources Committee  
February 11, 1987  
Page Nine

REP. PHILLIPS reported that the subcommittee unanimously agreed, with one member absent, to table all of the bills.

CHAIRMAN SANDS said without objection he would take the motion to table all of the bills. He said if a member would like to sever one of the bills from that motion he would recognize that person now.

REP. EUDAILY asked Rep. Phillips if there wasn't enough feeling for the common trend that was in the bills, that being the base levy, to make a committee bill out of them. Rep. Phillips replied there wasn't, and added that the representatives from Montana Taxpayers Association, Montana Federation of Teachers, and the Montana Education Association were all in perfect agreement to table the bills.

REP. DAILY stated he would like to separate HB # 294 and vote on it.

CHAIRMAN SANDS asked for further discussion to table all of the bills except HB # 294. REP. PHILLIPS moved that the 6 bills all be tabled. REP. DAILY made a substitute motion that HB # 294 be segregated. CHAIRMAN SANDS stated without objection that would be done.


CHAIRMAN SANDS called for further discussion on REP. PHILLIPS motion; hearing none; the question was called on the motion that HB # 341, 342, 258, 390 and 479 be tabled. The motion CARRIED with Rep. Eudaily and Rep. Thomas voting no.

REP. DAILY moved DO PASS on HB # 294. He stated it was a good bill and the committee should take a serious look at it. REP. MERCER stated he had a significant interest in the idea, and hadn't had the full benefit of what the subcommittee had done so he would like the committee to pass consideration of the bill since it was 6 minutes until 3:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN SANDS stated if that was the general view of the committee he would do that.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the committee the meeting was adjourned at 2:58 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
REP. JACK SANDS, CHAIRMAN

## DAILY ROLL CALL

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

50th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1987

Date FEBRUARY 11, 1987

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. JACK SANDS, CHAIRMAN	X		
REP. RICHARD NELSON, VICE CHRMN.	X		
REP. FRITZ DAILY	X		
REP. RALPH EUDAILY	X		
REP. WILLIAM GLASER		X	
REP. DAN HARRINGTON	X		
REP. NANCY KEENAN	X		
REP. ROLAND KENNERLY		X	
REP. EARL LORY	X		
REP. JOHN MERCER	X		
REP. GERALD NISBET	X		
REP. JOHN PHILLIPS	X		
REP. TED SCHYE	X		
REP. BARRY STANG	X		
REP. TONIA STRATFORD	X		
REP. CHARLES SWYSGOOD	X		
REP. FRED THOMAS	X		
REP. MEL WILLIAMS	X		

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 11, 19 87

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES  
report HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 11

☒ do pass  
☐ do not pass

☐ be concurred in  
☐ be not concurred in

☐ as amended  
☐ statement of intent attached

REP. JACK SANDS,

Chairman

JOINT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DISPLAY OF BARRY ROWE PAINTING IN STATE  
LIBRARY

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 11, 19<sup>87</sup>

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

report HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 16

☒ do pass  
☐ do not pass

☐ be concurred in  
☐ be not concurred in

☐ as amended  
☐ statement of intent attached

REP. JACK SANDS,

Chairman

INTERIM STUDY ON ADEQUACY AND COST OF ACCREDITATION STANDARDS-FISCAL  
PROCESS

EXHIBIT #1  
DATE 2-11-87  
HB JR #11

STATEMENT BY SUSAN M. HANSEN, ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
TO THE HOUSE EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

FEBRUARY 11, 1987

House Joint Resolution 11 would authorize the permanent display of a memorial painting in the lobby of the Montana Justice/State Library Building honoring Mr. Barry Rowe, principal architect of the building.

Barry Rowe was a resident of Great Falls and a partner in the architectural and engineering firm of Page-Werner & Partners at the time of his death on December 9, 1985. He was the victim of an automobile accident. Mr. Rowe was a graduate of the Montana State University School of Architecture and was the designer of many public buildings in Montana. Perhaps his two most notable contributions to architecture in Montana are the jail complex in Fort Benton which has been selected as a model jail by the United States Penal Commission and the Montana Justice/State Library Building which is recognized as a distinctive and functional public building.

In the spring of 1986 the Attorney General received a proposal from Mr. Charles R. Rowe to create a memorial painting for display in the Justice/State Library Building to honor his nephew, Barry Rowe. Mr. Rowe proposes to create and donate the painting at no cost to the State. Costs associated with the production and transportation of the painting would be covered by friends, relatives, and associates of Barry. Charles Rowe is a professor of art at the University of Delaware and enjoys a creditable reputation for his work in the United States and Europe. His work has been the subject of many one-man exhibitions, including three such exhibitions at the C. M. Russell Museum in Great Falls. He won the 1981 Delaware Duck Stamp Design and his works are represented in private and institutional collections throughout the United States and Europe. I have a resume for Charles Rowe which details his accomplishments which I will submit for the Committee's review.

Since the Justice/State Library Building houses three independent agencies--the Supreme Court, the State Library, and the Department of Justice--the Attorney General believes that placement of a piece of art in the

common area of the building should require the consent of all three agencies prior to pursuing legislative authorization. To that end, the Attorney General presented Mr. Rowe's offer to the Chief Justice and the State Librarian who both agreed that acceptance of Mr. Rowe's proposal was an appropriate use of space in the lobby of the building. The agencies in the building established an informal committee to pursue Mr. Rowe's proposal with representatives from each agency meeting with him in November 1986 to discuss his proposal and determine a location for the proposed painting.

Mr. Rowe's proposal is to create a painting which symbolizes the building, the importance of striving for excellence in all that we do, and the need to be concerned with the human element. It would not be a portrait. The painting would be displayed on the wall above the entrance to the State Library in the main lobby.

Once agreement was reached among the agencies housed in the building, the next step in this project is to receive legislative authorization to place such a painting in the building. Section 5-17-102(2), MCA, provides that no busts, memorials, or art displays may be permanently displayed in the capitol complex unless authorized by the Legislature. House Joint Resolution 11 would provide the necessary authorization for the display of a memorial painting honoring Barry Rowe in the lobby of the Justice/State Library Building.

EXHIBIT #2  
2-11-87  
HJR #11  
(HJR #11)

**CHARLES ROWE**  
133 Aronimink Drive  
Newark, Delaware 19711  
(302) 738-0641

## **EDUCATION**

Tyler School of Art, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Degree: MFA, 1968  
School of the Art Institute, Chicago, Illinois. Degree, BFA, 1960  
University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1959-1960  
Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas, 1956-1957  
Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, 1952-1953

## **EXPERIENCE—PROFESSIONAL AND TEACHING**

University of Delaware, Art Department, Newark, Delaware, 1964-present  
Professor. Areas of instruction: Drawing, Painting and Graphic Design, undergraduate and graduate levels.

Design Consulting: Galleon Fabrics, Inc. and First Run Fabrics, Inc., New York City; T.A.G.S., Los Angeles, California and New York City, 1974-present

Artist-in-Residence, leave of absence, Great Falls, Montana, 1972-1973  
Appointment and grant by the National Endowment for the Arts & Humanities.

Abrams-Bannister Engraving, Inc., Greenville, South Carolina, 1962-1964  
Graphic Designers, Artists and Engravers. Head designer for flexible packaging lines.

Greenville Museum of Art, Greenville, South Carolina, 1962-1964  
Initiated life drawing program for museum.

American Can Company, Bellwood, Illinois, 1960-1962  
Graphic Designer.

Graphic Design and Consulting, Chicago, Illinois, 1957-1960

## **ONE-MAN EXHIBITIONS**

C.M. Russell Museum, Great Falls, Montana, 1972, 1973, 1981  
West of Soho, Pleiades Gallery, New York City, 1981  
Eggs Over Soho, Pleiades Gallery, New York City, 1977  
Mickelson Gallery, Washington, D.C., 1970, 1974  
C.M. Russell Museum, Great Falls, Montana, 1972, 1973  
Newark Gallery, Newark, Delaware, 1967, 1968, 1969  
Tyler School of Art, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1968  
Stephen Jackson Gallery, Centerville, Delaware, 1965

Drawings, paintings and prints are represented in private and institutional collections in the United States and Europe.

Represented by: Pleiades Gallery, New York City  
Mickelson Gallery, Washington, D.C.  
Premier Arts, Inc., Washington, D.C.  
C.M. Russell Museum, Great Falls, Montana  
Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.  
T.A.G.S., Los Angeles, California and New York City  
Art World Unlimited, El Cajon, California  
Sport-En Art, Sullivan, Illinois  
Brett Gallery, Swampscott, Massachusetts

## **AWARD**

1981 Delaware Duck Stamp Design  
Second of State Edition, Migratory Waterfowl Conservation Stamp.

## SELECTED GROUP EXHIBITIONS

Easton Waterfowl Festival, Easton, Maryland, 1982, 1981  
Pleiades Gallery Exhibition, New York City, 1981, 1980, 1979, 1978  
C.M. Russell Annual Western Art Auction, Great Falls, Montana, 1982, 1980, 1978, 1976, 1974  
Gallery 10, Washington, D.C., 1980  
World Trade Center, New York City, 1979  
Western Art Exhibition Museum of Native American Cultures, Spokane, Washington, 1978, 1977  
New York City Summer Arts Festival, Pleiades Gallery, New York City, 1976  
Forum Gallery, Washington, D.C., 1976  
American Painters in Paris, Bicentennial Exhibition, Paris, France, 1976  
Fifth Street Gallery, Faculty Exhibition, Wilmington, Delaware, 1975  
Millersville Group Show, Millersville College, Millersville, Pennsylvania, 1975  
Drawings '75, Images Gallery, Wilmington, Delaware, 1975  
Mid-Winter Group Exhibition, Pleiades Gallery, New York City, 1975  
Ball State National Drawing and Small Sculpture Show, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana, 1974  
Salon '74 Exhibition, Fifth Street Gallery, Wilmington, Delaware, 1974  
60th Annual Delaware Art Museum Exhibition, Wilmington, Delaware, 1974  
New Talent Exhibition, Marian Locks Gallery, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1974  
Twenty Contemporary Montana Artists, Senator John Melcher Exhibition, Washington, D.C., 1973  
Copper Kettle Arts Festival, Butte, Montana, 1973  
Missoula Arts Festival, Missoula, Montana, 1973  
Montana Institute of Arts Annual Exhibition, Great Falls, Montana, 1973  
37th Mid-Year Show, Butler Institute of Art, Youngstown, Ohio, 1973  
Mickelson Gallery Group Show, Washington, D.C., 1969  
Mid-Year Show, Butler Institute of Art, Youngstown, Ohio, 1969  
Vanderlip Gallery Group Show, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1968  
Miami Museum of Modern Art, Miami, Florida, 1966  
Fine Arts Gallery of San Diego, San Diego, California, 1966  
Dayton Institute of Art, Dayton, Ohio, 1966  
Cheney Crowles Memorial Museum, Spokane, Washington, 1966  
New Center of Continuing Education, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1966  
The Little Gallery, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1965  
Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., 1965  
Kansas City Art Institute, Kansas City, Missouri, 1965  
Cleveland Institute of Art, Cleveland, Ohio, 1965  
Los Angeles County Museum, Los Angeles, California, 1965  
Toledo Museum of Art, Toledo, Ohio, 1965  
Denver Art Gallery, Denver, Colorado, 1965  
Norton Gallery, West Palm Beach, Florida, 1965  
51st Delaware Drawing and Watercolor Exhibition, Delaware Art Museum, Wilmington, Delaware, 1965  
51st Delaware Painting Exhibition, Delaware Art Museum, Wilmington, Delaware, 1964  
Southeastern Art Exhibition, Atlanta Museum of Fine Art, Atlanta, Georgia, 1964  
Miami National Exhibition, Miami, Florida, 1964  
Everson Museum of Art, Syracuse, New York, 1964  
Clemson University Invitational, Clemson, South Carolina, 1964  
Mead Painting Exhibition, Atlanta Museum of Fine Art, Atlanta, Georgia, 1964  
Art Institute of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1959  
Dallas Museum of Art, Dallas, Texas, 1957

Over 40 other group exhibitions not listed.



## FELLOWSHIPS OR GRANTS AWARDED

Center for Advanced Study, September 1981—August 1982, \$32,000  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, September 1979—May 1980, \$250  
Faculty Summer Research Grant, June—August 1979, \$2,500  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, September 1978—May 1979, \$250  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, September 1976—February 1977, \$500  
Bicentennial Grant No. 33, January 1976, \$850  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, November 1973—January 1974, \$500  
National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Artist-in-Residence Program,  
September 1972—June 1973, \$17,000  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, January—June 1972, \$500  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, May—July 1971, \$300  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, January—April 1970, \$650  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, January—May 1968, \$490  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, \$400  
Faculty Summer Research Grant, June—August 1967, \$2,100  
Special Aid Faculty Research Grant, January—May 1966, \$475

All Faculty Grants awarded by the University of Delaware

## TRAVEL

Director of the College Art Program in Europe for the World Academy of Cincinnati.  
Florence Campus, Summer 1968: Travel in Italy, France, Spain, Switzerland and England.  
Rome Campus, Summer 1969: Travel in Italy, France, Switzerland and England.  
Appointed member of the National Academy Advisory Committee for the World Academy of Cincinnati.

Winter Session Study Tour: San Miguel, Mexico, co-director, University of Delaware.

## PUBLICATION LISTINGS

Who's Who in American Art, 1976-present  
Who's Who in the East, 17th Edition-present  
Archives, Albert Victoria Museum, London, England  
American Artists of Renown, First Edition  
Noel Goldblatt Collection, "Famous People of Our Century, 1982"

## PUBLICATIONS, ARTICLES AND LECTURES

*The Morning News*, Wilmington, Delaware, February 27, 1981. "Rowe's Art to Grace Waterfowl Stamp", by Molly Murray, staff reporter.

*The Morning News*, Wilmington, Delaware, March 10, 1981. "A Portrait of a Winning Artist", by Molly Murray, staff reporter.

*University of Delaware News*, spring edition, 1976. "American Painters in Paris, a Bicentennial Exhibition by Julio DaCunha and Charles Rowe."

*University of Delaware News*, February 1975.

*Delaware Today*, January 1973. "Charles Rowe the Creator of a New Art Form", by Mary Hemple

*Gallery Talk*, Montana Institute of Arts Exhibition, Great Falls, Montana, 1973.

*Great Falls Tribune*, Montana on Parade, October 8, 1972. "Artist Employs Original Method and Style".

*Montana Arts*, Volume 25, No. 1, 1972.

Delta Kappa Gamma Society-Delta Chapter—Lecture on "An Interpretation of the Graphic Arts", C.M. Russell Museum, Great Falls, Montana, 1972.

**TELEVISION INTERVIEWS AND PROGRAMS**

Interviewed by TV Video in Paris, France, "American Painters in Paris". Program released in U.S.A. (New York City, etc.), 1976.

Channel 12 WHYY, Wilmington, Delaware. Personal Interview by Commentator Don Dunwell, "An Artist and His Work—Metaphysical Surrealism", 1974.

Channel 3 KRTV, Great Falls, Montana. "Today in Montana", a two part program "An Artist and His Work", interviewer, Leroy Stahl, 1973.

Channel 5 KFBBTV, Great Falls, Montana. "Audrey Show", "Visiting Artist Program", Interviewer, Audrey Creecy, 1973.

Channel 3 KRTV, Great Falls, Montana. "Today in Montana", interviewer, Norma Ashby, subject: Artist-in-Residence Program, 1972.



**BOARD MEMBERS**

**EX OFFICIO MEMBERS:**

Ed Schwinden, Governor

Ed Argenbright, Superintendent  
of Public Instruction

Harold Krause, Commissioner  
of Higher Education

**APPOINTED MEMBERS:**

Ed Hazelbaker, Chairman  
Billon

Alan Nicholson, Vice-Chairman  
Helena

James Graham  
May

Sarah "Sally" Listerud  
Wolf Point

Arthur "Rocky" Schauer  
Libby

Bill Thomas  
Great Falls

Thomas A. Thompson  
Browning

EXHIBIT #3

DATE 2-11-87

HB #16

33 South Last Chance Gulch  
Helena, Montana 59620-0601  
(406) 444-6576

## Board of Public Education

TESTIMONY TO THE HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE  
ON FEBRUARY 11, 1987

IN SUPPORT OF HJR16 - INTERIM STUDY ON ADEQUACY  
AND COST OF ACCREDITATION STANDARDS-FISCAL PROCESS

Claudette Morton  
Executive Secretary

By Claudette Morton, Executive Secretary  
Board of Public Education

The Board of Public Education supports HJR16 and will be pleased, in fact, to conduct a full review of the accreditation standards. While it is the Board of Public Education's constitutional responsibility to supervise the public schools, it is through the accreditation standards that the Board provides schools with the specific guidelines for defining a basic education. Montana has had state accreditation standards for over 50 years. These standards have generally worked well as the base, or floor, for its educational program. Meeting these standards allows a school to receive its portion of the state Foundation dollars. From time to time these standards have been updated to reflect current thinking and educational research. While the Board continues to amend the standards every year there has not been a thorough revision of the standards since 1980, and it was 1975 that the last thorough study and attendant reorganization of the standards was accomplished. Now seems to be not only a good time, but perhaps a critical time, to study the adequacy of the accreditation standards and to provide alternatives which reflect what Montanans want their school system to be so that

it can appropriately educate citizens for the 21st century and Montana's 2nd century of statehood.

With all the ongoing responsibilities with which the Board must work and the very limited staff it has, it is impossible to do a really indepth and comprehensive study without this legislation. This study is also an opportunity to provide the critical link between what the accreditation standards should be and what they will cost. To do any study does cost some money. The budget for this study is extremely barebones because the parties concerned recognize the difficult financial times. A study of this nature, however, will pay for itself many times over by providing the Board and the Legislature with a practical means of improving the quality of education in Montana. Therefore, we urge your support of HJR16.

TABLE 1

## MONTANA PUBLIC SCHOOL GENERAL FUND BUDGETS

## Special Education Funding Excluded

(All figures rounded to the nearest \$1,000 or the nearest % decimal)

Year	1 Increase in Budget Schedules	2 General Fund Foundation Program	3 Without-a-Vote Permissive	4 Voted Levy	5 General Fund Budget Total	6 Foundation Program	7 % of GFB Permis- sive	8 Voted Levy	9 ANB (Previous Year)	10 GFB Per ANB	11 CPI (U) (Dec.)	12 Tchr. Salaries Mont. Average	13 Nrl. Rank
1974-75	Elem. H.S. 7.4% 6.8%	\$ 97,871,000 +14.0%	\$24,428,000 +13.9%	\$41,312,000 +19.0%	\$163,611,000 +15.2%	59.8%	14.9%	25.3%	177,028 -1.5%	\$ 924 +17.0%	155.4 +7.0%	\$10,230 +9.0%	28
1975-76	15.9% 12.5%	111,548,000 +11.3%	27,826,000 +11.3%	49,153,000 +9.2%	188,527,000 +10.7%	59.2%	14.8%	26.1%	174,451 -1.4%	1,081 +12.3%	166.3 +4.8%	11,150 +8.1%	29
1976-77	12.7%	124,153,000 +5.8%	30,969,000 +5.9%	53,657,000 +13.3%	208,689,000 +7.8%	59.5%	14.8%	25.7%	171,944 -1.1%	1,214 +9.0%	174.3 +6.8%	12,053 +5.1%	27
1977-78	6.9% 7.0%	131,422,000 +5.9%	32,791,000 +5.9%	60,820,000 +8.6%	225,003,000 +6.6%	58.4%	14.6%	27.0%	170,117 -1.4%	1,323 +8.2%	186.1 +9.0%	12,668 +7.8%	30
1978-79	7.0% 6.9%	139,204,000 +4.8%	34,728,000 +4.8%	66,020,000 +21.8%	239,952,000 +9.4%	58.0%	14.5%	27.5%	167,664 -2.6%	1,431 +12.4%	202.9 +13.3%	13,651 +7.5%	29
1979-80	8.0%	145,822,000 +6.6%	36,390,000 +6.7%	80,386,000 +22.0%	262,598,000 +11.3%	55.5%	13.9%	30.6%	163,276 -3.1%	1,608 +14.9%	229.9 +12.4%	14,680 +8.8%	27
1980-81	10.0%	155,381,000 +15.0%	38,819,000 +15.0%	98,094,000 +4.9%	292,294,000 +11.6%	53.2%	13.3%	33.6%	158,185 -2.5%	1,848 +14.4%	258.4 +8.9%	15,967 +11.3%	29
1981-82	18.0%	178,705,000 +13.6%	44,628,000 +13.6%	99,918,000 +4.2%	326,251,000 +10.6%	54.8%	13.8%	30.6%	154,256 -1.4%	2,115 +12.0%	281.5 +3.9%	17,770 +10.9%	28
1982-83	15.0%	202,986,000 +3.2%	50,696,000 +3.1%	107,291,000 +14.6%	360,973,000 +6.6%	56.2%	14.0%	29.7%	152,386 -1.1%	2,369 +7.7%	292.4 +3.8%	19,702 +5.0%	26
1983-84	4.0%	209,402,000 +3.5%	52,286,000 +3.6%	122,935,000 +17.9%	384,623,000 +8.1%	54.4%	13.6%	32.0%	150,711 +0.3%	2,552 +7.8%	303.5 +4.0%	20,690 +4.9%	26
1984-85	3.0%	216,813,000 +4.0%	54,180,000 +4.0%	144,988,000 +8.2%	415,981,000 +5.6%	52.1%	13.0%	34.9%	151,231 +0.2%	2,751 +5.3%	315.5 +3.8%	21,705 +3.6%	27
1985-86	4.0%	225,665,000	56,391,000	156,893,000	438,949,000	51.4%	12.8%	35.7%	151,558	2,896	327.4	22,482	31

GFB = General Fund Budget (total)

ANB = Average Number Belonging (enrollment)

CPI = Consumer Price Index

EXHIBIT # 4

DATE 2-11-89

HB # 524

TABLE 2  
Projection

# MONTANA PUBLIC SCHOOL GENERAL FUND BUDGETS

Special Education Funding Excluded  
(All figures rounded to the nearest \$1,000 or the nearest % decimal)  
PROJECTED VALUES APPEAR FOR 1987-88 AND 1988-89

	1 Incr. in Budget Sched.	2 General Fund Foundation Program	3 General Fund Without-a-Vote Permissive	4 Voted Levy	5 General Fund Budget Total	6 Foundation Program	7 % of GFB Permissive	8 Voted Levy	9 ANB (Prev. Year)	10 GFB Per ANB	11 CPI (U) (Dec.)
1985-86	4%	\$225,665,000	\$56,391,000	\$156,893,000	\$438,949,000	51.4%	12.8%	35.7%	+0.2%	+5.3%	+3.8%
1986-87	1%	226,665,000	56,655,000	166,437,000	449,757,000	50.4%	12.6%	37.0%	-0.5%	+3%	+2.3%
"A" 1987-88	+33.5%	+33.7%	+33.7%	-43.0%	+5.3%	64.0%	16.0%	20.0%	+0.3%	+5%	+3%
1988-89	+6%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	64.0%	16.0%	20.0%	+0.3%	+6%	+4%
"B" 1987-88	+8.6%	+8.9%	+8.7%	-0.7%	+5.3%	52.1%	13.0%	34.9%	+0.3%	+5%	+3%
1988-89	+6%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	52.1%	13.0%	34.9%	+0.3%	+6%	+4%
"C" 1987-88	+5%	+5.3%	+5.3%	+5.3%	+5.3%	50.4%	12.6%	37.0%	+0.3%	+5%	+3%
1988-89	+6%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	+6.3%	50.4%	12.6%	37.0%	+0.3%	+6%	+4%
"D" 1987-88	0%	+0.3%	+0.3%	+13.8%	+5.3%	48.0%	12.0%	40.0%	+0.3%	+5%	+3%
1988-89	0%	+0.3%	+0.3%	+13.7%	+6.3%	45.3%	11.9%	42.8%	+0.3%	+6%	+4%

Projection "A" targets Foundation Program and Permissive funding at 80% of the General Fund Budget total.

Projection "B" targets Foundation Program and Permissive funding at the 1984-85 level.

Projection "C" targets Foundation Program and Permissive funding at the current 1986-87 level.

Projection "D" depicts the Foundation Program, Permissive, and Voted Levy impacts of no increase in the Foundation schedules.

**TABLE PROJECTION ASSUMPTIONS:** CPI for calendar years 1987 and 1988 are based on "conservative range" forecasts by Chase Econometrics, NEA, and the Wharton School. General Fund Budget Total projections for 1987-88 and 1988-89 are pegged at 2% above projected CPI plus .3% for anticipated ANB growth. This estimate of General Fund growth represents the average trends of education costs above CPI since the mid-1970's.

EXHIBIT #5  
DATE 2-11-87  
HB #524



Montana Education Association

1232 East Sixth Avenue • Helena • Montana 59601 • 406-442-4250

EXHIBIT #1  
DATE 2-11-87  
HB # 524  
ERIC FEAVER

ALTERNATE FOUNDATION PROGRAM SCHEDULE COSTS

Fiscal Year 1987  
(All figures in millions, rounded)

	<u>MEA</u>	<u>LFA</u>	<u>GOV</u>
Max. GFB Without Vote	283.320	283.320	283.934

Fiscal Year 1988

+ 1% Schedule/\$ =	2.83	2.83	2.84
--------------------	------	------	------

PLUS ANB

a) +0% ANB: \$=	0	0	0
b) +.3% ANB: \$=	.85 <sup>1</sup>	.85	.85
c) +.63 ANB: \$=	1.78	1.78	1.79 <sup>3</sup>
d) +.72 ANB: \$=	2.03	2.03 <sup>2</sup>	2.04

Fiscal Year 88

Max. GFB Without Vote

INCREASE OVER FY 87  
(alternate costs of +1% on schedule)

a)	286.15		286.15		286.77	
b)	287.00	3.68 <sup>1</sup>	287.00	3.68	287.62	3.69
c)	287.93	4.61	287.93	4.61	288.56	4.62 <sup>3</sup>
d)	288.18	4.86	288.18	4.86 <sup>2</sup>	288.81	4.87

<sup>1</sup>MEA, <sup>2</sup>LFA, and the <sup>3</sup>Governor's projected ANB growths and resulting FY 88 schedule increases based on each offices' statement of FY Maximum GFB Without-a-Vote

# GAIN IN STATE AVERAGE TEACHER SALARIES 1985-86 COMPARED TO 1984-85

STATE	1984-85	1985-86	85-86 RANK	\$ GAIN	% GAIN	GAIN RANK
ALABAMA	\$20,295	\$22,934	27	2,639	13.0	4
ALASKA	39,751	41,640	1	1,889	4.3	44
ARIZONA	23,380	24,680	21	1,300	5.8	30
ARKANSAS	18,696	19,538	48	842	4.5	42
CALIFORNIA	27,293	29,750	4	2,457	9.0	7
COLORADO	24,454	25,900	15	1,446	5.9	29
CONNECTICUT	24,468	26,610	12	2,142	8.8	9
DELAWARE	22,924	24,260	25	1,336	7.4	15
FLORIDA	20,836	22,296	33	1,460	7.0	19
GEORGIA	20,610	22,080	34	1,470	7.1	16
HAWAII	24,628	25,845	17	1,217	4.9	40
IDAHO	20,033	20,969	40	936	4.7	41
ILLINOIS	25,477	27,190	8	1,713	6.7	23
INDIANA	22,853	24,333	24	1,480	6.5	24
IOWA	20,934	21,960	35	1,026	3.6	46
KANSAS	21,121	22,768	28	1,647	7.8	13
KENTUCKY	20,230	20,940	41	710	3.5	48
LOUISIANA	19,490	20,460	43	970	5.0	39
MAINE	18,330	19,583	47	1,253	6.8	22
MARYLAND	25,861	27,186	9	1,325	5.1	37
MASSACHUSETTS	24,618	25,849	16	1,231	5.0	38
MICHIGAN	28,595	30,168	3	1,573	5.5	35
MINNESOTA	25,450	26,970	10	1,520	6.0	28
MISSISSIPPI	15,923	18,443	49	2,520	15.8	1
MISSOURI	20,452	21,884	36	1,432	7.0	20
MONTANA	21,705	22,492	31	787	3.6	47
NEBRASKA	19,848	20,939	42	1,091	5.5	34
NEVADA	22,520	25,620	19	3,100	13.8	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	18,577	20,148	46	1,571	8.5	10
NEW JERSEY	24,830	28,216	6	3,386	13.6	3
NEW MEXICO	21,811	22,526	30	711	3.3	49
NEW YORK	28,213	30,200	2	1,987	7.0	21
NORTH CAROLINA	20,812	22,594	29	1,782	8.0	12
NORTH DAKOTA	20,090	20,816	44	762	3.6	45
OHIO	22,878	24,500	22	1,622	7.1	17
OKLAHOMA	19,019	21,419	39	2,400	12.6	5
OREGON	24,378	25,788	18	1,410	5.8	31
PENNSYLVANIA	24,192	26,009	14	1,817	7.5	14
RHODE ISLAND	27,693	29,470	5	1,777	6.4	26
SOUTH CAROLINA	20,143	21,428	38	1,285	6.4	27
SOUTH DAKOTA	17,356	18,095	50	739	4.3	43
TENNESSEE	20,474	21,800	37	1,326	6.5	23
TEXAS	22,610	24,419	23	1,809	8.0	11
UTAH	21,170	22,341	32	1,171	5.5	33
VERMONT	18,996	20,325	45	1,329	7.0	18
VIRGINIA	21,272	23,382	26	2,110	9.9	6
WASHINGTON	25,505	26,015	13	510	2.0	50
WEST VIRGINIA	19,563	20,625	42	1,062	5.4	36
WISCONSIN	24,577	26,800	11	2,233	9.0	8
WYOMING	26,398	27,876	7	1,478	5.6	32



bill gets initial  
EXT  
HB  
DAI

# INDEPENDENT RECORD

FROM MONTANA'S CAPITAL

Single copy 35¢  
Carrier delivered for 30¢



## Montana's schools beat U.S. aver

From the IR wire services

Montana schools ranked above average among all 50 states in Secretary of Education William J. Bennett's annual report card he released today. Although Montana's figures are improving, Bennett said his report shows the pace of school improvement throughout the U.S. slowed in 1985-86.

The report showed that Montana's college entrance test scores and the high school dropout rate were above average and rising in the last two years (see chart at right). But although the report showed that Montana spends more money per pupil than average in its schools, it spends less on teachers' salaries. Montana teachers get paid almost \$3,000 less per year than the average American teacher.

Nationally, the report said, college entrance test scores were unchanged or up slightly, while the high school dropout rate took a very small

turn for the worse.

It was the fourth year in a row that the Department of Education has produced a "wall chart" ranking states of college entrance test scores, graduation rates, teacher salaries, class size and other measures.

"This year, after four years of improvement, we have basically held steady," Bennett said. "In 39 of the 50 states (college entrance) test scores improved over the previous year. But the graduation rate has declined slightly. In short, some gain, a little slippage."

"We have to do better. Our children deserve better," Bennett said. "We must redouble our efforts if we are to attain our goals."

The wall chart also ranked states on their mi-



Bennett

nority and handicapped enrollments, youngsters living in poverty and other characteristics. It also provided a checklist of which states have enacted such reforms as merit pay, minimum competency tests and mechanisms to take over "academically bankrupt" schools.

Since its inception in 1984 under Bennett's predecessor, T.H. Bell, the wall chart has evoked howls of outrage over what some educators have charged was a simplistic and misleading approach to feeding the public appetite for school rankings.

FairTest, a Cambridge, Mass., advocacy group often critical of standardized tests, said Bennett's new wall chart should bear the legend, "Warning: Gross misuse of test scores is dangerous to the nation's educational health."

But the wall chart also has forced state super-

(More on SCHOOLS, back page)

### BENNETT'S REPORT


	National average
ACT score*	18.8
Graduation rate**	70.6
Spent per pupil**	\$3,449
Pupil/teacher ratio*	17.9
Teacher salaries*	\$25,313


\*1985-86 school year \*\*1984-85 year \*\*\*Only 26 states

**Bennett's comment:** "Montana's A to 1986, and its graduation rate also high school graduation rate has risen 1982 to 82.9 percent in 1985, moving place. Montana is well on its way to challenge of a 90 percent graduation.

### Bulletin Sled-dog race finish won't be in Helena

## McFarlane 'suicide





P.O. Box 6400

~~502 South 19th~~

Bozeman, Montana 59715

Phone (406) 587-3153

2-11-87  
HJR # 16

TESTIMONY BY: Alan Eck  
BILL # HJR-16 DATE 2/11/87  
SUPPORT XXX OPPOSE \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, for the record my name is Alan Eck representing Montana Farm Bureau.

Farm Bureau members support HJR-16, they believe that guidelines should be made and establish a definition of basic education. This study should be a coordinated effort between the Legislature, the State Board of Public Education and the Office of Public Instruction.

Thank you.

SIGNED: Alan Eck

Dr. Dale F. Zorn  
Superintendent

# SHELBY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

141 6th Avenue North  
Shelby, Montana 59474

Phone 434-2622 or  
434-5721

February 10, 1987

EXHIBIT #  
DATE 2/11/87  
HB # 524

TO: HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE  
FROM: DR. DALE F. ZORN  
RE: 1% CUT IN THE FOUNDATION PROGRAM

Representing the Shelby and Sunburst Schools I stand in opposition to a cut in the foundation program.

Each 1% cut in the program will amount to approximately \$13,500 in lost revenue to the Shelby Schools and \$7,000 to the Sunburst Schools.

## VISITORS' REGISTER

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

COMMITTEE

BILL NO. HOUSE BILL NO. 524

DATE FEBRUARY 11, 1987

SPONSOR REP. SANDS

NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE <del>REPRESENTING</del> REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
CAROL MOSHER	MT. STOCKGROWERS ASSOC. MT. CATTLE WOMEN MT. STATEGRAZING ASSOC.	X	
Judy Johnson	Lockwood School #26 Billings mt		X
Charlene Gustafson	228 Emerald Hills Dr Billings		X
Frank Grossenack	121 Rockhill Dr. Billings		X
Kitty Routson	Lockwood School 4151 Box Canyon, Billings		X
Eleanor Collins	Mont. Assoc. C. Supt.'s		X
Jack W. Long	SAM		X
Ernie "Duke" Kythirking	Chetum Public Schools		X
Dale Zorn	Shelby Sch. Dist #14		X
Rich Shaffer	Dodson S.D. 2A(C)		X
Dennis Willen	Conrad Schol		X
Steve Hoppes	Big Sky Spec. Ed. Co-op		X
Harry D. Engh	Belgrade		X
Tony Togneth	Stevensville Schools		X
Bill Poston	BILLINGS SCHOOLS	—	—
Karen T. Doolen	Billings Public Schools		X
Paul Stahl	Helena Public Schools		X
Fay Wesmeister	Simms School Dist 6&F		X
Jim Anderson	Circle School District		X

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITORS' REGISTER

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

BILL NO. H 3524DATE 2/11/87SPONSOR Rep. SANDS

NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Bob Anderson	MSBA		X
Jandra Whitney	Montoy	✓	
WALT PIPPO	Drummond		X
Don Walden	S.A.M.		X
Bill Drahine	SUPERIOR		X
Fred Ramsey	BELGRADE		X
Eric Lewis	MEA		✓
Terry Minow	MFT		✓
MAURICE J. Mulcahy	MFT		✓
T.C. Matthews	Cut Bank Section		✓
B Wood	A.A.W.		✓
John Wood	A.F.T.		✓

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITORS' REGISTER

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

BILL NO. HOUSE BILL NO. 595

DATE FEBRUARY 11, 1987

SPONSOR REP. WINSLOW

NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
	REPRESENTING		
Jess W Long	S.A.M	/	
Elmer Collins	mx. Assoc Co Supt.		
Dennis Wilkin	Land Schools	✓	
Steve Hopper	Big Sky Spec. Ed. Grp	✓	
Aile Mitchell	OPI	✓	✓
Sandra Whitney	Man Tot		✓
Bill Donahue	SUPERIOR School		✓
Claudette Morton	Board of Public Education	✓	
Eric Fear		✓	
Chip ERDMANN	Heart Butte Community	AS V AMENDED	
Kate Williams	Senator Williams	observer	
Tom Hoyer	School Dist #10	observer	
Bruce White	Missouri	observer	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

SPONSOR REP. MILLER

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITORS' REGISTER

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

BILL NO. HOUSE JOINT RES. # 16DATE FEBRUARY 11, 1987SPONSOR REP. PECK

NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
	<del>RESIDENCE</del> REPRESENTING		
Shawn Glen	UM Administration		
Jesse W Long		-	
Alan Eck	MT. Farm Bureau	X	
Bob Anderson	MSBA	X	
Jean Parker	State Library	X	
Don Waldron	S. A. M.	X	
Claudette Worlock	Board of Public Ed	X	
Eric Lee	MEA	✓	
Timmy Murren	MFT	✓	
Bill Mott	Board of Public Ed	✓	
Sandra Whitney	Man Tax	✓	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.