

DAILY ROLL CALL

HOUSE RULES COMMITTEE

50th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1987

Date January 22, 1987

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
HANNAH, Tom (Chairman)	✓		
MARKS, Bob (Vice Chairman)	✓		
ADDY, Kelly	✓		
BROWN, Dave	✓		
EUDAILY, Ralph	✓		
IVERSON, Dennis	✓		
MERCER, John	✓		
RAMIREZ, Jack	✓		
QUILICI, Joe	✓		
VINCENT, John	✓		

MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
RULES COMMITTEE  
50th LEGISLATIVE SESSION

January 22, 1987

The sixth meeting of the House Rules Committee was called to order by Chairman Tom Hannah on Thursday, January 22, 1987 at 5:00 p.m. in Room 428-A of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present. Representatives Marks and Ramirez arrived following action taken on HJR 7.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

ACTION ON HJR 7: Rep. Addy moved that HJR 7 BE TABLED. The motion was seconded by Rep. Quilici, the question called, and the motion CARRIED unanimously on a voice vote.

ACTION ON HB 149: Rep. Addy moved HB 149 Do Pass. Discussion followed. Rep. Mercer said he likes the bill conceptually, but he envisions all sorts of tapes lying around somewhere not being used. He questioned how much it would cost to finance the operation, and he doesn't feel it will make any difference to the courts anyhow. From a legal standpoint, trying to preserve something for lawyers and judges is not worth it. He further believes it won't be used much for historic purposes.

Rep. Brown said one of the merits to the bill is from a historical perspective. If that is all the bill will accomplish, however, he doesn't feel it is that worthwhile.

Rep. Mercer replied that courts do not make their rulings based on committee minute meetings even though they often cite them. Normally, it is better to rule according to the statutes rather than by legislative intent. If we start creating a verbatim thing, the court will look more to comments made on the floor when submitting its findings rather than in the statutes.

Rep. Quilici said given the budget deficit the state is facing and the cuts that will have to be made, he thinks there may be a time for this in the future; however, we must be realistic and ask if this would benefit the public greatly and whether or not we can afford it now.

The question was called, and the motion FAILED 3-7 on a voice vote with Reps. Brown, Vincent and Addy voting for the bill's passage. Without objection, the vote was reversed and the bill was reported out with a DO NOT PASS recommendation.

DISCUSSION ON SJR 6: (At this point, SJR 6 continues to be passed over for the day on the House floor.) Chairman Hannah pointed out different options that could be done with the resolution at this point.

Rep. Vincent pointed out that the Senate will insist on simultaneous transmittal dates on revenue measures. Rep. Marks stated that although a simultaneous transmittal of revenue measures may be meaningful to the Senate, the House cannot respond to it. He said he would be inclined to keep the temporary rules that have been adopted because of the difficulty in responding.

Rep. Ramirez said he has no problem with sending the so called "balanced budget." If we are going to do it, it is to our advantage to have all the chips. If we are going to really find out where everyone in the House stands and what they want to do, we must have all the pieces of the revenue side.

In response to a question asked by Rep. Vincent, Rep. Ramirez said his greatest sensitivity is to have everything in their possession for a period of time. There are about 12 issues we must resolve on the sales tax alone.

Rep. Marks suggested offering the following proposal to the Senate:

Revenue bills from Senate -- 57th day  
House revenue bills to Senate -- 65th day  
House appropriation bills to Senate -- 72nd day  
Amendments -- 83rd day

Rep. Vincent feels that although this proposal has the potential for serving the House well, it isn't the best way to put together the kinds of compromises the legislature has to make this time. A simultaneous transmittal of revenue measures would actually create the best way for us to work out the compromises we need to work out.

Rep. Ramirez thinks the simultaneous transmission will create more potential for game playing than for compromise.

Rep. Mercer said there may be problems with not adopting some permanent rules. Rep. Hannah pointed out that the legislature has temporary rules in place. He said he would take Rep. Marks' proposal to Senator Van Valkenburg to see if the Senate would accept those transmittal dates.

ADJOURN: A motion having been made by Rep. Quilici, the meeting adjourned at 5:50 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rep. Tom Hannah, Chairman

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 22

19 87

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on

**RULES**

report **HD 149**

do pass  
 do not pass

be concurred in  
 be not concurred in

as amended  
 statement of intent attached

**REP. TOM KANNAGI**

Chairman

**REQUIRE SOUND RECORDING OF LEGISLATIVE FLOOR SESSIONS**



**First**

**white**

reading copy ( \_\_\_\_\_ )  
color