MINUTES OF THE MEETING LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE 50TH LEGISLATIVE SESSION HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

January 12, 1987

The meeting of the Local Government Committee was called to order by Chairman Norm Wallin on January 12, 1987, at 1:00 p.m. in Room 312-F of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 103: Rep. Ted Schye, House District #18, sponsor of the bill stated the purpose of this bill is asking for statutory authority for the cancellation of municipal warrants and checks that have been outstanding and unpaid for more than one year.

PROPONENTS: Janet Jessup, City Finance Director (head of City Treasurer's office), stated she supported this bill as it would make it possible to clear up their books more efficiently at the end of the year.

OPPONENTS: None.

There were no further proponents. Rep. Schye closed by saying the passage of this bill would greatly facilitate the work in the City Treasurer's office at year end.

QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION ON HOUSE BILL NO. 103: Rep. Whalen asked if this allowed the clerks to cancel checks that have not cleared the bank - to write them off so they don't have to be paid. Rep. Schye responded that it doesn't cancel the draft; however, there is nothing in the law giving them authority to write them off as outstanding so they cannot clear their books every year. It does not do away from having to pay that debt. This information has to be in the minutes of the council meeting - they would have to reissue another check but there is nothing in the law to allow them to write them off. Rep. Whalen asked if it would help to have something printed on the checks such as "this check is void twelve months after date of issuance". The County Commissioners have this authority but the cities do not. Local Government Committee January 12, 1987 Page 2

There was further discussion concerning the cost of printing this information on the checks. It was pointed out that these checks are ordered for several years in advance in large amounts (thousands) and would have to be reprinted and the cost to the cities would be prohibitive.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 103: Rep. Brandewie made a motion HB 103 DO PASS. Rep. Ramirez made a substitute motion HB 103 DO NOT PASS. Substitute Motion CARRIED.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 113: Rep. Ray Brandewie, sponsor of the bill, outlined the purpose of this bill, stating this would create a mechanism for collection of maintenance charges from users of a private road. He explained that other persons who were supporting this bill could not be here at this time to testify and he would then read from the prepared statement (Exhibit 1).

Rep. Brandewie read an amendment proposed for HB 113 (Exhibit 2).

PROPONENTS: Gordon Morris, Executive Director of the Montana Association of Counties, testified in support of HB 113.

OPPONENTS: None.

QUESTIONS (OR DISCUSSION) ON HOUSE BILL NO. 113: Rep. Paula Darko asked how this road could be designated as a county road, and do they apply for status as a county road. Lee Heiman, staff from the Legislative Council, stated there were two or three different ways. They would need to make application to the county commissioners to be designated from private road to a county road and need to conform to those regulations set out Rep. Sales asked Gordon Morris a question by the county. concerning maintenance. Mr. Morris explained that this has nothing to do with regular maintenance districts established by the county. The county would not enforce the regulations and provide funds for safety purposes. Rep. Sales then asked what the liability would be for enforcing payment. Mr. Morris said they would have no liability and there would be an agreement with the Home Owners Association.

Local Government Committee January 12, 1987 Page 3

There was further discussion concerning the method of advising the Home Owners if their dues are in arrears. Mr. Morris said the county tax notices have their addresses on them and the information could be obtained from the County Treasurer.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 113: Rep. Brandewie made a motion that HB 113 DO PASS. Rep. Ramirez made a substitute motion HB 113 DO NOT PASS. The substitute motion CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before this committee, the hearing adjourned at 2:15 p.m.

Sum Willie

Norm Wallin, Chairman

Amend HB 113, Introduce Copy (white) Rep. Brandewie 1/12/87

1. Page 2, line 18.
Strike: "proportionate"

Explanation: The word "proportionate" with "share" seems to imply that the charges are set by the standard proportionate methods, such as by running foot along a road or by adjoining lot area. This bill provides that "all road users" (page 1, line 24) "determine maintenance charges" (page 2, line 3). An amended reference to "share of maintenance charges" (page 2, lines 18 and 19) would be unambiguous and could only mean those charges determined by all road users.

LH XTO1 dw3 AMDHB113.txt DAILY ROLL CALL

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE // 3-

50th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1987

Date \_\_\_\_\_

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. NORM WALLIN, CHAIRMAN			
REP. RAY BRANDEWIE, VICE CHAIF	MAN /		
REP. BUDD GOULD	XXX		~
REP. REP. TIMOTHY WHALEN		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REP. PAULA DARKO	1		
REP. TOM BULGER			
REP. JAN BROWN			
REP. BOB GILBERT	1		
REP. LARRY GRINDE	~		
REP. WALTER SALES	~		
REP. STELLA JEAN HANSEN	ir i		
REP. PAUL PISTORIA	~		
REP. ROBERT HOFFMAN	~		
REP. LES KITSELMAN	i		
REP. JACK RAMIREZ	4		
REP. DAVE BROWN	V		
REP. CAROLYN SQUIRES			

15

# DAILY ROLL CALL

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

50th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1987

Date

13

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Rep. Norm Wallin, Chairman		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Rep. Ray Brandewie, Vice Chair	man		
Rep. Dave Brown			
Rep. Jan Brown	÷		
Rep. Tom Bulger			
Rep. Paula Darko			
Rep. Bob Gilbert			
Rep. Budd Gould	Consured		
Rep. Larry Grinde			
Rep. Stella Jean Hansen			
Rep. Robert Hoffman			
Rep. Les Kitselman			
Rep. Paul Pistoria			
Rep. Jack Ramirez (			
Rep. Walter Sales			
Rep. Carolyn Squires			
Rep. Timothy Whalen			

#### AFFIDAVIT

EXHIBIT	
DATE	1-12-1987
HB_1/3	

STATE OF MONTANA) :ss.

County of Flathead)

Janet L. Read, having been duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

1. That I am a resident of Lake County, Montana, and my address is Rte. 1 - Meadow Creek Road, Bigfork, Montana.

2. That Meadow Creek Road is a private road and is not maintained by Lake County.

3. That I have lived on this road for a period of sixteen months, residing there from September of 1985 to present.

4. That I opened an account with the First Interstate Bank of Kalispell under the name of Meadow Creek Road Association in an attempt to organize the members of the road and have the road repaired and maintained. Please see Exhibit "D".

5. That I have attempted on two separate occasions to hold meetings to organize the members of Meadow Creek Road, South Whitetail and North Whitetail, and only four out of the seventeen permanent residents attended those meetings. Please see Exhibit "A" and "B". The outcome of both meetings was that no one was going to make any contribution to the road repairs or maintenance unless everyone did, therefore, there were no contributions at that time.

6. That in August of 1986, one member of the road, Mary Ann Zarman contracted to have the road graded and plowed, since it had not been graded for four years, and the total cost of grading was \$630.00. There is still \$350.00 owing to Mrs. Zarman for the cost of this grading. Only five residing members of the road made any contribution to this effort at the cost of \$50.00 per member.

7. I personally attempted to contract for winter road plowing, see Exhibit "C", and was unable to find a plow that would plow without immediate reimbursement due to past experience with the members of that road. I personally paid \$70.00 for the first plowing, to which I have not yet been reimbursed by any members of the road.

8. I have spoken to Mr. Charles Bradstreet of the Quick Rescue Unit and the Volunteer Fire Department in Bigfork, and he expressed that there is a problem with the private roads in that they are not always plowed, and right now they are very icy,

1

not sanded and make it difficult for them to pass in their efforts to do their job.

9. I am concerned that there could be a problem with the homeowners' insurance policies of the homeowners on private roads that are poorly maintained, if it was discovered that the roads are impassible at times and that a fire or medical emergency could not be attended to. For example, on February 15th of 1986, I was unable to leave my residence for 34 hours due to the fact that we were unable to get anyone to plow the road and there was 15 inches of snow on the road.

10. I execute this affidavit in an attempt to have legislation passed that will insure the repair and maintenance of private roads in the State of Montana for the safety and security of those living on these roads.

DATED this 9th day of January, 1987.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this day of January, 1987. Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Hull M My Commission Expires //4/89

#### Homeowner Meadow Creek Road

This letter is to inform you that there will be a meeting on Sunday, May 18th, at 4:00 p.m. at Janet Read's home (phone 837-5324) at the end of Easy Street to assess the possibility of repairing the road this summer, and to organize the property owners on Meadow Creek Road and North and South Whitetail.

Enclosed you will find a copy of the proposed Road Association Agreement which we need everyone to sign so that all future repairs and plowing of the road can be properly financed and performed as necessary. In past years there has been great difficultly in getting the jobs done and getting the general consensus of everyone in this neighborhood to participate and cooperate.

We do not have the exact figures as yet as to what the costs will be on a yearly basis for road repairs and plowing, but we are looking at a figure of possibly \$60.00 to \$100.00 per year. If everyone were to contribute their fair share we would have no problem in keeping this road properly maintained and plowed. Since anyone who can both afford to own a car and own a piece of property can surely afford \$5.00 - \$7.50 a month for maintenance of a road absolutely necessary to all of us. The cost to our vehicles in undercarriage repairs and other problems resulting from the poor quality of the road in its current state is far greater than the proposed monthly maintenance fees. And, it is absolutely necessary for ALL of us to have access in the winter via plowing. In fact, you might not be aware, but if we don't maintain adequate plowing in the winter all of our home owner's insurance policies will be voided as a result of the fire company being unable to obtain access at any time, and the possible necessity of a medical emergency, which any one of us might be involved in at any time without prior notice.

It is therefore not only imperative that each and everyone of us contribute to the maintenance of this road, as each of us owns a portion of it, but if everyone were to make a regular contribution the costs each of us would bear would be decreased. If you are unable to attend the road meeting this Sunday, please sign the enclosed Agreement and send it to Janet Read, Rte 1 -Meadow Creek Road, Big Fork, with your check for \$60.00. If you can only make a \$20.00 contribution this month and would like to pay \$10.00 a month thereafter, this will be acceptable since we all may not have the money at present to pay the entire amount. Make your check payable to the Meadow Creek Road Association. Anyone who does not sign this Agreement or pay his portion of the road fees will be subject to the Road Association taking legal steps to enforce compliance, as the maintenance of this road is an absolute necessity to all of us for access and insurance purposes. Legal consultation has informed us that it is a very real possibility that every property owner on this road could be legally liable for the maintenance and repair of this road. Let us hope that we can resolve these problems with mutual cooperation and coordination.

A

### ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

We, the undersigned, do herewith recite and agree as follows:

#### **RECITALS:**

A. Whereas each of us own a tract or portions of tracts of land in the Subdivision known as Meadow Creek Estates located in Section 1, Township 26 North, Range 19 West, P.M.M.; and across a certain private road which gives access from the Swan Highway approximately two miles to the East including Meadow Creek Road, North Whitetail Road, South Whitetail Road and Easy Street, Lake County, Montana.

LIST OF PARCELS AND LANDOWNERS

B. Whereas, it is not at the present time anticipated that that road shall become dedicated to the County, and the mutual intent of the undersigned is that said road will for the foreseeable future stay and remain as a private road; and

C. Whereas, there will be expenses incurred in connection with said road, including the payment of taxes, maintenance, etc., which should be shared equally by the parties utilizing said road, irrespective of the fact that said road may be in the individual name of one party; and

D. Whereas, that provision and agreement will be necessary to determine what persons shall have the right to the use of said road, and upon what terms and conditions.

Whereas, each of the parties agrees to pay a 1/16 Ε. share of the cost of maintaining and repairing the roadway, including the costs of graveling, grading, snow removal and similar costs. Upon receipt of bills incurred for such maintenance or repair, the parties shall promptly pay their share described above. In the event of a dispute as to how the roadway should be maintained or repaired, a decision reached by a majority of the owners of the property shall be binding on all of the owners. The owners of each parcel shall have one vote. Τf the property described herein is further subdivided, the shares of expenses shall be adjusted so that the owners of each parcel shall be responsible for an equal share of maintenance and repair costs, and the voting shall be similarly adjusted so that the owners of each parcel shall have one vote. If the parties wish to make substantial improvements to the roadway, such as paving the roadway, such decisions shall require the consent of all the owners of each parcel.

F. Whereas, the duties and obligations created by this agreement are for the benefit of and shall be appurtenant to the respective properties of the parties as described above. The obligations and grants herein shall run with the land and be binding upon and be for the benefit of the parties and their respective heirs, successors and assigns.

G. In the event of a dispute arising under this agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to costs and reasonable attorney's fees. This agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Montana.

#### IT IS THEREFORE AGREED:

1. That the parties shall associates themselves together under the association name of "MEADOW CREEK ESTATES ROAD ASSOCIATION",

2. The Association shall meet twice per year for the purpose of electing a President and Secretary-Treasurer. The President shall be instructed to carry out the majority wishes of the said Road Association and shall also serve as Trustee. The Secretary-Treasurer shall be authorized to set up and handle a checking account for the Association, and disburse funds from that account for expenses incurred in connection with said common roadway.

3. At the said bi-annual meeting to be held on the 1st of November and the 1st of May, each year, an assessment will be made upon all parties signatory to this agreement for a prorated share of the expenses reasonably expected to be incurred for the following year.

4. The Association shall have the powers necessary to do anything proper for the accomplishment of the purpose of maintaining the said common roadway.

5. Membership in said Association shall be limited to those persons owning tracts of land on the above described roads.

### ROAD ASSOCIATION MEETING

There will be a meeting of all of the people who live on Meadow Creek Rd, North Whitetail, South Whitetail and Easy Street on SUNDAY, JUNE 8, 1986, at 4:00 p.m. at Janet Read's house which is at the end of Easy Street.

We would really like everyone's participation and opinion on what we should and can afford to do with the road this summer, and to help organize next winter's plowing so that we all know we're well covered. Everyone who lives in this area is invited and encouraged to come. We would really like to know how everyone feels about this road and what kind of progress we can make towards improving it for all of us who live here.

If you need directions, please call Janet at 837-5324. Please try and make this meeting so that your voice can be heard.



#### WINTER SNOW PLOWING

I have made every effort possible to obtain someone to plow the road this winter. So far these are the results of my efforts. Wade Curtis will not plow since he feels this road is not plowed often enough, he had too much trouble collecting his money and too many complaints. As we all know Don Ganz won't plow because he said there were too many complaints and he had a very tough time collecting money for driveways. I have talked to Alan Kerr and he said he isn't interested in plowing only when someone calls him since he has a regular route to run. He felt that waiting until there is an eight inch pack and then only plowing when it was over six inches was too hard to plow. I talked to Jim who owns the Ferndale market and he said he wasn't interested in plowing since he has already heard of all the problems with plowing this road and doesn't want to be bothered.

So, we are left with the necessity of getting someone to plow and I don't know anyone else. I would appreciate it if each of you could call any other sources for plowing that you know and try to make some kind of arrangements to have this person talk to me so that I can insure they will get paid. It would be a real shame if no one was set up for plowing on a regular basis and we had to scramble for plowing after each good snowfall.

Therefore, if someone comes up with a person who will plow this road on a regular basis this winter please have them call me at 837-5324 or 752-6644 so that I can be sure they are paid out of the plowing funds.

Also, I will be collecting, hopefully, \$25.00 from each household and putting that in our bank account at First Interstate. I would appreciate it if people could drop their check made out to Meadow Creek Road Association in my mail box at the end of the road. If we are lucky and have little snow we can get through the winter on that. I will send out an accounting on December 1st and let everyone know who has paid and how much we have at that time to use for plowing funds.

Thank you.

Janet Read

Citizzania and

	الم يتحققون ومعرف من المراجع . وي المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع . وي المراجع المراجع المراجع .					
Form SS-4 (Rev. 9-82) Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	(For use by employers a	Employer Identif and others as explai structions before cor	ned in the instructions.	OMB No. 1545-0003 Expires 9-30-85		
1 Name (True name and not trade name	le proprietor 3 Ending month of accounting year					
Meadow Creek Road Association 559				(J.Read) December		
4 Trade name, if any, of business (if differ	5 General partner's nam corporation; or granter's	me, if partnership; principal officer's name, if s name, if trust				
6 Address of principal place of business (	Number and street)		7 Mailing address, if d	different		
c/o Janet Read - Rte. 1	- Meadow Creek	Road				
8 City, State, and ZIP code			9 County of principal	business location		
Bigfork, Montana 59911	nty. Montana					
10 Type of organization Indivi		Partnership	Other (specify)	11 Date you acquired or started this business (Mo., day, year) 6-8-86		
12 Reason for applying Purch	ased	Other (specify)		13 First date you paid or will pay wages for this business (Mo., day, year)		
14 Nature of principal business activity (Section 2014)	e instructions on page 4.	)		15 Do you operate more than one place		
Maintenance, repair and	know plowing o	f Meadow Cr	eek Road	of business?		
16 Peak number of employees expected in next 12 months (if none, enter "0")     Nonagricultural     Agricultural     Household       -0-     -0-     -0-			17 If nature of business is manufacture ing, state principal product and raw material used.			
	General -	Other (specify) No	sales			
19 Have you ever applied for an identifica or any other business? Yes X No						
If "Yes," enter name and trade name. Also city, and State where you applied and previou	is number if known.		1			
Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I hat true, correct, and complete.				Telephone number (include area code)		
Signature and Title	Frid V	CIETLY date	S 2012 6	(406) 837-5324		
Please-lesveGeo.	Ind.	Class	Size	Reas. for appl. Part II		

.



WILLIAM G. STERNHAGEN CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ROLF E. SVARE

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

For the record, I'm Sandra Whitney from the Montana Taxpayers Association. I'm here to present to you a study done in 1986 that documents the state funds for FY 1985, by program, that went to counties, cities, and schools. This study was undertaken because no one at the state level had an over-view of the state funds which were being sent to local governments, and because nobody else had the inclination to attempt such a lengthy project.

I'd like to go through the report first, and show you what is there.

- 1. Introduction and explanation
- 2. State funds to local governments and code references
- 3. County section
  - a. State funds going to the counties pp 7-12
  - b. Other state aid to counties p 13
  - c. Mill value of state funds p 14
  - d. Total county revenues p 15
  - e. State \$ & Property Taxes as % of Revenue
- 4. City Section Organized the same as the county section
- 5. School Section
  - a. State funds going to schools pp 32-34
  - b. Mill value of state funds p 34

In doing this study, it became obvious that there was not total uniformity in reporting. Neither was there a lot of desire on the part of local officials to have this information made public. At the city and county level I relied quite heavily on annual reports for total revenues and total taxes for each jurisdiction. Unfortunately, reports were not available for all cities and towns. It may be that when the last session exempted the smallest towns from mandatory audits, some of those towns also felt they were exempt from annual reports. Therefore, some data is missing, and some is obviously not accurate. An example of discrepancy is in Walkerville on pages 24, 25 and 26. The state contribution shown on page 24 is higher than the total intergovernmental revenue shown on page 25. Some of the state revenue was probably included on their annual **report as** miscellaneous rather than intergovernmental revenue. As a result, the **percentages** on page 26 total more than 100%.

A few points should be emphasized.

- 1. pp. 14-16 & 29-31 State funds account for 10% of total county revenue and 14% of city and town revenue
- State aid going to cities averages over 41 mills. State aid to counties, including aid not counted as revenue on the annual reports shown on page 13, averages nearly 14 mills state-wide.
- 3. Equalization for schools is quite effective as seen by the mill equivalent differences on page 34. The wealthier counties are receiving very few mills worth of state aid. Poorer

counties receive well over two hundred mills worth of state aid.

- 4. No state agency knows where all state funds go. Some funds such as block grant are distributed at the county level, and no state agency has any record of that distribution.
- 5. Some distributions from the state are so small that they are not worth the bookkeeping time in the Treasurer's office.
- 6. Confidentiality requirements make it difficult to get information on the corporate license distributions to counties, ie the 80% of the bank tax that is allocated to counties. That allocation amounted to 8.6% of the total corporate license tax in FY 85.
- 7. If a bank in a county applies to the Dept. of Revenue for a refund of a portion of its taxes, DOR is requiring the counties to repay that distribution to the department. Unfortunately, that money has already been distributed by fund and spent when the repayment is required.

## AND FINALLY -

- 8. State aid data on page 13, for the Poor Fund are dollars in excess of those collected through the use of the 12 mills in those counties which have elected state assumption. Generally, this total will be somewhat to substantially more than what the county could have legally collected in property tax if it had not chosen state assumption.
- 9. It must be re-emphasized that these are data from FY 1985, the most recent year for which all comparable information in available. The revenue picture, particularly in Federal Revenue Sharing and state General Services Block Grant has changed since then.
- 10. These funds are those dollars which were actually distributed by the state in FY 85 to various county funds, not just the general fund. There may or may not be any relationship to what was <u>estimated</u> by a city or county on its budget for that year.

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

					Janua	ry 12	19_ <b>87</b>
	Mr. Speaker:	Vite the state of	on	COVENIMEN	T		
-	report		103				
	<ul> <li>do pass</li> <li>do not pas</li> </ul>	55	be concurre be not conc	ed in curred in		□ as amer □ stateme	nded nt of intent attached
				<b>RBP.</b> 1	NORM WAL	LIN,	Chairman
							1. 
	i.						
Y	MA	reading copy (	WHITE )				
			color				
	~						

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

				Janua	ry 12	19_ <b>87</b>
Mr. Speaker:	In the on _	LOCAL	<b>MARKENER</b>			
report	. 113					
☐ do pass ▲ do not pass		be concurred be not concu	d in rred in		□ as amen □ stateme	ded nt of intent attached
			REP	NORM	WALLIN,	Chairman
						<b>3</b> .
4						
		·	e			
TINST rea	ading copy (	<b>25</b> )				
		or				

i.