MINUTES OF THE MEETING BUSINESS & INDUSTRY COMMITTEE MONTANA STATE SENATE

April 2, 1985

The fifty-first meeting of the Business & Industry Committee met on April 2, 1985 in Room 410 of the Capitol Building at 1 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Mike Halligan.

<u>ROLL CALL:</u> All committee members were present except for Senator Christiaens who was excused.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 36: Senator Ed Smith, Senate District #1, Dagmar, is the chief sponsor of this resolution which supports the repeal of the Cargo Preference Act of 1954 by the United States Congress.

He noted there is presently a bill before the United States Congress to repeal this act which has the backing of the President and the Secretary of Agriculture, John Block. He stated the portion of the resolution that states the mandating of shipments of certain concessional sales is the heart of the resolution. A recent court ruling has expanded this to include blended credit sales. On February 26 the agriculture department announced that because of the court ruling, they would suspend approval of all registrations for blended credit programs for Egypt, Iraq, Morroco, and Tunisia which negated some 536 million dollars worth of grain exports. He noted oftentimes the farmer is blamed for some of the problems himself but this is one area where the farmer had nothing to do with the dilemma now faced. Therefore, legislation needed to be introduced for some protection. He also stated that higher ocean freight costs associated with the cargo preference act have already resulted in the cancellation of trade with four countries.

He had visited with the Montana graingrowers association and they had also suggested a copy of this resolution be sent to other agricultural divisions in other states.

PROPONENTS: Lavina Lubinus, representing Women Involved in Farm Economics, stated they are in favor of this resolution. (EXHIBIT 1)

<u>Jo Brunner</u>, representing the Montana Grange, Montana Cattlefeeders, and Montana Cattlemen's Association, supports the resolution and also noted this is one instance where agriculture is subsidizing an industry and not the reverse. (EXHIBIT 2)

<u>Pat Underwood</u>, from the Montana Farm Bureau, stated they have been long time supporters of the elimination of cargo preference. (EXHIBIT 3)

April 2, 1985

<u>Carol Mosher</u>, representing the Montana Stockgrowers and Montana Cowbelles, spoke in favor of the resolution.

<u>Terry Murphy</u>, representing the Montana Farmer's Union, stated that the history of the intent of the cargo preference act in 1954 was that it was to apply only to Food for Peace shipments and for that reason the United States could reasonably expect a portion of that business but the recent court ruling expanded this and it has done extreme damage to the export program. He noted there are presently two measures before Congress, one to return the act back to apply only to "Food for Peace Program" and one to repeal the act entirely. He hoped they would get the act back to its original intent anyway.

OPPONENTS: There were none.

Questions were then called for. Senator Williams wondered if there had been attempts to repeal this act before and Senator Smith replied they had, but the strongest support seems to be now.

Senator Halligan wanted to know what a concessional sale was and Senator Smith stated this was programs like the Food for Peace or sales that are part of a relief program.

Senator Smith noted that in Montana in 1983 there were 81 million bushels of grain produced for export. He also noted that in 1984 the U.S. Department of Agriculture paid out \$76 million in additional costs for export of grain and he felt this cost should not be borne by the Department of Agriculture.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 36: Senator Thayer MOVED THAT SENATE RESOLUTION 36 DO PASS. The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:10 p.m.

SENATOR MIKE HALLIGAN,

Chairman

cđ

ROLL CALL

•

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCU
Chairman Halligan	χ.		
V-chrm. Christiaens			X
Senator Boylan			
Senator Fuller	× ·		
Senator Gage	X		
Senator Goodover	X		
Senator Kolstad	X		
Senator Neuman	X		
Senator Thayer	- X		
Senator Williams	X		
Senator Weeding	X		

Each day attach to minutes.

DATE _____ April 2, 1985

COMMITTEE ON _____BUSINESS & INDUSTRY

VISITORS' REGISTER							
			Check	One			
<u>NЛМЕ</u>	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Support	Oppose			
Kunner	Cattlefeedas Cattlemen	.5.536	- K				
Patituleus	MT. FARM BUGGA	5536	X				
Sen ED. Amith		SIR 36	\times				
Ravid Baulcan	MT Commence	SUR36	\times				
having hubinus	NWEFE	SxR36	×				
Marce Mincher	mit, Stockgrowers mt, bow Belles	SJR 36	λ				
				1			
	· ·						
		-					
				 			
		+	1				
		-	 	1			
		-					
			1				
				1			
				<u> </u>			
	-	+		<u> </u>			
	L	<u> </u>	L]			

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)

(This sheet to be used by those testifying on a bill.) EXHIBIT 1 BUSINESS & INDUS' April 2, 1985
NAME: Laura Lubinus DATE: 4/2/85
ADDRESS: 1901 Chestinut Halena
PHONE: 4012-8723
REPRESENTING WHOM? [VErsein Juryford in Jann Economical
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: STA 36
DO YOU: SUPPORT? $X X$ AMEND? OPPOSE?
COMMENT: De compose quan viel to to skypice
in man makingo agraints american aquallances
Wi agree with Sen. Smith resolution and
all for your suggest on the legestation
Shark you
Bareni Sulexa

Ý

ŕ

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

🚡 Jo I) runner			IESS & INDUSTRY 2, 1985	
AC	RICULTURE LEG	ISLATIVE WORK		****** ********* *********************	-
NA ME	Jo Brunner		COMMITTEE	SB+D	
ADDRESS	1496 Kodiak	Road, Helena	DATE_ A ril	. 2, 1985	
	<u>Montana Gra</u> rs, Montana C	nge, Montana Cattle attlemen	BILL NO	SJR 36	
SUPPORT_	X	A ME ND	OPPOSE		

EXHIBIT 2

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, for the record, my name is Jo Brunner and I represent the Mont**ana** Cattlemen, the Montana Cattlefeeders and the Montana Grange at this meeting today. We wish to go on record as in support of SJR 36. We do not be repititious of Senator SMith in his arguements, we heartily agree with them. We do wish tomake the statement that we hear a considerable lot about agriculture being subsidized by the consumer, ectera. This is one more instance where agriculture is subsidizing an industry. That industry quite often works against our best interests in strikes at ports in protest tovarious government policies, or even other nations policies. Agriculture not only subsidizes the American consumer with low food prices, we are expected to allow without protest such injustices to our industry as the Cargo Preference Act. Again, we support its repeal and SJR 36.

Thank you.

502 South 19th Bozeman, Montana 59715 Phone (406) 587-3153 MONTANA TESTIMONY BY: Pat Underwood BILL # 5 5 36 DATE APR 2, 1985 SUPPORT X OPPOSE The montana Fum Bunlac abong with the American Farm Bureau Imp been a supporter of elemination of cargo preferen RR. verde a do pas we EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3 BUSINESS & INDUSTRY April 2, 1985

_____ FARMERS AND RANCHERS UNITED _____

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

			VPRIL 2		85 19
MR. PRESIDENT					
We, your committee on	idustry			•••••	
having had under consideration	Senate	JOINT	RESOLUTION	No	36
reading copy () color					
RESOLUTION CALLING FOR REPEA	L OF U.S.	CARGO	PREPERENCE	ACT	

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 36

DO PASS

NOCIMOLEPIASS

Sen. Mike Halligan Chairman.