MONTANA STATE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MINUTES OF THE MEETING

February 18, 1985

The thirty-third meeting of the Senate Judiciary Committee was called to order at 6:00 p.m. on February 18, 1985, by Chairman Joe Mazurek in Room 325 of the Capitol Building.

ROLL CALL: All committee members were present.

RECONSIDERATION OF AND ACTION ON SB 200: Chairman Mazurek stated a number of people were concerned about subsection (6) and section (2) of SB 200. Senator Daniels moved the committee reconsider its action in recommending SB 200 DO PASS AS AMENDED. The motion carried unanimously. Senator Pinsoneault stated there were concerns about the words "or has reason to know" in subsection (b). The scenario suggested was when you have a president of a corporation, it is a tremendous burden to impose on him the ability to know what goes on in all of his subgroups within his corporation. It was felt that was too broad. They also wanted to add the word "serious" before the word "risk." Senator Mazurek stated by the time you get to trial, you may have dropped that allegation. bill as written allows you to penalize them at the time of filing a complaint, but not at trial. Senator Daniels stated we have amended the bill, studied it, and he believes it should be killed. It's the kneejerk syndrome. Every time somebody says something, we react. Senator Crippen stated the testimony indicated we have to do something. don't do anything, at all, by what he has been told by the insurance companies, there isn't an insurance carrier in the state of Montana that will carry punitive damage insurance as a part of his coverage. testimony indicates what really is a gap in the law. Senator Mazurek stated since the decision in Transamerica on coverage that says it is not against public policy to construe an insurance policy as not covering punitive damages, most companies will exclude punitive damages. He assumes most have already. Senator Crippen stated he has checked, and they haven't, because they are waiting to see what the legislature is going to do. Senator Pinsoneault moved the committee's prior amendment be further amended as follows:

(b) . . . Presumed malice exists when a person knows or-has-reason to-know of facts which create a high degree of serious risk of harm

Senator Towe stated he would support the first part of the proposed amendment, but he is not sure about adding the word "serious." The

Senate Judiciary Committee Minutes of the Meeting February 18, 1985 Page 2

issues are going to be primarily products liability or in those areas where you have a large corporation. He doesn't have that serious of a problem with it. He contends the corporation is required to know some of what its employees are doing. Senator Pinsoneault withdrew the portion of his motion to amend relating to inserting the word "serious." The motion carried with Senators Daniels and Mazurek voting in opposition. Senator Towe stated the point Senator Mazurek was making is a valid one. There is a point at which the plaintiff shouldn't be penalized for raising the issue until he has had an opportunity to gather all of the facts. If the plaintiff alleges punitive damages and then finds out after discovery he ought to drop it and if he doesn't drop it, then he should be zapped for attorneys' fees. He would suggest adding the following language to section (2):

(2) . . . in defense of such claim, from the time when all discovery was completed or could reasonably have been completed.

Senator Mazurek stated he disagreed with the proposed amendment. As long as punitive damages are in the complaint, then you still have to submit that question to the jury. You cannot make that determination until the pretrial order has been filed. Senator Pinsoneault stated if the question doesn't get to the jury, there will be no damages. Senator Towe moved that the committee's earlier amendment adding a section (2) be amended as follows:

(2) If a plaintiff sought exemplary damages in-his-complaint at trial, but such damages

The motion carried with Senator Daniels voting in opposition. Towe stated he is satisfied with it, but he does want to point out that he visited with Judge Bennett, and Judge Bennett pointed out that this whole punitive damages system has been resolved in the federal system because the judge has the authority to review the jury award. Senator Blaylock asked if our state judges do that. Senators Daniels and Towe stated yes. Senator Daniels stated the district judge can say those punitive damages haven't been proven or he can reduce them or eliminate Senator Towe stated these cases have been settled before the judge has his final say on it, because they are concerned this will happen. Senator Pinsoneault stated its this language or a cap. Mazurek stated he thinks the biggest concern over punitive damages is in the employment field, and it rises out of good faith and fair dealings. Senator Browned called the committee's attention to the effective date. Senator Mazurek stated this bill should apply to any claim arising after the effective date; it shouldn't apply to anything going on now. Senator Towe moved the bill be amended as follows:

Senate Judiciary Committee Minutes of the Meeting February 18, 1985 Page 3

Title, line 6. Following: "DATE"

Strike: remainder of line 6 through "DATE" on line 7

Page 2, line 3. Following: "any"

Strike: proceeding begun"
Insert: "claim arising"
Fallowing: "often"

Following: "after"

Strike: remainder of line 3 through "act" on line 5

Insert: "October 1, 1985"

Page 2, lines 6 and 7.

Strike: section 4 in its entirety

Mr. Petesch stated if you want to combine those, you should say in the applicability section, October 1, 1985, because applicability will probably be codified. The motion to amend carried with Senator Daniels voting in opposition. Senator Pinsoneault moved SB 200 be recommended DO PASS AS AMENDED. The motion carried with Senators Daniels and Mazurek voting in opposition.

FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF SB 28: Copies of the new grey bill were distributed to the committee members for their review. The grey bill incorporated all of the amendments adopted by the committee.

There being no further business to come before the committee, the meeting was adjourned at 6:22 p.m.

Committee Chairman

ROLL CALL

SENATE J	JDI	CII	ARY
----------	-----	-----	-----

COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date <u>621885</u>

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED		
Senator Chet Blaylock	×				
Senator Bob Brown	X				
Senator Bruce D. Crippen	X		·		
Senator Jack Galt	X				
Senator R. J. "Dick" Pinsoneault	X				
Senator James Shaw	X				
Senator Thomas E. Towe	X				
Senator William P. Yellowtail, Jr.	X		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Vice Chairman Senator M. K. "Kermit" Daniels	X		***		
Chairman Senator Joe Mazurek	X				
			•		
		•			
•	1 -				

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

			February 18	19
MR. PRESIDENT				
We, your committe	JU	DICIARY		
	siderationSE	NATE BILL		200 No
first	reading copy (white color	_)		
LIMI	FING PUNITIVE DAMAGES 1	IN CIVIL ACT	ONS.	
Respectfully report as	s follows: ThatSI	ENATE BILL		No. 200
be ar	nended as follows:			
Fo110	Page 1, line 11. pwing: "(1)" ct: "(a)"			
	Page 1, line 17. (e: subsection (2) in (2): "(b) An award of (2) and convincing evidency oppression, fraud, or exists when a person of create a high degree of another, and either disregard of or indifferent in unreasonable are impanels on the question concern presented any basis in jury has been impanels on the question. If the court may, in its disc in an amount not to expressionable attorney for such claim."	exemplary danger that the commalice, active knows or has of risk of her deliberate. Ference to the gard of or in the fact for seed, the courthe response exceed what in the content of the courth of t	mages must be suppondefendant has been ual or presumed. Preason to know of arm to the substantity proceeds to act mat risk, or reckled indifference to the emplary damages in the court shall so the jury found in eaking exemplary dation is sess damages against to the question is determined by the	guilty of resumed malice facts which ial interests in conscious essly proceeds hat risk. his complaint, ubmit to the the evidence mages. If no rate finding negative, the the plaintiff court to be
AND AS AMENDED DO PASS				
DOXING X SANREX				
		Senato	or Joe Mazurek	Chairman.

1	SENATE BILL NO. 28
2	INTRODUCED BY ECK
3	BY REQUEST OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS
4	
5	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT PROVIDING FOR A 2-YEAR
6	EXTENSION OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE RESERVED WATER RIGHTS
7	COMPACT COMMISSION; PROVIDING FOR FEDERAL APPROVAL OF A
8	COMPACT ONLY IF LEGALLY NECESSARY; REQUIRING-THAT-THE-TERMS
9	OF-A-COMPACT-SET-FORTH-IN-A-PRELIMINARY-DECREE-BE-REPRODUCED
10	UNCHANGEDINTHEFINALDECREE PROVIDING AN ALTERNATE
11	STATEMENT OF CLAIM FOR RESERVED RIGHTS NOT YET PUT TO USE;
12	SPECIFYING THE INFORMATION RELATING TO RESERVED RIGHTS TO BE
13	INCLUDED IN THE FINAL DECREE; EXTENDING FROM 60 DAYS TO 6
14	MONTHS THE TIME PERIOD FOR FILING IN THE WATER COURT CLAIMS
15	UNRESOLVED BY THE COMPACT COMMISSION; REQUIRING THE
16	COMMISSION TO MAKE STATUS REPORTS TO THE WATER JUDGE;
17	AMENDING SECTIONS 85-2-217, 85-2-231, 85-2-234,
18	AND 85-2-702, AND 85-2-704, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE
19	EFFECTIVE DATE."
20	
21	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
22	Section 1. Section 85-2-217, MCA, is amended to read:
23	"85-2-217. Suspension of adjudication. While
24	negotiations for the conclusion of a compact under part 7
25	are being pursued, all proceedings to generally adjudicate



reserved Indian water rights and federal reserved water 1 rights of those tribes and federal agencies which are 2 3 negotiating are suspended. The obligation to file rights claims for those reserved rights is also suspended. 4 This suspension shall be effective until July 1, 1985 5 long as negotiations are continuing or ratification of a 6 completed compact is being sought. If approval by the state 7 legislature and tribes or federal agencies has not been 8 9 accomplished by July 1, 1985 1987, the suspension shall 10 terminate on that date. Upon termination of the suspension of this part, the tribes and the federal agencies shall 11 12 subject to the special filing requirements of 85-2-702(3) and all other requirements of the state water adjudication 13 system provided for in Title 85, chapter 2. Those tribes and 14 15 federal agencies that choose not to negotiate their reserved water rights shall be subject to the full operation of the 16 17 state adjudication system and may not benefit from the suspension provisions of this section." 18

SECTION 2. SECTION 85-2-224, MCA, IS AMENDED TO READ:

"85-2-224. Statement of claim. (1) The statement of

claim for each right arising under the laws of the state and

for each right reserved under the laws of the United States

which has been actually put to use shall include

substantially the following:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(a) the name and mailing address of the claimant;

- (b) the name of the watercourse or water source from which the right to divert or make use of water is claimed, if available;
 - (c) the quantities of water and times of use claimed;
- 5 (d) the legal description, with reasonable certainty,
- 6 of the point or points of diversion and places of use of
- 7 waters;

4

- 8 (e) the purpose of use, including, if for irrigation,
 9 the number of acres irrigated;
- 10 (f) the approximate dates of first putting water to
 11 beneficial use for the various amounts and times claimed in
- 12 subsection (c); and
- 13 (g) the sworn statement that the claim set forth is 14 true and correct to the best of claimant's knowledge and
- 15 belief.
- 16 (2) The Any claimant filing a statement of claim under
- 17 <u>subsection (1)</u> shall submit maps, plats, aerial photographs,
- decrees, or pertinent portions thereof, or other evidence in
- 19 support of his claim. All maps, plats, or aerial
- 20 photographs should show as nearly as possible to scale the
- 21 point of diversion, place of use, place of storage, and
- 22 other pertinent conveyance facilities.
- 23 (3) Any statement of claim for rights reserved under
- the laws of the United States which have not yet been put to
- use shall include substantially the following:

-3-

1	(a) the name and mailing address of the claimant;
2	(b) the name of the watercourse or water source from
3	which the right to divert or make use of water is claimed,
4	if available;
5	(c) the quantities of water claimed;
6	(d) the priority date claimed;
7	(e) the laws of the United States on which the claim
8	is based; and
9	(f) the sworn statement that the claim set forth is
10	true and correct to the best of claimant's knowledge and
11	<pre>belief."</pre>
12	Section 3. Section 85-2-231, MCA, is amended to read:
13	"85-2-231. Preliminary decree. (1) The water judge
14	shall issue a preliminary decree. The preliminary decree
15	shall be based on:
16	(a) the statements of claim before the water judge;
17	(b) the data submitted by the department;
18	(c) the contents of compacts approved by the Montana
19	legislature and the tribe or federal agency or, lacking an
20	approved compact, the filings for federal and Indian
21	reserved rights; and
22	(d) any additional data obtained by the water judge.
23	The preliminary decree shall be issued within 90 days after
24	the close of the special filing period set out in
25	85-2-702(3) or as soon thereafter as is reasonably feasible.

- 1 This section does not prevent the water judge from issuing
- an interlocutory decree or other temporary decree if such a
- 3 decree is necessary for the orderly administration of water
- 4 rights prior to the issuance of a preliminary decree.
- 5 (2) A preliminary decree may be issued for any
- 6 hydrologically interrelated portion of a water division,
- 7 including but not limited to a basin, subbasin, drainage,
- 8 subdrainage, stream, or single source of supply of water, at
- 9 a time different from the issuance of other preliminary
- 10 decrees or portions of the same decree.
- 11 (3) The preliminary decree shall contain the
- 12 information and make the determinations, findings, and
- conclusions required for the final decree under 85-2-234.
- 14 The water judge shall include in the preliminary decree,-for
- 15 informational-purposes, the contents of a compact negotiated
- under the provisions of part 7 that has been approved by the
- 17 legislature and the tribe or federal agency whether-or-not
- 18 it-has-been-ratified-by-congress.
- 19 (4) If the water judge is satisfied that the report of
- 20 the water master meets the requirements for the preliminary
- 21 decree set forth in subsections (1) and (3), and is
- 22 satisfied with the conclusions contained in the report, the
- 23 water judge shall adopt the report as the preliminary
- decree. If the water judge is not so satisfied, he may, at
- 25 his option, recommit the report to the master with

instructions, or modify the report and issue the preliminary decree."

3 Section 4. Section 85-2-234, MCA, is amended to read: "85-2-234. Final decree. (1) The water judge shall, on 4 the basis of the preliminary decree and on the basis of any 5 hearing that may have been held, enter final 6 a decree 7 affirming or modifying the preliminary decree. Ιf request for a hearing is filed within the time allowed, 8 preliminary decree automatically becomes final, and the 9 water judge shall enter it as the final decree. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The terms of a compact negotiated and ratified under 85-2-702 must be included in the final decree without alteration. PROVIDED THAT THE WATER JUDGE MAY, AFTER THE HEARING REQUIRED TO BE HELD BY 85-2-233, RELIEVE ANY PERSON OBJECTING TO THE COMPACT FROM ALL ITS PROVISIONS. A PERSON SO RELIEVED SHALL REMAIN FREE TO LITIGATE ALL ISSUES IN THE PENDING CASE WITHOUT, HOWEVER, RECEIVING ANY ASSISTANCE FROM ANY PERSON WHO DID NOT FILE A TIMELY OBJECTION TOTHE COMPACT. PROVIDED, FURTHER, THAT WHERE A PERSON IS RELIEVED FROM THE PROVISIONS OF A COMPACT PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION, THE COMPACT PROVISIONS SHALL NONETHELESS BE CONSIDERED PRIMA FACIE VALID IN ALL SUBSEQUENT LITIGATION BEFORE THE WATER JUDGE AND ANY PERSON RELIEVED FROM THOSE PROVISIONS SHALL BURDEN OF PROOF ON ALL CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT CARRY THE AND LAW.

- +2+(3) The final decree shall establish the existing 1 rights and priorities within the water judge's jurisdiction 2 of persons required by 85-2-221 to file a claim for 3 4 existing right, and of persons required to file a declaration of existing rights in the Powder River Basin 5 pursuant to an order of the department or a district court 6 issued under sections 8 and 9 of Chapter 452, Laws of 1973, 7 AND OF ANY FEDERAL AGENCY OR INDIAN TRIBE POSSESSING WATER 8 RIGHTS ARISING UNDER FEDERAL LAW, REQUIRED BY 85-2-702 9 TO 10 FILE CLAIMS.
- 11 (3)(4) The final decree shall state the findings of
 12 fact, along with any conclusions of law, upon which the
 13 existing rights and priorities of each person, FEDERAL
 14 AGENCY, AND INDIAN TRIBE named in the decree are based.
- 15 (4)(5) For each person who is found to have an existing right ARISING UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF

 MONTANA, the final decree shall state:
- (a) the name and post-office address of the owner of the right;
- 20 (b) the amount of water, rate, and volume, included in 21 the right;
- 22 (c) the date of priority of the right;
- 23 (d) the purpose for which the water included in the 24 right is used;
- 25 (e) the place of use and a description of the land, if

- any, to which the right is appurtenant; 1 (f) the source of the water included in the right; 2 the place and means of diversion; 3 4 (h) the inclusive dates during which the water is used each year; 5 6 any other information necessary to fully define the nature and extent of the right. 7 8 (6) FOR EACH PERSON, TRIBE, OR FEDERAL **AGENCY** POSSESSING WATER RIGHTS ARISING UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED 9 10 STATES, THE FINAL DECREE SHALL STATE: THE NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF THE HOLDER OF 11 (A) THE 12 RIGHT; 13 (B) THE SOURCE OR SOURCES OF WATER INCLUDED IN THE 14 RIGHT; (C) THE QUANTITY OF WATER INCLUDED IN THE RIGHT; 15 16 (D) THE DATE OF PRIORITY OF THE RIGHT; THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE WATER INCLUDED 17 (E) IN THE RIGHT IS CURRENTLY USED, IF AT ALL; 18 19 (F) THE PLACE OF USE AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE LAND, IF ANY, TO WHICH THE RIGHT IS APPURTENANT; 20 THE PLACE AND MEANS OF DIVERSION, IF ANY; 21 (G) (H) ANY OTHER INFORMATION NECESSARY TO FULLY DEFINE 22
 - Section 5. Section 85-2-702, MCA, is amended to read:

-8-

THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE RIGHT, INCLUDING THE TERMS

ANY COMPACTS NEGOTIATED AND RATIFIED UNDER 85-2-702."

23

24

25

OF

"85-2-702. Negotiation with Indian tribes. (1) water rights compact commission, created reserved 2-15-212, may negotiate with the Indian tribes or their authorized representatives jointly or severally to conclude compacts authorized under 85-2-701. Compact proceedings shall be commenced by the commission. The commission shall serve by certified mail directed to the governing body of tribe a written request for initiation the each negotiations under this part and a request for designation of an authorized representative of the tribe to conduct compact negotiations. Upon receipt of such written designation from the governing body of a tribe, compact negotiations shall be considered to have commenced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (2) When the compact commission and the Indian tribes or their authorized representatives have agreed to a compact, they shall sign a copy and file an original copy with the department of state of the United States of America and copies with the secretary of state of Montana and with the governing body for the tribe involved. The compact is effective and binding upon all parties upon ratification by the legislature of Montana, AND any affected tribal governing body,—and,—if-legally—necessary, the-congress—of the-United-States or-other-appropriate-federal-authority.
- (3) Upon its approval RATIFICATION by the Montana legislature and the tribe or-federal-agency, the terms of a

compact must be included in the preliminary decree <u>for informational--purposes</u> as provided by 85-2-231, and unless renegotiated, the terms of the compact must be included in the final decree <u>without-alteration</u>. However, if approval of the state legislature and tribe or-federal-agency has not been accomplished by July 1, ±985 1987, all federal--and Indian claims for reserved water rights that have not been resolved by a compact must be filed with the department within 60-days 6 months. These new filings shall be used in the formulation of the preliminary decree and shall be given treatment similar to that given to all other filings."

SECTION 6. SECTION 85-2-704, MCA, IS AMENDED TO READ:

"85-2-704. Termination of negotiations. (1) The commission or any other---party---to---the---negotiations negotiating tribe or federal agency may terminate negotiations by providing notice to all parties 30 days in advance of the termination date. On the termination date, the suspension of the application of part 2 provided for in 85-2-217 shall also terminate. The tribe or federal agency shall file all of its claims for reserved rights within 60 days 6 months of the termination of negotiations.

(2) Once negotiations have been terminated pursuant to subsection (1), they may be reopened only by mutual agreement of the parties."

THERE IS A NEW MCA SECTION THAT READS:

	NE	W SEC	TION.	Sect	ion	7.	Status	repor	ts to c	hief	water
juo	ige.	(1)	The	Monta	na	rese	rved	water	rights	co	mpact
cor	nmiss	ion	must	submi	t to	the	chief	water	judge,	appo	inted
pu	suan	t to	3-7	-221,	a	repo	rt on	the	status	of	its
nec	gotia	tions	on J	uly 1,	198	35, a	nd eve	ery 6 m	onths t	herea	fter.

- (2) Each report must state which Indian tribes and federal agencies are engaged in negotiations, whether any negotiations with Indian tribes or federal agencies have been terminated, and the progress of negotiations on a tribe-by-tribe and agency-by-agency basis. The report must be made available to the public.
- NEW SECTION. Section 8. Effective date. This act is effective on passage and approval.

-End-