

MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE  
MONTANA STATE  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 22, 1985

The meeting of the Education and Cultural Resources Committee was called to order by Chairman Dan Harrington at 5:10 p.m. on February 22, 1985 in Room 312-3 of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present, although four were not in attendance for roll call and came later and Representative Mercer was excused.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 849: Representative Gene Donaldson, District #43, opened the hearing on this bill which was drafted at the request of the Budget and Finance Committee. The work on this started with the last legislative session in an attempt to bring the language together regarding vocational-technical education. For the most part, the sections are in other parts of the law and are being incorporated in this one. There is a new section which allows postsecondary vo-tech to participate in work-study programs. There is certification and tenure clarification which makes certain that the employees are given the same treatment as other teachers in the district. The Office of Public Instruction continues to be the administrator. There is a Statement of Intent for the bill and two proposed amendments dealing with conducting audits and strengthening the authority of vo-techs to grant degrees.

PROPOSERS: Bill Anderson with the Office of Public Instruction relayed that his office helped put this bill together and it will answer technical questions and help the direction of the programs.

Eric Feaver, President of the Montana Education Association, stood and said he did not know whether he was for or against the bill. He felt the vo-tech issues confusing and wondered if the bill were necessary at this point. He felt it may be more necessary if action in the house had not occurred to set up a board for vo-tech. He urged that the committee consider carefully the intent and purpose of the bill.

There being no further proponents or opponents, questions from the committee were called and Rep. Williams asked Rep. Donaldson if it may be better to act on this bill in the next legislative session. Rep. Donaldson replied that the board could probably get along without it as they have been without it for some time now. It would not handicap the new board and may help them in their direction. Rep. Eudaily brought out the fact that an effective date of July 1, 1985 should be inserted in the bill. Rep. Donaldson closed the hearing by urging that the bill be passed along to the Senate for resolution in the event H.B. 18 would not pass.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 902: Representative Gene Donaldson, District #43, spoke as sponsor of H.B. 902. It deals with the total issue of state government funding. If cuts are made in state agencies, a message must be sent to local school districts that the legislative body does not hold with the philosophy that taxpayers assume the burden. The bill says school districts can raise their total general fund by the percentages applying to state government and if they want money beyond this, they have to have the issue on the ballot. The bill allows for local control as the levy can be doubled if the people choose.

PROPOSERS: Sandra Whitney, Montana Taxpayers Association, spoke in favor of the bill. See Exhibit 1.

Representatives Tom Hannah, Fred Thomas, and Les Kitselman asked to go on record in support of the legislation.

OPPOSERS: Eric Feaver with the Montana Education Association said it does not make sense for the state to put limits on the local boards when the state cannot appropriately fund the Foundation Program. If voted levies were turned down, the districts would be in serious trouble. He said the bill is worse than arbitrary, capricious, and would cause havoc around the state.

Chip Erdmann, representing the Montana School Board Association, testified against the bill as it goes against the constitution. If the purpose is to send a message to the board, it will be sent if approval is given to increase the Foundation Funding by 4% and 4%. He felt the methods are cumbersome and confusing to the voters when they are asked to vote on an additional levy and then an extraordinary levy.

Terry Minow, Montana Federation of Teachers, said the bill diminishes local control. The boards must have the authority to provide for additional programs and to make up for the lack of state funding.

Jesse Long, School Administrators of Montana, stood in opposition to the bill as the districts already are aware of the difficulty in funding. Caps were tried before and did not work very well. The extraordinary levy was talked about in the last session and amplifies the confusion on the ballots.

Don Waldron, the Superintendent of Schools at Hellgate Elementary and Co-Chairman of the School Administrators of Montana Legislative Committee, reiterated that local choice is important as the public can make the decision. It is easy to get a vote on one of three items on the ballot, but to get all three is difficult and it would be better if people did not have to pick and chose. He said he had a problem with the effective date as many people have two year contracts.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 22, 1985

Page 3

Rep. Donaldson fielded questions regarding the bill. It was brought to his attention by Rep. Peck that the public would only vote once on the issue and Rep. Donaldson replied that the bill did say that but it wasn't his intent. Rep. Harrington asked if this bill would be an invitation to defeat the extra levy and Rep. Donaldson said he served on school boards and did not mind meeting with the public and letting them make the choice of how far they wanted to go for education. Rep. Eudaily pointed out that the base year is used all the way and is not built on. Rep. Donaldson said the figures of 4% and 4-1/2% is flexible, but they are trying to reflect what is being done in the state agencies.

In closing Rep. Donaldson said that there are a lot of people in the state who are hurting. There are going to have to be cuts made. The bill does not inhibit the local school district as the people have a voice in what they want, but it does call for the board to justify its raises beyond the C.P.I.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 867: Representative Mike Kadas, District #55, said the bill moves the school levy and election dates to the primary election dates. This would be the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June. It only allows one vote on a levy and the vote is only for the amount of budget authority that is above the last year. By moving the date the district can set the mill levys without waiting for the legislature. By limiting the voted levy to one, it reduces costs and lowers the risk by only voting on the amount above the previous year. This clarifies what the voters are voting on. He submitted amendments to the bill which would bring only the school election to the same date as the primary election.

PROPONENTS: Eric Feaver with the Montana Education Association said the bill offers a potential compromise in letting schools have a one time levy. He suggested that there is a problem on Page 5 which says that non-tax revenue also be subject to a levy and those sources were separated by other legislation.

OPPONENTS: Chip Erdmann with the Montana School Board Association rose as an opponent to the bill. He felt there is a significant problem in the budget authority part of the bill. If an increase is asked for, there will be a lot of non-tax revenue on hand, and if the levy goes down the district will not be able to use that money.

Terry Minow, Montana Federation of Teachers, went on record against the bill saying it had problems and urged close scrutiny of it.

Jesse Long, representing the School Administrators of Montana, said that a one time levy is a problem. The budget is set by the fourth Monday in June and contracts are set up.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 22, 1985

Page 4

Don Waldron, Superintendent at Hellgate Elementary, expanded on the statements of teacher negotiated contracts and said they would be left wondering if they had a contract for a couple of months, which would make teachers very nervous.

Sue Bartlet, Clerk and Recorder for Lewis and Clark County and speaking for the Association of Clerks and Recorders asked that she be called an exponent, which means she falls outside either the proponent or opponent categories. She distributed Exhibit 2 which showed what elections would be moved to every June and those in odd-numbered years. Those standing alone in April may fear the reduction of voter registration and turnout. The proposed amendments may help. There may be conflicts in elections held in June in the even years. If school elections are moved to June and November, there are several other dates that need to be amended so they fall in line with H.B. 288, should it pass. There should be an amendment to the legislation so the costs can be more evenly pro-rated across the subdivisions involved in the election.

There being no further opponents, discussion came from the committee of whether this bill would handicap the boards in setting their budgets. Rep. Williams pointed out that he has not found too many school districts who find it hard to set mill levies because of lack of information from the legislature.

Representative Kadas asked that they consider the validity of the proposal and that the bill be amended as needed and sent to the Senate.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 879: Representative Ralph Eudaily, District #60, sponsored this bill in an effort to address a serious flaw in the voted levy system. The three previous bills is an example of the frustration being felt and yet no one is certain of what the changes ought to be. Some states use a system such as this bill proposes. The present system has been in effect since 1949. The bill would allow the trustees of a school district to extend, without voter approval, the additional levy approved for the base year 1985-86. It provides that the electorate must approve any amount in excess of the base year. It tries to establish the next year as a base year so the people can vote this spring on a certain amount of money for their district. This would become the base year for the next five years and every five years thereafter a new base year is established. If the districts feel a need to go back for an additional levy, school boards will think twice before putting the vote to the people and they will have to do a good job of promoting it. He reviewed the bill with the committee and said a single special election may cause problems, but public comment seems to hold with having one election.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 22, 1985

Page 5

PROPOSERS: Eric Feaver with the Montana Education Association said the bill is working in the right direction and has the element of a compromise. This would allow for a limited levy but it is obvious by the fourth or fifth year the levy is going to grow and may be sizeable. The fact that it would not be returning to zero is excellent, and has sensible thinking in it. He suggested the appointment of an interim committee to study when school elections should be held, how many times they should be held, and what levy should be offered.

Chip Erdmann with the Montana School Board Association said this is a better way of approaching the problem than any heard previously. There is some concern with a single election and, if it is adopted, the committee may want to look at increasing the authority in 20-7-206 to let go of tenure teachers for financial reasons.

Jesse Long with the School Administrators of Montana relayed that a single election may be easier for the voters to turn down and the cumulative total may cause problems for the district.

Terry Minow, Montana Federation of Teachers, rose in support of the bill as it represents an excellent concept. It incorporates local control, simplifies the system for the voter, and provides stability for the school.

Don Waldron, Superintendent of Schools with Hellgate Elementary, said even though the bill is late it covers a lot of items that they have to deal with. In twenty years they only had to repeat one levy election. If this bill were in effect this year, he would not have had to go to the voters at all, but that is because of the growing ANB.

Bill Anderson with the Office of Public Instruction confirmed that a change is needed and this bill appears to combine the best of all three.

It was brought out in discussion that after five years, a new base year is established in the same manner as a current election. Rep. Brandewie asked Eric Feaver if he would address, by an amendment, the reduction of tenured teachers for financial reasons should the mill levy fail. Mr. Feaver said they were not unfamiliar with tenured teachers losing positions up to their 12th year of tenure and the contracts were binding to the district for only one year. He said he would get together with Mr. Erdmann, if necessary.

Representative Eudaily closed the hearing on H.B. 879 by saying that there are frustrations with this issue and he isn't certain whether this proposal would work, but something is needed.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 22, 1985

Page 6

ACTION ON H.B. 849: Rep. Sands moved that H.B. 849 DO PASS. The committee noted that the bill was 50 pages long and were not comfortable that they did not have the time to study it. Rep. Eudaily pointed out that it was trying to coordinate the effort with H.B. 18 dealing with vo-tech governance. Rep. Thomas made a motion for the statement of intent to be adopted and it carried by voice vote. Rep. Eudaily moved the amendments concerning Page 18, line 21, which carried unanimously. Rep. Brandewie motioned that the amendments for Page 2, line 6, be adopted, the motion carried unanimously. The amendments are as follows:

1. Page 2, line 6.  
Strike: "local executive board of any unit"  
Insert: "board of regents"
2. Page 6, lines 11 and 12.  
Strike: "local executive board of any unit"  
Insert: "board of regents"
3. Page 6, line 14.  
Strike: "local executive board"  
Insert: "board of regents"
4. Page 8, line 5.  
Strike: ", degrees,"
5. Page 8, lines 15 and 16.  
Following: "certificates"  
Strike: ", "  
Insert: "and"  
Following: "diploma"  
Strike: ", and applied associate degrees"
6. Page 18, line 21.  
Following: "by"  
Insert: "or at the direction of"

A roll call vote showed 11 for and 7 against the motion. House Bill 849 will be sent from committee, DO PASS AS AMENDED, Statement of Intent Attached.

ACTION ON H.B. 902: Rep. Hammond motioned that H.B. 902 DO NOT PASS. Rep. Harbin seconded the motion. The question was called for and a roll call vote indicated the motion carried, 11 to 7.

ACTION ON H.B. 867: Rep. Brandewie moved a DO NOT PASS to H.B. 867. The motion carried unanimously by voice.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 22, 1985

Page 7

ACTION ON H.B. 879: Rep. Brandewie moved that H.B. 879 DO PASS. Rep. Harbin suggested delaying the effective date to 1986-87 and made a motion to this effect. Rep. Nelson seconded the motion. The amendments are as follows:

1. Title, line 7.  
Following: "YEAR"  
Strike: "1985-1986"  
Insert: "1986-1987"
2. Page 4, line 14.  
Following: "year"  
Strike: "1985-1986"  
Insert: "1986-1987"

A roll call vote showed the amendments carried. To the question that H.B. 879 DO PASS AS AMENDED, the motion carried by voice with dissenting votes cast by Representatives Montayne, Glaser, and Sands.

The Chairman asked the Committee if they would reconsider action on H.B. 630 sponsored by Rep. Pistoria if further amendments were submitted. The Committee declined to do so.

There being no further business, the committee adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

  
DAN HARRINGTON, Chairman

crf

DAILY ROLL CALL

EDUCATION COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date 2.22.85

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Dan Harrington	✓		
Ralph Eudaily	✓		
Ray Brandewie	✓		
William Glaser	✓		
Joe Hammond	✓		
Thom Hannah	✓ <i>h</i>		
Raymond Harbin	✓		
Roland Kennerly	✓		
Les Kitselman	✓ <i>h</i>		
John Mercer	E		
John Montayne	✓		
Richard Nelson	✓		
Jerry Nisbet	✓		
Ray Peck	✓		
Jack Sands	✓ <i>h</i>		
Ted Schye	✓ <i>h</i>		
Fred Thomas	✓		
Mel Williams	✓		



EXHIBIT 1

H.B. 902

2-22-85

WILLIAM G. STERNHAGEN  
CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
S. KEITH ANDERSON  
PRESIDENT

# MONTANA TAXPAYERS Association



P O BOX 4909

1706 NINTH AVENUE

HELENA, MONTANA 59604

406 442-2130

February 22, 1985

To: House Education and Culture Committee  
Re: House Bill 902 - An act to limit the general fund budget amount that the trustees of any district may propose for school fiscal years 85-87.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee:

For the record, my name is Sandra Whitney, representing the Montana Taxpayers Association.

Last Monday we came before you supporting the concept of HB 765. I would ask your review of the statistics I gave you at that time relating to spiraling education costs. However, we feel that HB 902 is superior to HB 765, and we would ask your favorable consideration of this bill instead.

1. We support the concept of a 2 year limit, recognizing that it allows for more flexibility than a permanent revolving percentage.

2. We support the limit on the dollar expenditure, adjusted roughly for the increase in taxable valuation state-wide for each year. This dollar limit would be a step toward accomplishing the expressed hope of proponents of '7 & 7' to allow the foundation program to rise, while limiting the increase in taxes on local districts.

3. We support the concept that a district may ask for an extra-ordinary levy to fund above this limit, should the need arise. This, too, allows for flexibility and control at the local level.

For these reasons we urge passage of HB 902.

Thank you.

HB 867 WOULD REQUIRE THAT THE FOLLOWING ELECTIONS BE HELD EVERY JUNE (CURRENTLY THESE ELECTIONS ARE HELD EVERY YEAR IN APRIL):

ElectionsEligibility To Vote

- |                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1. School Board Trustees             | . Registered voter living in the school district.   |
| 2. Volunteer Fire District Trustees  | . Registered voter living in fire district,<br>AND<br>. Anyone holding title to land which is in the district, regardless of whether or not s/he is registered to vote.   |
| 3. Irrigation District Commissioners | . Anyone meeting the qualifications needed to register to vote BUT no voter registration is required;<br>. Guardians, executors, administrators, trustees; and<br>. Domestic corporations.<br>- Any elector owning less than 40 acres of irrigable land within the district is entitled to one vote.<br>- All other electors may cast one vote for each 40 acres of irrigable land owned (or major fraction thereof) within the district. |
| 4. Drainage District Commissioners   | . Same as Irrigation Districts.<br>- One vote for each 40 acres of land in the district.<br>- Any elector owning 20 acres or less is entitled to one vote.  |
| 5. Hospital District Trustees        | . Registered voters living in the district.   |

IN EVEN-NUMBERED YEARS, THE FOLLOWING ELECTIONS ARE ALREADY HELD IN JUNE:

ElectionEligibility To Vote

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Federal Officers   | } | . Registered voter (vote in legislative district in which s/he resides). |
| 2. State Officers, including legislators  |   |  |
| 3. County Officers  |   |  |
| 4. Conservation District Supervisors (if a primary is necessary which seldom happens) |   | . Registered voter living in the district.                               |

IN ODD-NUMBERED YEARS:

1. The school, fire, irrigation, drainage and hospital elections would stand alone in June.

2. Municipal primaries are held in September.
3. General elections for municipalities and for water/sewer district directors are held in November.

THE PROBLEM:

HB 867 would consolidate the time for holding a number of elections which have different requirements for eligible voters and, in some cases, different basis on which the vote is weighted.

Each district's boundaries were set independently. Consequently, the boundaries for each jurisdiction are different from the boundaries for other jurisdictions. The problem at the polling places would be that each voter must receive a ballot for every election in which s/he is entitled to vote. But s/he must not receive a ballot for any election in which s/he is not entitled to vote. (See information below.)

Thus, the same precinct could include 2 or more school district; 2 or more fire districts; some land in and some land outside an irrigation, drainage, or conservation district and so on. The election administrator would have to identify which districts each voter would vote in and the judges at the polling places would have to issue the ballots accordingly. At a minimum, irrigation and drainage district elections would still have to be conducted separately in even-numbered years.

We had this situation in one general election several years ago. In Rosebud County, there were 200 people in line at the Colstrip polling place at 8:00 p.m. They did not finish voting until midnight. In Madison County, one precinct did not finish counting the votes in all the different jurisdictional elections until the Thursday morning after the Tuesday election.

<u>Co.</u>	<u>Sch. Dist.</u>	<u>Fire Dist.</u>	<u>Irrig. Dist.</u>	<u>Drainage Dist.</u>	<u>Hosp. Dist.</u>	<u>Cons. Dist.</u>
Lewis & Clark	10	8	1	--	--	1
Madison	*	10	1	--	2	4
Rosebud	10	3	2	--	--	1
Cascade	10	2	2	2	--	1
Gallatin	32	12	--	--	--	1

\*Neglected to ask the number of districts.

AMENDMENTS TO OTHER STATE LAWS

1. To conform with HB 288 (transmitted to Senate) so that absentee ballots would be available 45 days before the June elections:
  - . Section 20-20-201: School elections would have to be called at least 72 days before the election. The resolution would have to be transmitted to the county election administrator no later than 67 days before the election.
  - . Section 20-20-401: The clerk would need to prepare a certified list of trustee candidates not less than 67 days before the election.

- . Section 20-20-417: The school trustees would have to submit a request no later than March 1 if they wanted the county election administrator to conduct the school elections.
- . Section 20-3-301: Nominating petitions for school trustees would have to be  
and 20-3-344: submitted not less than 72 days before the election.

Similar changes would need to be made for petition filing deadlines in the sections governing fire, irrigation drainage and hospital districts.

- . Section 13-1-302: Should be amended to allow a more even distribution of election costs among the political subdivisions participating in the election.

# ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE EDUCATION

DATE 2.22.85 HOUSE Bill No. 849 Time       

NAME	YES	NO
<del>Dan Harrington</del>		
Ralph Eudaily	✓	
Ray Brandewie		✓
William Glaser		✓
Joe Hammond		✓
Tom Hannah		✓
Raymond Harbin		✓
Roland Kennerly		✓
Les Kitselman	✓	
John Mercer	✓	
John Montayne		✓
Richard Nelson	✓	
Jerry Nisbet	✓	
Ray Peck	✓	
Jack Sands	✓	
Ted Schye	✓	
Fred Thomas	✓	
Mel Williams	✓	
<b>DAN HARRINGTON</b>	✓	

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: 00 PASS 11 FOR 7 AGAINST

---



---



---



---

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

PAGE 1 OF <sup>3</sup>2

FEBRUARY 22

19 85

MR. SPEAKER

## EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

We, your committee on .....

having had under consideration ..... HOUSE ..... Bill No. 349

FIRST reading copy ( WHITE )  
color

### GENERAL REVISION OF LAWS RELATING TO POSTSECONDARY VO-TECH EDUCATION

Respectfully report as follows: That ..... HOUSE ..... Bill No. 349

#### BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Page 2, line 6.  
Strike: "local executive board of any unit"  
Insert: "board of regents"
2. Page 6, lines 11 and 12.  
Strike: "local executive board of any unit"  
Insert: "board of regents"
3. Page 6, line 14.  
Strike: "local executive board"  
Insert: "board of regents"

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

CONTINUED

~~DO PASS~~

STATEMENT OF INTENT ATTACHED

Page 2 OF 3

H.S. 349

4. Page 8, line 5.  
Strike: "degrees,"
5. Page 8, lines 15 and 16.  
Following: "certificates"  
Strike: ", "  
Insert: "and"  
Following: "diploma"  
Strike: ", and applied associate degrees"
6. Page 13, line 21  
Following: "by"  
Insert: "or at the direction of"

AND, AS AMENDED

DO PASS

STATEMENT OF INTENT ATTACHED

HOUSE BILL 349

STATEMENT OF INTENT FOR HOUSE BILL 349

Rulemaking is granted in various sections of the bill relative to the operation of postsecondary vocational-technical centers and the postsecondary vocational-technical center system. Rules would relate to tuition, budgets and other financial responsibilities, center education qualifications, personnel controversy appeal, student residency, student records and privacy concerns, and administration of a work-study program.

It is intended that rules adopted by the superintendent of public instruction aim towards coordination of responsibilities of the superintendent and local trustees of the centers. The rules should not conflict with the local decisionmaking authority but address only those matters of systemwide concern necessary for uniform operation of the system.



ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE                      EDUCATION

DATE 2.22.85 HOUSE Bill No. 902 Time           

NAME	YES	NO
<del>Dan Harrington</del>		
Ralph Eudaily		✓
Ray Brandewie		✓
William Glaser	✓	
Joe Hammond	✓	
Tom Hannah		✓
Raymond Harbin	✓	
Roland Kennerly	✓	
Les Kitselman		✓
John Mercer	■	✓
John Montayne	✓	
Richard Nelson	✓	
Jerry Nisbet	✓	
Ray Peck	✓	
Jack Sands		✓
Ted Schye	✓	
Fred Thomas		✓
Mel Williams	✓	
DAN HARRINGTON	✓	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: DO NOT PASS 11 FOR 7 AGAINST

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 22

19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 902

FIRST reading copy ( WHITE )  
color

**TO LIMIT SCHOOL GENERAL FUND BUDGET AMOUNT FOR 1985 TO 1987**

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 902

DO NOT PASS

DO PASS

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 22

19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 367

FIRST reading copy ( WHITE )  
color

**REVISING THE LAWS GOVERNING SCHOOL LEVY ELECTIONS; REQUIRES  
DISTRICT AUDITS**

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 367

DO NOT PASS

~~DO PASS~~

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 22 ..... 19 35

MR. ....SPEAKER.....

We, your committee on ..... EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES .....

having had under consideration ..... HOUSE ..... Bill No. 379

FIRST reading copy ( WHITE )  
color

ALLOW SCHOOL TRUSTEES TO EXTEND VOTED LEVY FOR 5 YEARS WITH  
VOTER APPROVAL

Respectfully report as follows: That ..... HOUSE ..... Bill No. 379

## BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Title, line 7.  
Following: "YEAR"  
Strike: "1985-1986"  
Insert: "1986-1987"
2. Page 4, line 14.  
Following: "year"  
Strike: "1985-1986"  
Insert: "1986-1987"

AND, AS AMENDED

DO PASS

## VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE                      EDUCATION                      COMMITTEE

BILL 849 - Revision of Vo-Tech Laws

DATE 2-22-85

SPONSOR                      Gene Donaldson

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE                      EDUCATION                      COMMITTEE

BILL 902 - LIMITING GENERAL FUND BUDGETS  
& PROVIDING EXTRA LEVY

DATE 2-22-85

SPONSOR Gene Donaldson

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE                      EDUCATION                      COMMITTEE

BILL 867 - CHANGING SCHOOL ELECTION DAY

DATE 2-22-85

SPONSOR            Mike Kadas

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

BILL 879 - TRUSTEES EXTEND VOTED LEVY  
FOR FIVE YEARS

DATE 2-22-85

SPONSOR Ralph Eudaily

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.